

City of Artesia Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 with Report of Independent Auditors





FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund:  Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	3 4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	7
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	7 8
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	15
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	16
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	24 26
EXIT CONFERENCE	28







OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

#### **Report of Independent Auditors**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Artesia, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Artesia, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Artesia, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Jacques & Company LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 10, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

March 10, 2016

		Jui	ne 30	)
	_	2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	448,163	\$	365,651
Accounts receivable		-		1,113
	Total assets \$ _	448,163	\$_	366,764
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued liabilities	S AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	10,547 750 11,297	\$ 	13,889 1 13,889
Fund balance Restricted	_	436,866		352,875
	Total fund balance	436,866		352,875
To	otal liabilities and fund balance $\$$ $\_$	448,163	\$	366,764

			Years en	dec	June 30
			2015		2014
Revenues Proposition A Interest income Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Gr Sale of capital assets	rant	<b>5</b>	295,008 1,073 6,053	\$	283,106 714 6,104 5,855
	Total revenues		302,134		295,779
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures	_	218,143 218,143		231,030 231,030
Excess of revenues over expenditures			83,991		64,749
Fund balance at beginning of year			352,875		288,126
Fund balance at end of year	9	\$	436,866	\$_	352,875

#### City of Artesia Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

	_	2015					
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Ac	ctual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
120-06	Dial-A-Ride \$	155,000	\$ 14	1,476	\$	13,524 \$	152,082
140-01	Youth and Senior Recreation						
	Transportation Services	20,000	1	3,729		6,271	11,141
190-04	Operation and Maintenance of						
	Prop A Vehicles	7,000		320		6,680	732
480-05	General Program Administration	50,850	3	6,363		14,487	31,196
480-07	Prop A Vehicle - Personnel Salary	36,726	2	26,255	_	10,471	35,879
	Total expenditures \$	269,576	\$ 21	8,143	\$	51,433 \$	231,030

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2014	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
1990	7 Passenger Wagon	\$	18,169	\$ - \$	- \$	18,169
1993	12 Passenger Ford Cab		11,872	-	-	11,872
1995	Mobile Radio Units		3,878	-	-	3,878
2003	Pock Drill		5,170	-	-	5,170
2003	Barricade Type 1		3,428	-	-	3,428
2003	Computer System Upgrade		20,000	-	-	20,000
2012	2012 Grand Caravan SXT		27,868	-	-	27,868
2012	2012 Grand Caravan SXT		27,869	-	-	27,869
		Total \$	118,254	\$ - \$	\$	118,254

		Ju	ne 30	
		2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	168,730	\$	125,991
	Total assets \$	168,730	\$	125,991
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable		2,706	\$	4,294
Accrued liabilities		385		
	Total liabilities	3,091		4,294
Fund balance				
Restricted		165,639		121,697
	Total fund balance	165,639		121,697
Т	otal liabilities and fund balance 🤄	168,730	\$	125,991

		Years ended .	June 30
	_	2015	2014
Revenues			
Proposition C	\$	245,058 \$	235,141
Interest income		434	217
	Total revenues	245,492	235,358
Expenditures			
Various projects		201,550	181,302
. ,	Total expenditures	201,550	181,302
Evenes of various aver a manditures		42.042	E4.0EC
Excess of revenues over expenditures		43,942	54,056
Fund balance at beginning of year		121,697	67,641
Fund balance at end of year	\$	165,639_\$	121,697

#### City of Artesia Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

			2015			
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	 Actual	 Variance Positive (Negative)	· <u> </u>	2014 Actual
400-02	Implement Synchronized Traffic Signals \$	30,000	\$ -	\$ 30,000	\$	_
440-08	Pioneer, Artesia, Norwalk and South Street	150,000	-	150,000		-
440-15	Traffic Stripping Maintenance	20,000	24,998	(4,998)		3,557
440-7	Pioneer, Artesia and Norwalk Landscaped Median	125,000	122,522	2,478		127,901
450-04	Cycle 4 HSIP Local Match	42,000	-	42,000		-
450-3	Pavement Resurfacing and Handicapped Ramps	291,000	24,518	266,482		24,354
480-11	General Program Administration	45,621	 29,512	 16,109		25,490
	Total expenditures \$	703,621	\$ 201,550	\$ 502,071	\$_	181,302

City of Artesia Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
2007	Computer-Senior & Youth Transportation Svc \$_ Total \$	1,388 \$ 1,388 \$		\$ \$ - \$	1,388 1,388

		Ju	June 30			
		2015	<b>2015</b> 2014			
	ASSETS			_		
Cash and investments		424,158	\$	245,829		
	Total assets \$	424,158	\$	245,829		
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE  Total liabilities	§	_ \$ <u></u>	<u>-</u>		
Fund balance						
Restricted		424,158		245,829		
	Total fund balance	424,158		245,829		
To	otal liabilities and fund balance \$	424,158	\$	245,829		

		Years ended June 30			
	_	2015	2014		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$	183,568 \$	175,251		
Interest income		903	350		
	Total revenues	184,471	175,601		
Expenditures					
Various projects		6,142	-		
	Total expenditures	6,142			
Excess of revenues over expenditures		178,329	175,601		
Fund balance at beginning of year		245,829	70,228		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	424,158 \$	245,829		

#### City of Artesia Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
1.90	Pioneer Blvd. Downtown Improvement Project \$	378,000 \$	- \$	378,000 \$	_
7.1	Eco-Rapid Transit Dues	7,000	6,142	858	-
7.90	Construction Administration and Inspection Services	10,000	-	10,000	-
8.10	Fund Administration	5,000	-	5,000	-
	Total expenditures \$	400,000 \$	6,142 \$	393,858 \$	

City of Artesia Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
	None		\$ Total \$	<u>-                                    </u>	· — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	\$ \$	-

		June	30
		2015	2014
ASSETS Due from LACMTA	\$ Total assets \$	\$ \$	<u>-</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND BAL	ANCE		
Accounts payable	\$	\$	
	Total liabilities		
Commitments and Contingencies	Note 9		
Fund balance			
Restricted			
Tota	al fund balance		
Total liabilities an	d fund balance \$	\$	

			Years ended		June 30		
			2015		2014		
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	Total revenues	<b>\$</b>	3,500 3,500	\$ <u>_</u>	30,000 30,000		
Expenditures							
Construction/Maintenance			3,500		28,387		
	Total expenditures		3,500		28,387		
Excess of revenues over expenditures			-		1,613		
Other financing use Funds returned to LACMTA					(1,613)		
Excess of revenues over expenditures	and other financing use		-		-		
Fund balance at beginning of year					<u>-</u>		
Fund balance at end of year		\$		\$			

# City of Artesia Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Year ended June 30, 2015

	Totals to Date								
Project Description	Program Year	) 	Allocations	<u> </u>	Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status	
Local Allocations:									
Conceptual Planning and Design for Historical District Recreational Area Project for Bike and Pedestrian Path Totals	2015	\$	3,500 3,500		3,500 3,500	\$	<u>-</u>	Completed	
Fund balance at beginning of year									
Fund balance at end of year						\$			

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Fund Accounting**

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is pooled with other City monies in the Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### **Basis of Accounting**

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

#### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

#### NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 of \$6,053 and \$6,104, respectively, represent additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the Voluntary NTD Program for the fiscal years 2010/11 and 2009/10, respectively.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

#### NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
FY 2009/10 reserve	\$ -	\$ 1,857
FY 2010/11 reserve	-	7,084
FY 2011/12 reserve	-	8,503
FY 2012/13 reserve	1,129	11,411
FY 2013/14 reserve	758	-
Funds returned in FY 2012/13	-	1,145
Funds returned in FY 2013/14	1,613	-
	\$ 3,500	\$ 30,000

#### NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2012/13 reserve	\$ -	\$ 1,129
FY 2013/14 reserve	12,769	13,527
FY 2014/15 allocation	11,022	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 23,791	\$ 14,656

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15.

#### NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through March 10, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.





OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

## Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Artesia, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Artesia, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 10, 2016.

#### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

asgues & Company LLP

March 10, 2016







#### **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Artesia, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Artesia, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the City of Artesia, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.


Los Angeles, California

Varguer & Company LLP

March 10, 2016

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and		
	o ann primitive in equilibrium	Yes No Costs		Costs	management response.		
	roposition A and Proposition C Local eturn Funds						
1.	. Timely use of funds.	Х		None			
2.	. Expenditures approved before						
	being incurred.	Χ		None			
3.	. Expenditures did not exceed						
	25% of LACMTA's approved						
	budget.	Χ		None			
4.	. Administrative expenses are						
	within the 20% cap.	Χ		None			
5.							
	projects were reported in Form						
	B.	Χ		None			
6.	. Annual Project Summary Report						
	(Form B) was submitted on time.	Χ		None			
7.	. Annual Expenditure Report						
	(Form C) was submitted on time.	Χ		None			
8.				110110			
0.	maintained.	Χ		None			
9.				110110			
0.	keeping and documentation are						
	adequate.	X		None			
	adoquato.			140110			
B. Me	easure R Local Return Fund						
1.	. Funds were expended for						
	transportation purposes.	Χ		None			
2.	. Funds were used to augment, not						
	supplant, existing local revenues						
	being used for transportation						
	purposes unless there is a						
	funding shortfall.	Χ		None			
3.	. Signed Assurances and						
	Understandings on file.	Χ		None			
4.	. Separate Measure R Local Return						
	Account was established.	Χ		None			
5.	. Revenues received including						
	allocations, project generated						
	revenues and interest income was						
	properly credited to the Measure						
	R Local Return Account.	Χ		None			

Compliance Requirements		_	In Questioned		If no, provide details and
		Yes No		Costs	management response.
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund (Continued)				
	<ol><li>Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.</li></ol>	X		None	
	Expenditure Plan (Form One)     was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	Expenditure Report (Form Two)     was submitted on time.	X		None	
	9. Timely use of funds.	X		None	
	10. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	11. Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	<ol> <li>A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.</li> </ol>				Not applicable.
	13. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.				Not applicable.
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund				
	<ol> <li>Timely use of funds.</li> </ol>	Х		None	
	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and				
	amenities.	X		None	



An exit conference was held on February 10, 2016 with a City of Artesia representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager

City of Artesia representative:

Justine Menzel – Special Projects Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Artesia representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Justine Menzel - Special Projects Manager



Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP (formerly known as McGladrey LLP). McGladrey Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Visit http://www.rsmus.com/aboutus for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. McGladrey®, the McGladrey Alliance logo and the McGladrey Alliance signatures are proprietary to RSM US LLP, while RSM™ is used under license by RSM US LLP.