# CITY OF ALHAMBRA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

# CITY OF ALHAMBRA TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
FINANCIAL SECTION Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4 5
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	12 13
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	18
Notes to Financial Statements	19
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing</i> <i>Standards</i>	24

# **COMPLIANCE SECTION**

31
28
26

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH 5TREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

> <u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the

City of Alhambra, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Alhambra, California (City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





## **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Alhambra, California, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Other Matter**

The financial statements of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated March 1, 2016, expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements.

## **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Alhambra, California, as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

## Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Alhambra, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.



## Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 28, 2016, on our consideration of the City of Alhambra's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 28, 2016

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016	-	2015
ASSETS			-	
Cash and investments	\$	1,959,716	\$	1,788,594
Accounts receivable	_	6,225	-	6,225
Total assets	\$	1,965,941	\$	1,794,819
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	76,627	\$	82,471
Total liabilities	_	76,627	-	82,471
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,889,314	-	1,712,348
Total fund balance	_	1,889,314	-	1,712,348
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,965,941	\$	1,794,819

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2016		2015
REVENUES	-			
Proposition A	\$	1,528,802	\$	1,489,808
Interest income		17,987		4,828
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 7)		138,261		143,212
Project generated revenue (Note 8)		111,012		129,475
Other revenues (Note 9)	-	24,435		25,510
Total revenues	-	1,820,497		1,792,833
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	-	1,643,531		894,136
Total expenditures	-	1,643,531		894,136
Excess of revenues over expenditures		176,966		898,697
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,712,348	•	813,651
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,889,314	\$	1,712,348

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

		2016						
Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget		Actual	]	Variance Favorable Infavorable)	2015 Actual
110-22	Fixed Route Transit (ACT)	\$	339,478	\$	291,958	\$	47,520 \$	-
130-01	Senior Ride Paratransit		1,011,933		988,822		23,111	518,837
140-03	Recreational Transit		68,956		61,344		7,612	57,769
250-04	Bus Pass Subsidy Program		295,940		232,323		63,617	252,894
270-01	SGVCOG Regional Transportation Planning/Projects		30,000		31,200		(1,200)	30,000
480-12	Direct Administration		38,126		37,884		242	34,636
	Total expenditures	\$	1,784,433	_\$	1,643,531	\$	140,902 \$	894,136

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

# June 30, 2016

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2015	 Additions	 Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2016
1994	2 EL Dorado Vans	\$	99,707	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 99,707
1996	1 Natural Gas Fuel Station		155,779	-	-	155,779
2002	1 Champion Crusader Shuttle Bus		48,449	-	-	48,449
2003	1 6' Heavy Duty Steel Bench with Back		8,424	-	-	8,424
2004	NGV Fueling Station		80,886	-	-	80,886
2007	2006 Bluebird Bus Act - CNG		312,167	-	-	312,167
2007	2006 Bluebird Bus Act - CNG		312,167	-	-	312,167
2008	2007 Nabi Bus Act - CNG		326,599	-	-	326,599
2008	2007 Nabi Bus Act - CNG		326,599	-	-	326,599
2010	2009 EL Dorado Aerolite Bus		51,779	-	-	51,779
2010	2009 EL Dorado Aerolite Bus		60,718	-	-	60,718
2010	2009 EL Dorado Aerolite Bus		51,779	-	-	51,779
2010	2009 EL Dorado Aerolite Bus		60,718	-	-	60,718
2010	2010 EL Dorado CNG ACT Bus		367,207	-	-	367,207
2010	2010 EL Dorado CNG ACT Bus		367,207	-	-	367,207
2010	2010 EL Dorado CNG ACT Bus		367,206	-	-	367,206
2010	2010 EL Dorado CNG ACT Bus		367,206	-	-	367,206
2011	1 unit 2010 El Dorado Aerolite 210		51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 El Dorado Aerolite 210		51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 El Dorado Aerolite 210		51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 El Dorado Aerolite 210	_	51,778	 -	 -	 51,778
	Total	\$_	3,571,712	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 3,571,712

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016		2015
ASSETS			-	
Cash and investments	\$	3,856,467	\$	3,373,403
Total assets	\$ _	3,856,467	\$	3,373,403
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	35,385	\$	38,429
Total liabilities	_	35,385	-	38,429
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	3,821,082	-	3,334,974
Total fund balance	_	3,821,082	-	3,334,974
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	3,856,467	\$	3,373,403

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2016		2015
REVENUES			-	
Proposition C	\$	1,272,581	\$	1,240,541
Interest income		33,672		18,549
Project reimbursement (Note 10)		58,887	_	-
Total revenues	_	1,365,140	_	1,259,090
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	879,032	_	1,495,371
Total expenditures	_	879,032	_	1,495,371
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		486,108		(236,281)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	3,334,974	_	3,571,255
Fund balance at end of year	\$	3,821,082	\$	3,334,974

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

		_				
				Variance		
Project			Metro		Favorable	2015
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-22	Fixed Route Transit (ACT)	\$	647,984 \$	549,030	\$ 98,954 \$	823,366
130-01	Senior Ride Paratransit		-	-	-	537,498
170-02	2013-14 Refirbish Act Bus Shelters		30,000	-	30,000	-
180-01	2014-15 Bus Refurbishment		100,000	-	100,000	-
360-23	Rail Station Operations		59,579	55,333	4,246	59,857
460-01	New/Shorb Avenues Traffic Signal		-	-	-	3,632
	Design					
460-02	New/Shorb Avenues Traffic Signal		225,000	193,266	31,734	2,410
	Construction					
480-12	Direct Administration	_	113,716	81,403	32,313	68,608
	Total expenditures	\$	1,176,279 \$	879,032	\$ <u>297,247</u> \$	1,495,371

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

# June 30, 2016

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2015	Additions	Deletions	Balance 6/30/2016
1999	2 El Dorado Transmark Buses	\$	448,003	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 448,003
		Total \$	448,003	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 448,003

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016	2015
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	2,315,036	\$ 1,336,904
Total assets	\$ =	2,315,036	\$ 1,336,904
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$ -
Total liabilities	-	-	
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	2,315,036	1,336,904
Total fund balance	-	2,315,036	1,336,904
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	2,315,036	\$ 1,336,904

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2016		2015
REVENUES	-		_	
Measure R	\$	951,651	\$	927,028
Interest income		17,124		4,565
Project reimbursement (Note 11)	-	11,833	_	-
Total revenues	-	980,608	_	931,593
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	2,476	_	175,343
Total expenditures	-	2,476	_	175,343
Excess of revenues over expenditures		978,132		756,250
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,336,904	_	580,654
Fund balance at end of year	\$	2,315,036	\$	1,336,904

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

					Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2015
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05	Annual Street Rehabilitation	\$	860,000 \$	2,476	\$ 857,524 \$	-
1.05	Mission Road Rehabilitation and		875,000	-	875,000	-
	Streetscape Improvements					
01-001	Street Repair and Maintenance		-	-	-	4,455
02-001	Traffic Control Measures	_	-	-		170,888
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,735,000 \$	2,476	\$ 1,732,524 \$	175,343

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date Acquired		Description		Balance 7/1/2015	Additions	Deletions	(	Balance 6/30/2016
1	None	1		\$ _	\$ _	\$ 	\$	-
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - 5	\$	-

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016	2015
ASSETS	_		
Due from MTA	\$	-	\$ 24,276
Total assets	\$ =		\$ 24,276
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to General Fund	\$	1	\$ 19
Total liabilities	-	1	19
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	(1)	24,257
Total fund balance	-	(1)	24,257
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	-	\$ 24,276

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Payment from prior years reserve	\$ 83,304	\$ 24,276
Total revenues	83,304	24,276
EXPENDITURES		
Construction/Maintenance	107,562	
Total expenditures	107,562	
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures	(24,258)	24,276
Fund balance at beginning of year	24,257	(19)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ (1)	\$ 24,257

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

Project Description	Program Year	_	Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Sidewalk Replacement Project	2015-16	\$	83,304	\$ 107,562	\$	(24,258)	Complete
Total		\$	83,304	\$ 107,562	3	(24,258)	
Fund balance at beginning of year					_	24,257	
Fund balance at end of year					\$	(1)	

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

## NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

## Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

## Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

## NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorized its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognized unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

## Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

## NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

# NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

# NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

## **NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

## NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS - PALRF

The City entered into various Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreements with the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) to receive Proposition A discretionary incentive grants for participating in the Consolidated National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

Agreement <u>Date</u>	Period	NTD Voluntary <u>Reporting</u>	MOU <u>Amount</u>		umou eceiv	
				2016		2015
September 14, 2015	7/1/14 - 6/30/16	FY 2012-13	\$ 138,261	\$ 138,261	\$	-
May 21, 2014	7/1/13 - 6/30/15	FY 2011-12	\$ 143,212	-		143,212
Total				\$ 138,261	\$	143,212

The Proposition A discretionary incentive grants were recorded under PALRF.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

## NOTE 8 - PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenues for the year ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015
MTA bus tokens	\$ 16,695	\$ 21,403
MTA monthly bus stamps	94,317	108,072
Total	\$ 111,012	\$ 129,475

#### **NOTE 9 – OTHER REVENUES - PALRF**

Other revenues for PALRF consisted of proceeds from bus shelter advertising fees in the amounts of \$24,435 and \$25,510 for the years ended June 30, 2016 and June 30, 2015, respectively.

#### NOTE 10 - PROJECT COST REIMBURSEMENT FROM CITY OF SAN GABRIEL - PCLRF

The amount of \$58,887 consists of project cost reimbursement from the City of San Gabriel which represents thirty percent of (30%) of the expenditures incurred on the construction of traffic signal and highway safety lighting system at the intersection of New Avenue and Shorb Street.

## NOTE 11 - PROJECT COST REIMBURSEMENT FROM TDAA3F FUND - MRLRF

The amount of \$11,833 represents reimbursement from TDAA3F to MRLRF for the expenditures incurred on the design and construction of handicaps ramps on Garfield Avenue and Burke Way traffic signal project in fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

#### NOTE 12 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015
FY 2010-11 allocation	\$ -	\$ 24,276
FY 2011-12 allocation	43,116	-
FY 2012-13 allocation	40,188	-
Total	\$ 83,304	\$ 24,276

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

#### NOTE 13 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2016	2015
FY 2011-12 allocation	\$ -	\$ 43,116
FY 2012-13 allocation	23,497	63,685
FY 2013-14 allocation	68,263	68,263
FY 2014-15 allocation	55,594	55,594
FY 2015-16 allocation	53,968	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 201,322	\$ 230,658

#### **NOTE 14 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2016 through October 28, 2016, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH 5TREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON certified public accountants

FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Alhambra, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Alhambra, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 28, 2016.

## **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





## **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

improv é Simprov

Los Angeles, California October 28, 2016

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH 5TREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

<u>FOUNDING PARTNERS</u> BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Alhambra, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

## **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Alhambra, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

## Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

## **Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3**

In our opinion, the City of Alhambra complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2016.





## **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of Alhambra is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 28, 2016

# COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Compliance Requirement		n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C	Local R	Return F	Funds	
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	Х		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	Х		None	
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	Х		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	Х		None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	Х		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None	

# CITY OF ALHAMBRA COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
<b>B.</b> M	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	Х		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None	

# CITY OF ALHAMBRA COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Yes	No	Costs	management response.	
C. T						
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None		
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	x		None		

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2016

No findings were noted.

**EXIT CONFERENCE** 

# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2016

An exit conference was held on December 12, 2016 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Tess Anson, Auditor John Longoria, Auditor

### City's Representative:

Dean Johnson, Assistant Finance Director

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Dean Johnson, Assistant Finance Director