

City of Sierra Madre Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 with Report of Independent Auditors



An Independently Owned Member



FINANCIAL SECTION	<u>PAGE</u>
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Notes to Funds Financial Statements	15 16 17
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	21
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	23 25
EXIT CONFERENCE	27

FINANCIAL SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Sierra Madre, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Sierra Madre, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Sierra Madre, California, as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated March 17, 2016 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

asques & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 17, 2016

		_	Ju	ne 3	0
			2015		2014
	ASSETS	_			
Cash and investments		\$	142,319	\$	88,379
	Total assets	\$_	142,319	\$	88,379
LIABILITIE	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable		\$	11,620	\$	12,460
	Total liabilities	_	11,620		12,460
Fund balance					
Restricted			130,699		75,919
	Total fund balance		130,699		75,919
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	142,319	\$	88,379

		Years ended June 30			
		2015	2014		
Revenues Proposition A	\$	194,945 \$	187,080		
Investment income	·	180	109		
Project generated revenues		5,036	5,937		
	Total revenues	200,161	193,126		
Expenditures Various projects	 Total expenditures	145,381 145,381	145,639 145,639		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		54,780	47,487		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	75,919	28,432		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>130,699</u> \$	75,919		

See notes to financial statements.

		2015					
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual	
110-05	Fixed Route Transit	\$	1,200 \$	1,153	\$ 47 \$	1,286	
140-04	Recreation Excursions		-	-	-	775	
240-01	Subsidized Taxi Service		142,161	131,064	11,097	130,384	
250-02	MTA Bus Pass Subsidy		5,500	5,452	48	5,798	
480-03	Administration		7,711	7,712	(1)	7,396	
	Total expenditures	\$_	156,572 \$	145,381	\$ <u>11,191</u> \$	145,639	

Date Acquired		Description			Balance July 1, 2014		_	Additions		Deletions		Balance June 30, 2015	
	None		Total	\$ \$		- 00 - 00	\$_ \$_	-	\$ \$	-	\$_ \$_		

See report of independent auditors.

		Ju	ne 30
		2015	2014
	ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$	51,880	\$9,732
	Total assets \$	51,880	\$9,732
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$-
	Total liabilities	-	-
Fund balance			
Restricted		51,880	9,732
	Total fund balance	51,880	9,732
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	51,880	\$9,732

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2015	2014
Revenues			
Proposition C	\$	161,659 \$	155,106
Investment income		67	-
	Total revenues	161,726	155,106
Expenditures Various projects	 Total expenditures	<u>119,578</u> 119,578	254,144 254,144
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over e	expenditures	42,148	(99,038)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	9,732	108,770
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u> </u>	9,732

Project		LACMTA		Variance Positive	2014
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Negative)	Actual
440-04	Street Maintenance/Improvement \$	114,057 \$	113,874 \$	183 \$	248,439
480-03	Administration	5,702	5,704	(2)	5,705
	Total expenditures \$	119,759 \$	119,578 \$	181 \$	254,144

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description		 Balance July 1, 2014	_	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015
Sept 2010	2 E-150 8 Passenger Vans	Total	\$ 46,511 46,511	<u> </u>	<u>-</u> \$ \$	\$_ \$	46,511 46,511

		Ju	ne 30)
		2015		2014
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ _	210,396	\$	696,163
	Total assets \$	210,396	\$	696,163
	-			
LIABILITIE	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$	43,980
	Total liabilities	-		43,980
Fund balance				
Restricted	_	210,396		652,183
	Total fund balance	210,396		652,183
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$ _	210,396	\$	696,163
	-			

See notes to financial statements.

		Years ended June 30			
		2015	2014		
Revenues					
Measure R	\$	121,303 \$	115,808		
Interest income		287	2,940		
	Total revenues	121,590	118,748		
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	3	(441,787)	(55,765)		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	652,183	707,948		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	210,396_\$	652,183		

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2014 Actual
1.05	Street Resurfacing Project Phase 3 \$	104,400 \$	558,157 \$	(453,757) \$	169,293
8.10	Fund Administration	5,702	5,220	482	5,220
	Total expenditures \$	110,102 \$	563,377 \$	(453,275) \$	174,513

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description				Balance July 1, 2014	Additions		Deletions	Balance June 30, 2015	
	None		Total	\$_ \$_	-	- ≁.	-	\$ \$	\$ \$	<u> </u>

See report of independent auditors.

		J	une 3	0
		2015		2014
ASSETS Cash	\$ Total assets \$		\$ \$	<u>-</u>
LIABILITIES AND FUND I Liabilities Accounts payable	BALANCE \$ Total liabilities		<u></u> \$	- - -
Commitments and contingencies		Note 8		
Fund balance Restricted	Total fund balance		<u> </u>	-
Total liabilit	ies and fund balance \$		<u>-</u> \$	

		Years ende	d June 30
		2015	2014
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$ Total revenues	\$	<u>1,886</u> 1,886
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	:	1,886 1,886
Excess of revenues over expenditure	S	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		<u> </u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is pooled with other City monies in the Special Revenue Fund. The Special Revenue Fund accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Unrealized Gain (Loss) on Investments

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 31, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Certain Investments and External Investment Pools*, local governments are required to use fair value (instead of amortized costs) for financial reporting purposes. As a result of such implementation, the City recognizes the unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2015 and 2014, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average monthly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE

Project generated revenue under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
Bus fares	\$ -	\$ 353
TAP Cards/Transportation vouchers	5,036	4,904
Excursion bus fees	-	680
	\$ 5,036	\$ 5,937

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the year ended June 30, 2015 consisted of the following:

	2015	2014
FY 2011/12 reserve	\$ -	\$ 1,886
	\$ -	\$ 1,886

There was no draw down of TDA Article 3 funds in FY 2014/15.

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2015 and 2014, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2015	2014
FY 2011/12 reserve	\$ 3,483	\$ 3,483
FY 2012/13 reserve	7,910	7,910
FY 2013/14 reserve	8,943	8,943
FY 2014/15 allocation	7,289	-
	\$ 27,625	\$ 20,336

For FY 2014/15, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2010/11 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2015. There were no TDA funds that lapsed in FY 2014/15.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through March 17, 2016, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded that no events have occurred that require disclosure in or adjustments to the financial statements.



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Sierra Madre, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Sierra Madre, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 17, 2016.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

asynes & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 17, 2016

COMPLIANCE SECTION



801 South Grand Ave., Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Sierra Madre, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Sierra Madre, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Sierra Madre, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2015.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

angues & Company LLP

Los Angeles, California March 17, 2016

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and
	F		No	Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds	Yes	-		
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х		None	
	2. Expenditures approved before				
	being incurred.	Х		None	
	3. Expenditures did not exceed				
	25% of LACMTA's approved				
	budget.	Х		None	
	4. Administrative expenses are				
	within the 20% cap.	Х		None	
	5. All on-going and carryover				
	projects were reported in Form				
	В.	Х		None	
	6. Annual Project Summary Report				
	(Form B) was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	7. Annual Expenditure Report				
	(Form C) was submitted on time.	Х		None	
	8. Cash or cash equivalents are				
	maintained.	Х		None	
	9. Accounting procedures, record				
	keeping and documentation are				
	adequate.	Х		None	
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund				
	1. Funds were expended for				
	transportation purposes.	Х		None	
	2. Funds were used to augment, not				
	supplant, existing local revenues				
	being used for transportation				
	purposes unless there is a				
	funding shortfall.	Х		None	
	Signed Assurances and				
	Understandings on file.	Х		None	
	4. Separate Measure R Local Return				
	Account was established.	Х		None	
	5. Revenues received including				
	allocations, project generated				
	revenues and interest income was				
	properly credited to the Measure				
	R Local Return Account.	Х		None	

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and	
			No	COSIS	management response.	
В.	Measure R Local Return Fund (Continued)					
	Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	x		None		
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	х		None		
	8. Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	x		None		
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х		None		
	10. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	х		None		
	 Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA. 				Not applicable.	
	 A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA. 				Not applicable.	
	13. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.				Not applicable.	
C.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
	 Timely use of funds. 	Х		None		
	 Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities. 				Not applicable. There were no expenditures in FY 2014/15.	

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on March 17, 2016 with a City of Sierra Madre representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Roger Martinez – Partner

City of Sierra Madre representative: Sonia Cruz – Accounting Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Sierra Madre representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Sonia Cruz – Accounting Manager

Vasquez & Company LLP 801 S. Grand Avenue, Suite 400 Los Angeles, CA 90017

RE: CITY OF SIERRA MADRE, CALIFORNIA ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2015 AND 2014

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund, for the years ended June 30, 2015 and 2014 for the City of Sierra Madre and agreed with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,

Name

Title

Date