

City of San Gabriel Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 with Report of Independent Auditors



An Independently Owned Member MCGLADREY ALLIANCE



FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	15 16 17
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	18
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	24 26
EXIT CONFERENCE	28

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Gabriel, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of San Gabriel, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of San Gabriel, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 9, 2015 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

eg & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 9, 2015

		June 30			
		2015		2014	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	206,829	\$	821,103	
	Total assets \$	206,829	\$	821,103	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALA	NCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	34,331	\$	2,291	
	Total liabilities	34,331		2,291	
Fund balance					
Restricted		172,498		818,812	
То	tal fund balance	172,498		818,812	
Total liabilities a	nd fund balance \$ _	206,829	\$	821,103	

		Years ended June 30			
	-	2015	2014		
Revenues Proposition A Investment income Rental income	\$	710,117 \$ 5,663 6,000	681,468 4,549 6,000		
Total revenue	s -	721,780	692,017		
Expenditures Various projects Total expenditure	s _	1,368,094 1,368,094	50,826 50,826		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(646,314)	641,191		
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	818,812	177,621		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u> 172,498 </u> \$ _	818,812		

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
140-02	Recreational Transit \$	75,000 \$	24,277 \$	50,723 \$	26,042
160-14	Bus Shelters	167,639	109,574	58,065	9,212
270-09	SGVCOG Transit	8,500	-	8,500	-
270-10	Transportation Planning Services	8,400	8,250	150	-
405-04	Fund Exchange	1,200,000	1,200,000	-	-
480-05	Direct Administration	24,000	25,993	(1,993)	15,572
	Total expenditures \$	1,483,539 \$	1,368,094 \$	115,445 \$	50,826

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2014	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
1980	Park and Ride Lot	\$	890,668	\$ - \$	- \$	890,668
1984	Park and Ride Lot		1,171,269	-	-	1,171,269
1985	Storage Building		10,200	-	-	10,200
1988	Improvements		194,700	-	-	194,700
2006	Improvements		5,000	-	-	5,000
2012	Improvements		3,387	-	-	3,387
2013	Improvements		6,117	-	-	6,117
2014	Improvements		9,212	-	-	9,212
2015	Bus Shelters and Pads		-	109,574	-	109,574
		Total \$	2,290,553	\$ 109,574 \$	- \$	2,400,127

	_	June 30			
		2015		2014	
ASSETS	_				
Cash and investments	\$	1,266,263	\$	2,024,886	
	Total assets \$	1,266,263	\$	2,024,886	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALAN	ICE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$	24,673	\$	44,835	
	Total liabilities	24,673		44,835	
Fund balance					
Restricted - SG Major Street Improvement	Project	-		1,938,472	
Restricted - Other		1,241,590	_	41,579	
Tota	l fund balance	1,241,590		1,980,051	
Total liabilities and	d fund balance \$	1,266,263	\$	2,024,886	
	-		-		

		Years ended June 30				
	_	2015	2014			
Revenues						
Proposition C	\$	590,497 \$	566,626			
Investment income		12,704	15,221			
	Total revenues	603,201	581,847			
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	1,341,662 1,341,662	635,146 635,146			
Deficiency of revenues over expenditur	es	(738,461)	(53,299)			
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,980,051	2,033,350			
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>1,241,590</u> \$	1,980,051			

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
130-01	Senior Dial-A-Ride \$	400,000 \$	430,065 \$	(30,065) \$	412,941
250-03	Bus Fare Subsidy	150,000	48,676	101,324	78,659
290-08	Park and Ride Lot Maintenance	200,000	51,580	148,420	143,546
380-18	San Gabriel Blvd Street Improvements	700,089	-	700,089	-
440-01	Bridge Repair	5,000	5,000	-	-
450-17	Del Mar-Alhambra Wash Bridge Rehabilitation/				
	Reconstruction	276,387	-	276,387	-
450-19	Las Tunas Street Improvements	780,349	780,349	-	-
470-01	Pavement Management System Replacement	52,000	-	52,000	-
480-05	Direct Administration	24,000	25,992	(1,992)	-
	Total expenditures \$	2,587,825 \$	1,341,662 \$	1,246,163 \$	635,146

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
2003 to 2005	Intersection Study Design \$	91,104 \$	5 - \$	- \$	91,104
2004 to 2010	Improvements other than Buildings	912,382	-	-	912,382
2006	Controller Cabinet	12,533	-	-	12,533
2012	Infrastructure	5,700	-	5,700	-
2015	Las Tunas San Gabriel Muscatel	-	780,349		780,349
	Total \$	1,021,719	§ <u>780,349</u> \$	5,700 \$	1,796,368

		June 30			
		2015	_	2014	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	1,699,146	\$	1,726,448	
	Total assets \$	1,699,146	\$	1,726,448	
-	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	320,891	\$	16,533	
	Total liabilities	320,891		16,533	
Fund balance					
Restricted	_	1,378,255		1,709,915	
	Total fund balance	1,378,255	_	1,709,915	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,699,146	\$	1,726,448	

		Years ended June 30			
		2015	2014		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$	441,868 \$	421,849		
Investment income		12,393	11,284		
	Total revenues	454,261	433,133		
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	785,921 785,921	93,109 93,109		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over ex	penditures	(331,660)	340,024		
Fund balance at beginning of year		1,709,915	1,369,891		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>1,378,255</u> \$	1,709,915		

		2015					
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)		2014 Actual
01-001	San Gabriel and Mission Intersection						
	Improvements \$	132,000	\$	2 \$	131,998 \$	6	50,974
01-006	Las Tunas Drive Rehabilitation	1,208,000	785,91	9	422,081		42,135
01-007	Great Streets Road Improvement Program	3,800,000		-	3,800,000		-
01-008	Great Streets Road Improvement Loan						
	Repayments	375,000		-	375,000		-
05-001	Alameda Corridor East Railroad Trench	250,158		-	250,158		-
	Total expenditures \$	5,765,158	\$ 785,92	1 \$	4,979,237 \$	5	93,109

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2014	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
6/30/2013 6/30/2013	I-10 Gateway Monument Sign	\$ 33,882	\$-	\$-\$	\$ 33,882
6/30/2013	Improvements	77,303	-	-	77,303
6/30/2014	San Gabriel and Mission Intersection	50,974	-	-	50,974
6/30/2014	Las Tunas San Gabriel Muscatel	42,135	-	-	42,135
6/30/2015	Las Tunas San Gabriel Muscatel	-	785,919	-	785,919
	Total S	\$ 204,294	\$ 785,919	\$\$	\$ 990,213

See report of independent auditors.

	June	30
	2015	2014
\$ I assets \$	1_\$ \$	
\$	\$	2,999
abilities		2,999
balance	<u>1</u> <u>1</u>	(2,999) (2,999)
balance \$	<u> </u>	-
	l assets \$	l assets \$\$\$\$\$\$\$

	Years ended	l June 30
	2015	2014
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations:		
Article 3 \$	•,••• •	28,099
Total revenues	5,100	28,099
Expenditures		
Construction/Maintenance	2,100	31,098
Total expenditures	2,100	31,098
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	3,000	(2,999)
Other financing sources Funds returned to LACMTA	<u> </u>	(11,741)
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures and other financing sources	3,000	(14,740)
Restricted (Unassigned) fund balance at beginning of year	(2,999)	11,741
Restricted (Unassigned) fund balance at end of year \$	\$_	(2,999)

City of San Gabriel Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Year ended June 30, 2015

		Allocations Expenditures Allocations Status						
Project Description	Program Year		Allocations		Expenditures	-	•	Project Status
Local Allocations:								
Sidewalk and ADA Ramps Totals	2015	. T –	,	- ; -		\$		Complete
Unassigned fund balance at beginnir	ng of year						(2,999)	
Fund balance at end of year						\$	1	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is pooled with other City monies in the Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools*, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND EXCHANGE

As permitted under the Guidelines and as approved by LACMTA, the City entered into an agreement with the City of Industry to exchange Proposition A monies amounting to \$1,200,000 for \$900,000, or \$0.75 General Fund per \$1 PALRF monies in FY 2014/15.

NOTE 8 CAPITAL RESERVE

On September 1, 2002, LACMTA and the City entered into capital reserve agreements to establish a capital reserve account amounting to \$2,860,000 for the San Gabriel Boulevard Major Street Improvement projects (Project). On July 23, 2009, LACMTA extended the expiration of the agreement to July 1, 2015.

The Project will be funded with the Proposition C Local Return funds allocated to the City. All interest shall be accrued and placed in the Account for use exclusively for the said projects.

For the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014, following is the capital reserve amount for PCLRF:

Capital reserve at 6/30/2013	\$	1,924,012
Interest income earned during the year	_	14,460
Capital reserve at 6/30/2014	\$	1,938,472
Release from capital reserve	-	(1,938,472)
Capital reserve at 6/30/2015	\$	-

In FY 2014/15, the project was completed and the capital reserve was reverted to the unrestricted fund.

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	 2015	 2014
FY 2009/10 reserve	\$ -	\$ 2,506
FY 2010/11 reserve	3,383	13,852
FY 2011/12 reserve	1,717	-
Funds returned to LACMTA in		
FY 2012/13	-	11,741
	\$ 5,100	\$ 28,099

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	 2015	2014
FY 2010/11 reserve	\$ -	\$ 3,383
FY 2011/12 reserve	19,003	20,720
FY 2012/13 reserve	30,593	30,593
FY 2013/14 reserve	32,544	32,544
FY 2014/15 allocation	26,508	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 108,648	\$ 87,240

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 9, 2015, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Gabriel, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of San Gabriel, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 9, 2015.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 9, 2015

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Gabriel, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of San Gabriel, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of San Gabriel, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Vargues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California December 9, 2015

Compliance Requirements		-	n liance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and
			Yes No		management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds				
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	 Expenditures approved before being incurred. 	х		None	
	 Expenditures did not exceed 25% of LACMTA's approved budget. 	х		None	
	4. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	х		None	
	 All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B. 	x		None	
	 Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time. 	х		None	
	 Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time. 	х		None	
	8. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	х		None	
	 Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 	Х		None	

Compliance Requirements			In Questioned		If no, provide details and
			No	Costs	management response.
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund				
	1. Funds were expended for				
	transportation purposes.	Х		None	
	2. Funds were used to augment, not				
	supplant, existing local revenues				
	being used for transportation				
	purposes unless there is a				
	funding shortfall.	Х		None	
	3. Signed Assurances and				
	Understandings on file.	Х		None	
	4. Separate Measure R Local Return				
	Account was established.	Х		None	
	5. Revenues received including				
	allocations, project generated				
	revenues and interest income was				
	properly credited to the Measure				
	R Local Return Account.	Х		None	
	6. Funds were expended with				
	LACMTA's approval.	Х		None	
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was				
	submitted on time.	Х		None	
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two)				
	was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	10. Administrative expenses are				
	within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	11. Fund exchanges were approved				
	by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	12. A separate account was				
	established for Capital reserve				
	funds and Capital reserve was				
	approved by LACMTA.				Not applicable.
	13. Recreational transit form was				
	submitted on time.				Not applicable.
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund				
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	2. Expenditures were incurred for				
	activities relating to pedestrian				
	and bicycle facilities and				
	amenities.	Х		None	

EXIT CONFERENCE

Exit conference was held on December 9, 2015 with City of San Gabriel representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Manager Cynthia Villamin – Audit Supervisor

City of San Gabriel representatives: Thomas C. Marston – Finance Director Linda Tang – Financial Services Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statements issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of San Gabriel representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Thomas C. Marston – Finance Director Linda Tang – Financial Services Manager



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publically traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the McGladrey Alliance. McGladrey Alliance is a premier affiliation of independent accounting and consulting firms. McGladrey Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP (formerly known as McGladrey LLP). McGladrey Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Visit http://www.rsmus.com/aboutus for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. McGladrey®, the McGladrey Alliance logo and the McGladrey Alliance signatures are proprietary to RSM US LLP, while RSMTM is used under license by RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777