### CITY OF WALNUT ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	7 8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information:	15 16
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	21
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	23
Compliance Matrix	25
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	28
EXIT CONFERENCE	29





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Walnut, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Walnut, California (City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Walnut, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Walnut, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Other Matters

### Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Walnut, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated September 1, 2015, on our consideration of the City of Walnut's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Los Angeles, California September 1, 2015

Simpson & Simpson

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	2014		
ASSETS			•		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	702,969	\$	467,513	
Total assets	\$ _	702,969	\$	467,513	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	20,556	\$	22,716	
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	_	1,300		1,036	
Total liabilities	_	21,856		23,752	
Fund Balance					
Restricted		681,113		443,761	
Total fund balance	_	681,113		443,761	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	702,969	\$	467,513	

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014		
REVENUES				
Proposition A	\$ 529,621	\$ 508,254		
Interest income	5,896	3,876		
Unrealized gain on investments	951	1,217		
Total revenues	536,468	513,347		
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	299,116	300,445		
Total expenditures	299,116	300,445		
Excess of revenues over expenditures	237,352	212,902		
Fund balance at beginning of year	443,761	230,859		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 681,113	\$ 443,761		

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

				2015			
						Variance	
Project		Metro				Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name	 Budget	_	Actual	(U	Infavorable)	Actual
120-02	Dial-A-Cab	\$ 250,000	\$	251,228	\$	(1,228) \$	259,197
180-02	Dial-A-Cab Photo ID System	4,000		3,645		355	-
480-06	Administration	45,950	_	44,243		1,707	41,248
	Total expenditures	\$ 299,950	\$_	299,116	\$	834 \$	300,445

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2014	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2015
2/11/2015	Photo ID System	\$	-	\$ 3,645	\$ -	\$ 3,645
	Т	otal \$	-	\$ 3,645	\$ -	\$ 3,645

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	2014		
ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,042,390	\$ 991,653		
Total assets	\$ =	1,042,390	\$ 991,653		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	7,317	\$ 9,096		
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	_	309	1,138		
Total liabilities	_	7,626	10,234		
Fund Balance					
Restricted	_	1,034,764	981,419		
Total fund balance	_	1,034,764	981,419		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,042,390	\$ 991,653		

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 440,808	\$ 423,005
Interest income	9,493	9,020
Unrealized gain on investments	1,833	3,508
Total revenues	452,134	435,533
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	398,789	140,469
Total expenditures	398,789	140,469
Excess of revenues over expenditures	53,345	295,064
Fund balance at beginning of year	981,419	686,355
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,034,764	\$ 981,419

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

		_					Variance	
Project			Metro				Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	(	Unfavorable)	Actual
140-08	Recreation Excursions	\$	43,000	\$	40,521	\$	2,479 \$	41,512
160-02	Bus Bench Replacement		3,800		3,800		-	3,163
160-04	Solar Light at Bus Stop		500		485		15	778
250-02	Metrolink Subsidies		55,000		54,905		95	44,248
270-01	Council of Governments		4,530		4,530		-	4,530
290-02	Park and Ride Lot Maintenance		16,000		13,756		2,244	18,125
440-02	Amar Road Resurfacing Project		250,000		250,000		-	-
	(Addition of Bus Stop Pads)							
480-06	Administration	_	31,050	_	30,792		258	28,113
	Total expenditures	\$_	403,880	\$_	398,789	\$	5,091 \$	140,469

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date		Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description	 7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
12/10/2014	Amar Road Street Rehabilitation Project	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000
	Total	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	2014
ASSETS			
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	546,079	\$ 742,131
Total assets	\$ =	546,079	\$ 742,131
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	136,986	\$ -
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	_	263	
Total liabilities	_	137,249	
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	408,830	742,131
Total fund balance	_	408,830	742,131
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	546,079	\$ 742,131

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015	2014
REVENUES	-		
Measure R	\$	329,555	\$ 314,625
Interest income		6,802	6,449
Unrealized gain on investments	-	1,275	2,269
Total revenues	-	337,632	323,343
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	_	670,933	
Total expenditures	-	670,933	-
(Deficiency) excess of revenues over expenditures		(333,301)	323,343
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	742,131	418,788
Fund balance at end of year	\$	408,830	\$ 742,131

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

			2015					
					Variance			
Project			Metro		Favorable	2014		
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual		
1.05	Amar Road Resurfacing Project (Street Repair and Maintenance)	\$	250,000 \$	250,000	\$ - \$	-		
1.05	Slurry Seal Area 3 Streets		500,000	411,731	88,269	-		
8.10	Fund Administration (20% cap)		9,940	9,202	738	-		
	Total expenditures	\$_	759,940 \$	670,933	\$ 89,007 \$	-		

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		_	7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
12/10/2014	Amar Road Street Rehabilitation Project		\$	-	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000
		Total	\$	-	\$ 250,000	\$ -	\$ 250,000

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

### BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	_	2015		2014
ASSETS	_			
Due from MTA	\$	24,280	\$	
Total assets	\$ _	24,280	\$	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to other funds	\$	24,280	\$	
Total liabilities	-	24,280	•	
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	_		
Total fund balance	-	-	•	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	24,280	\$	

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

### STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015		2014
REVENUES	_			
Payment from prior year reserve	\$ _	24,280	\$_	18,000
Total revenues	_	24,280	_	18,000
EXPENDITURES				
Equestrian/Pedestrian Trail Improvements	_	24,280	_	18,000
Total expenditures	_	24,280	_	18,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-		-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_		_	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	-	\$	

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

### SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations							
Equestrian/Pedestrian Trail	2014-15	\$	24,280	\$ 24,280	\$	-	Complete
Improvements		_					
Total		\$	24,280	\$ 24,280		-	
Fund balance at beginning of year		•			_	-	
Fund balance at end of year					\$	-	

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

### Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Unrealized Gain (Loss)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss).

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

### NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

### NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

### **NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

### NOTE 7 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
FY 2012-13 allocation	\$ 996	\$ 18,000
FY 2013-14 allocation	23,284	-
Total	\$ 24,280	\$ 18,000

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

### NOTE 8 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

		2015	2014
FY 2012-13 allocation	\$ _	-	\$ 996
FY 2013-14 allocation		991	24,275
FY 2014-15 allocation		19,774	-
Available reserve balance	\$ _	20,765	\$ 25,271

### **NOTE 9 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through September 1, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

# Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Walnut, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Walnut, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated September 1, 2015.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that is required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California September 1, 2015

Simpson & Singson





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Walnut, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Walnut, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

#### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of Walnut complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.





### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City of Walnut is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson



### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
A. P	roposition A and Proposition C	Local R	leturn I	Funds	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None	
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None	
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None	
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None	
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) and listing of Recreational Transit Services, if applicable, were submitted on time.	X		None	
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None	
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None	
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None	

### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		ompliance Requirement Compliance Question						
			No	Costs	management response.				
B. Measure R Local Return Fund									
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None					
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None					
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None					
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None					
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None					
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None					
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None					
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None					
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None					
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None					
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None					

### COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		_	n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		Costs	management response.
C. T	ransportation Development Act	Article	3 Fund	l	
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None	

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

No findings were noted.

# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on December 10, 2015 via telephone conference. Those in attendance were:

### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Teresita Anson, Senior Auditor

### City's Representative:

Marie Santos, Finance Officer Karen Ogawa, Director of Administrative Services

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Marie Santos, Finance Officer Karen Ogawa, Director of Administrative Services