

**Board Report**

File #:2015-1255, **File Type:**Informational Report

Agenda Number:

**PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE
FEBRUARY 17, 2016****SUBJECT: EASTSIDE PHASE 2 UPDATE****ACTION: RECEIVE AND FILE****RECOMMENDATION**

RECEIVE AND FILE this report in response to the Metro Board July 23, 2015 directive to provide bi-monthly updates on the **Eastside Transit Corridor Phase 2 Technical Study and Community Outreach**.

ISSUE

In November 2014, the Board received the Eastside Transit Corridor Phase 2 Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Report (EIS/EIR) and approved carrying forward two build alternatives, the SR 60 North Side Design Variation (NSDV) and the Washington Boulevard Alternatives into further study. Staff was directed to address comments received from Cooperating and Public Agencies, identify an alternative to the Washington Blvd. via Garfield Alternative and analyze the feasibility of operating both alternatives.

At the July 23, 2015 meeting, the Board approved Contract Modification No. 12 for the Metro Eastside Transit Corridor Phase 2 Project, Alternatives Analysis (AA), Environmental Clearance, and Conceptual Engineering Consultant Services to undertake this work effort and Contract Modification No. 11 for community outreach in support of the Technical Study. With the approval of the contract modifications, the Board directed staff to provide bi-monthly updates on: (1) the project's contractual scope of work and description of the task order for the technical study; (2) the project's schedule and milestones for both the technical analysis and environmental planning process for all alternatives under consideration and study; and (3) bi-monthly updates on the project's schedule, progress reports and community outreach schedule and meeting results, including concerns raised by stakeholders (Attachment A). This report provides the requested bi-monthly update in response to the Board's direction.

DISCUSSION**Contractual Scope of Work**

The technical scope consists of three major work elements:

- investigations to address comments raised by Cooperating and Participating Agencies on the Draft EIS/EIR,
- identification of an alternative connection to Washington Blvd, and
- a feasibility assessment of operating both alternatives.

The technical study will also include a cost containment strategy that identifies potential phasing options. The outreach scope consists of regular engagement with project stakeholders, including the SR 60 and Washington Boulevard Coalitions, and providing updates to the communities in the project area.

Project Schedule and Milestones

The major work elements described above will result in several key project milestones summarized below.

Key Milestones	Target Completion
Analysis of New Alternative Connections to Washington Blvd	Q3 FY16
Advanced Conceptual Engineering	Q4 FY16
Responses to Cooperating and Participating Agency Comments	Q4 FY16
Updated Cost Estimates	Q1 FY17
Completion of Technical Study	Q2 FY17
Metro Board Approval of the Technical Study	Q3 FY17
Re-initiation of Environmental Clearance	Q4 FY17
Community Outreach	Ongoing

The Technical Study will address comments received from the Cooperating agencies and the November 2014 Board direction. It will also identify any necessary updates to the Draft EIS/EIR. Upon Board approval of the Technical Study, the environmental clearance can be re-initiated. Depending upon the results of the Technical Study and the degree of project scope change, the Draft EIS/EIR may require re-circulation. At that time, staff will be able to determine the impact, if any, to the Final EIS/EIR schedule. Staff continues to update the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) on the study’s progress and will consult with FTA on the study’s progress and the environmental path forward.

Progress Report

Since the last Board update, the project team has made progress on the investigations to address comments provided by several Cooperating Agencies, including the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), the United States Army Corp of Engineers (USACE), Southern California Edison (SCE), and State of California Department of Transportation (Caltrans).

SR 60 Corridor Investigations

EPA has reviewed and approved the geophysical survey work adjacent to the Operating Industries, Inc. (OII) Superfund site, which was completed earlier this month. The SCE topographic survey work is complete. Caltrans has provided valuable input to inform the alignment refinements along the SR

60 NSDV segment near Paramount Blvd.

Washington N-S Connection Study

The Project Team has also identified several potential north-south alternatives to Washington Blvd, which were developed based on extensive feedback from the Washington Blvd. Coalition, elected officials and project stakeholders. The process started with 27 alignments options, which were screened down to three based on factors such as physical feasibility, proximity to major activity centers, and consistency with community goals. The alignments for the north-south alternatives, shown in Attachment B, are undergoing further technical analysis, which includes considerations such as cost, ridership, operability and traffic/circulation impacts. Upon completion of the Study, staff anticipates carrying two alternatives into more detailed engineering and technical analysis. These two alternatives will be brought to the Metro Board for consideration.

Staff continues to meet monthly with both Coalitions to provide updates on the technical work underway, discuss project issues and provide a look-ahead. In January 2016, the Study Team provided updates to both Coalitions and met with numerous community groups along the corridor to receive feedback on the project alternatives. Attachment C summarizes progress completed to date and shows that the project is on schedule.

West Santa Ana Branch (WSAB) - Eastside Phase 2 Connection Study

Staff issued a Notice to Proceed on the WSAB - Eastside Phase 2 Connection Study in November 2015. The Eastside Phase 2 Transit Corridor Study team is coordinating closely with the WSAB-Eastside Phase 2 Connection Study team, and is developing alternatives in a manner that does not preclude potential connectivity to the WSAB project. Both study efforts will be closely coordinated going forward.

NEXT STEPS

Staff will continue to work and outreach on the Technical Study and will return to the Board with the Washington Blvd. recommended alternatives to carry over currently scheduled for _____. Staff will continue coordinating with the WSAB Connection Study team.

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment A - July Board Motion

Attachment B - Map of North/South Alignment Concepts for Washington Blvd

Attachment C - Project Schedule

Prepared by: Eugene Kim, Deputy Executive Officer, (213) 922-3080
David Mieger, Executive Officer, (213) 922-3040
Renee Berlin, Managing Executive Officer, (213) 922-3035

Reviewed by: Calvin E. Hollis, Interim Chief Planning Officer, (213) 922-7319

Metro

Los Angeles County
Metropolitan Transportation
Authority
One Gateway Plaza
3rd Floor Board Room
Los Angeles, CA



Metro

Board Report

File #:2015-1105, File Type:Motion / Motion
Response

Agenda Number:27.1

**PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE
JULY 15, 2015**

Motion by:

**MAYOR ERIC GARCETTI, SUPERVISOR DON KNABE,
SUPERVISOR HILDA SOLIS, DIRECTOR DIANE DuBOIS,
DIRECTOR JOHN FASANA AND DIRECTOR JACQUELYN DUPONT-WALKER**

July 15, 2015

**Relating to Item 27: File ID 2015-0706
Gold Line Eastside Extension Phase 2**


At the November regular MTA Board meeting, the Board voted to proceed with and continue study on ~~two~~ all alternatives for the Gold Line Eastside Extension Phase 2.

The communities and stakeholders for both alternatives under evaluation should understand and receive updates on the technical studies on a regular basis.

PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED (3-0) approving amending **Motion by Directors Garcetti, Knabe, Solis, DuBois, Fasana and Dupont-Walker** that the Board instruct the CEO to provide quarterly updates every 60 days starting with the September 2015 Board cycle as follows:

- A. Report on the project contractual scope of work and provide a description of the task orders for the technical study;
- B. Provide the project schedule and related milestones for both the technical analysis and environmental planning process for all the alternatives under consideration and study; and
- C. In the regular quarterly updates, provide, at a minimum, the following:
 1. Project schedule updates;
 2. Progress reports with third-party agencies on the local, state, and federal level; and
 3. Community outreach schedule and meeting results, including any concerns raised by

stakeholders.



Map of North/South Alignment Concepts for Washington Blvd

Milestone Schedule

