CITY OF COMPTON ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



CITY OF COMPTON TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Measure R Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	5
Schedule of Capital Assets	6
Notes to Financial Statements	7
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	9
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	11
Compliance Matrix	13
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	14
EXIT CONFERENCE	15

FINANCIAL SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Compton, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) of the City of Compton, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.





In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the MRLRF of the City of Compton, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the MRLRF and do not purport to, and do not present fairly, the financial position of the City of Compton, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Compton, California's MRLRF Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated December 14, 2015, on our consideration of the City of Compton's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California December 14, 2015

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 336,977	\$ 3,038,564
Interest receivable	2,103	1,433
Total assets	\$ 339,080	\$ 3,039,997
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 263,588	\$ 55,115
Due to General Funds	-	166,058
Due to Other Funds	-	1,076
Contract retention payable	128,257	35,953
Accrued liabilities	66,480	1,332
Total liabilities	458,325	259,534
Fund Balance		
Restricted	(119,245)	2,780,463
Total fund balance	(119,245)	2,780,463
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 339,080	\$ 3,039,997

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015		2014
REVENUES	_			
Measure R	\$	1,073,488	\$	1,024,855
Interest income		7,188		6,756
Other revenue (Note 5)	_	14,024	_	-
Total revenues	-	1,094,700	_	1,031,611
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	_	3,994,408	_	474,105
Total expenditures	_	3,994,408	-	474,105
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures	-	(2,899,708)	-	557,506
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	2,780,463	_	2,222,957
Fund balance at end of year	\$	(119,245)	\$	2,780,463

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

			2015		
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
1.05 8.10	Street and Road Repair and Maintenance \$ Fund Administration (20% cap)	3,195,725 \$ 798,683	\$ 3,195,725 798,683		474,105
	Total expenditures \$	3,994,408 \$	3,994,408	\$\$	474,105

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date			Balance					Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2014		Additions	Deletions		6/30/2015
5/31/2011	Mona Boulevard Project	\$	26,800	\$_	\$	-	\$	26,800
		Total \$	26,800	\$_	\$	-	_\$_	26,800

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) are accounted for in separate sets of selfbalancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

MRLRF represents 15% of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Basis of Accounting

MRLRF is accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on its external investment pools.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the MRLRF and their compliance with the Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines.

NOTE 3 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

NOTE 4 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The MRLRF cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 5 – OTHER REVENUE

In fiscal year ended June 30, 2011, the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works initiated a reconstruction and resurfacing project on Mona Boulevard in the Cities of Compton and Lynwood and in the unincorporated communities of East Compton and Willowbrook. The City of Compton entered into an agreement, Project ID: RDC0014206, with the County of Los Angeles Public Works and paid a deposit in the amount of \$26,800 for work to be completed in the City's jurisdiction. In the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, the County of Los Angeles Department of Public Works refunded the City for the unused balance of the deposit in the amount of \$14,024.

NOTE 6 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through December 14, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Compton, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) of the City of Compton, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 14, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's MRLRF financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's MRLRF financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California December 14, 2015

COMPLIANCE SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Compton, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Compton, California (City) with the Measure R Local Return Guidelines (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Measure R Local Return Fund occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on the Measure R Local Return Fund

In our opinion, the City of Compton complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Measure R Local Return Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.





Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding No. 15-01. Our opinion on the Measure R Local Return Fund is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Compton, California (City) is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified

Simpon & Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 14, 2015

CITY OF COMPTON COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and management response.				
			No	Costs					
Measure R Local Return Fund									
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.		X	None	See Finding No. 15-01 at the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.				
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None					
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	x		None					
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None					
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	x		None					
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	x		None					

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

Finding No. 15-01 - Fund: MRLRF

Criteria:

According to Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Section B (VII.A, Financial and Compliance Provisions), "The Measure R LR Audits shall include, but not limited to, verification of adherence to the following financial and compliance provisions of this guidelines:

Verification that funds were expended with Metro's approval."

Condition:

The expenditures for MRLRF's Administration project in the amount of \$798,683 were incurred prior to the approval from LACMTA for fiscal year 2014-15. However, the City subsequently received LACMTA's approval on the MRLRF project on November 24, 2015.

Cause:

The Public Works department unintentionally did not include Fund Administration as a line item in the budget request from MTA.

Effect:

The City did not comply with the Guidelines when expenditure for MRLRF project is incurred without LACMTA's approval.

Questioned Costs:

None

Recommendation:

We recommend that the City establish procedures to ensure that it obtains approval from LACMTA prior to implementing any Measure R Local Return projects.

Management Response:

The City received approval subsequently on November 24, 2015. However, in the future the Public Works department will ensure they receive approval for MRLRF Fund Administration prior to expending the funds.

EXIT CONFERENCE

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on December 21, 2015 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Yung Dang, Semi-Senior Auditor

City's Representative:

Roel Briones, Internal Auditor

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiency and one (1) noncompliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Stephen Ajobiewe, City Controller Roel Briones, Internal Auditor