

City of Bell Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	16 17 18
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	19
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	24
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	26 28
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	30
EXIT CONFERENCE	31

FINANCIAL SECTION

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Bell, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Bell, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Bell, California, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matter

As discussed in Note 9, the fiscal year 2015 financial statements of Proposition A Local Return Fund and Proposition C Local Return Fund have been restated to correct a misstatement. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, before the Proposition A Local Return Fund and Proposition C Local Return Fund financial statements were restated for the matter discussed in Note 9, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated November 5, 2015, expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 22, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Varques & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 22, 2016

		June 30			
				2015	
		2016	(as restated)	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	164,837	\$	2,069	
Accounts receivable		215		-	
Interest receivable		21		-	
	Total assets \$	165,073	\$	2,069	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BAL	ANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	75,934	\$	143,671	
Due to General Fund		-		28,735	
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		422		154	
1	Fotal liabilities	76,356		172,560	
		· · ·			
Fund balance (deficit)					
Restricted		88,717		(170,491)	
Total fund ba	lance (deficit)	88,717		(170,491)	
Total liabilities and fund ba	· · · —	165,073	\$	2,069	
			_	<u>,</u>	

	Years ended June 30			
		2015		
_	2016	(as restated)		
Revenues				
Proposition A \$	649,304 \$	632,833		
Interest income	49	54		
Buss pass sales	35,134	36,778		
Total revenues	684,487	669,665		
Expenditures Various projects	429,824	901,476		
Total expenditures	429,824	901,476		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	254,663	(231,811)		
Other financing sources				
Transfers-in	4,545	-		
Total other financing sources	4,545	-		
Net change in fund balance	259,208	(231,811)		
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of year, as restated	(170,491)	61,320		
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year \$	<u>88,717</u> \$	(170,491)		

City of Bell Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actuals for 2015)

				Variance	
Project		LACMTA		Positive	2015
Code	Project Name	 Budget	Actual	(Negative)	Actual
110-01	Bell Fixed Route Transite Services	\$ - \$	- \$	- \$	135,127
110-02	Bus Rental	-	-	-	2,345
120-01	Dial-A-Ride	220,000	201,606	18,394	309,636
140-04	Recreational Transit	15,000	12,391	2,609	22,164
170-02	Bus Shelter Maintenance	87,504	84,324	3,180	177,584
200-01	Fixed Route Bus Replacement	-	-	-	95,952
250-03	Bus Pass Subsidy Program	90,000	84,306	5,694	97,657
270-01	Fixed Route Rest Stop Design Work	-	-	-	2,400
480-03	Administration	48,451	47,197	1,254	57,931
500-01	MTA Audit Consulting	 -	-	-	680
	Total expenditures	\$ 460,955 \$	429,824 \$	31,131 \$	901,476

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2015	Additions	 Deletions	Balance June 30, 2016
2004	Imaginistics Copier	\$	10,534	\$-	\$ - \$	10,534
2010	1 2010 Braun Entervan Bus		30,000	-	-	30,000
2010	2 2010 Goshen Buses		60,000	-	-	60,000
2014	Class E-27 Ford-550 El Dorado		87,103	-	-	87,103
2014	Decals for Ford-550 El Dorado		8,041	-	-	8,041
		Total \$	195,678	\$	\$ - \$	195,678

See report of independent auditors.

			June 30			
					2015	
			2016		(as restated)	
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments	:	\$	1,935,058	\$	1,719,274	
Interest receivable			1,485		685	
	Total assets	\$	1,936,543	\$	1,719,959	
LIABILITIES Liabilities	SAND FUND BALANCE					
Accounts payable		\$	327,195	\$	4,630	
Accounts payable	Total liabilities	Ψ	327,195	Ψ	4,630	
			027,100		1,000	
Fund balance						
Restricted			1,609,348		1,715,329	
	Total fund balance		1,609,348		1,715,329	
1	Fotal liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,936,543	\$	1,719,959	

		 Years ended June 30			
			2015		
		 2016	(as restated)		
Revenues					
Proposition C		\$ 540,993 \$	527,428		
Interest Income		4,900	2,494		
	Total revenues	 545,893	529,922		
Expenditures					
Various projects		651,874	472,800		
	Total expenditures	 651,874	472,800		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over	expenditures	(105,981)	57,122		
Fund balance at beginning of year, as	s restated	1,715,329	1,658,207		
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 1,609,348 \$	1,715,329		

City of Bell Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actuals for 2015)

			2016		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2015 Actual
120-01	Dial-A-Ride \$	150,000 \$	150,000 \$	- \$	-
170-03	Metro Rapid Shelter	2,000	-	2,000	-
270-03	Local Return Arterial and Transit				
	Component of Major Corridor Study	75,000	50,855	24,145	-
440-02	Florence Avenue A.C. Overlay	-	-	-	34,742
440-04	Gage and Walker Improvement	185,100	73,067	112,033	32,885
440-05	Pavement Rehabilitation Project (2014/15)	-	-	-	405,173
440-06	Pavement Rehabilitation Project (2015/16)	270,000	253,886	16,114	-
440-07	Florence Avenue Overlay	280,000	124,066	155,934	-
	Total expenditures \$	962,100 \$	651,874 \$	310,226 \$	472,800

City of Bell Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2016

Date		I	Balance July 1,			Balance June 30,
Acquired	Description		2015	Additions	Deletions	2016
6/30/2002	Eastern Avenue Overlay	\$	229,629	\$ - 3	\$-\$	229,629
6/30/2002	Florence/Otis	Ψ	37,022	φ -	φ φ -	37,022
6/30/2002	Eastern/ Bandini Cabinet		50,442	-	_	50,442
6/30/2002	Florence/Otis Signal		17,805	-	-	17,805
6/30/2002	Florence/Walker Signal		36,057	-	-	36,057
6/30/2002	Gage/Orchard Signal		17,550	-	-	17,550
6/30/2002	LED Signal-Citywide		93,198	-	-	93,198
6/30/2003	Florence Atlantic AC Overlay		225,222	-	-	225,222
6/30/2003	Atlantic/Bell/Brompton Signals		52,280	-	-	52,280
6/30/2004	Atlantic at Gage Signal Bus Shelter		81,748	-	-	81,748
6/30/2004	Bus Shelter - Installation and Rehabiliation		47,444	-	-	47,444
6/30/2004	Florence/Walker Signal		173,532	-	-	173,532
6/30/2005	Flora at Florence Signal		23,339	-	-	23,339
6/30/2005	Gage at Orchard Signal		20,205	-	-	20,205
6/30/2006	Florence at Vinevale Signal		91,558	-	-	91,558
6/30/2006	Florence at Wilcox Signal		153,687	-	-	153,687
6/30/2008	Atlantic Avenue at Bell Brompton Signal		23,134	-	-	23,134
6/30/2008	Atlantic Avenue at Bell Plaza Signal		38,238	-	-	38,238
6/30/2008	Atlantic Avenue at Shopping Center Signal		50,720	-	-	50,720
6/30/2008	Wilcox at Acacia Signal		135,771	-	-	135,771
6/30/2009	Slauson at 710 Freeway		20,934	-	-	20,934
6/30/2009	Florence at Otis Traffic Signal		98,205	-	-	98,205
6/30/2014	Florence Avenue-Atlantic-Wilcox		369,787	-	-	369,787
5/21/2015	Pavement Rehabiliation Project (2014/15)		405,176	-	-	405,176
6/17/2016	Florence Ave Overlay West of Atlantic		-	111,552	-	111,552
6/30/2016	Florence Ave Overlay West of Atlantic		-	488	-	488
6/30/2016	Florence Ave Overlay West of Atlantic		-	3,018	-	3,018
11/03/2015	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	1,050	-	1,050
12/02/2015	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	459	-	459
12/10/2015	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	3,530	-	3,530
1/07/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	7,040	-	7,040
1/27/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	5,018	-	5,018
3/17/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	451	-	451
4/04/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	4,088	-	4,088
4/06/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	7,934	-	7,934
4/08/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	22,425	-	22,425
5/05/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	474	-	474
5/06/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	3,474	-	3,474
6/03/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	5,300	-	5,300
6/30/2016	Gage/Walker-Concrete Pavement		-	10,920	-	10,920
6/30/2016	PRP-PHASE IV 15-16		-	253,886	-	253,886
	Total	\$	2,492,683	\$ 441,107	\$\$	2,933,790

		June 30			
		2016	2015		
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	818,175 \$	467,688		
Interest receivable		552	205		
	Total assets \$	818,727 \$	467,893		
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	500,000 \$	-		
	Total liabilities	500,000	-		
Fund balance					
Restricted		318,727	467,893		
	Total fund balance	318,727	467,893		
1	Fotal liabilities and fund balance \$ $$	818,727 \$	467,893		
	—				

		Years ended June 30			
		2016	2015		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$	404,179 \$	393,778		
Interest Income		1,652	913		
Total rev	venues	405,831	394,691		
Expenditures					
Various projects		554,997	571,873		
Total expend	ditures	554,997	571,873		
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures		(149,166)	(177,182)		
Other financing sources Transfers-in			27,778		
Total other financing	sources		27,778		
Net change in fund balance		(149,166)	(149,404)		
Fund balance at beginning of year		467,893	617,297		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	318,727 \$	467,893		

City of Bell Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actuals for 2015)

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2015 Actual
1.05	Pavement Rehabilitation Project 2014/15 \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	563,167
1.05	Pavement Rehabilitation Project 2015/16	700,000	500,000	200,000	-
5.05	Bus Stop Stations	55,000	53,702	1,298	-
8.10	Fund Administration (20% cap)	4,400	1,295	3,105	8,706
	Total expenditures \$	759,400 \$	554,997 \$	204,403 \$	571,873

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2015	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2016
5/21/2015 8/30/2015	Pavement Rehabilitation Project\$ Pavement Rehabilitation Project	563,167 \$	- \$ 500,000	- \$	563,167 500,000
	Total \$	563,167 \$	500,000 \$	- \$	1,063,167

		June 3	0
		2016	2015
	ASSETS		
Cash and Investment			
Due from LACMTA	\$ _	8,200 \$	-
	Total assets \$ _	8,200 \$	-
Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	<u>8,200</u> \$ 8,200	-
Fund balance			
Restricted	_		-
	Total fund balance	<u> </u>	-
То	otal liabilities and fund balance \$ _	8,200 \$	-

See notes to Funds financial statements.

	Years end	ed June 30
_	2016	2015
\$	8,200	\$ 27,000
Total revenues	8,200	27,000
 Total expenditures	8,200 8,200	27,000 27,000
	-	-
_	-	
\$		\$
	Total revenues	2016 Total revenues \$ 8,200 Total expenditures 8,200

City of Bell Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2016

				Totals to Date		
Project Description		Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:						
Pavement Rehabilitation Project	Totals	2016	\$ <u>8,200</u> \$ <u>8,200</u>		<u> </u>	Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of year						
Fund balance at end of year				\$		

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015
FY 2011/12 allocation	\$ 7,339	\$ 15,601
FY 2012/13 allocation	 861	11,399
	\$ 8,200	\$ 27,000

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	 2016	 2015
FY 2011/12 allocation	\$ -	\$ 7,339
FY 2012/13 allocation	26,803	27,664
FY 2013/14 reserve	29,003	29,003
FY 2014/15 reserve	23,625	23,625
FY 2015/16 allocation	22,931	-
	\$ 102,362	\$ 87,631

For FY 2015/16, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2011/12 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2016. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2015/16.

NOTE 9 RESTATEMENT OF PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUNDS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2015 financial statements of PALRF and PCLRF were restated to reflect the adjustments on the following accounts:

PALRF			Due from	Total		Fund
	_	Cash	other funds	 liabilities	_	balance
Balance, as previously restated	\$	-	\$ 2,069	\$ 172,591	\$	(170,522)
Adjustment	_	2,069	(2,069)	 (31)	_	31
Balance, as restated	\$	2,069	\$ -	\$ 172,560	\$	(170,491)

NOTE 9 RESTATEMENT OF PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUNDS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)

PCLRF			Fund
	_	Cash	 balance
Balance, as previously restated	\$	1,708,549	\$ 1,704,604
Adjustment	_	10,725	 10,725
Balance, as restated	\$	1,719,274	\$ 1,715,329

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 22, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Bell, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Bell, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

reques & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 22, 2016

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Bell, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Bell, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Bell, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2016.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 22, 2016

Compliance Requirements		Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
		Yes No		Costs	management response.		
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds							
1. Uses the State Controller's							
Uniform System of Accounts							
and Records.	Х						
2. Timely use of funds.	Х						
3. Funds expended were							
approved and have not been	V						
substituted for property tax.	Х						
 Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget 							
have approved amended							
Project Description Form (Form							
A)	Х						
5. Administrative expenses are							
within the 20% cap of the total							
annual Local Return							
Expenditures.	Х						
All on-going and carryover							
projects were reported in Form							
В.	Х						
7. Annual Project Summary							
Report (Form B) was submitted	X						
on time.	Х						
8. Annual Expenditure Report							
(Form C) was submitted on time.	х						
9. Cash or cash equivalents are	^						
maintained.	Х						
10. Accounting procedures, record							
keeping and documentation are							
adequate.	Х						
11. Pavement Management System							
(PMS) in place and being used							
for Street Maintenance or							
Improvement Projects							
Expenditures.	Х						
12. Local Return Account is							
credited for reimbursable			X				
expenditures.			Х				
13. Self-Certification was completed							
and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems							
projects or elements.			x				
14. Assurances and	-						
Understandings form was on							
file.	Х						
15. Recreational Transit Form was	1						
submitted on time.	Х						

	Compliance Requirements		Complia		Questioned	If no, provide details and		
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.		
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund							
	1. Funds were expended for							
	transportation purposes.	Х						
	2. Funds were used to augment,							
	not supplant, existing local							
	revenues being used for							
	transportation purposes unless							
	there is a funding shortfall.	Х						
	3. Signed Assurances and							
	Understandings on file.	Х						
	4. Separate Measure R Local							
	Return Account was							
	established.	Х						
	5. Revenues received including							
	allocations, project generated							
	revenues and interest income							
	was properly credited to the							
	Measure R Local Return							
	Account.	Х						
	6. Funds were expended with							
	LACMTA's approval.	Х						
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)							
	was submitted on time.	Х						
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)							
	was submitted on time.	Х						
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х						
	10. Administrative expenses are							
	within the 20% cap.	Х						
	11. Fund exchanges were approved							
	by LACMTA.			Х				
	12. A separate account was							
	established for Capital reserve							
	funds and Capital reserve was							
	approved by LACMTA.			Х				
	13. Recreational transit form was							
	submitted on time.			Х				
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund							
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х						
	2. Expenditures were incurred for							
	activities relating to pedestrian							
	and bicycle facilities and							
	amenities.	Х						

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 22, 2016 with the City of Bell representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager

City of Bell representative: Hector Vazquez – Accounting Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Bell representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Hector Vazquez – Accounting Manager



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSMTM logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777