### CITY OF SAN GABRIEL ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

TYN ANGY AY GROWNON	PAGE
FINANCIAL SECTION Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	4
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	5
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	12
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	13
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	16 17
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	18
Notes to Financial Statements	19
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	24
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	26 28
Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	31
EXIT CONFERENCE	32





### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Gabriel, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of San Gabriel, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2016 and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of San Gabriel, California, as of June 30, 2016 and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Other Matter

The financial statements of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated December 9, 2015, expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of San Gabriel, California, as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of San Gabriel, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.



### Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated September 23, 2016, on our consideration of the City of San Gabriel's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California September 23, 2016

Simpson & Simpson

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 850,693	\$ 206,829
Total assets	\$ 850,693	\$ 206,829
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 10,490	\$ 34,331
Total liabilities	10,490	34,331
Fund Balance		
Restricted	840,203	172,498
Total fund balance	840,203	172,498
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 850,693	\$ 206,829

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 727,660	\$ 710,117
Interest income	4,141	5,663
Parking rental income (Note 7)	6,000	6,000
Total revenues	737,801	721,780
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	70,096	1,368,094
Total expenditures	70,096	1,368,094
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	667,705	(646,314)
Fund balance at beginning of year	172,498	818,812
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 840,203	\$ 172,498

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

			2016						
							Variance		
Project			Metro				Favorable	2015	
Code	Project Name		Budget	_	Actual	(	Unfavorable)	Actual	
140-02	Recreational Transit	\$	135,000	\$	49,834	\$	85,166 \$	24,277	
160-14	Bus Shelters		57,605		6,912		50,693	109,574	
270-09	SGVCOG Transit		7,000		-		7,000	-	
270-10	Transportation Planning Services		25,000		6,000		19,000	8,250	
405-04	Fund Exchange		-		-		-	1,200,000	
480-05	Direct Administratiom		10,000		7,350		2,650	25,993	
	Total expenditures	\$_	234,605	\$	70,096	\$	164,509 \$	1,368,094	

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2015	 Additions		Deletions	 6/30/2016
1980	Park and Ride Lot	\$	890,668	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 890,668
1984	Park and Ride Lot		1,171,269	-		-	1,171,269
1985	Storage Building		10,200	-		-	10,200
1988	Improvements		194,700	-		-	194,700
2006	Improvements		5,000	-		-	5,000
2012	Improvements		3,387	-		-	3,387
2013	Improvements		6,117	-		-	6,117
2014	Improvements		9,212	-		-	9,212
2015	Bus Shelters and Pads		109,574	-		-	109,574
2016	Bus Shelters and Pads	_	-	 6,912	_	-	 6,912
		Total \$	2,400,127	\$ 6,912	\$_	_	\$ 2,407,039

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016	2015
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ _	1,380,528	\$ 1,266,263
Total assets	\$	1,380,528	\$ 1,266,263
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ _	34,926	\$ 24,673
Total liabilities	-	34,926	 24,673
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	1,345,602	 1,241,590
Total fund balance	-	1,345,602	 1,241,590
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,380,528	\$ 1,266,263

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 604,916	\$ 590,497
Interest income	9,880	12,704
Total revenues	614,796	603,201
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	510,784	1,341,662
Total expenditures	510,784	1,341,662
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	104,012	(738,461)
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,241,590	1,980,051
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,345,602	\$ 1,241,590

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

Project		_	Metro		Variance Favorable	2015
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
					- `	
130-01	Senior Dial-A-Ride	\$	400,000 \$	399,640	\$ 360 \$	430,065
250-03	Bus Fare Subsidy		150,000	34,260	115,740	48,676
290-08	Park & Ride Lot Maintenance		175,000	29,638	145,362	51,580
380-18	San Gabriel Boulevard Street		765,000	-	765,000	-
	Improvements					
440-01	Bridge Repair		-	-	-	5,000
450-17	Del Mar-Alhambra Wash Bridge		276,387	750	275,637	-
	Rehabililation/Reconstruction					
450-19	Las Tunas Street Improvements		-	-	-	780,349
480-05	Direct Administration	_	50,000	46,496	3,504	25,992
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,816,387 \$	510,784	\$ 1,305,603 \$	1,341,662

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date		Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2015		Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2016
2003 to 2005	Intersection Study Design	\$ 91,104	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 91,104
2004 to 2010	Improvements other than Buildings	912,382		-	-	912,382
2006	Controller Cabinet	12,533		-	-	12,533
2015	Las Tunas San Gabriel Muscatel	 780,349	_	-	 -	780,349
	Total	\$ 1,796,368	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,796,368

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016		2015
ASSETS	_		_	
Cash and investments	\$ _	1,387,462	\$	1,699,146
Total assets	\$ =	1,387,462	\$	1,699,146
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	158,679	\$	320,891
Total liabilities	_	158,679	-	320,891
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,228,783	_	1,378,255
Total fund balance	_	1,228,783	-	1,378,255
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$_	1,387,462	\$	1,699,146

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 452,955	\$ 441,868
Interest income	11,015	12,393
Total revenues	463,970	454,261
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	613,442	785,921
Total expenditures	613,442	785,921
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	(149,472)	(331,660)
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,378,255	1,709,915
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,228,783	\$ 1,378,255

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget		Actual	(	Variance Favorable Unfavorable)	2015 Actual
1.10	San Gabriel and Mission Intersection Improvements	\$	161,320	\$	42,343	\$	118,977 \$	2
1.10	Las Tunas Drive Rehabilitation		519,145		363,463		155,682	785,919
1.10	Great Streets (Del Mar Avenue) Road Improvement Program		3,800,000		207,636		3,592,364	-
1.90	Great Streets Road Improvement Program Loan Repayments		375,000		-		375,000	-
5.15	Alameda Corridor East Railroad Trench	_	250,158		-		250,158	_
	Total expenditures	\$	5,105,623	\$_	613,442	\$_	4,492,181 \$	785,921

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description	_	7/1/2015	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2016
6/30/2013 6/30/2013	I-10 Gateway Monument Sign San Gabriel and Mission Intersection Improvements	\$	33,882 77,303	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 33,882 77,303
6/30/2014	San Gabriel and Mission Intersection		50,974	_	-	50,974
6/30/2014	Las Tunas San Gabriel Muscatel		42,135	-	-	42,135
6/30/2015	Las Tunas San Gabriel Muscatel		785,919	-	-	785,919
6/30/2016	Las Tunas San Gabriel Muscatel		-	363,463	-	363,463
6/30/2016	San Gabriel/Mission Intersection		-	42,343	-	42,343
	Improvement					
6/30/2016	Del Mar-Valley to I-10		-	5,210	-	5,210
6/30/2016	Del Mar-Wells to Valley		-	9,526	-	9,526
6/30/2016	Del Mar-Wells to Mission	_	-	 192,900	 -	 192,900
	Total	\$_	990,213	\$ 613,442	\$ -	\$ 1,603,655

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 	\$ 1
Total assets	\$ 	\$ 1
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Due to General Fund	\$ 	\$ -
Total liabilities	_	
Fund Balance		
Restricted		1
Total fund balance		1
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 	\$ 1

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2016	2015
REVENUES	•		
Payment from previous years reserve	\$	29,740	\$ 5,100
Total revenues		29,740	5,100
EXPENDITURES			
Sidewalk and ADA Ramps		29,741	2,100
Total expenditures		29,741	2,100
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures		(1)	3,000
Fund balance at beginning of year		1	(2,999)
Fund balance at end of year	\$	_	\$ 1

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

Project Description	Program Year	 Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
<b>Local allocations</b> Sidewalk and ADA Ramps	2015-16	\$ 29,740	\$ 29,741	\$	(1)	Complete
Total		\$ 29,740	\$ 29,741		(1)	
Fund balance at beginning of year				_	1	
Fund balance at end of year				\$		

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by
providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### **NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

#### **NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

### NOTE 7 - PARKING RENTAL INCOME - PALRF

The City entered an agreement with TSCP Investment, LLC to utilize the parking lot for restaurant parking that operates during non-transit usage hours in accordance with PALRF regulations. For the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City received \$6,000 of parking rental income.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

### NOTE 8 – INSTALLMENT SALE AGREEMENT

On May 1, 2015, the City entered into an installment sale agreement (loan) with the California Infrastructure and Economic Development Bank (IBank) to finance the accelerated great streets program, which will upgrade, reconstruct, and rehabilitate approximately 1.6 lane miles of public streets within the City. IBank has made available a credit facility in an amount up to \$3,800,000 for which the City will submit reimbursement requests upon incurring project costs. Interest accrues on the entire principal balance, whether or not disbursed, at an interest rate of 3.5% per annum.

Principal and interest payments are due on each August 1 and February 1, commencing on August 1, 2016 and maturing on August 1, 2029. In addition, on August 1 of each year, the City must pay an annual fee of 0.3% on the outstanding principal component of the remaining principal balance.

The use of Measure R Revenues to pay debt service and annual fee on the loan to finance the costs of the project was originally approved by LACMTA on July 31, 2014 for fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 and on July 31, 2015 for fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

#### NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015
FY 2010-11 allocation	\$ -	\$ 3,383
FY 2011-12 allocation	19,003	1,717
FY 2012-13 allocation	10,737	-
Total	\$ 29,740	\$ 5,100

### NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2016	2015
FY 2011-12 allocation	-	\$ 19,003
FY 2012-13 allocation	19,856	30,593
FY 2013-14 allocation	32,544	32,544
FY 2014-15 allocation	26,508	26,508
FY 2015-16 allocation	25,696	-
Available reserve balance	104,604	\$ 108,648

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

# **NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2016 through September 23, 2016, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



# Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Gabriel, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of San Gabriel, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 23, 2016.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

limpson & Simpson

September 23, 2016





### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Gabriel, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of San Gabriel, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of San Gabriel complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the year ended June 30, 2016.





### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of San Gabriel is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California September 23, 2016

Simpson & Simpson

# COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Compliance Requirement		mpliance Requirement Compliance Questione						
	r r r r	Yes	No	Costs	management response.				
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds									
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None					
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None					
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None					
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None					
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None					
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None					

# COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016

(Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	• •		No	Costs	management response.
<b>B.</b> M	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None	

# COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		_	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		Costs	management response.
C. T	ransportation Development Act	Article	3 Fund		
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None	

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2016

No findings were noted.



# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2016

An exit conference was held on December 21, 2016 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Tess Anson, Auditor

### City's Representative:

Linda Tang, Financial Services Manager

### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Linda Tang, Financial Services Manager