



National Transportation Safety Board
Washington, D.C. 20594

Safety Recommendation

Date: AUG 10 2010

In reply refer to: R-10-25

To transit systems that use General Railway
Signal Company track circuit modules (See distribution list)

The National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB) is an independent federal agency charged by Congress with investigating transportation accidents, determining their probable cause, and making recommendations to prevent similar accidents from occurring. We are providing the following information to urge your organization to take action on the safety recommendation in this letter. The NTSB is vitally interested in this recommendation because it is designed to prevent accidents and save lives.

The recommendation is derived from the NTSB's investigation of the June 22, 2009, collision of two Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority (WMATA) Metrorail trains near Fort Totten station and is consistent with the evidence we found and the analysis we performed. As a result of this investigation, the NTSB has issued 23 safety recommendations, 1 of which is addressed to the six transit systems that use General Railway Signal Company (GRS) track circuit modules (Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority, Metropolitan Atlanta Regional Transportation Authority, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, and Chicago Transit Authority). Information supporting this recommendation is discussed below. The NTSB would appreciate a response from you within 90 days addressing the actions you have taken or intend to take to implement our recommendation.

On Monday, June 22, 2009, about 4:58 p.m., eastern daylight time, inbound WMATA Metrorail train 112 struck the rear of stopped inbound Metrorail train 214. The accident occurred on aboveground track on the Metrorail Red Line near the Fort Totten station in Washington, D.C. The lead car of train 112 struck the rear car of train 214, causing the rear car of train 214 to telescope¹ into the lead car of train 112, resulting in a loss of occupant survival space in the lead car of about 63 feet (about 84 percent of its total length). Nine people aboard train 112, including

¹ *Telescoping* occurs when a railcar body breaches the end structure of another carbody and passes into the structure of that carbody.

the train operator, were killed. Emergency response agencies reported transporting 52 people to local hospitals. Damage to train equipment was estimated to be \$12 million.²

The NTSB determined that the probable cause of the June 22, 2009, collision of WMATA Metrorail train 112 with the rear of standing train 214 near the Fort Totten station was (1) a failure of the track circuit modules, built by GRS/Alstom Signaling Inc., that caused the automatic train control system to lose detection of train 214 (the struck train) and thus transmit speed commands to train 112 (the striking train) up to the point of impact, and (2) WMATA's failure to ensure that the enhanced track circuit verification test (developed following the 2005 Rosslyn near-collisions) was institutionalized and used systemwide, which would have identified the faulty track circuit before the accident.

Contributing to the accident were (1) WMATA's lack of a safety culture, (2) WMATA's failure to effectively maintain and monitor the performance of its automatic train control system, (3) GRS/Alstom Signaling Inc.'s failure to provide a maintenance plan to detect spurious signals that could cause its track circuit modules to malfunction, (4) ineffective safety oversight by the WMATA Board of Directors, (5) the Tri-State Oversight Committee's ineffective oversight and lack of safety oversight authority, and (6) the Federal Transit Administration's lack of statutory authority to provide federal safety oversight.

Contributing to the severity of passenger injuries and the number of fatalities was WMATA's failure to replace or retrofit the 1000-series railcars after these cars were shown in a previous accident to exhibit poor crashworthiness.

The collision occurred within a 738-foot-long track circuit designated B2-304, located about 1/2 mile north of the Fort Totten station. Postaccident examination of the equipment revealed that the track relay for track circuit B2-304 was out of correspondence with the physical location of the accident trains. That is, the track relay was energized (indicating a vacant track circuit) even though both accident trains were still occupying the block and shunting the track circuit.

Postaccident testing conducted by the NTSB detected signal coupling between the GRS automatic train protection track circuit transmitter and receiver modules that contributed to energizing the B2-304 track relay (indicating "vacant") while the track circuit was actually occupied. This testing identified parasitic oscillation generated by the power output transistors of the track-circuit transmitter. This oscillation produced a spurious track-circuit signal that was picked up by the track-circuit receiver and interpreted as the nonshunted signal coming from the receiver impedance bond. The parasitic oscillation was generated by the transmitter module and coupled through the heat sinks and through the rack and module structures to other modules that shared the same power source and rack.

The manufacturer-recommended maintenance schedule for the GRS track circuit modules used by WMATA outlines a series of tests involving the measurement of average and peak-to-peak voltage levels and certain operating frequencies. These measurements are designed to

² See *Collision of Two Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Metrorail Trains Near Fort Totten Station, Washington, D.C., June 22, 2009*, Railroad Accident Report NTSB/RAR-10/02 (Washington, DC: National Transportation Safety Board, 2010) on the NTSB website at <<http://ntsb.gov/publictn/2010/RAR1002.pdf>>.

ensure that the track circuit transmitter and receiver modules are operating at reasonable power and sensitivity levels and within published frequency tolerances. This maintenance schedule does not specify the measurement of detailed signal waveform parameters, such as total harmonic distortion and spectral frequency distribution, that would have revealed the presence of parasitic oscillation.

Only one procedure calls for the use of an oscilloscope capable of detailed signal waveform measurement. This procedure only calls for simple peak-to-peak voltage measurement and sets no minimum vertical bandwidth requirement on the oscilloscope to be used. Most important, the recommended maintenance contains no discussion of tests designed to determine the presence of spurious signals that could mimic a valid track circuit signal. The NTSB concludes that a technician following the manufacturer-provided GRS track circuit module maintenance procedures would not have detected the spurious signals that caused track circuit B2-304 to fail in an unsafe manner.

On June 26, 2010, Alstom Signaling Inc. (Alstom) informed the NTSB that it was continuing to evaluate strategies for mitigating the pulse-type parasitic oscillation found in the Generation 2 GRS modules at Fort Totten. Alstom also told the NTSB that it had contacted other transit agencies known to be using Generation 2 GRS modules and that it had done limited testing at each site to identify modules exhibiting behavior similar to the modules at Fort Totten. Alstom has also developed a new procedure and test equipment that the company says it will use to test all the known Generation 2 GRS modules currently in service across the country. Although the NTSB welcomes this effort, it believes that in addition to one-time testing, rail transit operators that use GRS audio frequency track circuit equipment should be provided with maintenance guidelines that ensure that the automatic train control system is properly maintained over time. The NTSB has therefore made the following safety recommendation to Alstom Signaling Inc.:

Develop and implement periodic inspection and maintenance guidelines for use by the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority and other rail transit operators and railroads equipped with General Railway Signal Company audio frequency track circuit modules and assist them in identifying and removing from service all modules that exhibit pulse-type parasitic oscillation in order to ensure the vitality and integrity of the automatic train control system. (R-10-23)

In May 2010, the NTSB contacted several transit agencies that use GRS audio frequency track circuit modules similar to those used by WMATA. Some of those agencies told the NTSB that they have asked Alstom to provide them with guidelines to test for parasitic oscillation so that such tests can be incorporated into their track circuit inspection and maintenance programs. They also reported that Alstom had not responded to their requests; however, Alstom notified the NTSB on June 26, 2010, that “Alstom is in the process of arranging site visits to all of its customers using Generation 2 modules to test and document the condition of all the identified track circuits.”


Therefore, the National Transportation Safety Board makes the following safety recommendation to the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority, the Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority, the Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority, the Metropolitan Atlanta Regional Transportation Authority, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, and the Chicago Transit Authority:

Work with Alstom Signaling Inc. to establish periodic inspection and maintenance procedures to examine all General Railway Signal Company audio frequency track circuit modules to identify and remove from service any modules that exhibit pulse-type parasitic oscillation. (R-10-25)

The NTSB also issued safety recommendations to the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Federal Transit Administration, the Tri-State Oversight Committee, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority Board of Directors, the Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Authority, and Alstom Signaling Inc.

In response to the recommendation in this letter, please refer to Safety Recommendation R-10-25. If you would like to submit your response electronically rather than in hard copy, you may send it to the following e-mail address: correspondence@ntsb.gov. If your response includes attachments that exceed 5 megabytes, please e-mail us asking for instructions on how to use our secure mailbox procedures. To avoid confusion, please use only one method of submission (that is, do not submit both an electronic copy and a hard copy of the same response letter).

Chairman HERSMAN, Vice Chairman HART, and Members SUMWALT, WEENER, and ROSEKIND concurred in this recommendation.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'D. Hersman', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

By: Deborah A.P. Hersman
Chairman

Distribution List

Mr. Richard A. Davey
General Manager
Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority
10 Park Plaza, Room 3910
Boston, Massachusetts 02116

Mr. Joseph M. Casey
General Manager
Southeastern Pennsylvania Transportation Authority
1234 Market Street, 10th Floor
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107

Mr. Joseph A. Calabrese
Chief Executive Officer
Greater Cleveland Regional Transit Authority
1240 West 6th Street
Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Dr. Beverly A. Scott
General Manager/Chief Executive Officer
Metropolitan Atlanta Rapid Transit Authority
2424 Piedmont Road, N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30324-3324

Mr. Arthur T. Leahy
Chief Executive Officer
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority
One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, California 90012-2934

Mr. Richard L. Rodriguez
President
Chicago Transit Authority
567 West Lake Street
Chicago, Illinois 60661