### CITY OF LA MIRADA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015





Certified Public Accountants

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4 5
Supplementary Information:  Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	0
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	12 13
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	16 17
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	18
Notes to Financial Statements	19
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	23
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	25 27 30
EXIT CONFERENCE	32





### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Mirada, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of La Mirada, California (City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of La Mirada, California, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matters

The financial statements of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, before the financial statements of MRLRF were restated for the matter discussed in Note 7, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated December 21, 2015, expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of La Mirada, California, as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of La Mirada, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.



### Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated September 16, 2016, on our consideration of the City of La Mirada's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California September 16, 2016

Simpson & Simpson

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016		2015
ASSETS			_	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,677,229	\$	1,169,070
Interest receivable		4,094	_	2,413
Total assets	\$ _	1,681,323	\$ _	1,171,483
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to other funds	\$	17,318	\$	8,900
Total liabilities	_	17,318	· <del>-</del>	8,900
Fund Balance				
Restricted		1,664,005	<u> </u>	1,162,583
Total fund balance	_	1,664,005	· <u>-</u>	1,162,583
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,681,323	\$	1,171,483

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016		2015
REVENUES		'	
Proposition A	\$ 887,675	\$	865,341
Interest income	16,125	•	25,705
Total revenues	903,800		891,046
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	402,378	i	722,511
Total expenditures	402,378	,	722,511
Other Financing Source			
Transfer in	-		3,167
Excess of revenues over expenditures	501,422	,	171,702
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,162,583		990,881
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,664,005	\$	1,162,583

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	_	Actual	(	Variance Favorable Unfavorable)	2015 Actual
120-20	Contract with MV Transportation for Dial-A-Ride Services	\$	528,000	\$	260,972	\$	267,028 \$	592,321
140-07	Recreational Transit - Youth Excursion		10,000		-		10,000	-
270-05	Transportation Planning		73,000		63,656		9,344	47,457
480-01	Direct Administration		82,500		77,750		4,750	82,733
500-01	Capital Improvement Project	_	25,000		-		25,000	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	718,500	\$_	402,378	\$	316,122 \$	722,511

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date			Balance						Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2015		Additions		Deletions	_	6/30/2016
1000		Φ	10.272	Φ		Φ		Φ	10 272
1988	Facility Improvement	\$	18,373	<b>3</b>	-	\$	-	\$	18,373
1989	Fuel Distribution System		15,981		-		-		15,981
1991	Photocopier		1,669		-		-		1,669
1991	Heating System		3,386		-		-		3,386
1991	Lube Rack		6,027		-		-		6,027
1991	Wheel Balance		10,949		-		-		10,949
1991	Hydraulic Lift		59,595		-		-		59,595
1992	Computer System		43,902		-		-		43,902
1992	Transit Software Underground Storage		9,750		-		-		9,750
1993	Tank		149,902		-		-		149,902
1997	3 Transit Vehicle		11,202		-		-		11,202
1998	6 Transit Vehicle		59,587		-		-		59,587
1999	5 Handicapped Buses	_	51,476		-		-		51,476
	Total	\$_	441,799	\$	-	\$	-	\$	441,799

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016	2015
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	2,138,002	\$ 1,890,547
Interest receivable	_	5,219	3,914
Total assets	\$ _	2,143,221	\$ 1,894,461
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities			
Due to other funds	\$ _	10,502	\$ 54,431
Total liabilities	_	10,502	54,431
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	2,132,719	1,840,030
Total fund balance	_	2,132,719	1,840,030
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	2,143,221	\$ 1,894,461

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 736,089	\$ 717,876
Interest income	24,943	36,739
Total revenues	761,032	754,615
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	468,343	194,775
Total expenditures	468,343	194,775
Excess of revenues over expenditures	292,689	559,840
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,840,030	1,280,190
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 2,132,719	\$ 1,840,030

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget		Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2015 Actual
120-20	Contract with MV Transportation for Dial-A-Services	\$	325,000	\$	325,000	\$ - \$	-
440-08	Slurry Seal - Imperial Highway from La Mirada Boulevard to East City Limit with Sign Upgrades		500,000		25,267	474,733	-
450-01	Alondra Street Improvements		-		-	-	136,168
450-05	Santa Gertrudes Avenue Sidewalk Improvements		100,000		82,392	17,608	54,431
450-05	Leffingwell Road Pavement Rehabilitation from Telegraph to La Mirada Boulevard		-		-	-	4,176
450-06	Knott Avenue Street Rehabilitation		200,000		35,684	164,316	-
450-07	Adelpha Drive and Foster Road Street Rehabilitation	=	1,000,000		-	1,000,000	-
	Total expenditures	\$	2,125,000	\$_	468,343	\$ 1,656,657 \$	194,775

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date		Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2015	Additions	Deletions	 6/30/2016
1993	Underground Storage 10% Retention \$ Transit Building Reroofing	11,420 \$	-	\$ -	\$ 11,420
1997	CC19953	3,523	-	-	3,523
1999	Park-N-Ride Facility	189,250	-	-	189,250
2001	Bus Shelters	109,414			109,414
	Total \$	313,607 \$	-	\$ -	\$ 313,607

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016	-	2015
ACCETC				as restated
ASSETS				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,734,685	\$	2,621,568
Interest receivable	_	4,234	-	5,428
Total assets	\$ _	1,738,919	\$	2,626,996
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	9,747
Due to General Funds	_	103,651	-	9,245
Total liabilities	_	103,651	=	18,992
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,635,268	_	2,608,004
Total fund balance	_	1,635,268	-	2,608,004
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,738,919	\$	2,626,996

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2016	_	2015
		_	_	as restated
REVENUES				
Measure R	\$	552,561	\$	538,455
Interest income	_	23,569	_	54,226
Total revenues	_	576,130	-	592,681
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects		1,548,866	_	327,227
Total expenditures	_	1,548,866	-	327,227
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures		(972,736)		265,454
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	2,608,004	_	2,342,550
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,635,268	\$	2,608,004

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	 Actual	(1	Variance Favorable Unfavorable)	as restated 2015 Actual
1.05	Street Repair - La Mirada and Rosecrans	\$	750,000	\$ 619,634	\$	130,366 \$	24,622
1.05	Street Repair - Santa Gertrudes Street		200,000	-		200,000	302,605
1.90	Traffic Signal - Imperial and Ocaso		350,000	264,069		85,931	-
1.90	Traffic Signal - Imperial and Santa Gertrudes		350,000	322,501		27,499	-
1.90	Traffic Signal - La Mirada and Rosecrans		350,000	300,761		49,239	-
1.90	Traffic Signal - La Mirada Corridor Intersections at Hutchins	_	1,215,000	 41,901		1,173,099	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	3,215,000	\$ 1,548,866	\$_	1,666,134 \$	327,227

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Descrip	tion	7/1/2015	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2016
	None		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
		Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	 2016	_	2015
ASSETS			
Due from MTA	\$ 2,612	\$_	
Total assets	\$ 2,612	\$ _	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to other funds	\$ 2,612	\$_	
Total liabilities	 2,612	_	
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 -	_	
Total fund balance	 -	_	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 2,612	\$	

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	_	2015
REVENUES		•	_
Payment from prior year reserve	\$ 31,343	\$	32,298
Total revenues	31,343	•	32,298
EXPENDITURES			
Sidewalk Infrastructure Replacement	31,343		32,298
Total expenditures	31,343		32,298
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-		-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-		-
Fund balance at end of year	\$ -	\$	

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

		Program					Unexpended	Project
Project Description		Year	_	Allocations	 Expenditures		Allocations	Status
Local allocations								
Sidewalk Infrastructure		2015-16	\$	31,343	\$ 31,343	\$	-	Complete
Replacement								
	Total		\$	31,343	\$ 31,343		-	
Fund balance at beginning of	of year					_	-	
Fund balance at end of year						\$	-	

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by
providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### **NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

#### **NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

#### NOTE 7 – RESTATEMENT OF MRLRF'S 2015 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2015 financial statements of MRLRF were restated to report for certain expenditures for the Project Code 1.05, Street Repair – Santa Gertrudes, that were not reported in fiscal year ended June 30, 2015.

	Accounts		Fund Balance
	Payable	Expenditures	
Balance, as previously reported	\$ -	\$ 317,480	\$ 2,617,751
Adjustment	9,747	9,747	(9,747)
Balance, as restated	\$ 9,747	\$ 327,227	\$ 2,608,004

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

### NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ -	\$ 32,298
FY 2015-16 allocation	31,343	-
Total	\$ 31,343	\$ 32,298

### NOTE 9 - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has no funds on reserve.

### **NOTE 10 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2016 through September 16, 2016, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

### **Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting** and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Mirada, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of La Mirada, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 16, 2016.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California September 16, 2016

impon & Simpon





### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Mirada, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of La Mirada, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, except as noted in the Compliance Matrix and the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs, the City of La Mirada complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2016.





#### Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding No. 2016-001. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of La Mirada is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we identified certain deficiencies in internal controls over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding No. 2016-001 to be a material weakness.

The response by the City to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audits is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The response by the City was not subject to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.



The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

September 16, 2016

# COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2016

Compliance Requirement			n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C	Local R	Return I	Funds	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.		X	PALRF: \$81,786	See Finding No. 2016-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Companies requirement		No	Costs	management response.
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		Costs	management response.
C. T	ransportation Development Act	Article	3 Fund		
1	TC: 1 CC 1	3.7			
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS $_{\mbox{\scriptsize June }30,\,2016}$

# PALRF: Finding No. 2016-001

Finding	Entity
Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section II, "A proposed expenditure of funds shall be deemed to be for public transit purposes to the extent that it can reasonably be expected to sustain or improve the quality and safety of and/or access to public transit services by the general public or those
	requiring special public transit assistance." and Section V "It is the jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation to facilitate the performance of audit prescribed in the guidelines. "In addition, LACMTA Local Return Program Manager issued a memo dated April 29, 2014 to
	jurisdiction to provide recommendations to ensure that jurisdictions have adequate evidence to support its compliance with the Local Returns Guidelines, those recommendations are "that an electronic system is acceptable as long as how much time is identified on the project (i.e. not just a clock-in-clock-out system) and this non-timesheet system, excel file or other, is authenticated by the employee and
	approved by one's supervisor." Also, "(4) Where employees work on multiple activities or cost objectives, a distribution or their salaries or wages will be supported by personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation which meets the standards in subsection (5) unless a statistical sampling system (see subsection
	(6)) or other substitute system has been approved by the cognizant Federal agency. Such documentary support will be required where employees work on:  (b) A Federal award and non-Federal award.
	<ul><li>(5) Personnel activity reports or equivalent documentation must meet the following standards:</li><li>(b) They must reflect an after the fact distribution of the actual activity of</li></ul>
	each employee,  (f) Budget estimates or other distribution percentages determined before the services are performed do not qualify as support for charges to Federal awards but may be used for interim accounting purposes, provided that: (i) the governmental unit's system for establishing the estimates produces reasonable approximations of the activity actually performed; (ii) at least quarterly, comparisons of actual costs
	to budgeted distribution based on monthly activity reports are made. Costs charged to Federal awards to reflect adjustments made as a result of the activity actually performed may be recorded annually if the quarterly comparisons show the differences between budgeted and actual costs are less than ten percent; and (iii) the budget estimates or other distribution percentages are revised at least quarterly, if necessary, to reflect changed circumstances."

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS June 30, 2016 (Continued)

# PALRF: Finding No. 2016-001 (Continued)

Condition	To support the propriety of expenditures being charged to Proposition A Local
	Return Fund, payroll should be supported by properly executed payrolls, time
	records, activity reports, vouchers or other documentation evidencing in proper
	detail the nature of the charges. However, the salaries and benefits charged to
	Transportation Planning Project Code 270-05 and Direct Administration Project
	Code 480-01 in the amounts of \$33,827 and \$47,959, respectively, were based on
	distribution percentages determined before the services were performed.
Cause	In 2012, the City of La Mirada initiated a Cost Allocation Plan (CAP) with Wildan
	Financial Services. The CAP was not completed and eventually became an in-
	house project. The CAP was comple1ted by the City in October 2016.
Effect	The cost claimed under the Proposition A Local Return Fund project may include
	expenditures which may not be an allowable Proposition A project expenditure.
	This resulted in questioned costs of \$81,786.
Recommendation	In accordance with the Guidelines, we recommend that the City reimburse its
	Proposition A Local Return Fund account by \$81,786. In addition, we recommend
	that the City revise its current labor costs reporting procedures to ensure that labor
	costs charged to the Local Return Funds are adequately supported by time sheets
	or similar documentation which includes employees' actual working hours.
Management's Response	In the future, the City of La Mirada will continue to use the budgeted allocation
	percentage with quarterly comparisons between actual hours and budgeted hours.
	The City will adjust accordingly to the "true" hours worked on the program



# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2016

An exit conference was held on December 21, 2016 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Yung Dang, Semi-Senior Auditor

### City's Representative:

Judy Quinonez, Senior Accountant

### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed one non-compliance issue with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Judy Quinonez, Senior Accountant

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF LA MIRADA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015.

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 for the City of La Mirada and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly y	ours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	