



MetroTM

**City of Alhambra
Annual Financial Report of its**

**Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund**

**As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014
with Report of Independent Auditors**

An Independently Owned Member
McGLADREY ALLIANCE



 **Vasquez**
& Company LLP
Certified Public Accountants and Business Consultants

	<u>PAGE</u>
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	5
Schedule of Capital Assets	6
Proposition C Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	8
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	9
Schedule of Capital Assets	10
Measure R Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	13
Schedule of Capital Assets	14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	15
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance	24
Compliance Matrix	26
EXIT CONFERENCE	28

FINANCIAL SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors

**To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the
City of Alhambra, California and the
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Alhambra, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Alhambra, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 1, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Vasquez & Company LLP".

Los Angeles, California
March 1, 2016

**City of Alhambra
Proposition A Local Return Fund
Balance Sheets**

		June 30	
		2015	2014
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,788,594	\$ 911,352
Accounts receivable		6,225	4,350
Total assets	\$	1,794,819	\$ 915,702
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	82,471	\$ 102,051
Total liabilities		82,471	102,051
Fund balance			
Restricted		1,712,348	813,651
Total fund balance		1,712,348	813,651
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,794,819	\$ 915,702

See notes to financial statements.

City of Alhambra
Proposition A Local Return Fund
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

	Years ended June 30	
	2015	2014
Revenues		
Proposition A	\$ 1,489,808	\$ 1,429,704
Investment income	4,828	2,123
Project generated revenue	129,475	123,618
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant	143,212	136,556
Miscellaneous revenues - bus shelter advertising	25,510	26,679
Total revenues	1,792,833	1,718,680
Expenditures		
Various projects	894,136	1,758,496
Total expenditures	894,136	1,758,496
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	898,697	(39,816)
Fund balance at beginning of year	813,651	853,467
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,712,348	\$ 813,651

See notes to financial statements.

City of Alhambra
Proposition A Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget
Year ended June 30, 2015
Actual for 2014

Project Code	Project Name	2015		Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual		
110-22	Fixed Route Transit (ACT)	\$ 369,729	\$ -	\$ 369,729	\$ 318,873
130-01	Senior Ride Paratransit	467,165	518,837	(51,672)	1,062,610
140-03	Recreational Transit	69,007	57,769	11,238	58,746
250-04	Bus Pass Subsidy Program	292,200	252,894	39,306	252,586
270-1	SGVCOG Regional Transportation Planning/Projects	30,000	30,000	-	30,000
480-12	Direct Administration	36,961	34,636	2,325	35,681
Total expenditures		\$ 1,265,062	\$ 894,136	\$ 370,926	\$ 1,758,496

See report of independent auditors.

City of Alhambra
Proposition A Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Capital Assets
Year ended June 30, 2015

<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance July 1, 2014</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance June 30, 2015</u>
1994	2 El Dorado Vans	\$ 99,707	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 99,707
1996	1 Natural Gas Fuel Station	155,779	-	-	155,779
2002	1 Champion Crusader Shuttle Bus	48,449	-	-	48,449
2003	1 6' Heavy Duty Steel Bench w/Back	8,424	-	-	8,424
2004	NGV Fueling Station	80,886	-	-	80,886
2007	2006 Bluebird Bus ACT - CNG	312,167	-	-	312,167
2007	2006 Bluebird Bus ACT - CNG	312,168	-	-	312,168
2008	2007 Nabi Bus ACT - CNG	326,599	-	-	326,599
2008	2007 Nabi Bus ACT - CNG	326,598	-	-	326,598
2010	2009 El Dorado Aerolite Bus	51,779	-	-	51,779
2010	2009 El Dorado Aerolite Bus	60,718	-	-	60,718
2010	2009 El Dorado Aerolite Bus	51,779	-	-	51,779
2010	2009 El Dorado Aerolite Bus	60,718	-	-	60,718
2010	2010 El Dorado CNG ACT Bus	367,207	-	-	367,207
2010	2010 El Dorado CNG ACT Bus	367,207	-	-	367,207
2010	2010 El Dorado CNG ACT Bus	367,206	-	-	367,206
2010	2010 El Dorado CNG ACT Bus	367,206	-	-	367,206
2011	1 unit 2010 El Dorado Aerolite 210	51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 El Dorado Aerolite 210	51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 El Dorado Aerolite 210	51,779	-	-	51,779
2011	1 unit 2010 El Dorado Aerolite 210	51,778	-	-	51,778
		<u>\$ 3,571,712</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 3,571,712</u>

See report of independent auditors.

**City of Alhambra
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Balance Sheets**

		June 30	
		2015	2014
ASSETS			
Cash and investments		\$ <u>3,373,403</u>	\$ <u>3,666,870</u>
Total assets		\$ <u>3,373,403</u>	\$ <u>3,666,870</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable		\$ <u>38,429</u>	\$ <u>95,615</u>
Total liabilities		<u>38,429</u>	<u>95,615</u>
Fund balance			
Restricted		<u>3,334,974</u>	<u>3,571,255</u>
Total fund balance		<u>3,334,974</u>	<u>3,571,255</u>
Total liabilities and fund balance		\$ <u>3,373,403</u>	\$ <u>3,666,870</u>

See notes to financial statements.

City of Alhambra
 Proposition C Local Return Fund
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

	Years ended June 30	
	2015	2014
Revenues		
Proposition C	\$ 1,240,541	\$ 1,190,463
Investment income	18,549	7,494
Total revenues	1,259,090	1,197,957
Expenditures		
Various projects	1,495,371	730,197
Total expenditures	1,495,371	730,197
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(236,281)	467,760
Fund balance at beginning of year	3,571,255	3,103,495
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 3,334,974	\$ 3,571,255

See notes to financial statements.

City of Alhambra
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget
Year ended June 30, 2015
Actual for 2014

Project Code	Project Name	2015			2014 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	
110-22	Fixed Route Transit (ACT)	\$ 980,547	\$ 823,366	\$ 157,181	\$ 547,265
130-01	Senior Ride Paratransit	467,166	537,498	(70,332)	-
170-02	2013-2014 Refurbish ACT Bus Shelters	30,000	-	30,000	-
180-01	2014-2015 Bus Refurbishment	100,000	-	100,000	-
360-23	Rail Station Operations	59,663	59,857	(194)	60,257
400-01	2013-2014 Fremont Mission Traffic Signal Cabinet Project	60,000	-	60,000	47,055
460-01	New/Shorb Avenues Traffic Signal Design	10,000	3,632	6,368	8,700
460-02	New/Shorb Avenues Traffic Signal Construction	200,000	2,410	197,590	-
480-12	Direct Administration	69,799	68,608	1,191	66,920
Total expenditures		\$ 1,977,175	\$ 1,495,371	\$ 481,804	\$ 730,197

See report of independent auditors.

City of Alhambra
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Capital Assets
Year ended June 30, 2015

<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance July 1, 2014</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance June 30, 2015</u>
1999	2 El Dorado Transmark Buses	\$ 448,003	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 448,003
	Total	<u>\$ 448,003</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 448,003</u>

See report of independent auditors.

**City of Alhambra
Measure R Local Return Fund
Balance Sheets**

		June 30	
		2015	2014
ASSETS			
Cash and investments		\$ <u>1,336,904</u>	\$ <u>580,654</u>
Total assets		\$ <u>1,336,904</u>	\$ <u>580,654</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable		\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>-</u>
Total liabilities		\$ <u>-</u>	\$ <u>-</u>
Fund balance			
Restricted		1,336,904	580,654
Total fund balance		<u>1,336,904</u>	<u>580,654</u>
Total liabilities and fund balance		\$ <u>1,336,904</u>	\$ <u>580,654</u>

See notes to financial statements.

City of Alhambra
Measure R Local Return Fund

Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

	Years ended June 30	
	2015	2014
Revenues		
Measure R	\$ 927,028	\$ 885,030
Investment income	4,565	1,358
Total revenues	931,593	886,388
 Expenditures		
Various projects	175,343	1,580,663
Total expenditures	175,343	1,580,663
 Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	 756,250	 (694,275)
 Fund balance at beginning of year	 580,654	 1,274,929
 Fund balance at end of year	 \$ 1,336,904	 \$ 580,654

See notes to financial statements.

City of Alhambra
Measure R Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget
Year ended June 30, 2015
Actual for 2014

Project Code	Project Name	2015			2014 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	
01-001	Street Repair and Maintenance	\$ 300,000	\$ 4,455	\$ 295,545	\$ 2,800
01-004	Mission Road Rehabilitation	875,000	-	875,000	1,552,663
02-001	Traffic Control Measures	150,000	170,888	(20,888)	-
07-001	Design Engineering of Traffic Signal, Garfield Ave and Burke Way	-	-	-	8,700
07-002	Design Engineering Safe Routes to School Crosswalk Library to Alhambra High School	-	-	-	16,500
Total Expenditures		\$ 1,325,000	\$ 175,343	\$ 1,149,657	\$ 1,580,663

See report of independent auditors.

City of Alhambra
Measure R Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Capital Assets
Year ended June 30, 2015

<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance July 1, 2014</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance June 30, 2015</u>
None		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Total	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

See report of independent auditors.

City of Alhambra
 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund
 Balance Sheets
 Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234

		June 30	
		2015	2014
ASSETS			
Due from LACMTA	\$	24,276	\$ -
Total assets	\$	24,276	\$ -
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to General Fund	\$	19	\$ 19
Total liabilities		19	19
Fund balance			
Restricted		24,257	(19)
Total fund balance		24,257	(19)
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	24,276	\$ -

See notes to financial statements.

City of Alhambra
 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund
 Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance
 Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234

	Years ended June 30	
	2015	2014
Revenues		
Intergovernmental Allocations:		
Article 3	\$ 24,276	\$ -
Total revenues	24,276	-
Expenditures		
Construction/Maintenance	-	-
Total expenditures	-	-
Excess of revenues over expenditures	24,276	-
Unassigned fund balance at beginning of year	(19)	(19)
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 24,257	\$ (19)

See notes to financial statements.

City of Alhambra
 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund
 Supplementary Information
 Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects
 Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234
 Year ended June 30, 2015

Project Description	Program Year	Totals to Date			Project Status
		Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	
Local Allocations:					
Garfield/Burke Way Traffic Signal, ADA Ramp and Sidewalk Improvements (Construction and Design)	2015	\$ 11,833	\$ -	\$ 11,833	Ongoing
General Plan Update - Funds encumbered by Contract C2M15-18	2015	<u>12,443</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>12,443</u>	Ongoing
Totals		<u>\$ 24,276</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>24,276</u>	
Fund balance at beginning of year				<u>(19)</u>	
Unassigned fund balance at end of year				<u>\$ 24,257</u> *	

* The City has not collected the FY 2014/15 drawdown of \$24,276 as of June 30, 2015.

See notes to financial statements.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools*, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE

Project generated revenue under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

		<u>2015</u>		<u>2014</u>
MTA bus tokens	\$	21,403	\$	21,915
MTA monthly bus stamps		108,072		101,703
	\$	<u>129,475</u>	\$	<u>123,618</u>

NOTE 8 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 of \$143,212 and \$136,556, respectively, represent additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the Voluntary NTD Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

		<u>2015</u>		<u>2014</u>
FY 2010/11 reserve	\$	24,276	\$	-
	\$	<u>24,276</u>	\$	<u>-</u>

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

		<u>2015</u>		<u>2014</u>
FY 2010/11 reserve	\$	-	\$	24,276
FY 2011/12 reserve		43,116		43,116
FY 2012/13 reserve		63,685		63,685
FY 2013/14 reserve		68,263		68,263
FY 2014/15 allocation		55,594		-
Available reserve balance	\$	<u>230,658</u>	\$	<u>199,340</u>

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through March 1, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.

**Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements
Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards***

**To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the
City of Alhambra, California and the
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Alhambra, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 1, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Vaqueria & Company LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Los Angeles, California
March 1, 2016

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

**To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the
City of Alhambra, California and the
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Alhambra, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Alhambra, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Vaqueria & Company LLP".

Los Angeles, California
March 1, 2016

**City of Alhambra
Compliance Matrix
Year ended June 30, 2015**

Compliance Requirements	In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
	Yes	No		
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds				
1. Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2. Expenditures approved before being incurred.	X		None	
3. Expenditures did not exceed 25% of LACMTA's approved budget.	X		None	
4. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X		None	
5. All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B.	X		None	
6. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None	
7. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X		None	
9. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.	X		None	

**City of Alhambra
Compliance Matrix
Year ended June 30, 2015**

Compliance Requirements	In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
	Yes	No		
B. Measure R Local Return Fund				
1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X		None	
2. Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X		None	
3. Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	X		None	
4. Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X		None	
5. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X		None	
6. Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.				
7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X		None	
8. Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X		None	
9. Timely use of funds.	X		None	
10. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X		None	
11. Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
13. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.				Not applicable.
C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund				
1. Timely use of funds.	X		None	
2. Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.				Not applicable. There were no expenditures in FY 2014/15.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on March 1, 2016 with City of Alhambra representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative:
Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager

City of Alhambra representative:
Dean Johnson – Asst. Finance Director

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Alhambra representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Dean Johnson – Asst. Finance Director



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP (formerly known as McGladrey LLP). McGladrey Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Visit <http://www.rsmus.com/aboutus> for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. McGladrey®, the McGladrey Alliance logo and the McGladrey Alliance signatures are proprietary to RSM US LLP, while RSM™ is used under license by RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777