

City of Avalon Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 with Report of Independent Auditors





FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	18 19 20
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	21
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	26

COMPLIANCE SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	28 30
EXIT CONFERENCE	32







www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Avalon, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Avalon, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund of the City of Avalon, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matters

Funds Financial Statements

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Prior Period Adjustment

As discussed in Note 10, the beginning fund balance of PALRF and PCLRF have been restated to write off the amounts due to the general fund. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

asgue & Company LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 3, 2015 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California December 3, 2015

		June 30			
				(As restated)	
		2015		2014	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	34,841	\$	-	
Due from LACMTA		493,432		633,415	
	Total assets \$	528,273	\$	633,415	
	AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	34,841	\$	40,191	
Due to other funds		214,921		407,912	
	Total liabilities	249,762		448,103	
Fund balance					
Restricted		278,511		185,312	
	Total fund balance	278,511		185,312	
То	tal liabilities and fund balance \$	528,273	\$	633,415	

		Years end	ded June 30
		2015	(As restated) 2014
Davidance		2013	2014
Revenues Proposition A	\$	67,151	\$ 64,442
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant	•	900,000	900,000
Miscellaneous revenue			20
Tota	I revenues	967,151	964,462
Expenditures		972.052	050.675
Various projects		873,952 873,952	850,675 850,675
		673,932	030,073
Excess of revenues over expenditures		93,199	113,787
Fund balance at beginning of year		185,312	71,525
Fund balance at end of year	\$	278,511	\$185,312

City of Avalon Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

					2015					
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)		2014 Actual	
250-01	Avalon Rapid Transit Total expenditures funded by	\$_	64,151	_\$_	67,151	\$	(3,000)	\$_	64,442	
	Proposition A Local Return		64,151	\$	67,151	\$	(3,000)	\$	64,442	
	Funded by Proposition A Discretionary	Ince	entive Progra	ms						
	Avalon Rapid Transit and Dial-A-Ride		_		149,294				151,487	
	Avalon Ferry				657,507	_			634,746	
	Total PALRF expenditures			\$	873,952	_		\$	850,675	

City of Avalon Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2014		Additions		Deletions		Balance June 30, 2015
	None		\$_ Total \$	<u>-</u>	–Υၞ-	<u>-</u>	-Υ.	<u> </u>	<u>'</u> —	

		June 30			
		2015		(As restated) 2014	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$		\$		
	Total assets \$		- \$		
Liabilities	S AND FUND BALANCE		•		
Due to other funds	\$ Total liabilities		<u>.</u> \$ \$	<u>-</u>	
Fund balance Restricted			•	-	
	Total fund balance			-	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$		\$	-	

		Years ended June 30				
			(As restated)			
		2015	2014			
Revenues						
Proposition C	\$	55,243 \$	52,985			
	Total revenues	55,243	52,985			
Expenditures Avalon Rapid Transit	 Total expenditures	55,243 55,243	52,985 52,985			
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-			
Fund balance at beginning of year		<u> </u>				
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>-</u> \$				

City of Avalon Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

	_		2015		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
250-01	Avalon Rapid Transit \$_ Total expenditures \$	53,212 \$ 53,212 \$	55,243 \$ 55,243 \$	(2,031) \$_ (2,031) \$	52,985 52,985

City of Avalon Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2014		Additions	<u> </u>	Deletions		Balance June 30, 2015
	None		Total	\$ \$		\$ \$	<u>-</u>	\$_ \$_	-	\$_ \$_	

		June 30		
		2015	2014	
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$		_\$	
	Total assets \$		_ \$ <u>-</u>	_
	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	_	_\$	_
	Total liabilities			_
Fund balance				
Restricted		-	-	-
	Total fund balance	_		_
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	-	\$	_

		Years ended June 30			
	_	2015	2014		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$ _	41,784 \$	39,891		
	Total revenues	41,784	39,891		
Expenditures Avalon Rapid Transit	Total expenditures	41,784 41,784	58,281 58,281		
Deficiency of revenues over expenditure	es	-	(18,390)		
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	<u> </u>	18,390		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$			

City of Avalon Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

	_		2015		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
4.10	Avalon Rapid Transit \$_ Total expenditures \$	39,909 \$ 39,909 \$	41,784 \$ 41,784 \$	(1,875) \$_ (1,875) \$	58,281 58,281

City of Avalon Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2014		Additions	Deletions		Balance June 30, 2015
	None		Total	\$ \$		\$ \$	<u>-</u> \$ \$	-	\$ \$	<u>-</u>

			Jur	ne 30)
			2015		2014
ASSETS					
Cash and investments		\$_	-	\$	
	Total assets	\$_	-	\$	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BA	ALANCE				
Liabilities					
Due to other funds		\$_	-	\$	
	Total liabilities	_	-		<u>-</u>
Commitments and Contingencies	Note 9				
Fund balance					
Restricted		_	-		
	Total fund balance	_	-		- _
Total liabilitie	s and fund balance	\$_	-	\$	

City of Avalon Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Supplementary Information Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234

		Years end	ed June 30
		2015	2014
Revenues	_		
Intergovernmental Allocations:			
Article 3	\$ _	7,621	\$
Total revenue:	s _	7,621	
Expenditures			
Construction	_		4,847
Total expenditure	s _		4,847
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		7,621	(4,847)
Other financing source (use) Transfer in from (out to) general fund	_	(7,621)	17,155
Excess of revenues over expenditures and other financing sources		-	12,308
Unassigned fund balance at beginning of year	_		(12,308)
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	\$	S

		Ju	June 30		
		2015		2014	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	_	\$	-	
	Total assets \$		\$	-	
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$		_\$		
	Total liabilities	-	_	-	
Fund balance					
Restricted		-		-	
	Total fund balance	-		-	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$		\$	-	

		Years ende	d June 30
	_	2015	2014
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 8	\$	147,850 \$	141,093
Al licie o	Ψ_ Total revenues _	147,850 [‡]	141,093
Expenditures Transportation Dial-A-Ride Services	Total expenditures _	147,850 147,850	141,093 141,093
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	<u>-</u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	- \$	

City of Avalon Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99400(a) Year ended June 30, 2015

	_		Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:					
Transportation Dial-A-Ride Services Totals	2015 \$ \$		·	-	Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year			\$		

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (TDAA8F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures in the City's Local Transportation Special Revenue Fund.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F and TDAA8F are pooled with other City monies in the Local Transportation Special Revenue Fund. The Local Transportation Special Revenue Fund accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Articles 3 and 8 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234 and 99400(a)*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities, transit and paratransit programs to fulfill unmet transit needs in areas outside the service area of LACMTA operations. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$900,000 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 were for the Cross-Channel Carrier Commuter Subsidy Program that subsidizes the ferry ticket booklets for commuters and to provide transportation through the City's land transit services.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	 2015	2014
FY 2011/12 reserve	\$ 3,256	\$ -
FY 2012/13 reserve	4,365	-
	\$ 7,621	\$ -

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2011/12 reserve	\$ -	\$ 3,256
FY 2012/13 reserve	635	5,000
FY 2013/14 reserve	5,000	5,000
FY 2014/15 allocation	5,000	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 10,635	\$ 13,256

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15.

NOTE 10 PRIOR PERIOD ADJUSTMENTS

The fund balances of PALRF and PCLRF as of June 30, 2014 have been restated as a result of the City's decision to write off the amounts due to general fund. In the prior years, the City had incurred higher expenditures than the revenue allocations received from LACMTA. The excess in expenditures was paid by the City's general fund and a liability due to the general fund was recognized by each fund. In FY 2015, the City determined that the City will not be reimbursed for the excess in program expenditures paid by the general funds, and therefore, the payables to the general fund were written off.

PALRF


	Due to	Fund balance,
	general fund	beginning
June 30, 2014, prior to		
restatement	\$ 439,839	\$ 39,598
Prior period adjustment	(31,927)	31,927
June 30, 2014, as restated	\$ 407,912	\$ 71,525

PCLRF

	Due to		Fund balance,
	general fund		beginning
June 30, 2014, prior to			
restatement	\$ 4,383	\$	(4,383)
Prior period adjustment	(4,383)	_	4,383
June 30, 2014, as restated	\$ -	\$	-

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 3, 2015, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.





www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Avalon, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Avalon, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 3, 2015.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

ragues & Company LLP

December 3, 2015







www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Avalon, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Avalon, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Proposition A Incentive Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act (TDA) Articles 3 and 8, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds and the LACMTA Guidelines for the Administration of TDA Article 8 (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Articles 3 and 8 Programs occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Avalon, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.


Los Angeles, California

Varguer & Company LLP

December 3, 2015

	Compliance Requirements		n Iiance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds				
	Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	2. Expenditures approved before				
	being incurred.	Χ		None	
	Expenditures did not exceed				
	25% of LACMTA's approved				
	budget.	Χ		None	
	 Administrative expenses are 				
	within the 20% cap.	Χ		None	
	All on-going and carryover				
	projects were reported on Form				
	B.	X		None	
	Annual Project Summary Report				
	(Form B) was submitted on time.	X		None	
	7. Annual Expenditure Report				
	(Form C) was submitted on time.	X		None	
	Cash or cash equivalents are				
	maintained.	X		None	
	Accounting procedures, record				
	keeping and documentation are				
	adequate.	Χ		None	
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund				
	 Funds were expended for 				
	transportation purposes.	Χ		None	
	2. Funds were used to augment, not				
	supplant existing local revenues				
	being used for transportation				
	purposes unless there is a				
	funding shortfall.	Χ		None	
	Signed Assurances and				
	Understandings on file.	Χ		None	
	4. Separate Measure R Local Return				
	Account was established.	Χ		None	
	Revenues received including				
	allocations, project generated				
	revenues and interest income was				
	properly credited to the Measure				
	R Local Return Account.	Χ		None	

	Compliance Requirements	In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Compilation Regarding	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
B.	Measure R Local Return Fund (Continued)				
	Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	Х		None	
	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	Timely use of funds.	Χ		None	
	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	 A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA. 				Not applicable.
	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.				Not applicable.
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund				
	Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and	V		None	
	amenities.	X		None	
D.	Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund				
	 Timely use of funds. 	X		None	
	Expenditures were incurred for transit, paratransit, and local				
	streets and roads.	Х		None	



An exit conference was held on December 10, 2015 with City of Avalon representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Roger Martinez – Partner Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager

City of Avalon representative:

Robert Mescher – Finance Director

Chris Woidzik – Interim Chief Financial Officer

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Avalon representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Robert Mescher – Finance Director Chris Woidzik – Interim Chief Financial Officer



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP (formerly known as McGladrey LLP). McGladrey Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Visit http://www.rsmus.com/aboutus for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. McGladrey®, the McGladrey Alliance logo and the McGladrey Alliance signatures are proprietary to RSM US LLP, while RSMTM is used under license by RSM US LLP.