

City of Lynwood Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2016 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	16 17 18
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	19
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	24
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	26 28
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	30
EXIT CONFERENCE	34

FINANCIAL SECTION

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Lynwood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Lynwood, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Lynwood, California, as of June 30, 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matter

The financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, were audited by other auditors, whose report, dated December 11, 2015, expressed an unmodified opinion on those statements.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 22, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 22, 2016

			June 30			
		_	2016		2015	
- · · · · ·	ASSETS	•		•		
Cash and investments		\$	3,787,633	\$	3,812,887	
Due from LACMTA			-		135,457	
Interest receivable			2,702		1,521	
	Total assets	\$	3,790,335	\$	3,949,865	
				. –		
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable		\$	-	\$	-	
	Total liabilities		-		-	
Fund balance						
Restricted			3,790,335		3,949,865	
	Total fund balance		3,790,335		3,949,865	
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	3,790,335	\$	3,949,865	
		-			· · · · · ·	

See notes to Funds financial statements.

	Years ended June 30			
	2016	2015		
Revenues				
Proposition A \$	1,281,207 S	\$ 1,249,377		
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants	64,002	132,395		
Interest income	24,169	15,564		
Project generated revenue		7,212		
Total revenues	1,369,378	1,404,548		
Expenditures Various projects	1,528,908	1,032,443		
Total expenditures	1,528,908	1,032,443		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(159,530)	372,105		
Fund balance at beginning of year	3,949,865	3,577,760		
Fund balance at end of year \$	3,790,335	\$3,949,865		

		2016			
				Variance	
Project		LACMTA		Positive	2015
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Negative)	Actual
110-05	Fixed Bus Route \$	515,000 \$	457,628 \$	57,372 \$	501,817
110-06	Lynwood Trolley Blue Line Interface	235,000	187,228	47,772	230,107
120-07	Dial-A-Ride	200,000	118,662	81,338	131,194
140-02	Recreational Transit	30,000	16,609	13,391	16,006
150-04	Bus Stop Clean Up	20,000	6,930	13,070	20,034
250-01	MTA Bus Pass Voucher Subsidy	50,000	4,302	45,698	5,511
270-60	Bus Shelter Improvement (Planning)	10,000	-	10,000	-
280-58	Lynwood Trolley Route Brochure	7,500	-	7,500	-
300-59	Bus Shelter Improvement	1,000,000	546,835	453,165	-
480-03	Administration and Monitoring	269,280	190,714	78,566	127,774
	Total expenditures \$	2,336,780 \$	1,528,908 \$	807,872 \$	1,032,443

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2015	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2016
	None		\$ Total \$	<u>-</u> \$ \$	\$ \$	\$\$\$\$\$\$\$	-

See report of independent auditors.

2016 2015	5
ASSETS	
Cash and investments \$ 5,955,945 \$ 5,919	,853
Interest receivable3,9692	,130
Total assets \$ <u>5,959,914</u> \$ 5,921	,983
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	
Liabilities	
	,437
	,437
Fund balance	
Restricted - Long Beach Boulevard Improvement Project1,553,0931,740	,504
Restricted 4,406,821 4,160	,042
Total fund balance 5,959,914 5,900	,546
Total liabilities and fund balance \$ <u>5,959,914</u> \$ 5,921	,983

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended June 30			
	_	2016	2015		
Revenues Proposition C	\$	1,064,511 \$	1,038,425		
Proposition C Discretionary Incentive Gran		-	403,836		
Interest Income		35,593	20,641		
	Total revenues	1,100,104	1,462,902		
Expenditures Various projects	 Total expenditures	1,040,736 1,040,736	199,037 199,037		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		59,368	1,263,865		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	5,900,546	4,636,681		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	<u>5,959,914</u> \$	5,900,546		

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Lynwood Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2016 (With Comparative Actuals for 2015)

		2016				_	
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)		2015 Actual
270-01	Long Beach Blvd Improvement Project -						
	Imperial Hwy. to North City Limit-Design Only \$	1	\$	-	\$1	\$	76,500
270-52	State St. and Long Beach Blvd Intersection						
	Improvements	100,000		23,500	76,500		-
270-53	Transportation Assessment (Gateway Cities)	8,250		8,247	3		8,247
270-56	I-710 Corridor Study	25,000		25,000	-		25,000
270-57	Josephine Street Improvements	30,000		-	30,000		-
270-58	Long Beach Improvement	15,000		-	15,000		-
270-59	Engineering and Traffic Survey/Traffic Counts	20,000		-	20,000		-
300-58	Bus Shelter Improvement	60,000		60,000	-		-
380-57	Long Beach Blvd Improvement Project	1,747,000		196,631	1,550,369		-
440-30	Imperial Highway and Martin Luther King Blvd						
	Intersection Improvements	60,000		-	60,000		-
440-37	Bullis Road Improvement Project	800,000		15,097	784,903		25,050
440-46	Traffic Signal Improvements	490,000		316,658	173,342		64,240
440-58	State St Improvement Project	800,000		-	800,000		-
440-60	State Street Geotechnical Engineering Study	30,000		24,980	5,020		-
440-61	Josephine Street Improvement Project	250,000		250,000	-		-
440-62	Long Beach Blvd	250,000		-	250,000		-
440-63	Long Beach Blvd and Euclid Ave Traffic Signal						
	Improvements	22,880		-	22,880		-
440-64	Fernwood and Bullis Traffic Signals Upgrade	247,400		-	247,400		-
440-65	State Street Improvement Project	20,000		-	20,000		-
440-66	State Street Improvement Project	400,000		70,623	329,377		-
440-67	Carlin Ave and Thorson Ave Intersection Upgrade	20,000		-	20,000		-
480-03	Administration and Monitoring	50,000		50,000			-
	Total expenditures \$	5,445,531	\$	1,040,736	\$ 4,404,795	\$	199,037

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2015	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2016
	None		\$ Total \$	\$ \$	\$ \$	5 <u>-</u> \$ 5 <u>-</u> \$	<u>-</u>

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30			
		2016		2015	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	9	5 2,999,274	\$	2,595,327	
Interest receivable		1,789		1,027	
	Total assets	3,001,063	\$_	2,596,354	
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	9	š	\$	-	
	Total liabilities	-		-	
Fund balance					
Restricted		3,001,063	_	2,596,354	
	Total fund balance	3,001,063		2,596,354	
	Total liabilities and fund balance	3,001,063	\$	2,596,354	
			-		

		Years ended June 30			
		2016	2015		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$	797,527 \$	777,420		
Interest income		16,075	10,173		
	Total revenues	813,602	787,593		
Expenditures Various projects	 Total expenditures	408,893 408,893	446,608 446,608		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		404,709	340,985		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	2,596,354	2,255,369		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	3,001,063 \$	2,596,354		

		2016					
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2015 Actual
1.05	Louis Avenue Improvements	\$	8,428 \$	203	\$	8,225 \$	8,428
1.05	Pavement Marking Improvements		8,060	6,955		1,105	8,060
1.05	Trench Cut Repairs		18,995	-		18,995	18,995
1.05	Duncan Avenue Improvements		6,190	-		6,190	6,190
1.05	Olanda Avenue Improvements		3,787	-		3,787	3,788
1.05	Wright Road Improvements		8,340	9,850		(1,510)	8,341
1.90	Measure R Bond Debt Service Payment		392,806	390,806		2,000	392,806
3.90	Pedestrian Improvements Around Various						
	Schools		-	1,079	_	(1,079) *	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	446,606 \$	408,893	\$	37,713 \$	446,608

* See Compliance Matrix and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

Date Acquired	Description			Balance July 1, 2015		Additions		Deletions		Balance June 30, 2016
2012	Retro-Reflectometer	Total	\$_ \$_	13,618 13,618	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	- \$ - \$	_	13,618 13,618

		Ju	ne 3	0
		2016		2015
ASS	ETS			
Cash and investments	\$	2,813	\$	19,052
Interest receivable	_	88	_	7
	Total assets \$	2,901	\$	19,059
LIABILITIES AND	FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Due to other funds	\$ _	-	\$	-
	Total liabilities	-		-
Fund balance				
Restricted		2,901	_	19,059
	Total fund balance	2,901		19,059
Tot	al liabilities and fund balance \$	2,901	\$	19,059

		Years ended	d June 30
	_	2016	2015
Revenues			
Intergovernmental Allocations:	•	400.004	
Article 3	\$	132,824 \$	-
Interest income		406	75
Total reve	nues	133,230	75
Expenditures			
Sidewalk Improvements		149,388	-
Total expendi	tures _	149,388	-
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(16,158)	75
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	19,059	18,984
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>2,901</u> \$	19,059

City of Lynwood Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2016

		_		Totals to Date	<u></u>	
Project Description		Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:						
Sidewalk Improvements	otals		\$ <u>132,824</u> \$ <u>132,824</u>	+ +	<u>(16,564)</u> (16,564)	Ongoing
Interest income					406	
Fund balance at beginning of year					19,059	
Fund balance at end of year				\$	2,901_*	

* See Compliance Matrix and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

See report of independent auditors.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2016 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurements.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2016:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$64,002 and \$132,395 for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, represent additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the Voluntary NTD Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Grants were recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 8 PROPOSITION C DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT

The Proposition C Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$403,836 for the year ended June 30, 2015 represents additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the Proposition C Interest Funds and Transit Service Expansion Program. The City did not receive Proposition C Discretionary Incentive Grants during the year ended June 30, 2016.

The Proposition C Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PCLRF.

NOTE 9 CAPITAL RESERVE

On June 9, 2013, LACMTA and the City entered into an agreement to establish a capital reserve account (Account) in the amount of \$1,747,000 for the Project Code 380-57, Long Beach Boulevard Improvement Project (the Project). In June 2016, LACMTA and the City amended the agreement to extend the term of the reserve agreement to June 30, 2019.

The Account is to be funded with the Proposition C Local Return funds allocated to the City. All interest is to be accrued and placed in the Account for use exclusively for the Project.

For the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, details of the capital reserve amounts for PCLRF are as follows:

	 2016	2015
Capital reserve, beginning balance	\$ 1,740,504	\$ 1,740,504
Interest income allocated during the year	9,220	-
Expenditures during the year	 (196,631)	 -
Capital reserve, ending balance	\$ 1,553,093	\$ 1,740,504

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015 consisted of the following:

	2016	2015	
FY 2011/12 allocation	\$ 23,420	\$	-
FY 2012/13 allocation	52,156		-
FY 2013/14 allocation	 57,248		-
	\$ 132,824	\$	-

NOTE 11 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2016	_	2015
FY 2011/12 reserve	\$ -	\$	23,420
FY 2012/13 reserve	-		52,156
FY 2013/14 reserve	-		57,248
FY 2014/15 reserve	46,625		46,625
FY 2015/16 allocation	45,231		-
	\$ 91,856	\$	179,449

For FY 2015/16, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2011/12 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2016. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2015/16.

NOTE 12 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 22, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Lynwood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Lynwood, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 22, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 22, 2016

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Lynwood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Lynwood, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2016.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Lynwood, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2016.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements, which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Findings #2016-001 and #2016-004. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the responses.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

aques & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 22, 2016

	Compliance Requirements	In	Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
	oposition A and Proposition C cal Return Funds					
1.	Uses the State Controller's					
	Uniform System of Accounts					
	and Records.	Х				
2.	Timely use of funds.	Х				
3.	Funds expended were					
	approved and have not been					
	substituted for property tax.	Х				
4.	Expenditures that exceeded					
	25% of approved project budget					
	have approved amended					
	Project Description Form (Form	v				
F	A)	Х				
5.	Administrative expenses are					
	within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return					
	Expenditures.	х				
6	All on-going and carryover	~				
0.	projects were reported in Form					
	B.	Х				
7.	Annual Project Summary	~				
	Report (Form B) was submitted					
	on time.	Х				
8.	Annual Expenditure Report					
	(Form C) was submitted on					
	time.	Х				
9.	Cash or cash equivalents are					
	maintained.	Х				
10.	Accounting procedures, record					
	keeping and documentation are					
	adequate.	Х				
11.	Pavement Management System					
	(PMS) in place and being used					
	for Street Maintenance or					
	Improvement Projects	v				
12.	Expenditures. Local Return Account is	Х				
12.	credited for reimbursable					
	expenditures.			х		
13.	Self-Certification was completed					
10.	and submitted for Intelligent					
	Transportation Systems					
	projects or elements.			Х		
14.	Assurances and		1			
	Understandings form was on					
	file.	Х				
15.	Recreational Transit Form was					
	submitted on time.		Х			See Finding #2016-001

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund					
	 Funds were expended for 					
	transportation purposes.	Х				
	2. Funds were used to augment,					
	not supplant, existing local					
	revenues being used for					
	transportation purposes unless					
	there is a funding shortfall.	Х				
	Signed Assurances and					
	Understandings on file.	Х				
4	 Separate Measure R Local 					
	Return Account was					
	established.	Х				
Į	5. Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income					
	was properly credited to the					
	Measure R Local Return					
	Account.	Х				
(Funds were expended with					
	LACMTA's approval.		Х		\$1,079	See Finding #2016-002
-	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One)					
	was submitted on time.	Х				
8	B. Expenditure Report (Form Two)					
	was submitted on time.		Х			See Finding #2016-003
ļ	Timely use of funds.	Х				
10	 Administrative expenses are 					
	within the 20% cap.	Х				
11	. Fund exchanges were approved					
	by LACMTA.			Х		
12	2. A separate account was					
	established for Capital reserve					
	funds and Capital reserve was					
	approved by LACMTA.			Х		
13	B. Recreational transit form was					
	submitted on time.			Х		
	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.		Х			See Finding #2016-004
	2. Expenditures were incurred for					
-	activities relating to pedestrian					
	and bicycle facilities and					
	amenities.	Х				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

PALRF: Finding #2016-001

Compliance Reference	Under Section III (A) of the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, for Jurisdictions with Recreational Transit projects, Jurisdictions are required to annually submit an accounting of Recreational Transit trips, destinations and costs. This information should be submitted along with the Form C, no later than October 15 after the fiscal year.
Condition	The Recreational Transit report was submitted on October 26, 2016, which is beyond the due date of October 15, 2016.
Cause	Division staffing limits caused delay in collection of the trip background information needed to complete forms in time to meet deadline.
Effect	The City was not in compliance with the reporting requirements of the Local Return Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that the Annual Recreational Transit Report is submitted by October 15 as required by the Guidelines.
Management's Response	Future forms will be submitted by the due date. As the form is due at the same time every year (October), the Facility and Program Supervisor responsible for submittal will be reminded of the need to submit the certificate by the 15th of September, one month before the actual October deadline. The Department Deputy Director will be responsible for this notice in order to comply with the requirement in a timely manner. Reminders will be issued in person, via email and Outlook system reminders.

MRLRF: Finding #2016-002

Compliance Reference	Section B(II)(1) of the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines states that "LACMTA will provide LR funds to a capital project or program sponsor who submits the required expenditure plan containing the following: 1. The estimated total cost for each project and/or program activity" To maintain legal eligibility and meet Measure R LR program compliance requirements, Jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Plan (Form One), annually, by August 1 of each year.
Condition	The City claimed expenditures for Project code 3.90, Pedestrian Improvements Around Various Schools totaling \$1,079 with no prior approval from LACMTA. Based on our discussion with the Interim Finance Director, the expenditure was erroneously recorded under the MRLRF and the City intends to make the necessary
Cause	The City staff committed an error in recording this expenditure under MRLRF account.
Effect	The City claimed expenditures totaling \$1,079 without prior approval from LACMTA. The City is required to return the amount to the MRLRF.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to reimburse its MRLRF account the amount of \$1,079. In addition, we recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that only related transactions are recorded under the MRLRF account.
Management's Response	For the Pedestrian Safety Improvement project, the funding source to be used was supposed to be an HSIP Grant rather than Measure R. Staff will work with Finance Department to make the reversal.
	The City will make the adjustment in FY 2016/17.

MRLRF: Finding #2016-003

Compliance Reference	Section B(II)(2) of the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines states that "Jurisdictions shall submit to LACMTA an Expenditure Report (Form Two), annually, by October 15 th (following the conclusion of the fiscal year)"
Condition	The City submitted its Expenditure Report (Form Two) on November 13, 2015, which is beyond the due date set under the Guidelines.
Cause	The City lacks adequate procedures and controls to ensure that the Expenditure Report (Form Two) is submitted on time.
Effect	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was not submitted timely as required by the Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that Expenditure Report (Form Two) is submitted by October 15 as required by the Guidelines.
Management's Response	There has been a significant turn-over in staffing. The City will designate a new staff member to monitor the timely submittal of Form Two of Measure R Local Return.
Finding Corrected During the Audit	The City subsequently submitted the form. No follow up is required.

TDAA3F: Finding #2016-004

Compliance Reference	TDA Article 3 Guidelines states that, "Agencies may only draw down the funds that they can spend during the fiscal year in which they were allocated. Agencies are not allowed to have a fund balance at the end of the fiscal year. Any funds drawn down and that remain unspent after the end of the fiscal year must be returned to LACMTA to be placed on reserve for the City under the fiscal year in which they were originally allocated. Agencies must also fully spend any interest accumulated by these funds by the end of the fiscal year in which the funds were allocated".
Condition	The City has unexpended fund balance of \$2,901 as of June 30, 2016.
Cause	There appears to be a lack of timely review of the available funding to be spent and/or returned for TDA3 Fund.
Effect	The City was not in compliance with the TDA Article 3 Guidelines and will be required to return the unexpended fund balance as of June 30, 2016.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City return the unexpended funds to LACMTA consistent with the TDA Article 3 Guidelines.
Management's Response	The City has reached out to LACMTA to return the unexpended funds in the amount of \$2,901.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 22, 2016 with the City of Lynwood representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Cristy Canieda – Partner Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager

City of Lynwood representatives: Dennis McLean – Interim Finance Director Raul Godinez – Public Works Director Lorry Hempe – Public Works Special Projects Manager Mark Flores – Recreation and Community Services Director Christy Valencia – Finance Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed instances of noncompliance with the Local Return Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Lynwood representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Dennis McLean – Interim Finance Director Raul Godinez – Public Works Director Lorry Hempe – Public Works Special Projects Manager Mark Flores – Recreation and Community Services Director Christy Valencia – Finance Manager



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM[™] logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777