

City of Calabasas Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	16 17 18
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	19
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	24
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	26 28
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	30
EXIT CONFERENCE	31

FINANCIAL SECTION

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Calabasas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Calabasas, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Calabasas, California, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matter

As discussed in Note 7 to the financial statements, the fiscal year 2015 financial statements of Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund have been restated to correct certain misstatements. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, before the Funds' financial statements were restated for the matter discussed in Note 7, were audited by other auditors, whose report dated October 12, 2015, expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 6, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 6, 2016

	June 30		
		(as restated)	
	2016	2015	
ASSETS			
Cash and investments \$	421,884	\$ 327,535	
Interest receivable	163	412	
Total assets \$	422,047	\$327,947	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable Total liabilities	<u> </u>	_\$ 	
Fund balance			
Restricted	422,047	327,947	
Total fund balance	422,047	327,947	
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	422,047	\$327,947	

	_	Years ended June 30			
	_	(as restated			
	_	2016	2015		
Revenues					
Proposition A	\$	432,177 \$	420,945		
Interest Income	_	10,991	685		
	Total revenues	443,168	421,630		
Expenditures Various projects	Total annou ditura a	349,068	351,942		
	Total expenditures _	349,068	351,942		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		94,100	69,688		
Fund balance at beginning of year		327,947	258,259		
	-	- ,			
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	422,047 \$	327,947		

City of Calabasas Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actuals for 2015)

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2015 Actual
110-01	Flexible Route Shuttle \$	93,389 \$	106,454 \$	(13,065) \$	104,649
110-02	Old Town Calabasas/Commons Trolley	17,980	15,800	2,180	15,887
110-04	Public Transit Fueling	41,836	32,738	9,098	41,970
110-05	JARC Grant Local Match Funding	64,408	60,974	3,434	64,594
130-01	Dial-A-Ride	37,571	37,837	(266)	36,338
140-01	Summer Beach Bus	11,682	9,275	2,407	13,136
140-03	Youth Excursion	3,972	4,727	(755)	3,147
140-07	Calabasas Pumpkin Festival	1,902	1,690	212	1,902
140-24	Senior Trips	5,887	4,654	1,233	6,991
140-25	Camp Calabasas Program	14,933	17,859	(2,926)	13,396
180-01	Vehicle and Miscellaneous Equipment	23,386	27,134	(3,748)	-
200-03	Transit Stop Schedule Boxes	-	-	-	8,504
200-04	Vehicle Purchase Program	1	-	1	-
280-01	Transit Marketing for Calabasas Trolley	179	202	(23)	131
480-02	Direct Administration	36,579	29,724	6,855	41,297
	Total expenditures \$	353,705 \$	349,068 \$	4,637 \$	351,942

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2015	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2016
	None		\$_ Total \$	\$ \$	6 <u> </u>	\$\$ \$\$	<u> </u>

See report of independent auditors.

		J	June 30			
			(as restated)		
		2016		2015		
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	95,819	\$	23,510		
Interest receivable		26	j	36		
	Total assets \$	95,845	; \$	23,546		
Liabilities Accounts payable	\$ Total liabilities	\$·	\$	-		
Fund balance						
Restricted		95,845	5	23,546		
	Total fund balance	95,845	5	23,546		
•	Total liabilities and fund balance 💲	95,845	5 \$	23,546		

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended June 30			
		(as restated			
		2016	2015		
Revenues					
Proposition C	\$	355,571 \$	346,579		
Interest Income		2,071	364		
	Total revenues	357,642	346,943		
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	285,343 285,343	287,818 287,818		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		72,299	59,125		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	23,546	(35,579)		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>95,845</u> \$	23,546		

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2015 Actual
110-01	Flexible Route Shuttle \$	77,080 \$	86,988 \$	(9,908) \$	85,513
110-02	Old Town Calabasas/Commons Trolley	14,811	12,922	1,889	12,993
110-04	Public Transit Fueling	34,945	26,747	8,198	34,290
110-05	JARC Grant Local Match Funding	53,053	49,977	3,076	52,944
130-01	Dial-A-Ride	32,302	30,865	1,437	29,642
140-01	Summer Beach Bus	9,750	7,595	2,155	10,756
140-03	Youth Excursion	3,283	3,884	(601)	2,586
140-07	Calabasas Pumpkin Festival	1,567	1,392	175	1,567
140-24	Senior Trips	4,868	3,808	1,060	5,720
140-25	Camp Calabasas Program	12,206	14,612	(2,406)	10,960
180-01	Vehicle and Miscelaneous Equipment	19,050	22,103	(3,053)	-
200-03	Transit Stop Schedule Boxes	-	-	-	6,927
280-01	Transit Marketing for Calabasas Trolley	178	202	(24)	131
480-02	Direct Administration	30,131	24,248	5,883	33,789
	Total expenditures \$	293,224 \$	285,343 \$	7,881 \$	287,818

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2015	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2016
Jun-03	2 Transit Buses	\$	21,381	\$ - \$	- \$	21,381
Jun-04	3 Transit Buses		32,753	-	-	32,753
Aug-04	Gas Powered Trolley		16,258	-	-	16,258
Jun-05	30 Passenger Bus (2)		43,460	-	-	43,460
Mar-06	El Dorado Van		10,139	-	-	10,139
		Total \$	123,991	\$ - \$	- \$	123,991

		June 30			
			(as restated)	
		2016		2015	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	515,516	\$	334,489	
Interest receivable		185		423	
	Total assets \$	515,701	\$	334,912	
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE 	-	_\$	-	
Fund balance					
Restricted		515,701		334,912	
	Total fund balance	515,701		334,912	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	515,701	\$	334,912	
	—				

		Years ended June 30				
			(as restated)			
		2016	2015			
Revenues						
Measure R	\$	269,022	\$ 261,932			
Interest income		11,263	390			
	Total revenues	280,285	262,322			
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	<u> </u>	<u> </u>			
	iotal experiatures		00,010			
Excess of revenues over expenditures		180,789	173,804			
Fund balance at beginning of year		334,912	161,108			
Fund balance at end of year	\$	515,701	\$334,912			

Project Code Project Name			LACMTA Budget Actual			Variance Positive (Negative)	2015 Actual
4.9	Other Public Transit Services Total expenditures	\$ \$	<u>81,253</u> \$ 81,253_\$		99,496 99,496	+	88,518 88,518

Date Acquired		Description	Balance July 1, 2015		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2016	
	None		\$ Total \$		\$_ \$_	\$ \$	\$ \$	-

	2046	(a	s restated)
	2046		o roolaloa)
	2016		2015
\$	-	\$	6,239
	-		6
	11,478		-
ets \$	11,478	\$	6,245
	\$ ets \$	11,478	- 11,478

Cash overdraft	\$ Total liabilities	<u>7,733</u> \$ 7,733	\$ <u> </u>
Fund balance			
Restricted		3,745	6,245
	Total fund balance	3,745	6,245
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	11,478 \$	\$6,245

	Years ende	d June 30
		(as restated)
	2016	2015
Revenues		
Intergovernmental Allocations:		
Article 3 \$	11,478 \$	-
Interest Income	84	22
Total revenues	11,562	22
Expenditures Park Granada Restriping Total expenditures	<u>14,062</u> 14,062	<u>-</u>
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(2,500)	22
Fund balance at beginning of year	6,245	6,223
Fund balance at end of year \$	3,745 \$	6,245

City of Calabasas Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2016

	Totals to Date					
Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status	
Local Allocations:						
Park Granada Restriping Totals	2016	\$ <u>11,478</u> \$ <u>11,478</u>	· · ·	6 <u>(2,584)</u> (2,584)	Completed	
Interest income				84		
Fund balance at beginning of ye		6,245				
Fund balance at end of year \$3,745					k	

* As of June 30, 2016, the City has not received the revenue draw down of \$11,478 for the FY 2015/16. The unspent fund balance of \$3,745 will be returned to LACMTA in FY 2016/17.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 RESTATEMENTS OF 2015 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2015 financial statements of PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F were restated to reflect the allocation of interest and investment income (loss) that was not previously recorded in each of these funds.

PALRF

Cash and investments Interest receivable Interest income Fund balance	\$	Balance, as previously reported 331,372 - 4,110 331,372	\$ Adjustment (3,837) 412 (3,425) (3,425)	\$	Balance, <u>as restated</u> 327,535 412 685 327,947
PCLRF		Balance, as			
	_	previously reported	 Adjustment	-	Balance, as restated
Cash and investments Interest receivable	\$	23,785	\$ (275) 36	\$	23,510 36
Interest income Fund balance		603 23,785	(239) (239)		364 23,546
MRLRF		5 .			
		Balance, as previously reported	Adjustment		Balance, as restated
Cash and investments Interest receivable	\$	338,407	\$ (3,918) 423	\$	334,489 423
Interest income Fund balance		3,885 338,407	(3,495) (3,495)		390 334,912
<u>TDAA3F</u>					
		Balance, as previously reported	Adjustment		Balance, as restated
Cash and investments Interest receivable	\$	6,312	\$ (73)	\$	6,239 6
Interest income Fund balance		89 6,312	(67) (67)		22 6,245

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015	
FY 2011/12 allocation	\$ 11,478	\$	-
	\$ 11.478	\$	-

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2016	2015
FY 2011/12 allocation	\$ -	\$ 11,478
FY 2012/13 allocation	16,937	16,937
FY 2013/14 allocation	19,296	19,296
FY 2014/15 allocation	15,720	15,720
FY 2015/16 allocation	 15,269	 -
	\$ 67,222	\$ 63,431

For FY 2015/16, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2011/12 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2016. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2015/16.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 6, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Calabasas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Calabasas, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

angues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 6, 2016

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Calabasas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Calabasas, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Calabasas, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2016.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding #2016-001. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

angues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 6, 2016

	Compliance Requirements		Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds						
	1. Uses the State Controller's						
	Uniform System of Accounts						
	and Records.	Х					
	2. Timely use of funds.	X					
	3. Funds expended were						
	approved and have not been						
	substituted for property tax.	Х					
	4. Expenditures that exceeded						
	25% of approved project budget						
	have approved amended						
	Project Description Form (Form						
	A)	Х					
	5. Administrative expenses are						
	within the 20% cap of the total						
	annual Local Return						
	Expenditures.	Х					
	6. All on-going and carryover						
	projects were reported in Form	V					
	B.	Х					
	 Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted 						
	on time.	Х					
	8. Annual Expenditure Report	~					
	(Form C) was submitted on						
	time.	Х					
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are						
	maintained.	Х					
	10. Accounting procedures, record						
	keeping and documentation are						
	adequate.	Х					
	11. Pavement Management System						
	(PMS) in place and being used						
	for Street Maintenance or						
	Improvement Projects						
	Expenditures.	Х					
	12. Local Return Account is						
	credited for reimbursable			v			
	expenditures.			Х			
	 Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent 						
	Transportation Systems						
	projects or elements.			Х			
	14. Assurances and	<u> </u>					
	Understandings form was on						
	file.	Х					
	15. Recreational Transit Form was			1			
	submitted on time.		Х			See Finding #2016-001	

Compliance Requirements		In C	omplia	ince	Questioned	If no, provide details and
• •		es	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
B. Measure R Local Return						
1. Funds were expend						
transportation purpo		X				
2. Funds were used to						
not supplant, existir						
revenues being use						
transportation purpo						
there is a funding sl		X				
3. Signed Assurances						
Understandings on		X				
4. Separate Measure						
Return Account was						
established.		X				
5. Revenues received						
allocations, project						
revenues and intere						
was properly credite						
Measure R Local R						
Account.		X				
6. Funds were expend						
LACMTA's approva		Х				
7. Expenditure Plan (F						
was submitted on ti		Х				
Expenditure Report	` '					
was submitted on ti		X X				
9. Timely use of funds	. >	Х				
Administrative expe						
within the 20% cap.)	X				
11. Fund exchanges we	ere approved					
by LACMTA.				Х		
12. A separate account	was					
established for Cap	ital reserve					
funds and Capital re	eserve was					
approved by LACM	TA.			Х		
13. Recreational transit						
submitted on time.			Х			See Finding #2016-001
C. Transportation Developr Article 3 Fund						
1. Timely use of funds	. >	Х				
2. Expenditures were	incurred for					
activities relating to						
and bicycle facilities						
amenities.		X				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

PALRF and MRLRF: Finding #2016-001

Compliance Reference	Under Section III (A) of the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, for Jurisdictions with Recreational Transit projects, Jurisdictions are required to annually submit an accounting of Recreational Transit trips, destinations and costs. This information should be submitted along with the Form C, no later than October 15 after the fiscal year.
	Section B(II)(3) of the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines also states that, "Jurisdictions that use their Measure R LR funds for recreational transit services must fill out, sign and submit this form a no later than October 15 after the fiscal year in which the services were rendered".
Condition	The Recreational Transit report was submitted on December 8, 2016, which is beyond the due date of October 15, 2016.
Cause	The City Staff inadvertently overlooked this paperwork that needed to be filed by the deadline of October 15, 2016.
Effect	The City was not in compliance with the reporting requirements of the Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that the Annual Recreational Transit Report is submitted by October 15 as required by the Guidelines.
Management's Response	Going forward, it is in the City Staff calendar to file this document along with Form C and Form Two by the deadline of October 15.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 6, 2016 with the City of Calabasas representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Cristy Canieda – Partner Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager

City of Calabasas representatives: Lesley Pelka – Accounting Supervisor Hali Aziz-Goktapeh – Assistant Transportation Planner

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the Local Return Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Calabasas representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Lesley Pelka – Accounting Supervisor Hali Aziz-Goktapeh – Assistant Transportation Planner



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM[™] logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777