COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACTARTICLE 3 FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 8 FUND HOLLYWOOD BOWL PARK AND RIDE REGIONAL TRANSIT PROJECT FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### FINANCIAL SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4
Supplemental Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures - Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	5
Schedule of Capital Assets	7
Proposition C Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	0
Balance Sheets Statements of Powenues, Europeditures and Changes in Fund Polence	8
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	9
Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	10
Schedule of Capital Assets	10
Measure R Local Return Fund:	12
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	13
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	14
Supplemental Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	15
Schedule of Capital Assets	17
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	18
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	19
Supplemental Information:	•
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	20
Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	21
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	21 22
Supplemental Information:	22
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	23
Schedule of Thursportation Development Net Anocation for Specific Projects	25
Notes to Financial Statements	24
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on	
Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	31
Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	33
Compliance Matrix	35
HALL VARAOD BAMIL DADIZ AND DIDE DECLONIAL OD ANGTO DDA DECT	
HOLLYWOOD BOWL PARK AND RIDE REGIONAL TRANSIT PROJECT Independent Auditor's Report	20
Summary of Reported and Audited Project Expenditures	38 39
Summary of Reported and Addited Froject Expenditules	59
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	40
EXIT CONFERENCE	41
	41

FINANCIAL SECTION



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

# Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F), and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (TDAA8F) of the County of Los Angeles, California (County) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error.

In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article





3 Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the County of Los Angeles, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund of the County of Los Angeles, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matters**

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the County of Los Angeles, California's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements.

The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 17, 2015 on our consideration of the County of Los Angeles's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 17, 2015

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 46,095,637	\$ 42,449,293
Accounts receivable (Note 7)	1,268,227	2,254,093
Interest receivable	86,001	76,269
Due from other funds	367,003	826,936
Advances to ISF funds (Note 9)	193,700	 201,100
Total assets	\$ 48,010,568	\$ 45,807,691
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 3,034,637	\$ 2,575,376
Due to other funds	781,190	633,209
Deferred revenue (Note 8)	105,700	 -
Total liabilities	3,921,527	 3,208,585
Fund Balance		
Restricted	44,089,041	 42,599,106
Total fund balance	44,089,041	 42,599,106
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 48,010,568	\$ 45,807,691

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015	2014
REVENUES	-		
Proposition A	\$	18,399,593	\$ 17,657,283
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 10)		1,621,245	1,464,652
Grants and reimbursements		1,210,189	1,497,521
Rents and concessions		652	1,252
Interest income		288,833	267,100
Sale of capital assets		50,208	2,922
Total revenues	_	21,570,720	20,890,730
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	\$	20,080,785	\$ 20,565,614
Total expenditures	_	20,080,785	20,565,614
Excess of revenues over expenditures		1,489,935	325,116
Fund balance at beginning of year		42,599,106	42,273,990
6 , <u></u>	-	,,	,
Fund balance at end of year	\$	44,089,041	\$ 42,599,106

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name	 Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
110-002	Santa Clarita Valley Local Bus	\$ 1,600,000	1,297,427	\$ 302,573 \$	1,144,974
110-003	Palos Verdes Peninsula Shuttle	450,000	401,828	48,172	402,642
110-004	South Bay Commuter	40,000	-	40,000	(8,252)
110-015	Antelope Valley Commuter	388,000	411,570	(23,570)	148,828
110-016	SCV La Commuter	580,000	508,841	71,159	357,053
110-020	Boyle Heights/East Los Angeles DASH Shuttle	460,000	148,826	311,174	135,767
110-021	Unincorporated South Whittier Area Shuttle Service	800,000	550,177	249,823	526,511
110-023	Bassett/Avocado Heights Flex Route Shuttle Service	300,000	226,298	73,702	204,062
110-024	Marina del Rey Shuttle Pilot Program	100,000	35,475	64,525	48,192
110-109	Willowbrook Shuttle Service	1,500,000	714,297	785,703	551,863
110-110	Antelope Valley Bus Service	1,000,000	394,969	605,031	575,342
110-120	Rose Parade Shuttle Service	50,000	40,267	9,733	40,000
110-121	East Los Angeles Fixed Route Shuttle	1,300,000	1,179,911	120,089	1,181,926
110-18	Acton/Agua Dulce/Gorman Shuttle Program	150,000	76,436	73,564	80,328
110-26	East Valinda Shuttle	250,000	241,108	8,892	199,209
110-27	Lennox Shuttle	300,000	193,804	106,196	166,563
110-28	Athens Shuttle	300,000	211,401	88,599	159,156
110-29	Florence-Firestone/Walnut Park Shuttle	500,000	312,738	187,262	282,847
110-30	Baldwin Hills Parklands Shuttle Service	100,000	62,328	37,672	56,438
110-31	Los Angeles County-USC Medical Center Shuttle Service	250,000	168,477	81,523	96,482
120-011	Agoura Area Dar	90,000	73,716	16,284	42,231
120-012	Mid-San Gabriel Paratransit	350,000	319,318	30,682	131,931
120-013	Santa Clarita Valley General Public	60,000	20,472	39,528	21,676
120-14	Florence-Firestone/Walnut Youth Program	30,000	-	30,000	-
130-003	Children's Court Shuttle	370,000	217,002	152,998	252,965
130-014	Rancho Los Amigos	365,000	373,063	(8,063)	353,951
130-020	Elderly and Disabled Paratransit	4,449,000	2,886,783	1,562,217	2,327,291
130-1	Los Nietos Community Shuttle Service	150,000	69,802	80,198	80,231
130-27	Santa Clarita Valley Elderly and Disabled DAR	300,000	168,608	131,392	184,847
140-005	Hollywood Bowl P/R Shuttle	4,000,000	2,022,852	1,977,148	1,816,579
140-007	Summer Beach Bus Program	500,000	369,596	130,404	349,824
140-017	Special Event Transportation	2,000,000	1,459,825	540,175	1,401,515
140-024	John Anson Ford Amphitheater Shuttle	75,000	40,883	34,117	67,905

#### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (Continued) SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2014 Actual
150-035	Installation of Rapid Bus Shelters in Unincorporated Area of \$	1,500,000 \$	7,285 \$	1,492,715 \$	1,323,677
150-44	Bus Stop Amenities and Improvement Program	5,000,000	1,803,016	3,196,984	-
200-31	Sunshine Shuttle: Purchase of Vehicles	1,000,000	-	1,000,000	-
200-32	Electric Bus Funding for AVTA	1,900,000	-	1,900,000	1,900,000
200-33	Willowbrook and King Medical Shuttle: Purchase of Vehicles	510,000 *	-	510,000	-
230-6	Park & Ride Lot Security	370,000	332,775	37,225	277,581
250-004	Bus Fare Media Purchase	60,000	6,769	53,231	5,154
250-067	Bus Pass Subsidy	1,500,000	911,578	588,422	1,148,353
270-007	Proposition A Project Planning	1,000,000	897,608	102,392	760,825
270-012	Los Angeles County Unincorporated Area Unmet Transit	1,500,000	-	1,500,000	-
280-009	Los Angeles County Transit Web Site	25,000	1,628	23,372	4,738
290-126	Park-And-Ride Lot Maintenance/Security	500,000	284,352	215,648	327,481
290-127	3rd Street & Laverne Avenue Park & Ride Lot	2,500,000	55,125	2,444,875	156,095
300-018	CSULA Metrolink Operations	100,000	78,390	21,610	70,350
360-001	Metro Blue Line Liability Sharing	200,000	-	200,000	150,697
360-04	Metro Rail Promotion	25,000	-	25,000	-
360-11	Acton Metrolink Station Rural Lighting Ordinance	500,000	16,283	483,717	339,533
390-06	UPRR Security Fencing Project	2,875,000	-	2,875,000	-
390-07	UPPRR Metro Blue Line Landscape Maint-Nadeau Street to	35,000	-	35,000	-
480-004	Board of Supervisors Staff Support	1,000,000	487,878	512,122	720,253
480-05	Rail-Volution Conference	50,000	-	50,000	-
480-07	APTA Conference	5,000		5,000	-
	Total expenditures \$	45,312,000 \$	20,080,785 \$	25,231,215 \$	20,565,614

Note \* New Project in 2015.

## PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

# June 30, 2015

Date Acquired	Description	Balance 7/1/2014		Additions		Deletions	Balance 6/30/2015
Acquireu	Description	 //1/2014		Additions		Deletions	0/30/2013
2002	Bus Shuttle El Dorado	\$ 285,969	\$	-	\$	- \$	285,969
2004	Bus El Dorado EZ Rider	1,863,379		-		-	1,863,379
2005	Van Chev 3400/05 with Lift 8 Pass	34,748		-		-	34,748
2006	60 Bus Pass El Dorado National	532,404		-		-	532,404
2007	BUS CHEV/07 C5500 / EL DORADO AERO ELITE L-310	105,350		-		-	105,350
2008	BUS TRANST/08 CHEV C5500 EL DORADO L-311	129,982		-		-	129,982
2008	BUS CHEV/08 C4500/ EL DORADO L- 312&313	249,442		-		-	249,442
2008	Van Mini Braun/08 ADA Complaing (L194)	593,389		-		-	593,389
2010	10 Bus Transit 2010 GM/Glaval Titan	400,969		-		96,954	304,015
2011	10 Bus Transit 2011 GM - Handicapped	543,655		-		-	543,655
2011	8 Bus Shuttle 2004 Model EZ Rider	584,431		-		-	584,431
2012	Shelter 2011/Parking Booth Company AS810- 1SW	15,073		-		-	15,073
2012	Shelter 2011/Parking Booth Company AS810- 1SW	15,073		-		-	15,073
2013	Bus Transit 2013 Eldorado Axess 35 CNG (L- 315)	447,213		-		-	447,213
2013	Bus Transit 2013 Eldorado Axess 35 CNG (L- 314)	447,213		-		-	447,213
2015	Bus 2015/El Dorado Axess 35 CNG Sunshine Shuttle (L-317)	-		474,193		-	474,193
2015	Bus 2015/El Dorado Axess 35 CNG Sunshine Shuttle (L-316)	 -	_	474,193	_	-	474,193
	Total	\$ 6,248,290	\$	948,386	\$	96,954 \$	7,099,722

# PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	56,080,619	\$	52,897,269
Accounts receivable (Note 7)		9,087,514		9,242,105
Interest receivable		107,284		99,514
Other receivable		108,863		108,863
Due from other funds		696,774		551,776
Advances to ISF funds (Note 9)		684,500	· -	656,800
Total assets	\$	66,765,554	\$	63,556,327
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	577,342	\$	165,933
Due to other funds		1,974,975		1,954,982
Deferred revenue (Note 8)		4,312,243		4,818,372
Other payables		9,280	· -	494
Total liabilities	_	6,873,840	· -	6,939,781
Fund Balance				
Capital reserve (Note 12)		8,737,654		10,861,131
Restricted		51,154,060		45,755,415
Total fund balance		59,891,714	· -	56,616,546
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	66,765,554	\$	63,556,327

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

## STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

## For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015		2014
REVENUES	-		-	
Proposition C	\$	15,272,743	\$	14,654,225
Interest income		374,273		359,045
Other revenues		9,093,020	_	8,205,657
Total revenues		24,740,036		23,218,927
	-		-	
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	\$	21,464,868	\$	27,947,832
Total expenditures	-	21,464,868	-	27,947,832
	-		•	
Excess (Deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		3,275,168		(4,728,905)
Fund balance at beginning of year		56,616,546		61,345,451
	-		-	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	59,891,714	\$	56,616,546
-	=			

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

				2015		
					Variance	
Project		Metro			Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name	Budget	_	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
360-10	Metro Gold Line Eastside Extension Wireless Equipment Installation \$	100,000	\$	- \$	100.000 \$	3,710
380-001	Stree Improvement Projects	13,000,000		2,191,669	10,808,331	3,026,441
400-002	Santa Clarita ITS	591,000		7,085	583,915	49,434
400-004	Gateway Cities Traffic Signal Synch I-710/Atlantic Blvd Corridor Project	428,000		-	428,000	-
400-007	Pomona Traffic Signal Forum	100,000		-	100,000	-
400-008	Gateway Cities Forum	4,738,000		3,458,899	1,279,101	3,501,762
400-010	Los Angeles County Traffic Management Center	250,000		8,550	241,450	180
400-013	I-5 Corridor Inner-County Smart Aerial Feasibility Study	80,000		-	80,000	-
400-018	East San Gabriel Valley Traffic Signal Forum	1,134,718		8,900	1,125,818	3,429
400-021	South Bay Traffic Signal Forum	3,400,000		2,174,115	1,225,885	1,226,269
400-030	Traffic Management Center Operations	985,000		466,060	518,940	446,588
400-05	Information Exchange Network	1,750,000		126,565	1,623,435	126,361
400-27	San Gabriel Valley Regional Traffic Signal Forum	3,000,000		912,842	2,087,158	2,721,964
400-28	Rosemead Blvd UUD- Fiber Optic Line	10,000		-	10,000	-
400-29	Florence Ave/Mills Signal Sychronization	700,000		-	700,000	-
400-31	Countywide Vehicle Detection Loop Replacement Program	1,000,000		(148)	1,000,148	-
400-32	Slauson Ave Corridor Improvements- Signals Project	720,000		373,511	346,489	156,840
400-33	South Bay Forum Traffic Signal Corridors Project- 2007 Call (F1311)	1,792,000		444,733	1,347,267	301,524
400-34	Gateway Cities Forum Traffic Signal Corridors Project- 2007 Call (F1312)	3,291,000		509,024	2,781,976	503,722
400-35	San Gabriel Valley Forum Traffic Signal Corridors Project- 2007 Call (F1321)	3,857,000		934,604	2,922,396	613,815
400-36	I-5 Corridor ITS Improvments	1,700,000		201,767	1,498,233	197,350
400-37	Information Exchange Network XML Command Data Interface	350,000	&	235,736	114,264	88,516
400-38	Countywide Signal Priority, Phase II	696,300		205,747	490,553	46,426
400-39	El Segundo Area ITS (Operations and Maintenance)	620,000		222,848	397,152	164,368
400-40	San Gabriel Valley Forum Traffic Signal Corridors Project - 2009 CFP	13,650,700		247,726	13,402,974	44,919
400-41	Gateway Cities Forum Traffic Signal Corridors Project - 2009 Call (F3309)	3,625,000		36,566	3,588,434	-
400-42	South Bay Forum Traffic Signal Corridors Project- 2009 Call (F3310)	2,625,000		47,715	2,577,285	-
400-43	Information Exchange Network (EIN) Phase III - 2009 Call (F3311)	3,487,000		-	3,487,000	-
400-44	Ramona Bl/Badillo St/Covina Bl TSSP/BSP - 2011 Call (F5310)	317,200		36,902	280,298	527
430-016	North County Bikeways	1,830,000		334,709	1,495,291	190,144
430-17	San Gabriel River Bike Trail	10,000		-	10,000	-
430-185	Slauson Ave- City Boundary/Overhill Dr	25,000		-	25,000	-
430-19 430-20	Eastside Ligh Rail/Bike Interface Emerald Necklace Bike Trail	1,470,000 1,800,000		169,262 47,271	1,300,738 1,752,729	305,123 83,281
430-201	170th Street East Bike Lane	580,000		131,754	448,246	99,684
430-25	Willowbrook bikeway improvement (RDC0015556)	1,750,000		66,877	1,683,123	46,868
430-26	San Gabriel River Bicycle Trail- 210' S/o Rio Hondo Bicycle Trail/239' S/o Peck F	10,000		-	-	
430-27	Palos Verdes Dr.North-Crenshaw B/Western City Limit	-		-	-	100,000
430-28	East Avenue O Bike Lane	200,000		144,293	55,707	181,453
430-30	90th Street East/87th Street East Bike Lane	250,000		114,473	135,527	173,791
430-31	Quarry Clasp/Peck Park Biker Trail	2,600,000	*	141,162	2,458,838	
430-34	Los Angeles River Regional Bike Path	13,500,000		401,331	13,098,669	- 283,288
			*			205,200
430-35	Altadena Dr. and Washington Bl Bikeway Improvements	1,960,000		376,374	1,583,626	-
430-36	South San Gabriel Bikeway Access Improvements	900,000		270,662	629,338	-
430-37	Florence-Firestone Bikeway Access Improvements	1,310,000	*	71,881	1,238,119	-
430-38	West Rancho Dominquez Bikeway Access Improvements	1,640,000	*	37,954	1,602,046	-
430-39	Charter Oak Bikeway Access Improvements	1,130,000	*	158,981	971,019	-
430-40	Bike Path Pavement Rehabilitation - Admiralty Way	750,000		18,841	731,159	12,859
430-42	Florence Metro Blue Line Station Bikeway Access Improvements	1,625,000	*	88,552	1,536,448	-

#### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (Continued) SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

#### For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

#### (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

				2015		
				2015	Variance	
Project		Metro			Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name	Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
430-43	Vermont Avenue-Manchester Av/El Segundo Bl (Bike Lane)	\$ 1,100,000	) * \$	17,047 \$	1,082,953 \$	-
440-001	Crenshaw Blvd-45 S/O Rosecrans Ave/Redondo Beach Blvd. Et. Al.	10,000	)	-	10,000	270,940
440-011	California Boulevard, Et Al	1,200,000	) &	1,127,314	72,686	31,039
440-017	Valley Bl-San Gabriel River Fwy/Temple Av	4,000,000	)	119,996	3,880,004	2,316,499
440-059	Centinela Ave, Et. Al	200,000	)	8,707	191,293	30,404
440-085	Wilmington Ave 126Th St./ El Segundo Blvd.	5,000,000	)	-	5,000,000	-
440-112	Imperial Hwy - Carmenita Rd/Valley View Ave	-		-	-	(27,927)
440-115	Mona Blvd-124th St/243' s/o 120th St.	10,000	)	(197,302)	207,302	-
440-119	Slauson Avenue-Compton Ave/Wilmington Ave.	10,000		-	10,000	(81,055)
440-122	Workman Mill Rd Oakman Dr./Don Julian Rd	1,000,000		-	1,000,000	978,719
440-123	166th St-160' w/o Eric Ave/Gridley Rd	750,000		-	750,000	-
440-134	El Segundo BI, Et Al.	3,300,000		7,828	3,292,172	(16,960)
440-135	Foothill Bl Rosemead Bl/Michillinda Av	1,500,000		95,515	1,404,485	150,073
440-139	La Brea Av-300' S/o 62nd St/Slauson Av	2,000,000		489	1,999,511	890,804
440-143	Norwalk Bl, Et Al.	3,335,000	)	302,963	3,032,037	65,349
440-147	Del Arno Bl -1,140' E/o Alameda St East/Long Beach Fwy (split from 124-440)	-		-	-	(8,380)
440-151	108th St-Denker Av/Normandie Av, Et Al.	3,700,000	) &	70,451	3,629,549	-
440-164	Slauson Avenue-San Gabriel River/Pioneer Boulevard	2,000,000	)	22,888	1,977,112	1,469,495
440-167	Colima Rd - Azusa Avenue/Nogales Street	-		(1,176)	1,176	1,176
440-168	Seventh Avenue-Clark Avenue/Gale Avenue	-		-	-	(320)
440-180	Admiralty Way Settlement Repair Project	-		(142)	142	(866)
440-184	50th Street W - Avenue K/Avenue N	700,000	) &	608,912	91,088	-
440-186	Colima Road Et Al Phase 2	-		-	-	4,217
440-188	Heller Circle - Whites Cy R/Plum Cy Rd (North)	600,000	) &	171,586	428,414	185,119
440-190	Gale Avenue - Hacienda Boulevard/Stimson Avenue	10,000		-	10,000	3,506
440-191	Wilshire Bl, Et Al. (RDC0015533)	3,800,000		553,283	3,246,717	276,214
440-192	Washington Bl, et. al. (formerly Valley View Av et. al)	5,500,000	) &	192,422	5,307,578	-
440-193	Hacienda Boulevard at Gale Avenue	4,000,000	)	233,252	3,766,748	111,754
440-195	Marina Del Rey Street Improvements - Marina Del Ray (RDC0015781)	1,500,000	)	139,707	1,360,293	3,154,700
440-196	Via Marina St-Panay Way to 1727' S/O Bora Way	1,500,000	)	142,995	1,357,006	106,499
440-197	Huntington Dr - San Gabriel BI/Michilinda Ave	3,000,000	)	77,237	2,922,763	337,486
440-199	New York Dr - Lake Ave to 120 ft W/O Altadena Dr	2,400,000	)	35,345	2,364,655	113,930
440-200	Fiji Way Road-Improvements (RDC0015796)	1,000,000	)	134,239	865,761	269,784
440-201	East Los Angeles Community Roadway Improvement	1,000,000	)	287,284	712,716	286,624
440-204	Valley Boulevard - 400ft to 1100 ft east of Workman Mill Road	550,000	)	-	550,000	18,073
440-205	Pennsylvania Av - Markridge Rd/Montrose Av	700,000	) *	58,721	641,279	-
440-206	Leffingwell Rd - Telegraph Rd/La Mirada Bl	1,165,000	) *	521	1,164,479	-
440-207	Imperial Hwy and Telegraph Rd Roadway Improvements - La Mirada	450,000		-	450,000	-
450-024	Aviation Bl - Imperial/Manhattan Beach	400,000		-	400,000	_
450-42	Nogales Street Grade Separation	150,000		_	150,000	-
450-43	Admiralty Way at Via Marina			_	-	(3,719)
450-44	Admiralty Way at Palawan Way, Et Al.	100,000	<b>`</b>		100,000	10,048
430-44 460-148	North County/Antelope Valley Traffic Forum Improvement Project	250,000		- 64,224	185,776	10,048
400-148	Countywide Pavement Management System Program	1,000,000		911,858	88,142	- 794,258
470-002 470-01	Pavement Management Field Evaluation Equipment	350,000			350,000	124,230
480-001	Traffic Signal Synchronization Program Training	150,000		2,620	147,380	3,127
480-014	Proposition C Project Planning & Coordination	1,480,000		850,292	629,708	1,506,586
			_			
	Total expenditures	\$ 162,207,918	\$ \$	21,464,868 \$	140,733,050 \$	27,947,832

## Note

\* New project in 2015.

& Amended project in 2015.

## PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

# June 30, 2015

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance 6/30/2015
	<b>1</b>					 
2004	Video Wall Screen	\$	469,773	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 469,773
2005	Plotter HP Design Jet 815		19,070	-	-	19,070
2005	Dell Poweredge Server 1850		27,161	-	-	27,161
2006	Router Cisco 3825 Integrated		8,831	-	8,831	-
2007	Dell Poweredge Server 1950		5,242	-	-	5,242
2009	Server Dell Poweredge 2950		5,573	-	-	5,573
2009	Cisco 3845 Router		18,647	-	-	18,647
2009	Network Firewall Cisco ASA 5520		7,709	-	-	7,709
2009	Video Wall Screen For Traffic Mgmt Ctr		15,112	-	-	15,112
2010	Cisco Catalyst 4948-10GE		13,778	-	-	13,778
2010	Cisco Catalyst 4948-10GE		13,778	-	-	13,778
2012	Plotter HP Design Jet T1200 HD MFP		16,596	-	-	16,596
2012	Server HP BLC7000 CTO3		7,768	-	-	7,768
2014	Server HP BLC7000 CTO3		14,828	-	-	14,828
2014	Firewall Palo Alto Networks PA-500		16,525	-	-	16,525
2015	SERVER HP BLC7000 CTO3	_	-	 3,222	-	 3,222
	Total	\$	660,391	\$ 3,222	\$ 8,831	\$ 654,782

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	2014
ASSETS	_		
Cash and investments	\$	22,862,665	\$ 20,759,055
Interest receivable		42,637	37,481
Accounts receivable		82,944	235,023
Due from other funds		173,357	137,393
Advances to other funds	_	254,300	 292,400
Total assets	\$	23,415,903	\$ 21,461,352
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	61,883	\$ 479,674
Due to other funds		419,922	598,976
Deferred revenue	_	924	 443
Total liabilities	-	482,729	 1,079,093
Fund Balance			
Restricted	_	22,933,174	 20,382,259
Total fund balance	-	22,933,174	 20,382,259
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	23,415,903	\$ 21,461,352

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015		2014
REVENUES	-		-	
Measure R	\$	11,449,082	\$	10,930,389
Interest income		140,882		131,103
Other revenues	-	239,098	-	325,202
Total revenues	-	11,829,062	-	11,386,694
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	\$	9,278,147	\$	9,877,046
Total expenditures	-	9,278,147	-	9,877,046
Excess of revenues over expenditures		2,550,915		1,509,648
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	20,382,259	-	18,872,611
Fund balance at end of year	\$	22,933,174	\$	20,382,259

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

					2015				
Drojaat			Matur				Variance Favorable		2014
Project Code	Project Name		Metro Budget		Actual		(Unfavorable)		2014 Actual
1.05	Valley Bl., Et Al.	- <u>-</u>	680,000			• <u>-</u>	680,000	±	
1.05	Overhill Drive - Stocker Street to Slauson Avenue	φ	300,000	φ	-	φ	300,000	Þ	-
1.05	Walnut Grove ave., Et Al.		2,800,000		-		2,800,000		-
1.05	Mc Donnell Ave, Et Al.		2,000,000		259,416		1,740,584		500,842
	7th Avenue form Gale Avenue to Palm Avenue		2,000,000		239,410		1,740,384		
1.05	Del Amo Bl - Normandie Av/Vermont Av		-		-		-		7,982
1.05			5,000,000		-		5,000,000		66,419
1.05	Firestone BI - Central Ave to Graham, Et Al.		1,500,000		(88,719)		1,588,719		99,587
1.05	Roadway improvement in North County area		650,000		-		650,000		-
1.05	Roadway improvement in South County area		860,000		-		860,000		-
1.05	Roadway improvement in East County area		650,000		-		650,000		-
1.05	Ballentine Place, Et Al.		2,500,000		163,413		2,336,587		204,664
1.05	Avenue G over SR14 Fwy		10,000		159		9,841		-
1.05	Olympiad Dr, Et Al.		500,000		318,368		181,632		3,519,268
1.05	Tranbarger St, Et Al.		3,300,000		2,992,278		307,722		149,045
1.05	Pathfinder Rd - Fullerton Rd/1,300' E/o Fullerton Rd		750,000		-		750,000		-
1.05	Mureau Road, Et Al. (formerly known as Marks Road, Et Al.)		300,000		-		300,000		431
1.05	2nd Street, Et Al		-		-		-		430,216
1.05	75th St East, Et Al., Ph 1 (Pavement Preserv)		3,000,000		1,980,073		1,019,927		75,908.00
1.05	Lennox Community project, 105th St, Et Al.		1,000,000		34,151		965,849		231,022
1.05	Porctor Avenue - 7th Avenue to 9th Avenue (ABO)		-		-		-		4,629
1.05	Central Avenue Median Landscaping Improvements		10,000		439		9,561		329,678
1.05	Whiteside St, Et Al.		2,000,000		-		2,000,000		93,986
1.05	Southridge Avenue, Et Al.		730,000		-		730,000		-
1.05	Templin Hwy at MM 0.30		600,000		25,530		574,470		1,042
1.05	Angeles Forest Hwy, Et Al, Phase 2		500,000		61,386		438,614		443,847
1.05	Gorman Post Rd, E Al., Phase 2		-		-		-		323,294
1.05	Garo St, Et AL. (formerly Santa Bianca Rd, Et Al.)		2,000,000		352,146		1,647,854		338,383
1.05	Lennox Community Improvements, Phase 2 (formerly West 104th)		600,000		32,323		567,677		86,709
1.05	Stonyvale Rd - 3696' w/o Vogel Flats RD/Vogel Flats Rd		-		-		-		143,943
1.2	Cesar E Chavez/Lorena/Indiana-Interestion Improvement		800,000		-		800,000		-
1.25	Lark Ellen Avenue - Arrow Highway to south of Cypress Street		120,000		-		120,000		-
1.25	San Bernardino Rd - Nora Av/Yaleton Av (sidewalk)		100,000		-		100,000		-
1.25	75th St East, Et Al., Ph 2 (Concrete Work)		500,000		201,094		298,906		6,168
1.3	Mayflower Avenue south of Lynd Avenue		300,000		162,395		137,605		92,810
1.3	Slauson Avenue Revitalization (fromerly Slauson Ave from La Brea to West of Angeles Vista Bl)		1,500,000		375,167		1,124,833		473,147
1.3	Parklets East Los Angeles		400,000		36,167		363,833		-
1.3	Parklets East Los Angeles (General Liability Insurance)		250,000		-		250,000		-
1.3	Avocado Heights Multiuse Trail		500,000		235,962		264,038		1,023,197
1.3	City Terrace Greening Project		600,000		527,829		72,171		_
1.3	Willowbrook Streetscape Improvement Project		1,000,000		602,777		397,223		648,374
1.3	Main St, Avalon Bl, and Rosecrans Av Landscape Improvements		350,000		326,898		23,102		-
1.3	Hawthorne Bl and Atlantic Avenue Street Improvements		100,000		40,379		59,621		47,669
1.3	Willowbrook Green Alley Project		200,000		108,647		91,353		-
1.9	Other street improvments including ADA throughout the County		2 000 000				2 000 000		
1.9	(Antelope Valley)		2,000,000		-		2,000,000		-

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

# For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

			2015							
		_			Variance					
Project			Metro		Favorable	2014				
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual				
1.9	Other street improvments including ADA throughout the County (LA Basin)	\$	2,000,000 \$	- \$	2,000,000 \$	109,228				
2.01	Traffic signal upgrades at various locations (Antelope Valley)		1,500,000	-	1,500,000	-				
2.01	30th St at Rancho Vista Bl (Avenue P)		412,000	-	412,000	-				
2.01	Traffic signal upgrades at various locations (LA Basin)		1,500,000	-	1,500,000	-				
2.03	Traffic Signal and traffic calming devices		215,000	-	215,000	-				
3.05	Woodbury Road-Windsor Ave/Santa Anita Ave,		300,000	247,826	52,174	112,787				
3.05	Calvary Cemetery Pedestrian Path		200,000	79,450	120,550	-				
3.05	Atwater Landing Project		250,000	-	250,000	-				
3.05	San Jose Creek Bike Trail, Phase 2a		1,000,000	-	1,000,000	11,230				
3.2	103rd Street Improvements (Watts Streetscape Enhancements)		1,000,000	338	999,662	672				
4.05	SD 5 - North County Connector (AV to Santa Clarita Valley)		300,000	14,627	285,373	206,878				
4.05	SD 5 - Expansion of service in North County		50,000	-	50,000	-				
6.2	ExperienceLA 3.0 Mobility in the Cloud		94,800	94,752	48	-				
7.1	Arrow Highway Corridor Study		100,000	-	100,000	-				
7.2	SD 5 - Regional Higway Project Development (High Desert		250,000	-	250,000	-				
7.9	Fullerton Road Corridor Improvement (formerly Fullerton Rd from		200,000	-	200,000	-				
7.9	CMP- Congestion mitigation fee study in North County		520,000	-	520,000	-				
7.9	School Walkability Program		25,000	-	25,000	-				
8.1	Project Administration		200,000	92,874	107,126	93,990				
	Total expenditures	\$	51,076,800 \$	9,278,147 \$	41,798,653 \$	9,877,045				

# MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

# SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date				Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2015
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$_	-

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

## BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015	2014
ASSETS	•		
Cash and investments	\$	484,329	\$ 644,411
Accounts receivable (Note 7)		6,000	-
Due from other funds		8,861	816
Interest receivable	-	1,574	1,298
Total assets	\$	500,764	\$ 646,525
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	19,823	\$ 28,109
Due to other funds	-	211,887	553,561
Total liabilities	-	231,710	581,670
Fund Balance			
Reserved		269,054	64,855
Total fund balance	*	269,054	64,855
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	500,764	\$ 646,525

\* As of June 30, 2015, the County has an unspent fund balance of \$254,381 as computed by the ending fund balance of \$269,054 less the "Encumbrance" of \$8,673 and "Account Receivable" of \$6,000. However, Metro granted the City a waiver for the return of the unspent TDA 3 funds until June 30, 2016.

Fund balance at end of year	\$ 269,054
Account receivable	(8,673)
Encumbrance	 (6,000)
Unspent funds to be returned to MTA	\$ 254,381

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Payment from prior year reserve (Note 13)	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,400,000
Interest income	5,365	3,413
Other revenues	18,141	
Total revenues	1,923,506	1,403,413
EXPENDITURES		
Bikeway Fund	\$ 1,719,307	\$ 1,915,678
Total expenditures	1,719,307	1,915,678
Excess (Deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	204,199	(512,265)
Fund balance at beginning of year	64,855	577,120
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 269,054	\$ 64,855

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

# SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	_	Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
<b>Local allocations</b> Road reconstruction & maintenance activties	2015	\$	1,900,000	\$_	1,719,307	\$	180,693	Ongoing
Tota	ıl	\$_	1,900,000	\$_	1,719,307	=	180,693	
Interest income and other revenu	ies						23,506	
Fund balance at beginning of year	ar					-	64,855	
Fund balance at end of year						\$_	269,054	

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 8 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99400)

# BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Interest Receivable	\$ 2,913	\$ 
Total assets	\$ 2,913	\$ 
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 	\$ 
Total liabilities		
Fund Balance		
Reserved	2,913	2,631
Total fund balance	2,913	2,631
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 2,913	\$ 2,631

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 8 FUND

# STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

#### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015	2014
REVENUES	-		
Payment from FY 2013-14 allocation	\$	-	\$ 4,069,061
Payment from FY 2014-15 allocation		4,263,930	-
Interest Income	-	2,913	2,928
Total revenues	-	4,266,843	4,071,989
EXPENDITURES			
Construction	\$	4,263,930	\$ 4,071,989
Total expenditures	-	4,263,930	4,071,989
Excess of revenues over expenditures		2,913	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	-	2,631
Fund balance at end of year	\$	2,913	\$ 2,631

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 8 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

# SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

## For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	_	Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
<b>Local allocations</b> Road reconstruction/Division Street, et al. and Road Maintenance Activities	2015	\$	4,263,930	\$	4,263,930	\$	-	Ongoing
Total		\$_	4,263,930	\$	4,263,930	=	-	
Interest accumulated during the year							2,913	
Fund balance at beginning of year						-	-	
Fund balance at end of year						\$	2,913	

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

## NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F), and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (TDAA48F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the County's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (TDAA8F) is pooled with other County monies in the Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund accounts for the County's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 8 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

## Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

## NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

## Unrealized Gain (Loss)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the County recognizes the unrealized gain (loss).

## Due from other funds / Due to other funds

The County freezes its cash account during the closing process. Accordingly, all cash receipts and disbursements occurring subsequent to June 30<sup>th</sup> but before the fiscal year's closing August 15<sup>th</sup> are temporarily recorded in these clearing accounts, which are formally reversed in the subsequent period.

## Other Revenues

The PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F other revenues represent work performed on various transit-related projects for other cities or agencies. The County recognizes revenues based on the contractual or Joint Powers Authorities (JPA) agreement, which outlines monies, to be received at various completions stages of the projects.

#### Reimbursements

The PARLF reimbursements represent monies received from other cities, agencies or private parties for expenditures incurred in the previous years on joint transit-related projects. For the years ending June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014 the County received \$1,210,189 and \$1,497,521, respectively.

#### Rents and Concessions

The County occasionally rents out its parking facilities and vehicles used for PALRF transit-related projects to private parties or filmmakers. For the years ending June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the County collected \$652 and \$1,252, respectively.

## NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and 8, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

# NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

# NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

## NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F cash balances were pooled with various other County funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments on its external investment pools.

## NOTE 7 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLES

The PALRF, PCLRF, and TDAA3F accounts receivable balances represent monies to be paid to the County as of June 30, 2015 for work performed on various transit-related projects from other cities and agencies based on contractual or Joint Powers Authorities (JPA) agreements. The County receives monies at various stages of completion. The balances as of June 30, 2015 consist of the following:

## PARLF

<u>City / Agency</u>	2015	2014
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	\$ 775,645	\$ 2,254,093
City of La Canada Flintridge	8,982	-
Department of Transportation	483,600	-
Total	\$ 1,268,227	\$ 2,254,093

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

## NOTE 7 – ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLES (Continued)

## PCLRF

The County currently has on-going projects in the San Gabriel Valley, Gateway Cities (South Los Angeles & East Los Angeles) and South Bay regions funded through Metro's "Call for Projects Signal Synchronization and Bus Speed Improvements Mode". The balances as of June 30, 2015 consist of the following:

City / Agency	2015	2014
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	\$ 8,174,953	\$ 8,617,255
Department of Transportation	912,561	624,850
Total	\$ 9,087,514	\$ 9,242,105

#### Measure R

The County has performed work on the following Measure R approved projects; however, they expect to be reimbursed from another funding source for the following project(s) at June 30, 2015 and 2014:

City/Agency	2015	2014
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority	\$ 923	\$ 98,950
Department of Transportation	82,021	136,073
Total	\$ 82,944	\$ 235,023

#### TDAA3F

The County has performed work on the following TDAA3F approved projects; however, they expect to be reimbursed from another funding source for the following project(s).

City/Agency	Project / Brief Description	Amount
Department of Transportation	Budlong Ave -Manchester Av/El Segundo Blvd	\$ 6,000
Total		\$ 6,000

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

## NOTE 8 – DEFERRED REVENUE

## PALRF

The PARLF deferred revenue amounting to \$105,700 and \$0 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 respectively, represents monies received in advance from other cities or agencies for various PALRF transitrelated projects for work not yet performed. As the work is completed based on the contractual agreement, the County formally recognizes revenue.

## PCLRF

The PCRLF deferred revenue amounting to \$4,312,243 and \$4,818,372 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 respectively, represents monies received in advance from other cities or agencies for various PCLRF transit-related projects for work not yet performed. As the work is completed based on the contractual agreement, the County formally recognizes revenue.

#### Measure R

The Measure R deferred revenue amounting to \$923 and \$443 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 respectively, represents monies received in advance from other cities or agencies for various Measure R transit-related projects for work not yet performed. As the work is completed based on the contractual agreement, the County formally recognizes revenue.

## NOTE 9 – ADVANCES TO ISF FUNDS

The County utilizes an internal service fund to pay for all payroll, equipment and material usage between departments. In order to maintain cash for the "Advances to ISF Account" all County funds allocate based on its funds size a pro-rata portion of its cash. The PALRF and PCLRF amounts are primarily used to cover the cost of payroll usage from other departments and evaluated every two years. As of June 30, 2015 PALFR and PCLRF contributed the balances of \$193,700 and \$684,500, respectively.

## NOTE 10 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$1,621,245 and \$1,464,652 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 respectively, represent additional funds received from Metro to provide the Sub-Regional Paratransit projects and for participating Voluntary NTD data reporting programs.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

### NOTE 11 – SALE OF CAPITAL ASSETS - PALRF

During the year ended June 30, 2015 and June 30, 2014, the County disposed of surplus transit vehicles and received \$50,208 and \$2,922, respectively, in proceeds. The proceeds were recorded as revenue under PALRF.

## NOTE 12 - CAPITAL RESERVE ACCOUNT - PCLRF

On July 23, 2011 Metro and the County entered into an agreement to establish a capital reserve account (Account) for the El Pueblo Transit Hub Safety Project and several major street improvement projects in the initial amount of \$24,639,000. The funding of the Account will continue through Proposition C allocations at the discretion of the County until June 30, 2016. If the project is not completed by June 30, 2016 any unexpended funds shall lapse and be returned to Metro.

Per agreement all interest is accrued and placed in the capital reserve amount for use exclusively for the El Pueblo Transit Hub Safety Project and any street improvement projects designated in the Account.

		2015	2014
Beginning capital reserve balance at July 1,	\$	10,861,131	\$ 13,809,926
Additional reserve during the year		-	-
Interest income for FY 2014		-	77,646
Interest income for FY 2015		68,192	-
Activities during the year	-	(2,191,669)	(3,026,441)
Ending capital reserve balance at June 30,	\$	8,737,654	\$ 10,861,131

# NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

#### NOTE 13 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

#### TDAA3F

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
FY 2012-13 Allocation	\$ 228,511	\$ -
FY 2013-14 Allocation	1,671,489	1,400,000
FY 2014-15 Allocation	-	-
Total	\$ 1,900,000	\$ 1,400,000

#### TDAA8F

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 amounting to \$4,263,930 and \$4,069,061 respectively represent additional funds received from Metro which can be used for street and road projects or transit projects.

#### NOTE 14 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the County has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2012-13 Allocation	\$ -	\$ 228,511
FY 2013-14 Allocation	167,076	1,839,565
FY 2014-15 Allocation	1,497,998	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 1,666,074	\$ 2,068,076

#### NOTE 15 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The County has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through December 5, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 8 (TDA 8) Fund of the County of Los Angeles, California (County), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 5, 2015.

## **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the County's local return funds and TDAA3F local fund financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon & Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 17, 2015

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

# **Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the County of Los Angeles, California (County) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and Transportation Development Act Article 8 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the County's compliance with those guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of County's compliance with those requirements.

#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the County of Los Angeles complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDA 3) Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 8 (TDA 8) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.





### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the County of Los Angeles is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs, TDAA3F and TDAA8F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 17, 2015

# **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES** COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Compliance Requirement		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and			
compliance requirement		Yes No		Costs	management response.			
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds								
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None				
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	Х		None				
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	Х		None				
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	X		None				
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	Х		None				
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None				
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None				
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	Х		None				
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	Х		None				
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None				

# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and management response.		
		Yes No		Costs			
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	X		None			
<b>B.</b> M	leasure R Local Return Fund						
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None			
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None			
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	X		None			
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None			
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None			
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None			
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None			
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None			
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None			

# COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

	Compliance Requirement		n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
			No	Costs	management response.		
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	X		None			
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	X		None			
С. Т	ransportation Development Act	t Article	e 3 Funo	1			
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None			
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X		None			
D. T	ransportation Development Act	t Article	e 8 Funo	d			
1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None			
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to construction, maintenance and operations of streets and highways.	X		None			

HOLLYWOOD BOWL PARK AND RIDE REGIONAL TRANSIT PROJECT



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

## **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles, Department of the Public Works and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited the compliance of the County of Los Angeles, California (County) with the provisions of the Memorandum of Understanding for Specialized Transportation Services MOU Number MOU.PO1 LACHB (MOU) between the County's Department of Public Works and Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority for the Hollywood Bowl Park and Ride Regional Transit Project for the year ended June 30, 2015. Management is responsible for the County's compliance with those requirements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the MOU and attestation standards published by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and, accordingly, included examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with these requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the County of Los Angeles complied, in all material respects, with the aforementioned requirements for the year ended June 30, 2015.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the County of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California December 17, 2015



## **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

# HOLLYWOOD BOWL PARK AND RIDE REGIONAL TRANSIT PROJECT SUMMARY OF REPORTED AND AUDITED PROJECT EXPENDITURES SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015

## TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATION

On June 18, 2014, Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation (Metro) approved \$1,057,000 in transit fund allocations to the Los Angeles County Department of Public Works (Grantee) to subsidize the Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Project (Project) for Fiscal Year Ending June 30, 2015.

#### AGREEMENT

On January 9, 2009, Metro and the Grantee entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) amending their original agreement. In accordance with Section 2.2 of the MOU, the Grantee funds must be approved by Metro each fiscal year. The funds are available on a reimbursement basis limited to the lesser of (1) Amount approved by Metro; or 87% of Grantee's actual operating expenses less farebox revenue.

### SUMMARY OF REPORTED AND AUDITED PROJECT EXPENDITURES

The amount of Grantee funds allocated for "reimbursement" for the fiscal ending June 30, 2015 was \$1,057,000 as noted below:

			Reported			Audited
			Project	Audit		Project
	<b>Brief Description</b>		Expenditure	Adjustment		Expenditure
	Total Project Costs (Operating)	\$	1,473,829 \$	-	\$	1,473,829
	Percentage allowable per Agreement	-	87%		-	87%
A	87% of Total Project Cost (\$1,473,829 * 87%)		1,282,231	-		1,282,231
B	Metro Approved - Transit fund allocation		1,057,000	-		1,057,000
	Lesser of					
	<ul><li>A) 87% of Total Project Costs or</li><li>B) Metro Approved Transit Fund Allocation</li></ul>	\$	1,057,000 \$		\$	1,057,000
	b) Metro Approved Fransii Fund Anocation	ψ	1,057,000 \$	-	φ	1,037,000

Schedule Of Findings And Recommendations

# **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

No findings were noted.

**EXIT CONFERENCE** 

## **COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

# PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 8 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on December 23, 2015 with the County of Los Angeles. Those in attendance were:

## Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Jennifer Wang, Auditor

### County's Representative:

Gan BoonKheng, Internal Audit Group Fiona Dang, Internal Audit Group

## Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and non-compliance issues with LACMTA's Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following County representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Gan BoonKheng, Internal Audit Group