CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



CITY OF SANTA FE SPRINGS TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	5
Schedule of Capital Assets	6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	9
Schedule of Capital Assets	10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12
Supplemental Information: Schodule of Evnenditures Actual and Matro Approved Project Budget	13
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	1.5
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	15 16
Supplemental Information:	10
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific	17
Projects	
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	21
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance	23
Compliance Matrix	25
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	28
EXIT CONFERENCE	29





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California (City) as of and for the years then June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Santa Fe Springs, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 29, 2015, on our consideration of the City of Santa Fe Springs's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Los Angeles, California November 29, 2015

Simpson & Simpson

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	_	2014
ASSETS			·	
Cash and investments	\$	438,382	\$	283,236
Due from Metro		8,263	. <u>-</u>	13,390
Total assets	\$	446,645	\$	296,626
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	
Total liabilities	_	_	-	
Fund Balance				
Restricted		446,645		296,626
Total fund balance		446,645	. <u>-</u>	296,626
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	446,645	\$	296,626

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015	2014
REVENUES	•		
Proposition A	\$	297,396	\$ 285,398
Interest income		1,025	507
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 7)	-	8,263	6,548
Total revenues		306,684	292,453
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	-	156,665	163,763
Total expenditures		156,665	163,763
Excess of revenues over expenditures		150,019	128,690
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	296,626	167,936
Fund balance at end of year	\$	446,645	\$ 296,626

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name	Budget		(Unfavorable)	Actual
130-03	Social Service Vans	\$ 80,000 \$	87,030	\$ (7,030) \$	94,555
140-02	Special Event Transportation	60,000	54,017	5,983	50,412
270-01	Allocation of Funds for TMA	17,000	8,472	8,528	14,029
480-01	Transportation Admin Cost	 9,000	7,146	1,854	4,767
	Total expenditures	\$ 166,000 \$	156,665	\$ 9,335 \$	163,763

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2014	Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
04/02/96	2001 Blue Bird Bus	\$	137,060	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 137,060
01/16/98	15 Passenger Ford Wagon		27,085	-	-	27,085
11/14/03	20-Passenger Bus Type III		57,212	-	-	57,212
04/17/08	El Dorado Aero Elite 290 Bus		47,445	-	-	47,445
10/16/08	Computer		2,382	-	-	2,382
05/13/09	El Dorado Aero Elite 270 Bus	_	45,693	-	 -	 45,693
		Total \$	316,877	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 316,877

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2015	2014		
ASSETS	•				
Cash and investments	\$	189,566	\$ 120,954		
Total assets	\$	189,566	\$ 120,954		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Due to other funds	\$	-	\$ -		
Total liabilities					
Fund Balance					
Restricted	-	189,566	120,954		
Total fund balance (deficit)		189,566	120,954		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	189,566	\$ 120,954		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014		
REVENUES				
Proposition C	\$ 247,004	\$	237,007	
Interest Income	453		237	
Total revenues	247,457		237,244	
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	178,845		155,271	
Total expenditures	178,845		155,271	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	68,612		81,973	
Fund balance at beginning of year	120,954		38,981	
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year	\$ 189,566	\$	120,954	

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

					Variance	
Project		Metro			Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name	 Budget	 Actual	J)	Unfavorable)	Actual
130-03	Social Service Vans	\$ 110,000	\$ 111,878	\$	(1,878) \$	89,449
310-04	Norwalk/Santa Fe Springs Transit Center	81,000	62,025		18,975	64,444
480-01	Transportation Admin Cost	5,000	4,942		58	1,378
	Total expenditures	\$ 196,000	\$ 178,845	\$	17,155 \$	155,271

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date					Balan	ce					Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/20	14		Additions	Deletions		6/30/2015
				_			_			_	
	None			\$_		-	\$_	-	\$ -	\$	
			Total	\$		-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015	2014		
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$ 362,435	\$	256,627	
Total assets	\$ 362,435	\$	256,627	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ 	\$		
Total liabilities			-	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	362,435		256,627	
Total fund balance	362,435		256,627	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 362,435	\$	256,627	

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 185,053	\$ 176,670
Interest income	797	544
Total revenues	185,850	177,214
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	80,042	62,764
Total expenditures	80,042	62,764
Excess of revenues over expenditures	105,808	114,450
Fund balance at beginning of year	256,627	142,177
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 362,435	\$ 256,627

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

			2015						
Project	During Name		Metro		A -41	Variance Favorable		2014	
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	(Unfavorabl	<u>e)</u>	Actual	
4.90	Social Services Van	\$	50,000	\$	30,000	\$ 20,000	\$	-	
5.15	Norwalk/SFS Transit Center		48,500		48,311	189		54,337	
5.90	Bus Stop Improvements		-		-	-		7,500	
8.10	Admin Cost for Norwalk/SFS Transit		6,600		1,731	4,869		927	
	Center	_		_					
	Total expenditures	\$	105,100	\$_	80,042	\$ 25,058	\$_	62,764	

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date					Balance					Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2014	ļ	Additions	Deletions		6/30/2015
									_	
	None			\$_	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	
			Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	 2015		2014
ASSETS			
Due from Other Gov't	\$ -	\$	
Total assets	\$ -	\$ _	_
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to Other Funds	\$ 	\$	
Total liabilities	 -	_	
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 	_	
Total fund balance	 		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	\$ _	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015	_	2014
REVENUES		_		
Payment from prior years reserves	\$ _		\$_	15,835
Total revenues	_		_	15,835
EXPENDITURES				
I-5 Mitigation project phase II		_	_	15,835
Total expenditures	_		_	15,835
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-		-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	-	_	-
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	-	\$ _	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Project Description		Program Year		Allocations		Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations No projects for FY 2015		2015	\$_	-	_\$	-	\$_	<u>-</u>	
	Total		\$	-	\$	-	= -	_	
Fund balance at beginning	of year						_	_	
Fund balance at end of year							\$		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on its external investment pools.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 amounting to \$8,263 and \$6,548 respectively, represents additional funds received from Metro for participating in the Voluntary NTD Data Reporting Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has no funds on reserve. The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

2	2015		2014
\$	-	\$	7,177
	-		8,658
	-		-
\$	-	\$	15,835
	\$	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ - - -

NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2012-13 allocation	\$ -	\$ 12,768
FY 2013-14 allocation	-	13,636
FY 2014-15 allocation	11,111	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 11,111	\$ 26,404

NOTE 10 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through November 29, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 29, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 29, 2015





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the transportation development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, as noted in the Compliance Matrix and the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations, the City of Santa Fe Springs complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.





Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Santa Fe Springs, California (City) is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 29, 2015

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Compliance Requirement		n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and				
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.				
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C	Local Return Funds							
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None					
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None					
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None					
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None					
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None					
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None					

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	Comp	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and			
	~~~ <b>~~~~~~</b>	Yes	No	Costs	management response.			
<b>B.</b> M	leasure R Local Return Fund	nd						
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None				
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None				
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None				
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None				
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None				
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None				
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None				
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None				
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None				
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None				
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None				

#### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
C. T	ransportation Development Act	t Article	3 Fund	1	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for				

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

No findings were noted.



# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on December 7, 2015 with the City of Santa Fe Springs. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Caprice McGuire, Staff Auditor

#### City's Representative:

Donna Mack, Accountant Travis Hickey, Finance Manager

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit, no findings were noted.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Donna Mack, Accountant Travis Hickey, Finance Manager