## CITY OF MALIBU ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



# CITY OF MALIBU TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	21
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	23 24 27
EXIT CONFERENCE	28

FINANCIAL SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Malibu, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Malibu, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Malibu, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Malibu, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matters**

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Malibu, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 11, 2015, on our consideration of the City of Malibu' internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 11, 2015

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2015	2014		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	198,194	\$ 150,394		
Metro receivable	_	23,493			
Total assets	\$ _	221,687	\$ 150,394		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	17,118	\$ 14,365		
Total liabilities	_	17,118	14,365		
Fund Balance					
Restricted		204,569	136,029		
Total fund balance	_	204,569	136,029		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	221,687	\$ 150,394		

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015	2014
REVENUES			
Proposition A	\$	225,788	\$ 216,679
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive grants		23,493	22,537
Interest income		695	436
Total revenues		249,976	239,652
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects		181,436	175,764
Total expenditures	•	181,436	175,764
Excess of revenues over expenditures		68,540	63,888
Fund balance at beginning of year		136,029	72,141
Fund balance at end of year	\$	204,569	\$ 136,029

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

				Variance		
Project			Metro		Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
120-01	Dial-A-Ride	\$	196,000 \$	170,902	\$ 25,098 \$	171,527
140-01	Summer Camp Transit		9,000	8,534	466	1,500
480-01	Representative to LACMTA Board		1,000	-	1,000	737
480-02	LACMTA NTD (DAR Program)	_	2,000	2,000		2,000
	Total expenditures	\$_	208,000 \$	181,436	\$ 26,564 \$	175,764

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date Acquired		Description			Balance 7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2015
	None			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	2014
ASSETS	_		
Cash and investments	\$	38,988	\$ 10,698
Total assets	\$ _	38,988	\$ 10,698
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$ -
Total liabilities	-	-	-
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	38,988	10,698
Total fund balance	-	38,988	10,698
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	38,988	\$ 10,698

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 187,841	\$ 180,251
Interest income	449	470
Total revenues	188,290	180,721
EXPENDITURES		
Street Overlay	160,000	240,000
Total expenditures	160,000	240,000
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	28,290	(59,279)
Fund balance at beginning of year	10,698	69,977
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 38,988	\$ 10,698

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

	2015						
			Variance				
Project			Metro		Favorat	ole	2014
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavora	ble)	Actual
440-01	Street Overlay	\$	160,000 \$	160,000	<u> </u>	\$	240,000
	Total expenditures	\$	160,000 \$	160,000	\$	\$	240,000

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ _	\$ -

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2015	2014		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	16,775	\$ 75,679		
Total assets	\$	16,775	\$ 75,679		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$ _		
Total liabilities		-			
Fund Balance					
Restricted	-	16,775	75,679		
Total fund balance		16,775	75,679		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	16,775	\$ 75,679		

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 140,496	\$ 134,131
Interest income	600	342
Total revenues	141,096	134,473
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	200,000	115,000
Total expenditures	200,000	115,000
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(58,904)	19,473
Fund balance at beginning of year	75,679	56,206
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 16,775	\$ 75,679

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

				ariance			
Project		Metro			Fav	vorable	2014
Code	Project Name		Budget		(Unfavorable)		Actual
01-001 08-001	Annual Street Pavement Overlay Fund Administration	\$	200,000 \$ 3,000	200,000	\$	- \$ 3,000	- 115,000
	Total expenditures	\$	203,000 \$	200,000	\$	3,000 \$	115,000

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS			-	
Cash and investment	\$	-	\$	5,175
Total assets	\$ _	-	\$ _	5,175
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to MTA - TDA Article 3 Reserve Account	\$	-	\$	5,175
Total liabilities	-	-	_	5,175
Fund Balance				
Reserved	_	-	_	-
Total fund balance	_	-	_	-
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	-	\$ _	5,175

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Payment from prior year reserve	\$ 13,598	\$ 25,265
Interest income	-	17
Total revenues	13,598	25,282
EXPENDITURES		
PCH Bus Stop Improvements	13,598	20,107
Total expenditures	13,598	20,107
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	5,175
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	-
MTA - TDA Article 3 Reserve Account	-	(5,175)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$ 

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

#### For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations		Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations							
PCH Bus Stop Improvements	2014-15	\$_	8,440	_\$	13,598 \$	(5,158)	Ongoing
Total		\$	8,440	\$	13,598	(5,158)	
Unexpended interest accumulated	to date					(17)	
Fund balance at beginning of year						-	
MTA - TDA Article 3 Reserve Acc	count					5,175	
Fund balance at end of year					\$		

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

## NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cent Proposition A and <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Unrealized Gain (Loss)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on its external investment pool.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

## NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

## NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

#### NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

## NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$23,493 and \$22,537 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 respectively, represent additional funds received from Metro for participating in the Voluntary NTD Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

#### NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
FY 2011-12 reserve	\$ -	\$ 5,103
FY 2012-13 reserve	-	9,806
FY 2013-14 reserve	5,158	10,356
FY 2014-15 allocation	8,440	-
Total payment requested	\$ 13,598	\$ 25,265

#### NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2013-14 allocation	\$ -	\$ 5,158
FY 2014-15 allocation	-	-
Available reserve balance	\$ -	\$ 5,158

### NOTE 10 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through October 15, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.





SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Malibu, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Malibu, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 11, 2015.

## **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify any deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 11, 2015

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Malibu, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Malibu, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

#### **Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3**

In our opinion, the City of Malibu complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 11, 2015



## *CITY OF MALIBU* COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015

Compliance Requirement			n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and				
		Yes No		Costs	management response.				
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds									
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	Х		None					
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	Х		None					
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None					
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None					
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None					
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	Х		None					
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None					
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None					

## CITY OF MALIBU COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement			n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		Costs	management response.
<b>B.</b> M	leasure R Local Return Fund			<u> </u>	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	Х		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	N/A		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	x		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	x		None	

 $N\!/A$  - Not applicable as the City did not expend funds.

# CITY OF MALIBU COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		Costs	management response.
C. T	ransportation Development Act	Article	e 3 Fund	1	
1.	1. Timely use of funds.			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None	

## SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

No findings were noted.

**EXIT CONFERENCE** 

## PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on December 7, 2015 with the City of Malibu. Those in attendance were:

## Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Caprice McGuire, Staff Auditor

*City's Representative:* Ruth Piyaman, Finance Manager

## Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit, no findings were noted

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Ruth Piyaman, Finance Manager