CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	3 4 5
Schedule of Capital Assets Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	6
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	15 16
Supplemental Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	21
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	23 26 29
EXIT CONFERENCE	31

FINANCIAL SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hidden Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Hidden Hills, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Hidden Hills, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Hidden Hills, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Hidden Hills, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated December 2, 2015, on our consideration of the City of Hidden Hills' internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 2, 2015

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Due from MTA	\$ -	\$ 2,907
Due from General Fund	107,334	70,808
Total assets	\$ 107,334	\$ 73,715
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$
Total liabilities		
Fund Balance		
Restricted	107,334	73,715
Total fund balance	107,334	73,715
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 107,334	\$ 73,715

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 33,372	\$ 32,026
Interest income	247	158
Total revenues	33,619	32,184
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	-	109
Total expenditures	-	109
Excess of revenues over expenditures	33,619	32,075
Fund balance at beginning of year	73,715	41,640
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 107,334	\$ 73,715

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

ame	Metro			Variance	
ime			H		
ime				avorable	2014
	Budget	Actual	(Ur	nfavorable)	Actual
\$	4,000	-	\$	4,000 \$	-
VCC					
LACMTA	113	-		113	109
\$	4,113 \$	-	\$	4,113 \$	109
-	ICC LACMTA	ICC LACMTA 113	ICC LACMTA 113 -	ICC LACMTA 113 -	ICC LACMTA 113 - 113

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015		2014
ASSETS		-	
Due from MTA	\$ -	\$	2,411
Due from General Fund	170,525	_	147,437
Total assets	\$ 170,525	\$	149,848
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to MTA	\$ -	\$	-
Total liabilities	-	-	-
Fund Balance			
Restricted	170,525	-	149,848
Total fund balance	170,525	-	149,848
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 170,525	\$	149,848

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 27,797	\$ 92,939
Interest income	433	323
	28,230	93,262
EXPENDITURES		
Round Meadow/Mureau Landscaping	7,553	4,490
Total expenditures	7,553	4,490
Excess of revenues over expenditures	20,677	88,772
Fund balance at beginning of year	149,848	61,076
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 170,525	\$ 149,848

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

Project			Metro				/ariance avorable	_	2014
Code	Project Name			Actual	-	ifavorabl		Actual	
430-02	Round Meadow/Mureau Landscaping	\$	7,553		7,553	\$	-	\$	4,490
440-01	Long Valley Road/Spring Vallet Road Slurry Seal		120,000		-		-		-
	Total expenditures	\$	127,553	\$	7,553	\$	-	\$	4,490

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date					Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2014		Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
	None			\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$_	-	_\$	-	\$ -	\$ -

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Due from MTA	\$ -	\$ 1,780
Due from General Fund	91,129	68,364
Total assets	\$ 91,129	\$ 70,144
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$
Total liabilities		
Fund Balance		
Restricted	91,129	70,144
Total fund balance	91,129	70,144
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 91,129	\$ 70,144

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 20,766	\$ 19,824
Interest income	219	166
	20,985	19,990
EXPENDITURES		
Traffic Sign Replacement		1,000
Total expenditures		1,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures	20,985	18,990
Fund balance at beginning of year	70,144	51,154
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 91,129	\$ 70,144

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

			Variance			
Project		Metro	2014			
Code	Project Name	 Budget		<u>(U</u>	nfavorable)	Actual
2.29	Traffic Sign Replacement	\$ 2,000 \$		\$	2,000 \$	1,000
	Total expenditures	\$ 2,000 \$	6 -	\$	2,000 \$	1,000

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015		2014
ASSETS			
Due from MTA	\$ _	\$	
Total assets	\$ -	\$	_
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to General Fund	\$ _	\$	
Total liabilities		,	
Fund Balance			
Restricted			
Total fund balance			
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 	\$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Payment from FY 2014-15 allocation	\$ -	\$ -
Total revenues	-	-
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects		-
Total expenditures	-	-
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	-
Fund balance at end of year	\$ -	\$ -
Total expenditures Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures Fund balance at beginning of year	\$ 	\$

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Program Year		Allocations		Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
2014-15	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	Complete
	\$	-	\$	-		-	
	=		= :		-	-	
					\$	-	
	Year	Year 2014-15 \$	Year Allocations 2014-15 \$	Year Allocations 2014-15 \$ - \$	Year Allocations Expenditures 2014-15 \$\$ \$	Year Allocations Expenditures 2014-15 \$ - \$	Year Allocations Expenditures Allocations 2014-15 \$ - \$ -

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ¹/₂ cent Proposition A and ¹/₂ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds in the Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF) account for deposit and investment purposes. Interest income was allocated to each local return fund based on its average yearly cash balance multiplied by the average yearly Pooled Money Investment Account (PMIA)'s average monthly effective yields. Each of the fund's cash balance is with the City's general fund. Due from general fund accounts for this cash balance.

NOTE 7 - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

2015		2014
\$ -	\$	-
\$ -	\$	-
\$ 	\$ \$	\$\$\$

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2012/13 allocation	\$ 873	\$ 873
FY 2013/14 allocation	5,000	5,000
FY 2014/15 allocation	5,000	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 10,873	\$ 5,873

NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through November 19, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hidden Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Hidden Hills, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify any deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instance of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon & Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 2, 2015

COMPLIANCE SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hidden Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Hidden Hills, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the transportation development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of Hidden Hills complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as F15-01 and F15-02. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Hidden Hills, California (City) is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



The City's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and recommendations. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 2, 2015

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Compliance Requirement		n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C I	eturn F	unds	- -	
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.		х	None	See Finding F15-02 in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015

		(Continu	ed)			
	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
	I T T T	Yes	No	Costs	management response.		
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None			
B. M	leasure R Local Return Fund						
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None			
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None			
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None			
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None			
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	N/A		None			
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	Х		None			
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.		X	None	See Finding F15-01 in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations		
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None			
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None			
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None			

CITY OF HIDDEN HILLS COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
			No	Costs	management response.	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	x		None		
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None		
C. T	ransportation Development Act	Article	e 3 Fun	d		
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None		
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None		

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

Finding No. 15-01: MRLRF

Criteria:

According to Measure R Guidelines, Section B (II.2), "Jurisdictions shall submit a Form Two, to LACMTA annually, by October 15th (following the conclusion of the fiscal year)."

Condition:

The City did not submit Form Two to LACMTA until November 17, 2014.

Cause:

The condition was due to oversight of the City's management.

Effect:

The City's Form Two were not submitted timely.

Questioned Costs:

None.

Recommendation:

The City should establish procedures to ensure that Form Two be submitted timely.

Management's Response:

The City will make a better effort to file in a timely manner.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

Finding No. 15-02: PCLRF

Criteria:

According to Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines, Section I (C), "Jurisdictions shall submit for approval a Project Description Form prior to the expenditure of funds for: 5) a 25 percent or greater change in an approved Local Return project budget or scope on all operating or capital Local Return

Condition:

Expenditures for Proposition C Local Return funds Project Code 430-02 exceeded 25% of LACMTA's approved budget by \$1,303. However, the City did not submit Project Description Form (Form A) to obtain approval for the amened budget.

However, the City got subsequent approval from MTA on December 15, 2015.

Cause:

This was an oversight by the City.

Effect:

Non-compliance with the Guidelines may result in disallowed project cost.

Questioned Costs:

None.

Recommendation:

The City should establish procedures to ensure that the Form A be submitted timely to LACMTA for approval.

Management's Response:

The City will attempt to stay in budget.

EXIT CONFERENCE

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on December 14, 2015 with the City of Hidden Hills. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative: Jennifer Wang, Staff Auditor

City's Representative: Randee Weinberger, Bookkeeper

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed two non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Cherie L. Paglia, City Manager Randee Weinberger, Bookkeeper