#### CITY OF SAN MARINO ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

## CITY OF SAN MARINO TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
FINANCIAL SECTION Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	4
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	5
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12 13
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	18
Notes to Financial Statements	19
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	24
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	26 28 31

EXIT CONFERENCE		

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

> FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

**Independent Auditor's Report** 

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Marino, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of San Marino, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





## **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of San Marino, California, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## **Other Matter**

The financial statements of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, before the financial statements of Proposition A, Proposition C and Measure R Local Return Funds were restated for the matter discussed in Note 7, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated December 18, 2015, expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements.

## **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of San Marino, California, as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

## Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of San Marino, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.



## Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 10, 2016, on our consideration of the City of San Marino's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 10, 2016

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2016	2015 as restated
ASSETS		as restated
Cash and investments	\$ 429,065	\$ 418,283
Metro receivable	38,069	37,846
Interest receivable	755	311
Total assets	\$ 467,889	\$ 456,440
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 21,866	\$ 26,683
Total liabilities	21,866	26,683
Fund Balance		
Restricted	446,023	429,757
Total fund balance	446,023	429,757
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 467,889	\$ 456,440

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	-	2016		2015 as restated
REVENUES				as restated
Proposition A	\$	241,032	\$	272,105
Interest income	_	1,707		1,127
Total revenues	-	242,739	•	273,232
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	226,473		214,560
Total expenditures	-	226,473		214,560
Excess of revenues over expenditures		16,266		58,672
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	429,757		371,085
Fund balance at end of year	\$	446,023	\$	429,757

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

		_					
						Variance	
Project			Metro		I	Favorable	2015
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	(U	nfavorable)	Actual
120-01	Dial-A-Ride	\$	12,149	\$ 7,223	\$	4,926 \$	5,714
140-03	<b>Recreational Transit Athletics Trips</b>		110,000	110,000		-	110,000
140-04	Recreational Transit Merchant Events		3,000	2,891		109	2,300
140-06	Recreational Trips		110,000	106,359		3,641	96,546
160-02	Bus Benches	_	75,000	 -		75,000	-
	Total expenditures	\$	310,149	\$ 226,473	\$	83,676 \$	214,560

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

## June 30, 2016

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2015	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2016
2006	Recreational Van (Ford E-350)	\$	24,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,236
		Total \$	24,236	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 24,236

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2016	2015 as restated
ASSETS		as restated
Cash and investments	\$ 167,769	\$ 34,666
Metro receivable	31,577	31,392
Interest receivable	206	24
Total assets	\$ 199,552	\$ 66,082
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ -
Total liabilities	-	-
Fund Balance		
Restricted	199,552	66,082
Total fund balance	199,552	66,082
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 199,552	\$ 66,082

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2016	•	2015
REVENUES				as restated
Proposition C	\$	200,062	\$	225,938
Interest income	-	440	•	35
Total revenues		200,502	•	225,973
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects		67,032		373,499
Total expenditures		67,032		373,499
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		133,470		(147,526)
Fund balance at beginning of year		66,082		213,608
Fund balance at end of year	\$	199,552	\$	66,082

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2015 Actual
450-01	Huntington Drive Street Light Improvement - Granada Avenue to South Albanks Road	\$ 210,000 \$	67,032	\$ 142,968 \$	-
450-02	Street Overlay and Median Irrigation Replacement - Sierra Madre Boulevard	835,000	-	835,000	-
450-03	Huntington Drive Street Rehabilitation from San Marino Avenue to San Gabriel Boulevard	-	-	-	200,561
450-04	Huntington Drive Rehabilitation from San Gabriel Boulevard to Winston Boulevard	-	-	-	172,938
	Total expenditures	\$ <u>1,045,000</u> \$	67,032	\$ 977,968 \$	373,499

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

## June 30, 2016

Date Acquired	Description	Balance 7/1/2015	Additions		Deletions	Balance 6/30/2016
2006	Huntington Drive Improvements	\$ 26,438	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 26,438
2007	AC Pavements	118,789	-		-	118,789
2009	Sierra Madre and Garfield Improvements	472,743	-		-	472,743
2010	Garfield Avenue Improvements	8,510	-		-	8,510
2010	Sierra Madre Blvd St. Rehabilitation/Irrigation	 464,234	 -	_	-	 464,234
	Total	\$ 1,090,714	\$ -	\$_	_	\$ 1,090,714

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2016	2015		
				as restated	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	264,389	\$	113,987	
Metro receivable		23,685		23,616	
Interest receivable	_	367	-	81	
Total assets	\$ _	288,441	\$	137,684	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	-	
Total liabilities		-	-	-	
Fund Balance					
Restricted	_	288,441	-	137,684	
Total fund balance		288,441	-	137,684	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	288,441	\$	137,684	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	-	2015 as restated
REVENUES			as restated
Measure R	\$ 149,967	\$	169,383
Interest income	790	-	199
Total revenues	150,757	-	169,582
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects		-	296,839
Total expenditures		-	296,839
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	150,757		(127,257)
Fund balance at beginning of year	137,684		264,941
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 288,441	\$	137,684

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

				2016			
Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	 Actual	<u>(</u>	Variance Favorable Jnfavorable)	2015 Actual
1.05	Street Repairs and Maintenance - 1 Part Time Street Maintenance Personnel	\$	17,215	\$ -	\$	17,215 \$	12,414
1.05	Street Repairs and Maintenance - Huntington Drive Del Mar to Winston Westbound		244,237	-		244,237	244,000
1.05	Huntington Drive Pavement Rehabilitation Westbound from Winston		260,000	-		260,000	-
2.03	Northwest Traffic Calming and Safety Control Measures	_	34,289	 -		34,289	40,425
	Total expenditures	\$	555,741	\$ -	\$	555,741 \$	296,839

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date					Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2015	 Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2016
	None			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
			Total	\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2016	2015
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 7	\$ 3,313
Interest receivable	5	2
Total assets	\$ 12	\$ 3,315
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Due to other funds	\$ -	\$ 
Total liabilities	-	
Fund Balance		
Restricted	12	3,315
Total fund balance	12	3,315
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 12	\$ 3,315

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Payment from previous years reserve	\$ -	\$ 4,921
Interest Income	12	33
Total revenues	12	4,954
EXPENDITURES		
Install Bike Lanes		34,581
Total expenditures		34,581
OTHER FINANCING USES		
Funds returned to LACMTA	(3,315)	
Total other financing uses	(3,315)	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	(3,303)	(29,627)
Fund balance at beginning of year	3,315	32,942
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 12	\$ 3,315

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations						
None	\$	<u> </u>	\$ -	\$	-	
Total	\$	S <u> </u>	\$ -	=	-	
Interest income					12	
Fund balance at beginning of year				_	-	
Fund balance at end of year				\$	12 *	

\* Represents interest income for fiscal year ended June 30, 2016 to be returned to LACMTA

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

## NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on a spending or "current financial resources" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

## **NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES** (Continued)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

#### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

## NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

## NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

## NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

## NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

## NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

# NOTE 7 – RESTATEMENT OF PALRF'S, PCLRF'S, AND MRLRF'S 2015 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## PALRF

The 2015 financial statements of PALRF were restated to report PALRF revenue related to fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 that was received in fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

	Metro		Fund
	Receivable	Revenues	Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ -	\$ 234,259	\$ 391,911
Adjustment	37,846	37,846	37,846
Balance, as restated	\$ 37,846	\$ 272,105	\$ 429,757

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

## NOTE 7 - PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENT - PALRF, PCLRF, AND MRLRF (Continued)

### PCLRF

The 2015 financial statements of PCLRF were restated to report PCLRF revenue related to fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 that was received in fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

	Metro		Fund
	Receivable	Revenues	Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ -	\$ 194,546	\$ 34,690
Adjustment	31,392	31,392	31,392
Balance, as restated	\$ 31,392	\$ 225,938	\$ 66,082

#### MRLRF

The 2015 financial statements of MRLRF were restated to report MRLRF revenue related to fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 that was received in fiscal year ended June 30, 2016.

	Metro		Fund
	Receivable	Revenues	Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ -	\$ 145,767	\$ 114,068
Adjustment	23,616	23,616	23,616
Balance, as restated	\$ 23,616	\$ 169,383	\$ 137,684

## NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ -	\$ 4,921
Total	\$ -	\$ 4,921

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

#### NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2016	2015
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ 3,835	\$ 3,835
FY 2015-16 allocation	8,515	-
Total	\$ 12,350	\$ 3,835

#### **NOTE 10 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2016 through October 10, 2016, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

EOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Marino, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of San Marino, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 10, 2016.

## **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

impor é Simpor

Los Angeles, California October 10, 2016

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Marino, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of San Marino, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

#### **Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3**

In our opinion, the City of San Marino complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the year ended June 30, 2016.





#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of San Marino is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California October 10, 2016

## COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2016

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C	Local R	leturn F	Funds	
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	Х		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	Х		None	
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	Х		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	Х		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	Х		None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	Х		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	Х		None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	Х		None	
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	Х		None	

## CITY OF SAN MARINO COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

	<b>Compliance Requirement</b>	nnlignce Requirement Compliance   -		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
			No	Costs	management response.	
B. M	leasure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None		
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	N/A		None		
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	N/A		None		
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	N/A		None		
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	N/A		None		
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None		
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None		
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None		
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None		
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None		
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None		

N/A - Not applicable as the City did not expend funds

## CITY OF SAN MARINO COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	N/A		None	

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2016

No findings were noted.

**EXIT CONFERENCE** 

## PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2016

An exit conference was held on December 7, 2016 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Tess Anson, Auditor

*City's Representative:* Jim Raia, Accountant Ken Pun, Deputy Finance Director

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Jim Raia, Accountant Ken Pun, Deputy Finance Director