

City of Palmdale Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 with Report of Independent Auditors



An Independently Owned Member



FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	3 4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	15 16
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	17
Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	18 19
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	20
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	21
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	25

COMPLIANCE SECTION	PAGE
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	27 29
EXIT CONFERENCE	31

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# Report of Independent Auditors

## To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Palmdale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Palmdale, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

## Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



# Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund of the City of Palmdale, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

# Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 8, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

& Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 8, 2016

		June 3	0
		2015	2014
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	4,299,498 \$	3,882,375
Interest receivable		4,846	3,007
	Total assets \$	4,304,344 \$	3,885,382
LIABILITIES AND FUND B	BALANCE		
Accrued payroll and benefits	\$	11,107 \$	2,014
	Total liabilities	11,107	2,014
Fund balance			
Restricted		4,293,237	3,883,368
	Total fund balance	4,293,237	3,883,368
Total liabilitie	es and fund balance \$ $$	4,304,344 \$	3,885,382

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2015	2014	
<b>Revenues</b> Proposition A Investment income	\$  Total revenues	2,732,994 \$ 	2,622,736 38,646 2,661,382	
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	 Total expenditures	2,352,953 2,352,953	2,316,226 2,316,226	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		409,869	345,156	
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	3,883,368	3,538,212	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	4,293,237 \$	3,883,368	

See notes to financial statements.

			2015		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
110-01	AVTA (City Contribution to O&M) \$	2,101,770	\$ 2,000,552 \$	101,218	\$ 1,953,842
140-01	Recreational Transit Services	21,000	17,463	3,537	15,218
230-04	Parks and Ride Security	75,250	55,657	19,593	53,009
270-15	North County ITS Forum	750,000	228,003	521,997	292,423
290-05	Park and Ride Lot Improvement	125,000	3,237	121,763	-
310-20	Palmdale Transportation Center				
	Wayfinding Signage	86,490	48,041	38,449	1,734
	Total expenditures \$	3,159,510	\$ 2,352,953 \$	806,557	\$ 2,316,226

Note: Of the total FY 2015 actual expenditure above, \$2,073,672 were transferred to the City's General Fund and were spent on approved Proposition A projects as listed above.

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
	None	\$ Total \$	<u>- \$</u>	\$ \$	<u>-</u> \$ \$	- -

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30		
		2015		2014
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	4,971,067	\$	3,541,666
Due from LACMTA		372,608		1,030,760
Interest receivable		5,602		2,744
Total assets	s \$	5,349,277	\$	4,575,170
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	42,875	\$	652,082
Accrued salaries and benefits		956		510
Total liabilities	s	43,831		652,592
Fund balance				
Restricted		5,305,446		3,922,578
Total fund balance	e	5,305,446		3,922,578
Total liabilities and fund balance	e\$	5,349,277	\$	4,575,170

See notes to financial statements.

	Years ended June 30		
-	2015	2014	
Revenues	0.040.E00 ¢	2 4 49 606	
Proposition C \$ Proposition C 25% Call for Projects Grant	2,240,583 \$	2,148,696 1,028,769	
	1,597,908		
Investment income	28,352	33,225	
Total revenues	3,866,843	3,210,690	
Expenditures Expenditures funded by PCLRF Expenditures funded by Call for Projects Grant Total expenditures	887,637 1,596,338 2,483,975	964,504 1,028,281 1,992,785	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	1,382,868	1,217,905	
Fund balance at beginning of year	3,922,578	2,704,673	
Fund balance at end of year \$	<u> </u>	3,922,578	

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
110-01	AVTA (City contribution to O&M) \$	284,570 \$	220,000 \$	64,570 \$	220,000
290-05	Pave PTC Dirt Parking Lot	12,250	12,250	-	61,494
310-13	Facility Lease Payment	655,390	655,387	3	655,787
440-05	Pavement Management Program	20,000	-	20,000	27,223
	Total expenditures \$	972,210 \$	887,637 \$	84,573 \$	964,504

Note: Of the total FY 2015 actual expenditures above, \$875,387 were transferred to the City's General Fund and were spent on approved Proposition C projects as listed above.

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
	None	\$ Total \$	<u>-</u> \$ \$	\$ \$	<u>-</u> \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$_	<u> </u>

		June 30		
		2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	5,433,222	\$	5,417,610
Accounts receivable		1,882,800		456,755
Interest receivable		6,123	_	4,196
	Total assets \$	7,322,145	\$	5,878,561
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	138,623	\$	223,441
Accrued payroll liabili	ties	5,561		6,114
	Total liabilities	144,184		229,555
Fund balance				
Restricted		7,177,961	_	5,649,006
	Total fund balance	7,177,961		5,649,006
Т	otal liabilities and fund balance \$	7,322,145	\$	5,878,561

	Years ended June 30		
	2015	2014	
Revenues Measure R \$ Measure R Highway Program Grant	1,700,597 \$ 2,273,570	1,623,552 627,258	
Investment income	<u> </u>	51,924 2,302,734	
Total revenues	4,011,197	2,302,734	
Expenditures			
Expenditures funded by MRLRF	208,672	330,635	
Expenditures funded by Measure R Highway Program Grant	2,273,570	621,046	
Total expenditures	2,482,242	951,681	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	1,528,955	1,351,053	
Fund balance at beginning of year	5,649,006	4,297,953	
Fund balance at end of year \$	7,177,961 \$	5,649,006	

		2015			
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
S0028	Ave S Widen 30th Street East to 47th Street East \$	725,250 \$	97,951	\$ 627,299 \$	254,365
T0023	Ave R and 55th Street	88,130	86,671	1,459	76,270
T0024	Traffic Signal - Ave R and UPRR/Metrolink	47,400	24,050	23,350	-
	Total expenditures \$	860,780 \$	208,672	\$ 652,108 \$	330,635

Date Acquired	Description	 Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
	None	\$ <u>-</u> \$		\$ <u>-</u> \$ \$\$	

				0
		2015	_	2014
ASSETS			_	
Cash and investments	\$	221,458	\$	-
Due from LACMTA		-		156,378
Interest receivable		250		-
	Total assets \$	221,708	_\$	156,378
LIABILITIES AND FUN	ID BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	33,278	\$	4,544
Due to general fund		-		3,097
Accrued payroll		4,923	_	-
	Total liabilities	38,201		7,641
Fund balance				
Restricted		183,507		148,737
	Total fund balance	183,507		148,737
Total lia	bilities and fund balance \$	221,708	\$	156,378

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2015	2014	
<b>Revenues</b> Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$	216,633 \$	156,378	
Investment income		873	50	
	Total revenues	217,506	156,428	
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	 Total expenditures	182,736 182,736	106,522 106,522	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		34,770	49,906	
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	148,737	98,831	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	183,507 \$	148,737	

# City of Palmdale Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Year ended June 30, 2015

		_		Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	 Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:						
Desert Willow SR2S Project Ave R8/40th <b>Totals</b>	2015 2015	\$ \$	116,633 100,000 216,633	 82,740 \$ 99,996 182,736	33,893 <u>4</u> 33,897	Ongoing Ongoing
Investment income					873	
Fund balance at beginning of year					148,737	
Fund balance at end of year				\$	183,507 *	

\* The fund balance of \$183,507 was encumbered as of June 30, 2015.

		Ju	June 30			
		2015		2014		
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	539,827	\$	478,530		
Interest receivable		608	_	-		
	Total assets \$	540,435	\$	478,530		
	SAND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities	AND I OND BALANCE					
Due to other funds	\$	_	\$	477,930		
	۳ Total liabilities	-	_*	477,930		
Fund balance						
Restricted		540,435		600		
	Total fund balance	540,435		600		
1	Fotal liabilities and fund balance \$	540,435	\$	478,530		

		Years ended	d June 30
	-	2015	2014
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 8 Investment income	\$  Total revenues	6,017,373 \$ <u>3,280</u> 6,020,653	5,742,369 - 5,742,369
	-		
Expenditures			
Project expenditures		5,480,818	5,742,369
	Total expenditures	5,480,818	5,742,369
Excess of revenues over expenditures		539,835	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	600	600
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	<u>540,435</u> \$	600

# City of Palmdale Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99400(a) Year ended June 30, 2015

			Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:					
Street Maintenance Traffic Engineering <b>Totals</b>	2014-2015 \$ 2014-2015 _ \$ _	4,279,103 \$ 1,738,270 6,017,373 \$	1,724,423	\$ 522,708 <u>13,847</u> 536,555	Ongoing Ongoing
Unexpended investment incom		3,280			
Fund balance at beginning of		600			
Fund balance at end of year			:	\$540,435_*	

\* The fund balance of \$540,435 was encumbered as of June 30, 2015.

## NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (TDAA8F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (TDAA8F) are Special Revenue Funds that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 and 8 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

# Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

# Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools*, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

#### NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234 and 99400(a)*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities, transit and paratransit program to fulfill unmet transit needs in areas outside the service area of LACMTA operations. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, TDAA3F, and TDAA8F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

# NOTE 7 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND ARTICLE 3 REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015		2014
Funds returned to LACMTA,		-	
excluding interest	\$ -	\$	42,706
FY 2009/10 reserve	-		8,947
FY 2010/11 reserve	-		60,814
FY 2011/12 reserve	29,594		43,911
FY 2012/13 reserve	108,590		-
FY 2013/14 reserve	78,449		-
	\$ 216,633	\$	156,378

## NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	_	2015	2014
FY 2011/12 reserve	\$	-	\$ 29,594
FY 2012/13 reserve		-	108,590
FY 2013/14 reserve		46,767	125,216
FY 2014/15 allocation		101,972	-
Available reserve balance	\$	148,739	\$ 263,400

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15.

## NOTE 9 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through March 8, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

## To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Palmdale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Palmdale, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 8, 2016.

# Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



# **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

## **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 8, 2016

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

# **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

## To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Palmdale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

## Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Palmdale, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act (TDA) Articles 3 and 8, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds and the LACMTA Guidelines for the Administration of TDA Article 8 (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

## Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Articles 3 and 8 Programs occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

# Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Palmdale, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



## **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

agnes & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 8, 2016

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
		compliance requirements		No	Costs	management response.
Α.		oposition A and Proposition C Local eturn Funds				
	1.	Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	2.	Expenditures approved before				
		being incurred.	Х		None	
	3.	Expenditures did not exceed 25%				
		of LACMTA's approved budget.	Х		None	
	4.	Administrative expenses are				
		within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	5.	All on-going and carryover				
		projects were reported on Form B.	Х		None	
	6.	Annual Project Summary Report				
		(Form B) was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	7.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form				
		C) was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	8.	Cash or cash equivalents are				
		maintained.	Х		None	
	9.	Accounting procedures, record				
		keeping and documentation are				
		adequate.	Х		None	
В.	Me	asure R Local Return Fund				
	1.	Funds were expended for				
		transportation purposes.	Х		None	
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not				
		supplant, existing local revenues				
		being used for transportation				
		purposes unless there is a				
		funding shortfall.	Х		None	
	3.	- 3				
		Understandings on file.	Х		None	
	4.	Separate Measure R Local Return				
		Account was established.	Х		None	
	5.	Revenues received including				
		allocations, project generated				
		revenues and interest income was				
		properly credited to the Measure				
		R Local Return Account.	Х		None	

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund (Continued)				
	<ol><li>Funds were expended with</li></ol>				
	LACMTA's approval.	Х		None	
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was				
	submitted on time.	Х		None	
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)				
	was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	10. Administrative expenses are				
	within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	11. Fund exchanges were approved				
	by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	12. A separate account was				
	established for Capital reserve				
	funds and Capital reserve was				
	approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	13. Recreational transit form was				
	submitted on time.				Not applicable.
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund				
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	2. Expenditures were incurred for				
	activities relating to pedestrian				
	and bicycle facilities and				
	amenities.	Х		None	
D.	Transportation Development Act Article 8 Fund				
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	2. Expenditures were incurred for				
	transit, paratransit, and local				
	streets and roads.	Х		None	

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on March 8, 2016 with City of Palmdale representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager

City of Palmdale representatives: Karen Johnston – Finance Director Joyce Workman – Financial Analyst

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Palmdale representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Joyce Workman – Financial Analyst



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP (formerly known as McGladrey LLP). McGladrey Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Visit http://www.rsmus.com/aboutus for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. McGladrey®, the McGladrey Alliance logo and the McGladrey Alliance signatures are proprietary to RSM US LLP, while RSM<sup>TM</sup> is used under license by RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777