

City of La Habra Heights Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 with Report of Independent Auditors





FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	7 8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	24 26
EXIT CONFERENCE	29







www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Habra Heights, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of La Habra Heights, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of La Habra Heights, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

4 Company LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2015 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California December 21, 2015

		Jui	ne :	30
	_	2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	72,284	\$	130,508
Interest receivable		16		17
	Total assets \$	72,300	\$	130,525
			-	
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	2,795	_\$_	1,530
	Total liabilities _	2,795		1,530
Fund balance				
Restricted	_	69,505		128,995
	Total fund balance _	69,505		128,995
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$ _	72,300	\$	130,525

	Years end	ded June 30
	2015	2014
Revenues		
Proposition A \$	95,129	\$ 91,291
Investment income	137	233
Passenger fares	630	460
Reimbursement from City of Whittier for the Dial-A-Ride project	5,843	<u>-</u>
Total revenues	101,739	91,984
Expenditures		
Various projects	161,440	10,782
Total expenditures	161,440	10,782
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(59,701)	81,202
Execute (definition) of revenues ever experialitation	(00,101)	01,202
Other financing source		
Reimbursement from General Fund	211	13,095
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures and		
other financing source	(59,490)	94,297
Fund balance at beginning of year	128,995	34,698
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Fund balance at end of year \$	69,505	\$ 128,995

City of La Habra Heights Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

		_		2015						
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)		2014 Actual	
130-01	Dial-A-Ride	\$	20,000	\$	9,533	\$	10,467	\$	8,986	
405-08	Prop A Fund Trade		150,000		150,000		-		-	
480-04	Prop A Administration		1,400		1,907		(507)	*	1,796	
	Total expenditures	\$ \$	171,400	\$_	161,440	\$	9,960	\$	10,782	

^{*} See Compliance Matrix.

City of La Habra Heights Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2014		_	Additions		Deletions		Balance June 30, 2015
	None	Total	\$_ \$_		<u>-</u> ;	\$_ \$_	-	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	<u>-</u>

		Ju	ne 30)
		2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	244,983	\$	165,841
Interest receivable	_	53		22
	Total assets \$	245,036	\$	165,863
	_			_
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	122
	Total liabilities	-		122
Fund balance				
Restricted		245,036		165,741
	Total fund balance	245,036		165,741
•	Total liabilities and fund balance $\$$ $_$	245,036	\$	165,863

		Years end	ded J	une 30
		2015		2014
Revenues				
Proposition C		\$ 79,603	\$	76,405
Investment income		532		349
Airport shuttle revenue		31		8,628
	Total revenues	80,166		85,382
Expenditures				
Various projects		1,020		14,549
То	tal expenditures	1,020		14,549
Excess of revenues over expenditures		79,146		70,833
Other financing source				
Reimbursement from General Fund		 149		10,992
Excess of revenues over expenditures and				
other financing source		79,295		81,825
Fund balance at beginning of year		165,741		83,916
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 245,036	\$	165,741

City of La Habra Heights Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

	2015								
Project Code	•		LACMTA Budget	Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)		2014 Actual	
250-01 480-03	Airport Shuttle Program Prop C Administration	\$	1,000 \$ 250	850 170	\$	150 80	\$	12,120 2,429	
	Total expenditures	\$_	1,250 \$	1,020	\$	230	\$_	14,549	

City of La Habra Heights Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
	None		\$_ Total \$	\$ - \$		S\$	<u>-</u>

			Ju	ne 3	0
			2015		2014
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments		\$	204,028	\$	158,331
Interest receivable			45		22
	Total assets	\$	204,073	\$	158,353
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	S AND FUND BALANCE Total liabilities	\$ <u></u>	<u>-</u>	_\$	<u>-</u>
Fund balance					
Restricted			204,073		158,353
	Total fund balance		204,073		158,353
-	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	204,073	\$	158,353

		Years ended .	June 30
		2015	2014
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	59,194 \$	56,512
Investment income		458	363
	Total revenues	59,652	56,875
Expenditures			
Various projects		13,932	3,875
,	Total expenditures	13,932	3,875
Excess of revenues over expenditures		45,720	53,000
Fund balance at beginning of year		158,353	105,353
Fund balance at end of year	\$	204,073 \$	158,353

City of La Habra Heights Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2015 Actual for 2014

	_		2015		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	165,111 \$	13,932 \$	151,179 \$	3,875
	Total expenditures \$	165,111 \$	13,932 \$	151,179 \$	3,875

City of La Habra Heights Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2015

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2014		Additions		Deletions		Balance June 30, 2015
	None		\$_ Total \$	<u>-</u>	–ڀ-	<u>-</u>	-Ϋ́.		.—	<u>-</u>

City of La Habra Heights Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Balance Sheets Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234

			Ju	ne	30
			2015		2014
Cash	ASSETS Total assets	\$_ \$ <u></u>	<u>-</u>	\$_ \$_	<u>-</u> -
	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities Due to General Fund		\$	148	\$	-
	Total liabilities	_	148	-	-
Fund balance					
Unassigned			(148)	_	
	Total fund balance		(148)	_	-
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	-	\$	-

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2015	2014
Revenues Intergovernmental allocations:	_	. === 0	
Article 3	\$ _ Total revenues _	3,752 \$ 3,752	-
Expenditures Construction/maintenance	Total expenditures	3,900 3,900	<u>-</u>
Deficiency of revenues over expenditure	S	(148)	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	, -	<u> </u>	-
(Unassigned) fund balance at end of yea	ır \$ _	(148) \$	-

City of La Habra Heights Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Year ended June 30, 2015

	Program .		Totals to Date	Unexpended	Project
Project Description	Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Allocations	Status
Local Allocations:					
Intersection Enhancements and Pedestrian Facilities Totals	\$ \$	3,752 \$ 3,752 \$:	<u>(148)</u> (148)	Completed
Fund balance at beginning of year					
(Unassigned) fund balance at end of year	ır		\$	(148)	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is pooled with other City monies in the Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND EXCHANGE

As permitted by the Guidelines and as approved by LACMTA, the City entered into agreements with the City of Hawaiian Gardens to exchange the City's uncommitted PALRF monies as follows:

- In October 2014, the City exchanged PALRF monies amounting to \$80,000 for \$60,000 General Fund monies or \$0.75 General Fund per \$1 of PALRF.
- In September 2014, the City exchanged PALRF monies amounting to \$70,000 for \$52,500 General Fund monies or \$0.75 General Fund per \$1 of PALRF.

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	 2015	_	2014	
FY 2014/15 allocation	\$ 3,752	\$		-
	\$ 3,752	\$		-

The City did not draw down TDA Article 3 funds in FY 2013/14.

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA.

As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	 2015	_	2014
FY 2010/11 reserve	\$ -	\$	3,752
FY 2011/12 reserve	5,000		5,000
FY 2012/13 reserve	5,000		5,000
FY 2013/14 reserve	5,000		5,000
FY 2014/15 allocation	 5,000	_	
Available reserve balance	\$ 20,000	\$	18,752

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 21, 2015, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.





OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Habra Heights, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of La Habra Heights, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2015.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California December 21, 2015

Vacques & Company LLP







OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Habra Heights, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of La Habra Heights, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of La Habra Heights, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is described in the accompanying Compliance Matrix. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Compliance Matrix. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California


Varguez 4 Company LLP

December 21, 2015

Compliance Requirements			n Iiance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds				
	Timely use of funds.	Χ		None	
	Expenditures approved before				
	being incurred.	Χ		None	
	Expenditures did not exceed				Finding #2015-001
	25% of LACMTA's approved				
	budget.		Х	\$157	<u>PARLF</u>
					The City exceeded LACMTA's approved budget more than 25% without obtaining approval through a revised Form A for Project code 480-04, Prop A Administration. Projects with greater than 25% change from the approved project budget should be amended by submitting an amended Project Description Form (Form A).
					LACMTA Program Manager granted a retroactive approval on the amended budget for the said projects on December 18, 2015.
					We recommend the City submit a Form A to obtain LACMTA's approval for the change in project budget and for the City to implement control to ensure compliance to this requirement at all times.

	Compliance Requirements			n oliance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		·	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
A.		pposition A and Proposition C Local				
		turn Funds (Continued)				Management Dannage
	3.	•				Management Response
		of LACMTA's approved budget. (Continued)				The City of La Habra Heights is in agreement with our auditor that Prop A administration expenditures line item exceeded the 25% of LACMTA's approved budget. The City will take steps to correct this deficit by processing
						MTA Form A report to retroactively amend FY 2014/15 budget.
	4.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	5.	All on-going and carryover	- •			
	-	projects were reported on Form B.	Χ		None	
	6.	Annual Project Summary Report				
		(Form B) was submitted on time.	Χ		None	
	7.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form				
		C) was submitted on time.	Χ		None	
	8.	maintained.	Х		None	
	9.	Accounting procedures, record				
		keeping and documentation are				
		adequate.	Х		None	
В.	Ме	easure R Local Return Fund				
	1.	•				
		transportation purposes.	Х		None	
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not				
		supplant, existing local revenues				
		being used for transportation purposes unless there is a				
		funding shortfall.	Χ		None	
	3.	Signed Assurances and	^	 	INOLIG	
	J.	Understandings on file.	Χ		None	
	4.	Separate Measure R Local Return			140110	
		Account was established.	X		None	
	5.	Revenues received including	-		22	
		allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure	V		None	
		R Local Return Account.	Χ		None	

	Compliance Requirements		n liance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	•	Yes	No	Costs	management response.
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund (Continued)				
	Funds were expended with				
	LACMTA's approval.	Χ		None	
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was				
	submitted on time.	Χ		None	
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)				
	was submitted on time.	Χ		None	
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	10. Administrative expenses are				
	within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.				Not applicable.
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund				
	 Timely use of funds. 	Χ		None	
	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and	v		None	
	amenities.	X		None	



An exit conference was held on December 21, 2015 with City of La Habra Heights representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager

City of La Habra Heights representatives: Shauna Clark – City Manager Rimo Hanson – Accountant II

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an issue of noncompliance with the LACMTA Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of La Habra Heights representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Rimo Hanson - Accountant II



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP (formerly known as McGladrey LLP). McGladrey Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Visit http://www.rsmus.com/aboutus for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. McGladrey®, the McGladrey Alliance logo and the McGladrey Alliance signatures are proprietary to RSM US LLP, while RSM™ is used under license by RSM US LLP.