#### CITY OF MAYWOOD ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	3 4
Supplemental Information:  Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	11
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	1.5
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	15 16
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	21
COMPLIANCE SECTION Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	23 25 28
EXIT CONFERENCE	29





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Maywood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Maywood, California (City) as of and for the years then June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Maywood, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in their fund balances for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Maywood, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Other Matters

#### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Maywood, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated December 4, 2015, on our consideration of the City of Maywood's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

December 4, 2015

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

#### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	2014		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	76,682	\$	920,334	
Total assets	\$	76,682	\$	920,334	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$		\$		
Total liabilities	,				
Fund Balance					
Restricted		76,682		920,334	
Total fund balance		76,682		920,334	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	76,682	\$	920,334	

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 488,291	\$ 468,591
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive grants (Note 7)	17,558	-
Interest income	499	474
Total revenues	506,348	469,065
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	1,350,000	125,000
Total expenditures	1,350,000	125,000
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(843,652)	344,065
Fund balance at beginning of year	920,334	576,269
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 76,682	\$ 920,334

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

		_					
						Variance	
Project			Metro			Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	(	Unfavorable)	Actual
405-01	Sale of Prop A Funds	\$	-	\$ -	\$	- \$	125,000
405-02	Sale of Prop A Funds to Hawaiian						
	Gardens		500,000	500,000		-	-
405-03	Fund Exchange	_	850,000	 850,000	_		
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,350,000	\$ 1,350,000	\$	- \$	125,000

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date					Balance						Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2014		Additions		Deletions		6/30/2015
				. –							
	None			\$_	-	_\$_	-	\$_	-	\$_	-
			Total	\$_	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

#### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS			•	
Cash and investments	\$	1,000,794	\$	1,019,046
Prepaid expense		2,706		2,706
Total assets	\$ _	1,003,500	\$	1,021,752
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	55,536	\$	70,216
Accrued payroll		450		1,607
Due to other funds		120,654		120,654
Total liabilities	_	176,640		192,477
Fund Balance				
Restricted		826,860		829,275
Total fund balance	_	826,860		829,275
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,003,500	\$	1,021,752

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 406,605	\$ 390,191
Project generated revenue (Note 8)	9,432	9,596
Interest income	481	219
Total revenues	416,518	400,006
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	418,933	420,226
Total expenditures	418,933	420,226
(Deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(2,415)	(20,220)
Fund balance at beginning of year	829,275	849,495
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 826,860	\$ 829,275

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

		_			Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-01	Maywood Area Transit	\$	180,000 \$	208,159	\$ (28,159) \$	205,870
120-01 130-01	Maywood Dial-A-Ride Senior Medical Transportation		284,700 43,700	161,424	123,276 43,700	167,454 -
250-01 440-02	Bus Pass Subsidy Program Atlantic Blvd. Project		67,250	49,350	17,900	42,414 4,488
	Total expenditures	\$	575,650 \$	418,933	\$ 156,717 \$	420,226

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date					Balance					Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2014	Additions		Deletions		6/30/2015
	None			\$_	-	\$ -	\$.	-	_\$_	
			Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

#### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2015	2014		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$ _	1,319,481	\$ 1,213,098		
Total assets	\$ =	1,319,481	\$ 1,213,098		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	382,898	\$ -		
Accrued payroll	_	26,091			
Total liabilities	_	408,989			
Fund Balance					
Restricted	_	910,492	1,213,098		
Total fund balance	-	910,492	1,213,098		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,319,481	\$ 1,213,098		

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 303,837	\$ 290,072
Interest income	420	221
Total revenues	304,257	290,293
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	606,863	71,575
Total expenditures	606,863	71,575
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(302,606)	218,718
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,213,098	994,380
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 910,492	\$ 1,213,098

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

#### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

							Variance	
Project			Metro				Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name	_	Budget	_	Actual	(1	Unfavorable)	Actual
7.10	Gateway Cities Council of Governments	\$	10,000	\$	10,000	\$	- \$	10,000
7.10	Local Street Improvement Project		850,000		596,863		253,137	61,575
7.10	Pavement Management System	_	20,000	_	-		20,000	-
	Total expenditures	\$	880,000	\$_	606,863	\$	273,137 \$	71,575

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date					Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2014	 Additions	Deletions	6/30/2015
	None			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

#### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS				_
Cash and investments	\$	-	\$	72,054
Due from LACMTA		22,382	_	21,380
Total assets	\$	22,382	\$ _	93,434
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to LACMTA	\$	15,810	\$	-
Unearned Revenues		6,572	_	
Total liabilities	_	22,382		<u>-</u>
Fund Balance				
Reserved		-	_	93,434
Total fund balance	_			93,434
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	22,382	\$	93,434

#### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Payment from FY 2010 through FY 2012 reserve	\$ -	\$ 39,504
Payment from FY 2013 reserve	-	21,380
Payment from FY 2014 reserve	15,810	
Total revenues	15,810	60,884
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	63,302	
Total expenditures	63,302	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	(47,492)	60,884
Fund balance at beginning of year	93,434	-
Fund Balance returned to LACMTA *	(45,942)	32,550
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$ 93,434

<sup>\*</sup> As of June 30, 2015, the City returned unspent funds balance of \$45,942, therefore ending fund balance is \$0.

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Program				1	Unexpended	Project
Project Description	Year		Allocations	Expenditures		Allocations	Status
<b>Local allocations</b>							
LED Highlights at Riverfront Park	FY 2015	\$_	15,810	\$ 63,302	\$ <u>_</u>	(47,492)	Ongoing
Total		\$_	15,810	\$ 63,302		(47,492)	
Unexpended interest income						-	
Fund balance at beginning of year						93,434	
Fund Balance returned to LACMTA						(45,942)	
Fund balance at end of year				9	\$_	-	

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

#### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

### NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

#### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

#### NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

#### **NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The City maintains a separate bank account for the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F.

#### NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT

Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$17,558 and \$0 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, represents additional funds received from Metro for participating in the National Transit Database Reporting Program. The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

#### NOTE 8 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE

PCLRF projected generated revenue amounting to \$9,432 and \$9,596 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, consisted of bus fares from the Bus Pass Subsidy Program.

#### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

#### NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
FY 2012-13 reserve	\$ -	\$ 21,380
FY 2013-14 reserve	22,382	-
Allocation received	\$ 22,382	\$ 21,380

#### NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2013/14 allocation	\$ -	\$ 22,382
FY 2014/15 allocation	18,232	-
Available Reserve Balance	\$ 18,232	\$ 22,382

#### **NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through December 4, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Maywood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Maywood, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 4, 2015.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.


#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpon & Simpon

December 4, 2015





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Maywood, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Maywood, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the transportation development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

#### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, except as noted in the Compliance Matrix and the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, the City of Maywood complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.





#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of Maywood, California (City) is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses and significant deficiencies.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

December 4, 2015

#### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Compliance Requirement	I Comp	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C I	ocal R	eturn F	unds	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None	

#### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	I Comp	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	• •	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
В. М	leasure R Local Return Fund				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None	
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None	

#### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	_	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	•	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
C. T	ransportation Development Act	Article	3 Fund		
$\frac{\mathbf{C.T}}{1.}$	Timely use of funds.	Article :	3 Fund	None	

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

No findings were noted.



# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on December 7, 2015 with the City of Maywood. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Susan Hum, Auditor

#### City's Representative:

Ruby Villanueva, Accounting Supervisor

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no instances of non-compliance.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Ruby Villanueva, Accounting Supervisor