CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014



CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplemental Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Financial Statements	18
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance Compliance Matrix Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	24 26 29
EXIT CONFERENCE	30

FINANCIAL SECTION



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Beverly Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) of the City of Beverly Hills, California (City) as of and for the years then June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Beverly Hills, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in their fund balances for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Beverly Hills, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in financial position thereof for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our Opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Other Matters

Other Information

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the City of Beverly Hills, California's PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F Fund financial statements as a whole. The accompanying supplemental information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements. The supplemental information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The supplemental information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements attements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects, in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Governmental Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 30, 2015, on our consideration of the City of Beverly Hills' internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* and should be considered in assessing the results of our audit.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California November 30, 2015

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	1,788,654	\$	1,636,171
Due from MTA		20,305		27,312
Due from Measure R		27,312		-
Interest receivable	_	4,331	• •	4,453
Total assets	\$ =	1,840,602	\$	1,667,936
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities	*			
Accounts payable	\$	218,296	\$	136,281
Accrued payroll		-		-
Deferred revenue	_	2,776	•	3,544
Total liabilities	_	221,072		139,825
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,619,530		1,528,111
Total fund balance	_	1,619,530		1,528,111
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,840,602	\$	1,667,936

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2014	2013
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 610,036	\$ 585,425
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants (Note 7)	256,722	35,326
Project generated revenue (Note 8)	52,735	39,974
Interest income	19,972	19,934
Unrealized gain (loss) (Note 1)	(2,241)	5,276
Total revenues	937,224	685,935
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	845,805	807,987
Total expenditures	845,805	807,987
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	91,419	(122,052)
Net change in fund balance	91,419	(122,052)
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,528,111	1,650,163
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,619,530	\$ 1,528,111

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

		2015					
				Variance			
Project		Metro		Favorable	2014		
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual		
120-02	Trolley \$	65,000 \$	35,396 \$	\$ 29,604 \$	34,859		
130-01	Senior Shuttle	725,000	674,391	50,609	653,143		
240-01	Taxi Coupon Program	150,000	121,260	28,740	107,833		
250-01	MTA Bus Pass Subsidy	25,000	14,757	10,243	12,152		
480-02	Direct Administration	1	-	1	-		
	Total expenditures \$	965,001 \$	845,804 \$	§ <u> </u>	807,987		

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date					Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
2009	Trolleys			\$	164,000	\$ _	\$ -	\$ 164,000
			Total	\$_	164,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 164,000

Total cost of Vehicle	\$	491,698
Less: Amount funded by the vehicle gran	t	327,698
Net amount funded by PALRF	\$	164,000

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	3,229,031	\$	3,023,772
Interest receivable		8,490		9,324
Total assets	\$ _	3,237,521	\$	3,033,096
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accrued payroll	\$	155,616	\$	-
Deferred revenue		5,425		5,059
Total liabilities	_	161,041	· _	5,059
Fund Balance				
Capital reserve		2,500,000		690,051
Restricted	_	576,480		2,337,986
Total fund balance	_	3,076,480		3,028,037
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	3,237,521	\$	3,033,096

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014		
REVENUES				
Proposition C	\$ 506,938	\$ 486,431		
Interest income	38,291	38,765		
Unrealized gain (loss)	(6,911)	9,784		
Total revenues	538,318	534,980		
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	489,875	335,280		
Total expenditures	489,875	335,280		
Excess of revenues over expenditures	48,443	199,700		
Net change in fund balance	48,443	199,700		
Fund balance at beginning of year	3,028,037	2,828,337		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 3,076,480	\$ 3,028,037		

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

		_				
					Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
380-01	Santa Monica Boulevard Improvement Project	\$	1,750,000 \$	455,506 \$	5 1,294,494 \$	335,280
430-01	Bicycle Route/Lane Study		50,000	34,369	15,631	-
480-02	Direct Administration	_	1	-	1	-
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,800,001 \$	489,875 \$	5 1,310,126 \$	335,280

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date A aquirad		Description			Balance	Additiona	Deletions	Balance
Acquired		Description		· -	7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
	None			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ _

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

		2015		2014
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	2,022,942	\$	1,600,454
Interest receivable	_	4,830	. <u> </u>	4,305
Total assets	\$ _	2,027,772	\$	1,604,759
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Deferred revenue	\$	3,082	\$	2,124
Due to Prop A	_	27,312	· -	-
Total liabilities	_	30,394	· _	2,124
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,997,378	. <u> </u>	1,602,635
Total fund balance	_	1,997,379		1,602,635
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	2,027,773	\$	1,604,759

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2015	2014
REVENUES		
Measure R	\$ 379,593	\$ 362,396
Interest income	19,702	17,445
Unrealized gain (loss)	(4,551)	4,188
Total revenues	394,744	384,029
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	-	-
Total expenditures	-	-
Excess of revenues over expenditures	394,744	384,029
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,602,635	1,218,606
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,997,379	\$ 1,602,635

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2014)

				2015		
		_			Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2014
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	 (Unfavorable)	Actual
	None	\$	-	\$ -	\$ - \$	
	Total expenditures	\$	-	\$ -	\$ \$	-

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2015

Date					Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2014	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2015
	None			\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ _
			Total	\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS JUNE 30

	 2015	_	2014
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ -	\$	-
Total assets	\$ -	\$	-
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to other funds	\$ 1,989	\$	1,989
Total liabilities	 1,989		1,989
Fund Balance			
Reserved	 (1,989)		(1,989)
Total fund balance	 (1,989)		(1,989)
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	\$	_

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2015	2014
REVENUES	-		
Drawdown FY2014-15 Apportionment	\$	22,774	-
Payment from Prior Years Reserve	-	85,738	 -
Total revenues	-	108,512	
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	-	108,512	 -
Total expenditures		108,512	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	-	-	
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of year	-	(1,989)	 (1,989)
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year	\$	(1,989)	\$ (1,989)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the financial statements.

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2015

Project Description	Program Year	 Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Street and Sidewalk Improvements	2015	\$ 108,512	\$ 108,512	\$	-	complete
Total		\$ 108,512	\$ 108,512	=	-	
Fund balance (deficit) at beginning of	of year			_	(1,989)	
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year				\$	(1,989)	

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF) and Proposition C Local Return Funds (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20% respectively, of the ¹/₂ cent Proposition A and ¹/₂ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF represents 15% of the ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss)

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss).

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs.

NOTE 5 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities.

NOTE 6 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 7 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT

Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$20,305 and \$35,326 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, represent additional funds received from Metro for participating in the National Transit Database Reporting Program.

Additionally, Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$123,579.45 and \$112,836.60 for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, represent additional funds received in FY 2014-15 from Metro for providing Dial-A-Ride services.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 8 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE

Project generated revenue from PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	 2015		2014
Trolley Fares	\$ 35,798	\$	25,615
Taxi Coupon	11,515		9,003
MTA Pass Program	 5,422	_	5,356
	\$ 52,735	\$	39,974

NOTE 9 - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

	2015	2014
FY 2010-11 reserve	\$ 14,529	\$ -
FY 2011-12 reserve	17,466	-
FY 2012-13 reserve	25,784	-
FY 2013-14 reserve	27,959	-
FY 2014-15 reserve	22,774	
Total Payment requested	\$ 108,512	\$

NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	 2015	 2014
FY 2010-11 allocation	\$ -	\$ 14,529
FY 2011-12 allocation	-	17,466
FY 2012-13 allocation	-	25,784
FY 2013-14 allocation	-	27,959
FY 2014-15 allocation	-	-
Available reserve balance	\$ -	\$ 85,738

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 (Continued)

NOTE 11 – CAPITAL RESERVE

On July 1, 2010, LACMTA and the City entered into an agreement, CRA.PCBVH11, to establish a capital reserve account (Account) of \$625,000 for the Santa Monica Boulevard Improvement Project. The account is funded with the Proposition C Local Return funds allocated to the City. On June 30, 2011, LACMTA and the City amended the MOU to increase Proposition C Local Return funds to \$1,625,000 and extend the lapsing date to June 30, 2015. During fiscal year 2015, LACMTA and the City amended the MOU to increase Proposition C Local Return funds to \$2,500,000 and extend the lapsing date to June 30, 2018. All interest accrued is placed in the Account for use exclusively for the said project. If the project is not completed by June 30, 2018, any unexpended funds shall lapse and be returned to Metro.

For the year ended June 30, 2015, the capital reserve amount for Proposition C Local Return funds is as follows:

625,000
1,000,000
875,000
2,500,000
(64,480)
(453,736)
(81,453)
(335,280)
(455,506)
1,109,545

NOTE 12 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2015 through November 30, 2015, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Beverly Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund of the City of Beverly Hills, California (City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 30, 2015.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's local return funds and TDAA3F financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instance of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon & Simpon

Los Angeles, California November 30, 2015

COMPLIANCE SECTION



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Beverly Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Beverly Hills, California (City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with those guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a local return program and the transportation development Act Article 3 occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City of Beverly Hills complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that apply to Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 (TDAA3F) Fund for the year ended June 30, 2015.





Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City of Beverly Hills, California (City) is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the Requirements that could have a direct and material effect on the Local Return programs and the TDAA3F to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Requirements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance under the Requirements on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Requirements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Simpon é Simpon

Los Angeles, California November 30, 2015

COMPLIANCE MATRIX

Year Ended June 30, 2015

	Compliance Requirement		pliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and					
			No	Costs	management response.					
A. P	A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds									
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None						
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	X		None						
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	x		None						
4.	Expenditures did not exceed 25% of Metro's approved budget.	x		None						
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None						
6.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X		None						
7.	Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	x		None						
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	x		None						
9.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None						
10.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	X		None						
11.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the PALRF and PCLRF accounts.	x		None						

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Com	pliance	Questioneu	If no, provide details and management response.		
			No	Costs			
B. M	leasure R Local Return Fund			· · · · · ·			
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None			
2.	Expenditures were approved before being incurred.	x		None			
3.	Funds were used on approved projects only and expenditures were supported and allowable per Guidelines.	x		None			
4.	Fund were not substituted for property tax.	X		None			
5.	Administrative expenses were within the 20% cap.	X		None			
6.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None			
7.	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None			
8.	Cash or cash equivalents were maintained.	X		None			
9.	Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation were adequate.	x		None			
10.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues, and interest income were properly credited to the Measure R account.	x		None			
11.	Funds were not used to supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purpose.	x		None			

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2015 (Continued)

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioneu	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	x		None	

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2015

No findings were noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUNDS, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2015

An exit conference was held on November 30, 2015 via telephone with the City of Beverly Hills. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Channing Wong, Semi-Senior Auditor Jennifer Wang, Staff Auditor

City's Representative:

Sandra Skorkaite, Accountant II Roza Jakabffy, Accounting Manager

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant financial and compliance issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representatives for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Sandra Skorkaite, Accountant II Roza Jakabffy, Accounting Manager Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF BEVERLY HILLS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 for the City of Beverly Hills and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,

Name

Title

Date