ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

TRANSIT SYSTEM FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED

JUNE 30, 2016





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Audited Financial Statements	
Statements of Net Position	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Net Position	4
Statements of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6
Independent Auditor's Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On	
Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of Financial Statements	11
Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance	13
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	15
SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION	
Exhibit I – 50% Expenditure Limitation Test and Maintenance of Effort Test	16
Exhibit II – Proposition A Discretionary Eligibility Test	17
Exhibit III – Schedule of Capital Assets	18





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Redondo Beach, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Transit System Fund (the Fund) of the City of Redondo Beach, California (the City) which comprise the Fund's statement of net position as of June 30, 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenses and changes in net position, and cash flows for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.





Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Transit System Fund of the City of Redondo Beach, California, as of June 30, 2016 and the changes in net position and cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matters

Fund Financial Statements

As discussed in Note 6, the financial statements present only the Transit System Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Redondo Beach, California, as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its net position and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the Transit System Fund's financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Fund's financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Fund's financial statements or to the Fund's financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the Fund's financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 30, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal controls over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California November 30, 2016

Simpson & Simpson

TRANSIT SYSTEM FUND

STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

June 30, 2016

ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and investments	\$	-
Accounts receivable		5,226
Due from other governments		80,601
Total current assets		85,827
Noncurrent assets		
Net capital assets		1,558,161
Total noncurrent assets		1,558,161
Total assets		1,643,988
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES		
Pension contribution made subsequent to measurement		
date		58,251
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities		
Cash overdraft		741,588
Accounts payable		233,912
Unearned revenue		68,837
Accured compensated absences		16,187
Total current liabiliities		1,060,524
Noncurrent liablitities		
Accrued compensated absences		43,171
Net pension liability		572,282
Total noncurrent liabiliities		615,453
Total liability	_	1,675,977
Deferred inflows of resources		
Net difference between projected and actual earnings		
on pension plan		26,262
Net Position		
Invested in capital assets		1,558,161
Unrestricted		(1,558,161)
Total net position	\$	-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSIT SYSTEM FUND

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENSES, AND CHANGES IN NET POSITION

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

OPERATING REVENUES		
Bus passes	\$	93,460
Passenger fare		272,854
Contributions from other local jurisdictions		109,458
Miscellaneous Revenue	_	814
Total operating revenues	_	476,586
OPERATING EXPENSES		
Personal services		587,385
Contractual services		2,081,133
Administration & General		791,410
Depreciation		76,668
Total operating expenses	_	3,536,595
Net operating loss	_	(3,060,009)
NON-OPERATING REVENUES		
Proposition A 40% discretionary		456,288
Proposition A discretionary incentive		-
Proposition A local return		595,082
TDA Article 4 allocation - operating		688,291
Proposition C discretionary - Foothill Mitigation		20,714
Proposition C discretionary - Bus System Improvement Plan		3,855
Proposition C discretionary - Municipal Operator Service		
Improvement Program (MOSIP)		137,022
Proposition C 5% transit security		23,085
State transit assistance		76,028
Measure R 20% bus operation		280,205
Proposition 1B - PTMISEA		151,948
Transfer from general fund	_	-
Total non-operating revenues	_	2,432,518
Change in net position		(627,491)
Net position at beginning of year (Note 7)	_	627,491
Net position at end of year	\$	

TRANSIT SYSTEM FUND

STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

CASH FLOW FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES	
Cash received from customers and users \$	407,533
Cash payments to suppliers for goods and services	(3,145,534)
Cash payments to employees for services	(476,098)
Net cash used in operating activities	(3,214,099)
CASH FLOW FROM CAPITAL AND RELATED	
FINANCING ACTIVITIES	
Purchases and construction of capital assets, net	
Net cash used in capital and related financing activities	
CASH FLOW FROM NON-CAPITAL FINANCING ACTIVITIES	
Transfers from Proposition A Local Return	587,302
Transfers from general fund	-
Subsidy from grants	1,669,282
Net cash provided by non-capital financing activities	2,256,584
Cash flows from investing activities	
Interest received	
Net increase (decrease) in cash and investments	(957,515)
Cash and investments, beginning of year	215,927
Cash and investments, end of year	(741,588)
RECONCILIATION OF OPERATING LOSS TO NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	
Net operating loss \$	(3,060,009)
Adjustments to reconcile change in fund equity to net cash	
provided by operating activities:	
Depreciation	76,668
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:	
Actuarial pension expense	24,617
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	(58,251)
Increase in accounts payable:	
Decrease in accounts receivable	3,266
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable	(204,499)
Increase in salaries and benefits payable	4,109
Net cash used in operating activities \$	(3,214,099)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The Transit System Fund of the City of Redondo Beach (the City) is an Enterprise Fund of the City created in 1976 by resolution of the City Council. The City reports all of its Enterprise Funds as major Proprietary Funds. On March 15, 2011, the City Council approved the reclassification of the Transit Fund from a Special Revenue Fund to an Enterprise Fund. The City's transit operations provide transportation services mainly to the Cities of Redondo Beach, Hermosa Beach, and Manhattan Beach. These operations constitute part of the overall financial reporting entity of the City and are accounted for as an Enterprise Fund consistent with governmental accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The Transit System Fund follows the Uniform System of Accounts and Records prescribed by the Federal Transportation Administration and the California State Controller.

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

Measurement focus is a term used to describe "which" transactions are recorded within the various financial statements. Basis of accounting refers to "when" transactions are recorded, regardless of the measurement focus applied. The accompanying financial statements are reported using the "economic resources measurement focus", and the "accrual basis of accounting." Revenues are recorded when earned, and expenses are recorded when a liability is incurred, regardless of the timing of related cash flows.

The City has adopted the provisions of GASB Statement No. 34 (Statement 34) of the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, "Basic Financial Statements – and Management's Discussion and Analysis - For State and Local Governments" as amended by GASB Nos. 63 and 65. Statement No. 34 established standards for external financial reporting for all state and local governmental entities which includes a statement of net position, a statement of revenues, expenses and changes in net position and a statement of cash flows. It requires the classification of net position into three components – net investment in capital assets, restricted; and unrestricted. These classifications are defined as follows:

- Net investment in capital assets This component of net position consists of capital assets, including restricted capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation.
- Restricted This component of net position consists of external constraints placed on the use of net position imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or constraints imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation.
- Unrestricted This component of net position consists of net position that do not meet the definition of "restricted" or "net investment in capital assets".

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, the Fund uses restricted resources, then any unrestricted resources.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Operating and Nonoperating Revenues and Expenses

Operating revenues in the proprietary funds are those revenues that are generated from the primary operations of the fund. All other revenues are reported as non-operating revenues. Operating expenses are those expenses that are essential to the primary operations of the fund. All other expenses are reported as non-operating expenses.

Cash and Investments

The City pools its available cash for investment purposes. The City's cash and cash equivalents are considered to be cash on hand, demand deposits, and short-term investments with original maturity of three months or less from the date of acquisition. Cash and cash equivalents are combined with investments and displayed as Cash and Investments.

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and for External Investment Pools, highly liquid market investments with maturities of one year or less at time of purchase are stated at amortized cost. All other investments are stated at fair value. Market value is used as fair value for those securities for which market quotations are readily available.

The City participates in an investment pool managed by the State of California, titled Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF), which has invested a portion of the pool funds in Structured Notes and Asset-Backed Securities. LAIF's investments are subject to credit risk with the full faith and credit of the State of California collateralizing these investments. In addition, these Structured Notes and Asset Backed Securities are subject to market risk as to change in interest rates.

Interest income earned on pooled cash and investments is allocated monthly to the various funds based on monthly cash and investment balances. Interest income from cash and investments with fiscal agents is credited directly to the related fund.

Further information regarding the City's cash and investments may be found in the City's CAFR.

Capital Assets

Capital assets are recorded at cost. The provision for depreciation is determined using the straight-line method with no allowance for salvage values.

The estimated useful lives used in computing the provision for depreciation of buses and other vehicles is 5 to 10 years.

Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

Pursuant to GASB Statements No. 63, Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position, and No. 65, Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities, the City recognizes deferred outflows and inflows of resources. A deferred outflow of resources is defined as a consumption of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources is defined as an acquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Revenue Recognition

Under the accrual basis of accounting, revenues are recognized in the period in which they are earned while expenses are recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred.

Operating revenues in the proprietary funds are those revenues that are generated from the primary operations of the fund. All other revenues are reported as non-operating revenues. Operating expenses are those expenses that are essential to the primary operations of the fund. All other expenses are reported as nonoperating expenses.

NOTE 2 CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital assets at June 30, 2016 consisted of the following:

Vehicles	\$ 3,495,842
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,937,681)
Capital assets, net	\$ 1,558,161

The carrying amounts of assets are reviewed at each fiscal year-end date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If any such indication exists, the asset's recoverable amount is estimated to determine the amount of impairment loss.

NOTE 3 UNEARNED REVENUES

Unearned revenues as of June 30, 2016 consisted of the following:

Proposition 1B Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement and Service Enhancement (PTMISEA):

- FY 2010-11 Allocation	\$ 11,083
Interest allocable to Proposition 1B Funds	14,352
Total Proposition 1B Funds	 25,435
California Governor's Office of Emergency Services:	 _
- FY 2013-14 Allocation	9,135
- FY 2014-15 Allocation	 9,135
Total allocable to California Governor's Office of	 _
Emergency Services	 18,270
Low Carbon Transit Operations Program FY 2015-16	 _
Allocation	25,132
Total Unearned Revenue	\$ 68,837

Restricted funding received is recorded as unearned revenues until the funds are spent. Accordingly, amounts are recorded as capital contributions or revenues as they are utilized for the purpose granted.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

NOTE 4 PENSION PLAN

The Transit System Fund, as part of the City of Redondo Beach, contributes to the California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS), an agent multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans. CalPERS provide service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of credited service, equal to one year of full time employment. Members with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after 5 years of service. The death benefit is one of the following: the Basic Death Benefit, the 1957 Survivor Benefit, or the Optional Settlement 2W Death Benefit. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

The Plans operate under the provisions of the California Public Employees' Retirement Law (PERL), the California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013 (PEPRA), and the regulations, procedures and policies adopted by the CalPERS Board of Administration. The Plan's authority to establish and amend the benefit terms are set by PERL and PEPRA, and may be amended by the California state legislature and in some cases require approval by the CalPERS Board.

As a result of implementing GASB Statement No. 68, the Fund reported net pension liability of \$572,282 as of June 30, 2016.

Further information concerning the City's pension plans, and corresponding assumptions, assets and liabilities can be found in the City's CAFR.

NOTE 5 OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (OPEB)

Plan Descriptions and Eligibility. In addition to the pension benefits described above, the City provides certain health insurance benefits, in accordance with memorandums of understanding, to retired employees through the California Employers' Retiree Benefit Trust (CERBT) Fund, which is an agent multiple employer plan administered by CalPERS. The City provides medical insurance for all employees who retire with a minimum of 20 years of full-time public agency service. The City shall pay the single retiree medical premium rate, for qualified retirees, for a medical insurance plan in which the retiree is enrolled from among those medical plans provided by the City. These contributions of the City for such medical premiums shall cease on the date the retiree becomes eligible to enroll in the Federal Medicare program and/or any Medicare supplemental plans. At June 30, 2016, approximately 194 City employees are eligible to receive post-employment benefits.

Funding Policy. The required contribution of the City is based on a percentage of PERSable payroll. For fiscal year 2016, the City contributed \$1,339,268 to the plan.

Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation. The City's annual Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) cost (expense) is calculated based on the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) of the Employer, an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of GASB Statement 45. The ARC

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal cost each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities (or funding excesses) over a period not to exceed 30 years.

No separate post-employment benefit obligation is calculated for the Transit System Fund; accordingly, no obligation is presented herein. Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for more information on the City's OPEB obligation.

NOTE 6 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements present only the Transit System Fund and do not, purport to, and do not, present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its financial position and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 7 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 30, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting And on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Redondo Beach, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Transit System Fund (the Fund) of the City of Redondo Beach, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Fund's financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the Fund's financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify any deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Transit System Fund financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.


Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 30, 2016





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Redondo Beach, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Redondo Beach, California (the City) with the Transportation Development Act, Proposition A 40% Discretionary and Proposition C 40% Discretionary program Memorandum of Understandings (MOU) and guidelines, and Proposition 1B Guidelines (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Transit System Fund occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Redondo Beach complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2016.





Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 30, 2016

Simpson & Simpson

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2016

No findings were noted.



TRANSIT SYSTEM FUND

EXHIBIT I

50% EXPENDITURE LIMITATION TEST AND MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT TEST

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

50% EXPENDITURE LIMITATION TEST **

1	Total operating cost before depreciation	\$	2,818,617
2	Total depreciation		76,667
3	Total capital requirements		-
4	Total debt service requirements		
5	Total (Lines 1,2,3, & 4)		2,895,284
6	Less: Federal Grants Expended	•	-
7	Less: State Transit Assistance Fund (STAF) Received		76,028
8	Total (Lines 6 & 7)		76,028
9	Net (Line 5 less Line 8)	\$	2,819,256
	Total permissible Local Transportation Fund under	;	
10	Article 4 (50% of Line 9)	\$	1,409,628
11	TDA Article 4 Allocation	\$	688,291
12	Excess TDA Article 4 Allocation	\$	\$ -

Amount includes only expenses from the Fixed Route
** operations and excludes the DAR service operations

The Article 4 allocation did not exceed the 50% expenditure limitation

MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT TEST

Total operating budget	\$ 2,895,284
Total local return funds received	\$ 595,082
5% of total operating budget	\$ 144,764
25% of total local return funds received	\$ 148,771

According to the discretionary guidelines, a local contribution of 5% of the fiscal year's operating budget, or 25% of the fiscal year's local return funds received by the City, whichever is less, is required in meeting the maintenance of effort.

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City made a local contribution of \$595,082 from its Proposition A Local Return Fund to the Transit Fund. Since the amount of the local contribution exceeded the minimum requirement of \$144,764, the City is in compliance with the maintenance of effort test.

TRANSIT SYSTEM FUND

EXHIBIT II PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY ELIGIBILITY TEST

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY ELIGIBILITY TEST

1	TDA Article 4 funds	\$ 688,291
2	State Transportation Assistance Funds	76,028
3	Fare Box Revenue and others	366,314
4	Local subsidies	595,082
5	Total operating revenues (Lines 1, 2, 3 & 4)	1,725,715
6	Less: Operating costs, before depreciation	\$ 3,459,928
7	Eligible transit operator subsidy (Line 5 less line 6)	\$ (1,734,213)
8	Proposition A Discretionary allocation	\$ 456,288
9	Excess Proposition A Discretionary	\$ (1,277,925)

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSIT SYSTEM FUND

EXHIBIT III SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Description	 Balance 7/1/2015	_	Additions	 Deletions		Balance 6/30/2016
Vehicles	\$ 3,495,842	\$_	-	\$ -	\$	3,495,842
Total capital assets	\$ 3,495,842	\$	_	\$ _	\$	3,495,842
Less accumulated depreciation					-	(76,668)
Capital assets, net					\$	3,419,174