

City of Covina Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 with Report of Independent Auditors



An Independently Owned Member



FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	7 8 9
Schedule of Capital Assets Measure R Local Return Fund:	10
Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	11 12
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	24 26
EXIT CONFERENCE	30

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Covina, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Covina, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Covina, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 21, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

angues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 21, 2016

June 30			
2015	2014		
1,820,562 \$	1,379,930		
-	12,025		
700			
1,821,262 \$	1,391,955		
331,481 \$	47,065		
4,722	4,594		
336,203	51,659		
1,485,059	1,340,296		
1,485,059	1,340,296		
1,821,262 \$	1,391,955		
	2015 1,820,562 \$ - 700 1,821,262 \$ 331,481 \$ 4,722 336,203 1,485,059 1,485,059		

		 Years ended June 30			
		 2015	2014		
Revenues					
Proposition A		\$ 855,207 \$	820,705		
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive G	irant	27,996	23,968		
Project generated revenue		260,569	285,529		
Investment income		15,908	4,800		
Rental income		5,200	30,900		
Miscellaneous revenue		 126			
	Total revenues	 1,165,006	1,165,902		
Expenditures Expenditures funded by PALRF	Total expenditures	 1,020,243 1,020,243	<u>1,101,816</u> 1,101,816		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		144,763	64,086		
Fund balance at beginning of year		 1,340,296	1,276,210		
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 1,485,059 \$	1,340,296		

City of Covina Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

		2015						
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)		2014 Actual	
130-01	Covina E and D Dial-A-Cab \$	400,000	\$	393,722	\$ 6,278	\$	393,594	
140-07	Senior Center Excursions	8,000		8,396	(396)		10,362	
140-08	Recreation Excursions	10,000		8,807	1,193		8,218	
170-03	Bus Stop Maintenance Program	40,000		24,767	15,233		43,161	
200-04	Covina Transit Vehicle Procurement	1		-	1		87,310	
230-02	Metrolink Station Security	200,000		171,236	28,764		168,053	
230-05	Parking Enforcement at Metrolink Structure and Station	1,000		374	626		-	
240-02	Taxi Voucher	1,000		548	452		484	
250-02	Bus Pass Subsidy Program	12,000		13,830	(1,830)		11,656	
250-03	Metrolink Commuter Parking Subsidy	60,000		54,388	5,612		57,960	
270-04	Consultant Services	100,000		148,167	(48,167) *		78,213	
390-01	Metrolink Parking Structure and							
	Station (Ongoing Maintenance)	110,000		102,378	7,622		103,772	
480-04	Transit Administration	120,000		87,506	32,494		132,940	
500-01	San Gabriel Valley COG	6,090		6,124	(34)	_	6,093	
Total expenditures \$ 1,068,091 \$ 1,020,243 \$ 47,848 \$ 1,101,816								

* See Compliance Matrix.

See report of independent auditors.

City of Covina Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired	Description	 Balance July 1, 2014	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
1998	IMB Select Typewriter	\$ 916	\$ -	\$-\$	916
1990	Polaroid ID Camera	843	-	-	843
1992	Wide Van	45,162	-	-	45,162
1999	Bus Stop Benches	4,092	-	-	4,092
1999	Land and Land Improvements	365,697	-	-	365,697
2005	Rail Station Bollards	6,245	-	-	6,245
2005	Rail Station Lighting Standards	4,727	-	-	4,727
2005	Dell Pentium Computer	2,550	-	-	2,550
2005	Desk Jet Computer	445	-	-	445
2005	Pacific Parking Pay and Display	5,759	-	-	5,759
2005	Vue-Way Signs	62	-	-	62
2005	Transit Center	69,457	-	-	69,457
2007	Bus Shelter	61,374	-	-	61,374
2010	16-Passenger Vehicle	59,743	-	-	59,743
2010	Bikestation	85,216	-	-	85,216
2014	2014 Braun Entervan	43,655	-	-	43,655
2014	2014 Braun Entervan	43,655	-	-	43,655
	Total	\$ 799,598	\$ -	\$\$	799,598

		Ju	ne 30
		2015	2014
	ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$	1,160,343	\$ 1,101,360
	Total assets \$	1,160,343	\$ 1,101,360
LIABILITIE	S AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$
	Total liabilities	-	-
Fund balance			
Restricted		1,160,343	1,101,360
	Total fund balance	1,160,343	1,101,360
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,160,343	\$ 1,101,360

See notes to financial statements.

		Years ended June 30			
		2015	2014		
Revenues					
Proposition C	\$	709,834	\$ 681,086		
Investment income		16,195	10,005		
Rental income	_	31,200			
	Total revenues	757,229	691,091		
	_				
Expenditures					
Various projects	_	698,246	493,500		
	Total expenditures	698,246	493,500		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		58,983	197,591		
Fund balance at beginning of year		1,101,360	903,769		
	-	, , ,			
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,160,343	\$ 1,101,360		
)	· -	, -,			

See notes to financial statements.

City of Covina Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

		2015					
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual	
370-01	Debt Service on Metrolink Parking Structure	6 -	\$	-	\$-	\$ 493,500	
370-04	Commuter Rail Capital Improvement Projects	500,000		698,246	(198,246)	* -	
420-02	Congestion Management Program (CMP)						
	Traffic Count Study	1,000		-	1,000	-	
430-04	Bicycle Parking Improvements at Covina						
	Metrolink Station	30,000		-	30,000	-	
450-04	Street Improvements Project	100,000	_	-	100,000	-	
	Total expenditures	631,000	\$	698,246	\$ (67,246)	\$ 493,500	

* See Compliance Matrix.

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2014	 Additions	 Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
Sep-08	2008 Eldorado Amerivan Type IV \$	5 27,573	\$ -	\$ - \$	27,573
Sep-08	2008 Eldorado Amerivan Type IV	27,573	-	-	27,573
Sep-08	2009 Eldorado Curaway IA	27,573	-	-	27,573
Sep-08	2009 Eldorado Curaway IA	27,574	 -	 	27,574
	Total \$	5 110,293	\$ -	\$ \$	110,293

		June	30
		2015	2014
Cash and investments	ASSETS \$ Total assets \$	<u>1,302,115</u> \$ <u>1,302,115</u> \$	1,249,020 1,249,020
LIABILITIE Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	\$ 	<u> </u>
Fund balance Restricted	Total fund balance Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,302,115 1,302,115 1,302,115 \$	1,249,020 1,249,020 1,249,020

	_	Years ended June 30				
	-	2015		2014		
Revenues						
Measure R	\$	532,150	\$	508,041		
Investment income	_	13,413		4,344		
	Total revenues	545,563		512,385		
Expenditures Various projects		492,468		118,594		
	Total expenditures	492,468		118,594		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		53,095		393,791		
Fund balance at beginning of year		1,249,020		855,229		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	,,				
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	1,302,115	\$	1,249,020		

		_				
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	 Actual	 Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance	\$	200,900	\$ 134,186	\$ 66,714 \$	118,594
5.90	Other Public Transit Capital		200,000	358,282	(158,282)	-
	Total expenditures	\$	400,900	\$ 492,468	\$ (91,568) \$	118,594

Date _Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2014		Additions		Deletions	Balan June 201	30,
	None		Total	\$_ \$_		<u>\$</u> \$	-	\$\$	\$ \$	<u> </u>	-

See report of independent auditors.

		Ju	ne 30	
	-	2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$_	-	_\$	41,091
	Total assets \$ __	-	\$	41,091
Liabilities Due to LACMTA	S AND FUND BALANCE 	<u> </u>	_\$	41,091 41,091
Fund balance Restricted		-		-
	Total fund balance	-		-
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	-	\$	41,091

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2015		2014
Revenues Intergovernmental allocations: Article 3 Interest income	\$	12,345 -	\$	22,365 109
Total re	venues	12,345		22,474
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance Total exper	nditures	<u>12,345</u> 12,345		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-		22,474
Other funding use Return of funds to LACMTA	_			(46,390)
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures after other funding use		-		(23,916)
Fund balance at beginning of year		-		23,916
Fund balance at end of year	\$	-	\$	

City of Covina Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Year ended June 30, 2015

				Totals to Dat	e	
Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	E <u>xpenditure</u> s	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations:						
Lockers/Restroom Facility for Covina Bikestation Install Locker/Restroom at Covina Metrolink Total	2015 2015	\$ \$	4,088 	12,345	(4,088)	Ongoing Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of the yea	r					
Fund balance at end of the year				\$		

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is pooled with other City monies in the Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools*, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including, Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 **PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE**

Project generated revenue under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
Parking permit revenues	\$ 256,745	\$ 281,534
Bus pass revenues	3,824	3,995
	\$ 260,569	\$ 285,529

PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS NOTE 8

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$27,996 and \$23,968 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, represent additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the Voluntary NTD Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
FY 2011/12 reserve	\$ 5,299	\$ 5,299
FY 2012/13 reserve	6,678	16,698
FY 2013/14 reserve	368	368
	\$ 12,345	\$ 22,365

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2012/13 reserve	\$ 28,637	\$ -
FY 2013/14 reserve	38,823	38,823
FY 2014/15 allocation	31,920	-
	\$ 99,380	\$ 38,823

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through March 21, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Covina, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Covina, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 21, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

angues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 21, 2016

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Covina, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Covina, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Covina, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



Other matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with the requirements, which are described in the accompanying Compliance Matrix. Our opinion is not modified with respect to these matters.

The City's responses to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying Compliance Matrix. The City's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on these responses.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, we identified a deficiency in internal control over compliance, as described in the accompanying Compliance Matrix as Finding #2015-001, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

The City's response to the internal control over compliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Compliance Matrix. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

angues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 21, 2016

Compliance Requirements		Compliance Requirements Complian		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
		Yes No		Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
	 Timely use of funds. 	Х		None		
	2. Expenditures approved before					
	being incurred.	Х		None		
	 Expenditures did not exceed 25% of LACMTA's approved 			PALRF - \$23,167	Finding #2015-001	
	budget.		х	PCLRF - \$73,246	The City exceeded LACMTA's approved budget by more than 25% for the following projects:	
					a. PALRF Project code 270-04, Consultant Services (\$23,167) and,	
					b. PCLRF Project code 370-04, Street Improvements Project (\$73,246).	
					Projects with greater than 25% change from the approved project budget should be amended by submitting an amended Project Description Form (Form A).	
					LACMTA Program Manager granted a retroactive approval on the amended budget for the said projects on February 26, 2016.	
					We recommend for the City to submit to LACMTA Program Manager a Form A to obtain approval for the change in project budget, and that the City implement controls to ascertain compliance at all times.	

Compliance Requirements		-	n Iiance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds (Continued)				
	 Expenditures did not exceed 25% of LACMTA's approved budget. (Continued) 				Management Response The City submitted Form A and was approved by LACMTA Program Manager on February 26, 2016. Findings were due to staffing changes which caused staff shortage and turnovers. Management will ensure submission for Form A for approval, prior to expenditure of funds for any changes that will exceed 25% of the approved budget. Actual expenditures will be monitored and tracked by responsible employee and ensure that spending will not exceed more than 25% of LACMTA approved budget.
	4. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	х		None	
	 All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B. 	x		None	
	 Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time. 	X		None	

Compliance Requirements		Compliance Requirements			Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Yes	No	Costs	management response.		
Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds (Continued)						
7. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.		X	None	 Finding #2015-002 The Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on October 16, 2015 which is beyond the due date of October 15, 2015. Under the Guidelines, the City is required to annually submit an Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) to notify LACMTA of previous year Local Return fund receipts and expenditures no later than October 15 after the fiscal year. 		
				We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that the Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) is submitted by October 15 as required by the Guidelines. <i>Management Response</i> Management will ensure submission of Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) before October 15 of each year. Finding was due to staffing changes. Procedures and controls will be implemented in		
	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds (Continued) 7. Annual Expenditure Report	Compliance Requirements Comp Yes Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds (Continued)	YesNoProposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds (Continued)7. Annual Expenditure Report	Compliance Requirements Compliance Questioned Yes No Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds (Continued) Image: Continued 7. Annual Expenditure Report		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds (Continued)				
	 Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 	х		None	
	 Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 	Х		None	Finding #2015-003 The Recreational Transit
	Recreational Transit Report was submitted on time.		х	None	Report was submitted on October 16, 2015 which is beyond the due date of October 15, 2015.
					Under the Guidelines, the City is required to annually submit an accounting of Recreational Transit trips, destinations and costs. This information should be submitted along with the Form C, no later than October 15 after the fiscal year.
					We recommend for the City to establish procedures and controls to ensure that the Annual Recreational Transit Report is submitted by October 15 as required by the Guidelines.
					Management Response
					Management will ensure submission of Annual Recreational Transit Report before October 15 of each year. Finding was due to staffing changes. Procedures and controls will be implemented in compliance with the Guidelines which includes submission of report on a timely manner.

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund				
	1. Funds were expended for				
	transportation purposes.	Х		None	
	2. Funds were used to augment, not				
	supplant existing local revenues				
	being used for transportation				
	purposes unless there is a				
	funding shortfall.	Х		None	
	3. Signed Assurances and				
	Understandings on file.	Х		None	
	4. Separate Measure R Local Return				
	Account was established.	Х		None	
	5. Revenues received including				
	allocations, project generated				
	revenues and interest income was				
	properly credited to the Measure				
	R Local Return Account.	Х		None	
	6. Funds were expended with				
	LACMTA's approval.	Х		None	
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was				
	submitted on time.	Х		None	
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)				
	was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	10. Administrative expenses are				
	within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	11. Fund exchanges were approved				
	by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	12. A separate account was				
	established for Capital reserve				
	funds and Capital reserve was				
	approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	13. Recreational transit form was				
	submitted on time.				Not applicable.
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund				
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	2. Expenditures were incurred for				
	activities relating to pedestrian				
	and bicycle facilities and				
	amenities.	Х		None	

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on March 17, 2016 with City of Covina representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Roger Martinez – Partner

City of Covina representative: Dennis Swink – Interim Finance Director Marietta Santos – Senior Accountant Kevin Ko – Transit Analyst

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed issues of noncompliance with the Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Covina representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Dennis Swink – Interim Finance Director Marietta Santos – Senior Accountant Kevin Ko – Transit Analyst



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP (formerly known as McGladrey LLP). McGladrey Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Visit http://www.rsmus.com/aboutus for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. McGladrey®, the McGladrey Alliance logo and the McGladrey Alliance signatures are proprietary to RSM US LLP, while RSM[™] is used under license by RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777