

City of Duarte Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 with Report of Independent Auditors



An Independently Owned Member MCGLADREY ALLIANCE



FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	24 26

# EXIT CONFERENCE

28

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# **Report of Independent Auditors**

# To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Duarte, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

# **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Duarte, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

# Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



# Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Duarte, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

# Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 3, 2015 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

angues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 3, 2015

	Ju	ne 30
	2015	2014
ASSETS		
Cash and investments \$	3,073,359	\$ 2,387,312
Prepaid expenses	1,332	-
Total assets \$	3,074,691	\$ 2,387,312
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable \$	21,645	\$ 28,284
Payroll liabilities	3,218	921
Total liabilities	24,863	29,205
Fund balance		
Restricted	1,041,087	353,492
Restricted - Gold Line Rail Project	2,008,741	2,004,615
Total fund balance	3,049,828	2,358,107
Total liabilities and fund balance \$ $\_$	3,074,691	\$ 2,387,312

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2015	2014
Pavanuaa			
Revenues	•	004 400 *	005 040
Proposition A	\$	381,189 \$	365,810
Investment income		5,499	5,491
Gain on sale of capital asset		1,312	-
Fund exchange with City of Lancaster		700,000	-
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant		39,277	36,683
Reimbursements from Proposition C		347,600	295,700
Total revenues	_	1,474,877	703,684
Expenditures			
Various projects		435,556	414,841
Proposition C funded projects		347,600	295,700
Total expenditures		783,156	710,541
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		691,721	(6,857)
Fund balance at beginning of year		2,358,107	2,364,964
i and balance at beginning of year		2,000,107	2,007,004
Fund balance at end of year	\$	3,049,828 \$	2,358,107

See notes to financial statements.

# City of Duarte Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

		· · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual	
110-01	Fixed Route Bus System \$	680,000 \$	612,671 * \$	67,329 \$	606,541	
200-01	Vehicle Purchase Program	600,000	-	600,000	-	
270-01	Transit Study and Bike Pedestrian Project					
	Study	100,000	66,485	33,515	-	
380-01	Duarte's Local Match for Gold Line Rail Project	2,000,000	-	2,000,000	-	
480-04	Direct Administration	104,000	104,000	-	104,000	
	Total expenditures \$	3,484,000 \$	783,156 \$	2,700,844 \$	710,541	

\* This project is partially funded by Proposition C Local Return Fund.

See report of independent auditors.

# City of Duarte Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
5/92	1 Modular Trailer	\$	30,000 \$	- \$	- \$	30,000
6/93	1993 2 Mini Buses		250,000	-	-	250,000
7/93	Two 2-way Radios		1,664	-	1,664	-
7/01	One 2-way Radios		612	-	612	-
9/01	2002 El Dorado E-Z Rider-101		231,536	-	-	231,536
9/01	2002 El Dorado E-Z Rider-102		231,536	-	-	231,536
7/02	2002 Trailblazer		27,173	-	-	27,173
10/06	2006 El Dorado E-Z Rider -103		250,381	-	-	250,381
10/06	2006 El Dorado E-Z Rider -104		250,381	-	-	250,381
11/07	1-1996 Nissan Quest Shuttle van		1,000	-	1,000	-
11/07	MAC Computer & HP Printer		3,155		3,155	
		Total \$	1,277,438 \$	- \$	6,431 \$	1,271,007

		Ju	ne 30	
		2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	253,622	\$	293,414
	Total assets \$	253,622	\$	293,414
LIABILITIES	SAND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	-
	Total liabilities	-		-
Fund balance				
Restricted		253,622		293,414
	Total fund balance	253,622		293,414
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	253,622	\$	293,414

			Years ended June 30		
			2015	2014	
Revenues		•			
Proposition C		\$	317,071 \$	304,257	
Investment income			737	771	
	Total revenues		317,808	305,028	
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures		<u> </u>	<u>305,700</u> 305,700	
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	3		(39,792)	(672)	
Fund balance at beginning of year			293,414	294,086	
Fund balance at end of year	:	\$	<b>253,622</b> \$	293,414	

# City of Duarte Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

				2015		
Project Code	Project Name	 LACMTA Budget		Actual	 Variance Positive (Negative)	 2014 Actual
110-01	Fixed Route Bus System	\$ 347,600 \$	\$	347,600	\$ -	\$ 295,700
480-04	Direct Administration	10,000		10,000	-	10,000
	Total expenditures	\$ 357,600 \$	\$ <u> </u>	357,600	\$ -	\$ 305,700

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2014		Additions		Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
	None		Total	\$_ \$_		<u>-</u> \$ \$	-	\$ \$		\$ -

		Ju	ne 30	
		2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	688,516	\$	460,727
	Total assets \$	688,516	\$	460,727
LIABILITIE	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	32,603	\$	-
	Total liabilities	32,603		-
Fund balance				
Restricted		655,913		460,727
	Total fund balance	655,913		460,727
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	688,516	\$	460,727

		Years ended	June 30
		2015	2014
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	237,193 \$	226,447
Investment income		1,207	894
	Total revenues	238,400	227,341
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures	<u>43,214</u> 43,214	<u>43,097</u> 43,097
Excess of revenues over expenditures		195,186	184,244
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	460,727	276,483
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>655,913</u> \$	460,727

				 2015			
Project Code	Project Name	_	LACMTA Budget	 Actual	 Variance Positive (Negative)		2014 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance	\$	160,000	\$ -	\$ 160,000 \$	5	-
3.05	Bike/Pedestrian Facilities						
	Construction, Maintenance		40,000	36,462	3,538		35,914
8.10	Transportation Administration	_	25,000	 6,752	 18,248		7,183
	Total expenditures	\$	225,000	\$ 43,214	\$ 181,786 \$	5_	43,097

Date Acquired		Description	 Balance July 1, 2014		Additions		Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2015
	None	Total	\$ -	- <sup>≁.</sup>	-	\$ \$	- (	\$ -

		June 30		
		2015	2014	
ASSETS Due from LACMTA	\$	- \$	17,475	
	Total assets \$	\$	17,475	
LIABILITIES AND FUI Liabilities Cash overdraft	ND BALANCE Total liabilities		<u>17,475</u> 17,475	
Fund balance Restricted		-	-	
	Total fund balance	-	-	
Total liab	pilities and fund balance	\$	17,475	

See notes to financial statements.

		Years ended June 30	
	_	2015	2014
<b>Revenues</b> Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$	14,237	\$17,475_
	Total revenues	14,237	17,475
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	<u>14,237</u> 14,237	<u> </u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	-	\$

# City of Duarte Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Year ended June 30, 2015

		_			Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year		Allocations		Expenditures	 Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:							
Concrete Repair Program FY 2014-15 <b>Totals</b>	2015	\$_ \$_	14,237 14,237	\$ \$	14,237 14,237	\$ -	Completed
Fund balance at beginning of year						-	
Fund balance at end of year						\$ -	

# NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

# Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is pooled with other City monies in the Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

# Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and the TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

# **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# **Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools*, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

#### NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds to be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

# NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

# NOTE 7 CAPITAL RESERVE

On January 16, 2008, LACMTA and the City entered into a capital reserve agreement to establish a capital reserve account (Account) to provide for a local match of the proposed Gold Line Light Rail Transit Station, related station capital projects, related station art and/or enhancements. The capital agreement was scheduled to expire on June 30, 2014 at which time the funds would had been subject to lapse. On June 18, 2014, the agreement was extended and the funds will lapse on June 30, 2017.

For the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, following is the capital reserve amount for PALRF:

Capital reserve at 6/30/2013	\$ 2,000,000
Interest income	4,615
Capital reserve at 6/30/2014	2,004,615
Interest income	4,126
Capital reserve at 6/30/2015	\$ 2,008,741

#### NOTE 8 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANTS

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$39,277 and \$36,683 for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively, represent additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the Voluntary NTD Program.

#### NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

----

0044

	2015	2014
FY 2013/14 allocation	\$ -	\$ 17,475
FY 2014/15 allocation	14,237	-
	\$ 14,237	\$ 17,475

# NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA.

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has no funds on reserve.

# NOTE 11 PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE

In February 2015, the City entered into an agreement with the City of Lancaster to exchange the general fund monies amounting to \$490,000 for \$700,000, or \$0.70 general fund per \$1 of PALRF monies. The amount of \$700,000 received in this exchange was recorded under PALRF.

# NOTE 12 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 3, 2015, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Duarte, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Duarte, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 3, 2015.

# Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



# **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

# Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

agner & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 3, 2015

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Duarte, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Duarte, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Duarte, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



# **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

que & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 3, 2015

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and	
			No	Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds	Yes				
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х		None		
	2. Expenditures approved before					
	being incurred.	Х		None		
	3. Expenditures did not exceed					
	25% of LACMTA's approved					
	budget.	Х		None		
	<ol><li>Administrative expenses are</li></ol>					
	within the 20% cap.	Х		None		
	5. All on-going and carryover					
	projects were reported on Form					
	В.	Х		None		
	6. Annual Project Summary Report					
	(Form B) was submitted on time.	Х		None		
	7. Annual Expenditure Report					
	(Form C) was submitted on time.	Х		None		
	8. Cash or cash equivalents are					
	maintained.	Х		None		
	9. Accounting procedures, record					
	keeping and documentation are					
	adequate.	Х		None		
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund					
	<ol> <li>Funds were expended for</li> </ol>					
	transportation purposes.	Х		None		
	2. Funds were used to augment, not					
	supplant existing local revenues					
	being used for transportation					
	purposes unless there is a					
	funding shortfall.	Х		None		
	3. Signed Assurances and					
	Understandings on file.	Х		None		
	4. Separate Measure R Local Return					
	Account was established.	Х		None		
	5. Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income was					
	properly credited to the Measure					
	R Local Return Account.	Х		None		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and	
			No	Costs	management response.	
В.	B. Measure R Local Return Fund (Continued)					
	<ol><li>Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.</li></ol>	x		None		
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	x		None		
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	x		None		
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х		None		
	10. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	x		None		
	<ol> <li>Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.</li> </ol>				Not applicable.	
	<ol> <li>A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.</li> </ol>				Not applicable.	
	13. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.				Not applicable.	
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х		None		
	2. Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and					
	amenities.	Х		None		

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 8, 2015 with City of Duarte representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Roger A. Martinez – Partner Marialyn O. Salvador – Manager

City of Duarte representatives: Roger A. Cathey – Financial Services Manager Gina Quevedo – Accountant

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Duarte representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Roger A. Cathey – Financial Services Manager Gina Quevedo – Accountant



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP (formerly known as McGladrey LLP). McGladrey Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Visit http://www.rsmus.com/aboutus for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. McGladrey®, the McGladrey Alliance logo and the McGladrey Alliance signatures are proprietary to RSM US LLP, while RSM<sup>TM</sup> is used under license by RSM US LLP.