CITY OF LA CAÑADA FLINTRIDGE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

$\begin{array}{c} \textit{CITY OF LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE} \\ \underline{\text{TABLE OF CONTENTS}} \end{array}$

TYNIANGY AY GEOGRACH	PAGE
FINANCIAL SECTION Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	4
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4 5
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12 13
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	16 17
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	18
Notes to Financial Statements	19
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing</i>	23
Standards COLUMN	
COMPLIANCE SECTION Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix	25 27
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations EXIT CONFERENCE	30 32
TV/NT T NAVINI (VINIVINI)	1/.





Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California (City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016 and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California, as of June 30, 2016 and the respective changes in their financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

The financial statements of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated March 8, 2016, expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California, as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 21, 2016, on our consideration of the City of La Cañada Flintridge's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 21, 2016

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016	2015		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	266,518	\$ 194,220		
Total assets	\$	266,518	\$ 194,220		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$.	44,285	\$ 51,899		
Total liabilities		44,285	51,899		
Fund Balance					
Restricted		222,233	142,321		
Total fund balance		222,233	142,321		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	266,518	\$ 194,220		

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 370,662	\$ 361,505
Interest income	5,024	4,706
Miscellaneous	-	783
Total revenues	375,686	366,994
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	295,774	470,779
Total expenditures	295,774	470,779
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	79,912	(103,785)
Other funding source		
Transfer in - CAP 31	-	28,700
Total other funding source	-	28,700
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures and		
other funding source	79,912	(75,085)
Fund balance at beginning of year	142,321	217,406
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 222,233	\$ 142,321

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

		_		2016		
Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2015 Actual
110-03	The LCF Shuttle (Route 3)	\$	255,000 \$	223,086	\$ 31,914 \$	221,079
130-01	Dial-A-Ride		21,725	21,712	13	13,942
130-02	Residential R1 Tour		950	-	950	195
140-04	Summer Beach Bus		12,550	10,135	2,415	8,982
140-05	Fiesta Days Shuttle (Memorial Day Community Parade)		1,050	1,071	(21)	1,071
150-03	Bus Shelter Maintenance Program for City's Bus Shelters		3,500	1,245	2,255	3,789
150-05	Construction of Two New Bus Shelters (Oak Grove Drive)		-	-	-	3,394
150-06	Shuttle Stop Decaling		4,000	439	3,561	-
180-01	CNG Shuttle Bus Purchase		-	-	-	9,998
180-03	CNG Shuttled Paint Decaling		24,000	-	24,000	-
180-04	CNG Tank Certification		50,000	-	50,000	-
180-05	DMV Vehicle Title Transfer		1,075	1,078	(3)	-
270-05	Assistant to the Board Director		1,250	-	1,250	-
290-01	Improvements at Foothill Boulevard Overpass Lot (Park and Ride Lot)		-	-	-	179,611
410-09	Arroyo Verdugo Transportation Subcommittee		2,975	2,227	748	3,190
480-02	Administration		31,800	31,785	15	22,728
480-03	San Gabriel Valley COG Membership	_	2,800	2,996	(196)	2,800
	Total expenditures	\$	412,675 \$	295,774	\$ 116,901 \$	470,779

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date		Balance					Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2015		Additions		Deletions	 6/30/2016
06/30/1997	Bus Stop Shelters \$	-	\$	65,263	\$	-	\$ 65,263
06/30/1997	Bus Stop Shelters	-		49,530		-	49,530
06/30/2000	Bus Stop Shelters	-		15,750		-	15,750
06/30/2003	LCHS Traffic Signal	-		168,625		-	168,625
06/30/2004	Alta Canyada Traffic Signal	-		69,011		-	69,011
06/30/2009	Overpass Lot Improvement	-		6,138		-	6,138
06/30/2011	LCF Shuttle	398,822	2	-		-	398,822
06/30/2012	Memorial Park Crosswalk	-		235,504		-	235,504
06/30/2013	Oak Grover Bus Shelter	-		42,018		-	42,018
06/30/2015	Foothill Overpass Lot Improvement	-		306,611		-	306,611
06/30/2015	CNG Shuttle Bus	9,998	<u> </u>	-	_	-	 9,998
	Total \$	408,820	\$	958,450	\$	-	\$ 1,367,270

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016	2015		
ASSETS	_				
Cash and investments	\$ _	513,204	\$ 441,866		
Total assets	\$ _	513,204	\$ 441,866		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$ _	24,279	\$ 24,061		
Total liabilities	-	24,279	24,061		
Fund Balance					
Restricted	_	488,925	417,805		
Total fund balance	_	488,925	417,805		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	513,204	\$ 441,866		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 308,174	\$ 300,656
Interest income	9,392	8,378
Total revenues	317,566	309,034
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	246,446	341,031
Total expenditures	246,446	341,031
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	71,120	(31,997)
Fund balance at beginning of year	417,805	449,802
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 488,925	\$ 417,805

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

					Variance	
Project		Metro			Favorable	2015
Code	Project Name	 Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-03	The LCF Shuttle (Route 3)	\$ 124,800	\$ 148,724	\$	(23,924) \$	147,386
200-01	CNG Shuttle Purchase (Call-For-Projects Match)	88,000	-		88,000	-
290-01	Improvements at Foothill Boulevard Overpass Lot (Park and Ride Lot)	-	-		-	127,000
400-01	Traffic Signal - Left Turn Arrow (Foothill and Cornishon)	98,125	95,422	,	2,703	12,744
430-01	Red Route Bikeway Corridor	-	-		-	51,601
480-03	San Gabriel Valley COG Membership	2,300	2,300		<u> </u>	2,300
	Total expenditures	\$ 313,225	\$ 246,446	\$_	66,779 \$	341,031

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date			Balance				Balance
_Acquired	Description		7/1/2015	Additions	_	Deletions	 6/30/2016
06/30/2005	Infrastructure - Ocean View Boulevad Left-Turn Pocket	\$	-	\$ 22,721	\$	-	\$ 22,721
06/30/2007	Infrastructure - Angeles Crest Highway Traffic Signal Improvement		-	208,769		-	208,769
06/30/2011	Infrastructure - Red Route Bikeway Corridor		-	74,289		-	74,289
06/30/2014	Infrastructure - West Foothill Boulevard Bike Lane		-	295,191		-	295,191
06/30/2015	Infrastructure - Catch Basin Grate Replacement		-	51,601		-	51,601
06/30/2015	Infrastructure - Left Turn Arrow (Foothill and Corrishon)		-	 108,166		-	 108,166
	Total	\$_	-	\$ 760,737	\$	-	\$ 760,737

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

			2015		
ASSETS	_				
Cash and investments	\$ _	655,274	\$	449,389	
Total assets	\$	655,274	\$ _	449,389	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$	-	
Total liabilities	-	-	_	-	
Fund Balance					
Restricted	_	655,274	_	449,389	
Total fund balance	-	655,274	_	449,389	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	655,274	\$_	449,389	

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 230,730	\$ 224,945
Interest income	9,628	7,380
Total revenues	240,358	232,325
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	34,473	216,633
Total expenditures	34,473	216,633
Excess of revenues over expenditures	205,885	15,692
Fund balance at beginning of year	449,389	433,697
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 655,274	\$ 449,389

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

		_					Variance	
Project			Metro				Favorable	2015
Code	Project Name	_	Budget		Actual	(U	Infavorable)	Actual
1.05	Street Resurfacing and Slurry Seal	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	200,000
1.20	Installation of Flashing Beacons at Crosswalk		20,000		88		19,912	-
3.05	Foothill Link Project (Bikeway)		50,000		34,385		15,615	-
3.16	Environmental and Final Design for Foothill Link Program		-	_	-		-	16,633
	Total expenditures	\$_	70,000	\$_	34,473	\$	35,527 \$	216,633

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2015	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2016
	None			\$	\$	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	 2016		2015
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ -	\$	
Total assets	\$ -	\$ _	_
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to other funds	\$ -	\$	
Total liabilities	 -	_	
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 -	<u> </u>	
Total fund balance	 -	_	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	\$	-

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2016		2015
REVENUES	_	_		
Payment from previous years reserve	\$ _	13,503	\$	16,573
Total revenues	_	13,503		16,573
EXPENDITURES				
Construction/Maintenance	_	13,503	_	16,573
Total expenditures	-	13,503		16,573
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-		-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-		•	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	-	\$	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Program						Unexpended	Project
Project Description	Year		Allocations		Expenditures		Allocations	Status
Local allocations	2017.15	_	10.700	_	12.702	_		
Sidewalk	2015-16	\$	13,503	\$	13,503	\$	-	Ongoing
Construction/Maintenance Project								
Total		\$	13,503	\$	13,503	=	-	
Unexpended interest accumulated to date							-	
Fund balance at beginning of year						_		
Fund balance at end of year						\$	_	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by
providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015
FY 2013-14 allocation	\$ -	\$ 16,573
FY 2014-15 allocation	13,503	-
Total	\$ 13,503	\$ 16,573

NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED (Continued)

	2016	2015
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ -	\$ 13,503
FY 2015-16 allocation	13,098	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 13,098	\$ 13,503

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2016 through October 21, 2016, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 21, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California October 21, 2016

lingson & Singson





Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of La Cañada Flintridge complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2016.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Findings Nos. 2016-001 and 2016-002. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of La Cañada Flintridge, California is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 21, 2016

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016

Compliance Requirement		I Comp	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and				
			No	Costs	management response.				
A. P	A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds								
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None					
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.		X	None	See Finding No. 2016-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.				
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None					
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None					
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None					
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.		X	None	See Finding No. 2016-002 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.				
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None					

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	1	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
B. M	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
		Yes		Costs	management response.	
C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund						
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None		
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None		

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

June 30, 2016

PCLRF: Finding No. 2016-001

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section I (C), Project Description Form (Form A), Item 5: "Jurisdictions shall submit for approval a Project Description Form prior to the expenditure of funds for: a 25 percent or greater change in an approved Local Return project budget or scope on all operating or capital Local Return projects."
Condition	The City exceeded more than 25 percent of Metro's approved budget on PACLRF Project Code 130-01 Dial-A-Ride in the amount of \$1,525. However, the City filed the Project Description Form (Form A) to obtain approval on the budget amendment for the project from LACMTA. The Form A was subsequently approved by LACMTA on October 19, 2016.
Cause	The City was unable to determine the proper budget of the expenditures incurred for the project since the amount is based on ridership, which fluctuates. Total cost of services is not known until the monthly billings for the full year are received from the City of Glendale. Billings for later months are normally not received until after the fiscal year ends.
Effect	The City's PALRF project expenditures exceeded 25 percent of LACMTA's approved budget without LACMTA's approval and the City did not comply with the Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that project expenditures are within the 25 percent cap of the LACMTA's approved budget and an amended Form A (Project Description Form) is properly prepared and submitted prior to the expenditure of funds which would result in a 25 percent or greater change in an approved Local Return project budget or scope on all operating or capital Local Return projects. Also, we recommend the City request frequent billings from the City of Glendale, i.e., quarterly or semi-annually, in order to monitor the expenditures incurred on the project. This would enable the City to monitor the expenditures and ensure that they do not exceed 25 percent of the approved budget.
Management's Response	The City received the new contracted cost for the Dial-A-Ride service after the start of the fiscal year. In the future, we will request the City of Glendale to provide the contracted cost before the year end so that we can properly review and submit Form A to LACMTA earlier with a more reasonable budget.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2016 (Continued)

PALRF and PCLRF: Finding No. 2016-002

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section II, "A proposed expenditure of funds shall be deemed to be for public transit purposes to the extent that it can reasonably be expected to sustain or improve the quality and safety of and/or access to public transit services by the general public or those requiring special public transit assistance." And Section V, "It is jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation"
Condition	To support the propriety of expenditures being charged to the Proposition A and C Local Return Funds, non-payroll expenditures should be supported by properly executed contracts, invoices, and vouchers. Payroll expenditures should be supported by properly executed payrolls, time records, activity reports, vouchers, or other official documentation evidencing in proper detail the nature of the charges. However, payments to City of Glendale in the total amounts of \$223,086 and \$148,724, under Proposition A and Proposition C, respectively, were based on an expired contract agreement and were charged to the respective LCF Shuttle (Route 3) Project Code 110-03. No amendments were issued since Amendment No. 9 dated September 23, 1999 in which the term of the extension ended on January 31, 2000.
Cause	The City have relied on the statement in the amended contract that both cities, if mutually agreed to, are allowed to extend the contract beyond the initial period as to the level of service, type of service, and rates.
Effect	No documentation to support that both Cities agree to extend the terms of the agreement indicates a weakness in the City's internal control.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City update the contract annually and issue an extension or amendment to provide proper documentation that both parties, Cities of La Cañada and Glendale, mutually agreed to the terms and conditions of the contract, including but not limited to, level of service, type of service, and rates.
Management's Response	The City and the City of Glendale have agreed to extend the agreement beyond the initial period under Amendment No. 9 with respect to level of service, type of service and rates. These extensions have been made through correspondence between the parties. In the future, the City will initiate deliberations with the City of Glendale on another contract amendment.



PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2016

An exit conference was held on December 21, 2016 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Tess Anson, Auditor

City's Representative:

Winnie Fung, Accountant

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and two (2) non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Winnie Fung, Accountant