## CITY OF MONTEREY PARK ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



# CITY OF MONTEREY PARK TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	16 17 18
Notes to Financial Statements	19
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	24 26 29
EXIT CONFERENCE	30

FINANCIAL SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Monterey Park, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Monterey Park, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Monterey Park, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Monterey Park, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### **Other Matters**

#### **Other Information**

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Monterey Park, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### **Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards**

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 24, 2015, on our consideration of the City of Monterey Park's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California November 24, 2015

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS			-	
Cash and investments	\$	2,331,336	\$	1,935,530
Interest receivable	_	2,432	_	4,642
Total assets	\$ _	2,333,768	\$ _	1,940,172
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	59,427	\$	31,907
Accrued payroll		9,975	_	10,834
Total liabilities	_	69,402	_	42,741
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	2,264,366	_	1,897,431
Total fund balance	_	2,264,366	_	1,897,431
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	2,333,768	\$ _	1,940,172

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015		2014
REVENUES		-	
Proposition A	\$ 1,086,672	\$	1,042,832
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants (Note 7)	113,243		109,852
Project generated revenue (Note 8)	97,395		85,896
Interest income	10,440		7,043
Sale of transit vehicles	-	_	5,675
Total revenues	1,307,750	-	1,251,298
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	940,815	_	1,036,955
Total expenditures	940,815	-	1,036,955
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	366,935		214,343
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,897,431	-	1,683,088
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 2,264,366	\$	1,897,431

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014

Project Code	Project Name	 Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2014 Actual
110-01	Fixed Route Transit	\$ 409,490 \$	380,666	\$ 28,824 \$	452,205
120-02	Dial-A-Ride Service	520,752	506,821	13,931	490,136
130-03	Recreational Transit	39,000	879	38,121	-
140-03	Downtown Bus Benches and Bike Racks	15,000	11,956	3,044	11,626
170-06	Bus Shelter Maintenance	46,459	-	46,459	41,662
200-08	New CNG Bus Headsigns	15,000	-	15,000	-
200-09	Transit Bus Replacement	76,300	-	76,300	-
250-04	MTA Stamp Subsidy	42,000	40,493	1,507	38,222
300-24	Monterey Park Transit Facility at ELAC	 	-		3,104
	Total expenditures	\$ 1,164,001 \$	940,815	\$ 223,186 \$	1,036,955

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

## June 30, 2015

			Restated				
Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2014		Additions	Deletions	 6/30/2015
06/1996	Eighty (80) Bus Benches	\$	16,454	\$	- \$	-	\$ 16,454
06/1997	Eighteen (18) Bus Benches		4,066		-	-	4,066
05/1997	Twenty-Two (22) Bus Benches		4,937		-	-	4,937
05/1999	1999 Chevrolet Express Van		22,295		-	-	22,295
12/1999	MAVICA FD83 Digital Camera		680		-	-	680
11/2001	2001 Chevrolet Van		20,170		-		20,170
03/2005	2004 Blue Bird Bus L4RE2911		14,816		-		14,816
03/2005	2004 Blue Bird Bus L4RE2911		14,816		-	-	14,816
03/2005	2004 Blue Bird Bus L4RE2911		14,816		-	-	14,816
12/2006	2007 El Dorado Aerotech 220		57,147		-	-	57,147
03/2008	2008 Toyota Prius		6,249	a	-	-	6,249
04/2008	2008 Toyota Prius		6,249	a	-	-	6,249
06/2010	2010 El Dorado Amerivan PT		40,696		-	-	40,696
05/2013	2013 El Dorado E-Z Rider II		166,667		-	-	166,667
05/2013	2013 El Dorado E-Z Rider II		166,668		-	-	166,668
05/2013	2013 El Dorado E-Z Rider II		155,651		-	-	155,651
06/2012	ELAC Transit Facility Phase I	_	97,756			-	 97,756
		Total \$	810,133	\$	\$		\$ 810,133

\* The restated fixed asset is a result of the City's reconciliation of the updated inventory log.

a	Total cost of 2 Vehicles	\$	49,992
	Less: Amount funded by the vehicle g	37,494	
	Net amount funded by PALRF	\$	12,498
	Amount of each vehicle	_	6,249
b	Total cost of Vehicle	\$	405,504
	Less: Amount funded by other grant		238,837
	Net amout funded by PALRF	\$	166,667

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS (Continued)

June 30, 2014

238,837
166 667
166,667
405,504
249,853
155,651
-

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015		2014
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ 861,893	\$	892,290
Interest receivable	 914		1,910
Total assets	\$ 862,807	\$	894,200
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$	112,484
Total liabilities	 -	_	112,484
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 862,807		781,716
Total fund balance	 862,807	_	781,716
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 862,807	\$	894,200

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 903,442	\$ 866,913
Interest income	4,194	2,958
Total revenues	907,636	869,871
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	826,545	831,357
Total expenditures	826,545	831,357
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	81,091	38,514
Fund balance at beginning of year	781,716	743,202
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 862,807	\$ 781,716

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2014 Actual
110-01	Fixed Route Transit	\$	774,180	704,227	69,953 \$	758,996
160-01	Catch Basin Structure		-	-	-	-
210-01	Traffic Signal Upgrade		151,227	73,853	77,374	14,473
270-05	Transportation Planning		26,820	20,385	6,435	22,763
300-01	Signage Way Finding Program		-	-	-	-
360-07	Cal State LA Metrolink Station		29,870	28,080	1,790	28,081
440-11	Street Repair and Maintenance		20,000	-	20,000	7,044
440-12	Garvey Ave/Corporate Center Dr		41,000	-		-
	Rehabilitation				41,000	
460-24	Overhead Street Name Signs	_	16,528	-	16,528	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,059,625	826,545	233,080 \$	831,357

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

			Restated				
Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2014	Addition	s	Deletions	 6/30/2015
06/2004	Bus Stop Improvements	\$	25,875	\$-	\$	-	\$ 25,875
03/2005	2004 Blue Bird Bus L4RE2911		1,121	-		-	1,121
03/2005	2004 Blue Bird Bus L4RE2911		1,121	-		-	1,121
03/2005	2004 Blue Bird Bus L4RE2911		177,119	-		-	177,119
06/2013	Bus Shelters & Sign		100,048	-		-	100,048
06/2003	Battery Backup System	_	77,276			-	 77,276
		Total \$	382,560	\$	\$	-	\$ 382,560

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	1,629,188	\$	1,269,807
Interest receivable		1,739	. <u> </u>	3,018
Total assets	\$ _	1,630,927	\$	1,272,825
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Account payable	\$	36,885	\$	33,652
Accrued Payroll		1,465	· _	2,230
Total liabilities	_	38,350	. <u> </u>	35,882
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,592,577		1,236,943
Total fund balance	_	1,592,577	. <u> </u>	1,236,943
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,630,927	\$	1,272,825

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015	2014
REVENUES	_		
Measure R	\$	676,178	\$ 645,544
Interest income	-	7,173	4,524
Total revenues	-	683,351	650,068
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	-	327,717	713,629
Total expenditures	-	327,717	713,629
Excess of revenues over expenditures		355,634	(63,561)
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,236,943	1,300,504
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,592,577	\$ 1,236,943

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

			2015		
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2014 Actual
		0		<u> </u>	
1.05	01-001 Slurry seal of various streets \$	417,288	12,491 \$	404,797 \$	262,029
1.05	01-002 Road repair supplies (asphalt, emulsion, and crack sealing material)	63,334	104,800	(41,466)	161,322
1.05	01-003 Localized pavement repairs	11,834	12,491	(657)	109,180
3.05	03-001 Sidewalk Construction	76,834	18,567	58,267	124,241
3.05	03-002 Ackley St curb and sidewalk improvement	120,000	130,902	(10,902)	-
5.05	Bus Stop Maintenance	-	-	-	18,252
5.90	05-001 Repaying of bus fueling station	50,000	8,556	41,444	-
	area				
7.90	07-001 Traffic survey update	71,748	39,910	31,838	38,605
	Total expenditures \$	811,038	327,717 \$	483,321 \$	713,629

#### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date	<b>.</b>		Balance		511	Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
06/2004	Bus Stop Improvements	\$	22,552	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,552
		Total \$	22,552	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 22,552

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	2014
ASSETS			
Cash	\$	\$	48,000
Accounts receivable	_		
Total assets	\$	\$	48,000
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to other fund	\$	\$	48,000
Total liabilities	_		48,000
Fund Balance			
Reserved			-
Total fund balance (deficit)	_		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	\$	48,000

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Payment from prior year reserve	\$ -	\$ 48,000
Total revenues		48,000
EXPENDITURES		
Sidewalk Reconstruction		48,000
Total expenditures		48,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures		
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of year		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ -	\$ 

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

## SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Project Description	Program Year	 Allocations	 Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Sidewalk Reconstruction	2015	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	Ongoing
Total		\$ _	\$ _		
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year			\$		

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cent Proposition A and <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Unrealized Gain (Loss)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss).

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

## NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

#### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

## NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

#### **NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

## NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT

Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$113,243 and \$109,852 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, represent additional funds received from Metro for participating in the National Transit Database Reporting Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

## NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

#### NOTE 8 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE

PALRF project generated revenue amounting to \$97,395 and \$85,896 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 respectively, consisted of bus fares from Fixed Route Transit Service.

#### NOTE 9 - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
FY 2011-12 reserve	-	15,422
FY 2012-13 reserve	-	32,578
FY 2013-14 reserve	-	-
FY 2014-15 reserve	-	
Total Payment requested	\$ -	\$ 48,000

#### NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	_	2015	 2014
FY 2011/12 allocation	\$	-	\$ 15,422
FY 2012/13 allocation		13,696	46,274
FY 2013/14 allocation		49,795	49,795
FY 2014/15 allocation	_	40,555	 -
Available reserve balance	\$	104,046	\$ 111,491

#### NOTE 11 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through November 24, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Monterey Park, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Monterey Park, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 24, 2015.

## **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon & Simpon

Los Angeles, California November 24, 2015

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Monterey Park, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Monterey Park, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the transportation development Art Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

#### **Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3**

In our opinion, except as noted in the Compliance Matrix and the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, the City of Monterey Park complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.





#### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance, which are required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding no. F-15-01. Our opinion on each local return program is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of Monterey Park, California (City) is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. However, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as finding numbers F-15-01.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California November 24, 2015

# COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Compliance Requirement	t In Compliance Questioned		In Compliance		In Compliance		In Compliance		In Compliance		In Compliance		In Compliance		In Compliance			If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.														
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds																			
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None															
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None															
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None															
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None															
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	Х		None															
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None															
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None															
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None															
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None															
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None															

## COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.		
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None			
<b>B.</b> M	leasure R Local Return Fund		1	<u> </u>			
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None			
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None			
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.		x	None	See Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, F-15-01.		
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None			
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None			
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None			
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None			
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None			

# **CITY OF MONTEREY PARK** COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015

(Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		In Compliance		In Compliance		- Que		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	CUSIS	management response.						
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None							
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None							
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None							
С. Т	ransportation Development A	ct Article	e 3 Fund	· ·							
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None							
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None							

#### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

#### Finding No. F-15-01 – Expenditures were not approved before being incurred

#### Criteria:

According to Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Section B (VII.A, Financial and Compliance Provisions), "The Measure R LR Audits shall include, but not limited to, verification of adherence to the following financial and compliance provisions of this guidelines:

Verification that funds were expended with Metro's Approval."

#### Condition:

The expenditures for the Measure R Transportation Services project in the amount of \$12,491 were incurred prior to the approval from LACMTA. However, the project was subsequently approved by LACMTA on October 6, 2015.

#### Cause:

Form R forms have Macros built into the spreadsheets that sometimes do not function properly with City software. While the project code 1.05, Localized Pavement Repairs, was identified in the Form One budget, costs associated for that project were inadvertently left out.

#### Effect:

The expenditures for Measure R Local Return programs were incurred prior to LACMTA's approval. Incurred expenditures prior to LACMTA's approval resulted in questioned costs of \$12,491.

#### **Questioned** Costs:

None

#### **Recommendation:**

We recommend the City establish procedures to ensure that Form One is properly prepared and submitted before the due date of August 1<sup>st</sup> so that the City's expenditures of the Measure R Local Return Funds will be in accordance with LACMTA's approval and the Guidelines.

#### Management's Response:

Staff will revise the mapping spreadsheet to incorporate the budget expenditures in order to identify discrepancies with the actual expenditures prior to submittal to MTA. In addition, a review will be properly done by both Program and Finance personnel to ensure proper data submittal.

**EXIT CONFERENCE** 

## PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on December 9, 2015 with the City of Monterey Park. Those in attendance were:

## Simpson & Simpson Representative: Channing Wong, Auditor

*City's Representative:* Annie Yaung, Controller

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant financial issue and one (1) compliance issue.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Annie Yaung, Controller