CITY OF TEMPLE CITY ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 AND 2015





TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	16 17 18
Notes to Financial Statements	19
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	23
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	25 27 30
EXIT CONFERENCE	32





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Temple City, California (City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Temple City, California, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in their financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matter

The financial statements of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, before the financial statements of Proposition A Local Return Fund were restated for the matter discussed in Note 8, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated December 8, 2015, expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Temple City, California, as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Temple City, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplementary information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated December 6, 2016, on our consideration of the City of Temple City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpon & Simpon

December 6, 2016

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016	_	2015		
A GODDING				as restated		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$ _	850,260	\$	860,359		
Total assets	\$ =	850,260	\$	860,359		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$ _	54,056	\$	105,378		
Total liabilities	_	54,056	-	105,378		
Fund Balance						
Restricted	_	796,204	-	754,981		
Total fund balance	_	796,204	_	754,981		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	850,260	\$	860,359		

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	_	2016	_	2015
	_	_	-	as restated
REVENUES				
Proposition A	\$	652,228	\$	635,821
Project generated revenue (Note 7)		97,366		123,290
Interest income	_	435	-	1,047
Total revenues	_	750,029	-	760,158
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	708,806		728,783
Total expenditures	_	708,806	-	728,783
Excess of revenues over expenditures		41,223		31,375
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	754,981	-	723,606
Fund balance at end of year	\$	796,204	\$	754,981

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

					Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2015
Code	Project Name	Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
						as restated
130-01	Special Service Paratransit	\$	441,020 \$	429,072	\$ 11,948 \$	413,602
140-02	Recreational Transit		65,000	54,230	10,770	41,134
170-04	Bus Shelter Maintenance		10,600	7,752	2,848	7,752
250-03	User-Side Subsidy		158,000	136,808	21,192	153,874
270-02	San Gabriel Valley Council of Governments Membership		9,500	9,938	(438)	9,500
480-05	Direct Administration		77,765	71,006	6,759	102,921
	Total expenditures	\$_	761,885 \$	708,806	\$ 53,079 \$	728,783

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2015	_	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2016
08/05/08	Ford E350 Van	\$	25,799	\$	-	\$ 25,799	\$ -
		Total \$	25,799	\$	-	\$ 25,799	\$ -

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016		2015		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	459,414	\$	101,305		
Total assets	\$ =	459,414	\$	101,305		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	-		
Total liabilities	-					
Fund Balance						
Restricted	_	459,414		101,305		
Total fund balance	-	459,414	•	101,305		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	459,414	\$	101,305		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 539,575	\$ 526,265
Interest income	152	41
Total revenues	539,727	526,306
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	181,618	88,604
Total expenditures	181,618	88,604
Excess of revenues over expenditures	358,109	437,702
Fund balance at beginning of year	101,305	(336,397)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 459,414	\$ 101,305

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

2016									
							Variance		
Project			Metro				Favorable		2015
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	_ ((Unfavorable)	_	Actual
270-01 440-01	Las Tunas Drive Improvement Project Temple City Boulevard Upgrades and	\$	84,000 108,100	\$	83,518 98,100	\$	482 10,000	\$	88,604
	Safety Improvements	_						_	
	Total expenditures	\$	192,100	\$_	181,618	\$	10,482	\$_	88,604

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date				Balance					Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/2015		Additions		Deletions	6/30/2016
	None		\$		_\$_	-	_\$_	-	\$ -
			Total \$	_	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2016	2015
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ _	1,325,736	\$ 917,812
Total assets	\$ _	1,325,736	\$ 917,812
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$
Total liabilities	_		
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	1,325,736	917,812
Total fund balance	_	1,325,736	917,812
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,325,736	\$ 917,812

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	2015
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 406,000	\$ 395,637
Interest income	1,924	914
Total revenues	407,924	396,551
EXPENDITURES		
None		
Total expenditures		
Excess of revenues over expenditures	407,924	396,551
Fund balance at beginning of year	917,812	521,261
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,325,736	\$ 917,812

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015)

		_			2016										
Project			Metro				ariance avorable	2015							
Code													favorable)	Actual	
	None	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	-							
	Total expenditures	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	-							

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2016

Date				Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2015	 Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2016
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
			Total	\$ _	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2016		2015
ASSETS			
Due from LACMTA	\$ -	\$_	705
Total assets	\$ 	\$ =	705
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Cash overdraft	\$ -	\$_	705
Total liabilities			705
Fund Balance			
Unassigned	-		
Total fund balance	-		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	\$	705

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2016	_	2015
REVENUES		•	_
Intergovernment allocations: Article 3	\$ 	\$	94,847
Total revenues			94,847
EXPENDITURES			
Construction/Maintenance			6,165
Total expenditures			6,165
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-		88,682
Fund balance at beginning of year		•	(88,682)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2016

Project Description		Program Year	 Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations							
None		2015-16	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-	
	Total		\$ -	\$ -	=	-	
Fund balance at beginning	g of the year	r				-	
Fund balance at beginning	g of year				\$		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by
providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling
legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenue for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015
Bus Pass Sales	\$ 97,366	\$ 123,290
Total	\$ 97,366	\$ 123,290

NOTE 8 – RESTATEMENT OF PALRF'S 2015 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2015 financial statements of PALRF were restated to report certain expenditures for the City's Project Code 480-05, Direct Administration, related to prior fiscal years that were not previously recorded.

	Accounts		Fund
	Payable	Expenditures	Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ 68,832	\$ 692,237	\$ 791,527
Adjustment	36,546	36,546	(36,546)
Balance, as restated	\$ 105,378	\$ 728,783	\$ 754,981

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 (Continued)

NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016		2015
FY 2010-11 allocation	\$ -	\$	14,394
FY 2011-12 allocation	-		17,306
FY 2012-13 allocation	-		25,547
FY 2013-14 allocation	-		29,140
FY 2014-15 allocation	-		8,463
FY 2015-16 allocation	-		-
Total	\$ -	\$	94,847
		i	

NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2016	2015
FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ 15,273	\$ 15,273
FY 2015-16 allocation	23,034	_
Available reserve balance	\$ 38,307	\$ 15,273

NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2016 through December 6, 2016, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Temple City, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2016.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California December 6, 2016

Simpson & Simpson





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Temple City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Temple City, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of Temple City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) for the year ended June 30, 2016.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding Nos. 2016-001 and 2016-002. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Temple City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirement of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

December 6, 2016

COMPLIANCE MATRIX
Vear Ended June 30, 2016

Year Ended Ju	ine 30, 2016	

	Compliance Requirement											
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.							
A. P	A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds											
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None								
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None								
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None								
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None								
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None								
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None								
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None								
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.		X	None	See Finding No. 2016-001 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.							
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None								
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.		X	None	See Finding No. 2016-002 on the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.							
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None								

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement Con		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	r r r	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	N/A		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	N/A		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	N/A		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	N/A		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None	

 $\ensuremath{N/A}$ - Not applicable as the City did not expend funds

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2016 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement			n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
C. T	ransportation Development Act	Article	3 Fund		
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and	N/A		None	

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2016

PALRF: Finding No. 2016-001

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A & C Guidelines, Section II, 1.3, Recreational Transit Service: "Jurisdictions shall submit a Listing of Recreational Transit Services no later than October 15the after the fiscal year."
Condition	The City did not meet the October 15, 2016 deadline for submission of the Listing of Recreational Transit Services. However, the City submitted the listing on November 4, 2016.
Cause	The City employee who is responsible for the submission of the form missed the deadline set by LACMTA.
Effect	The City's Listing of Recreational Transit Services was not submitted timely.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the Recreational Transit Services listing is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of October 15 th so that the City's expenditures of the Proposition A Local Return Fund will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the guidelines. Furthermore, we recommend that the City retain a confirmation of receipt by LACMTA to indicate the form was submitted on a timely manner.
Management's Response	The staff will ensure the timely submission of the Listing of Recreational Transit Services in the future and follow-up with LACMTA for confirmation.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2016 (Continued)

PALRF: Finding No. 2016-002

Compliance Reference	According to Proposition A Local Return Guidelines, Section I (C), "It is the jurisdictions' responsibility to maintain proper accounting records and documentation to facilitate the performance of the audit prescribed in these guidelines."
Condition	The City had a debit balance on its employee benefits payable that relates to prior years' administration costs and was not adjusted to properly account for them. The debit balance was created due to the change of the payroll system in fiscal year 2012-13. This unadjusted balance resulted to a total of \$36,546 at June 30, 2016.
Cause	The City did not make a timely adjustment to correct the debit balance of the liability for prior fiscal years after terminating outside payroll services during the conversion of the payroll system.
Effect	PALRF financials do not reflect the proper financial condition of the local return fund and may lead to weak internal accounting controls.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that the financial records reflect the true and accurate condition of the local return funds in order to provide a more meaningful presentation to the users in compliance with the guidelines.
Management's Response	The amount of \$36,546 is a result of the conversion from an outside payroll service to an in-house payroll process which occurred in fiscal year 2012-13. The City made changes to the Accounts Payable process so that the liabilities are paid out of the corresponding fund and clears out the proper liability amount on a monthly basis. The City has made the necessary adjustments to reconcile the debit balance related to prior years.



PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2016

An exit conference was held on December 21, 2016 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Yung Dang, Semi-Senior Auditor

City's Representative:

Lee Ma, Senior Accountant

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed two (2) non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Lee Ma, Senior Accountant