

City of Culver City Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

FINANCI	AL SE	CTION
---------	-------	-------

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	8 9
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	16 17 18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	20 21 22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standard</i> s	28

<u>PAGE</u>

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	30 32
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	36
EXIT CONFERENCE	37

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Culver City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Culver City, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Culver City, California, as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Culver City, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 5, 2019 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 5, 2019

		June 30			
		2019	2018		
F	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	983,811 \$	938,187		
Interest receivable		4,922	3,486		
	Total assets \$	988,733 \$	941,673		
LIABILITIES A Liabilities Accounts payable	ND FUND BALANCE \$ _ Total liabilities	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Fund balance					
Restricted		988,733	941,673		
	Total fund balance	988,733	941,673		
Το	otal liabilities and fund balance \$ _	988,733 \$	941,673		

		Years ended	June 30
		2019	2018
Revenues			
Proposition A	\$	805,112 \$	754,487
Interest income		15,362	10,907
Investment income (loss)		11,512	(5,080)
	Total revenues	831,986	760,314
Expenditures Various projects	-	784,926	752,278
	Total expenditures	784,926	752,278
Excess of revenues over expenditures		47,060	8,036
Fund balance at beginning of year		941,673	933,637
	—		,
Fund balance at end of year	\$	988,733 \$	941,673

			2019					
		-	Variance					
Project			LACMTA			Positive		2018
Code	Project Name		Budget	_	Actual	(Negative)		Actual
110-02	Culver City Municipal Bus Lines Operating Assistance	\$	784,926	\$_	784,926	\$	\$_	752,278
	Total expenditures	\$	784,926	\$_	784,926	\$	\$_	752,278

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2018		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
1998	CCMBL Facility	\$	528,199	\$	- \$	- \$	528,199
2005	Recreational Van (Ford E350)		110,205	_	-	-	110,205
	Tota	I \$	638,404	\$	- \$	- \$	638,404

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30			
		2019	_	2018	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	1,054,889	\$	969,796	
Interest receivable		5,174	_	4,106	
	Total assets \$	1,060,063	\$	973,902	
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	AND FUND BALANCE 	<u> </u>	\$		
Fund balance					
Restricted	_	1,060,063		973,902	
	Total fund balance	1,060,063	_	973,902	
-	Fotal liabilities and fund balance $\frac{1}{2}$	1,060,063	\$	973,902	

		Years ended	June 30
		2019	2018
Revenues			
Proposition C	:	\$ 667,818 \$	624,725
Interest income		14,992	12,975
Investment income (loss)		13,267	(5,691)
	Total revenues	696,077	632,009
Expenditures Various projects		609,916	715,762
	Total expenditures	 609,916	715,762
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over e	xpenditures	86,161	(83,753)
Fund balance at beginning of year		 973,902	1,057,655
Fund balance at end of year	:	\$ 1,060,063 \$	973,902

City of Culver City Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2019 (With Comparative Actuals for 2018)

		2019						
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	_	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	_	2018 Actual
110-02	Culver City Municipal Bus Lines Operating Assistance	\$	266,076	\$	266,076	; -	\$	234,995
120-01	Culver City Paratransit Program		200,000		232,517	(32,517)		220,634
250-03	Rideshare Program (formerly coded 110-03)		35,000		33,641	1,359		30,300
300-04	CCMBL Facility Reserve		150,000	_	77,682	72,318		229,833
	Total expenditures	\$	651,076	\$_	609,916	5 41,160	\$	715,762

City of Culver City Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2018	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
1998	CCMBL Facility	\$	231,446	5 - \$	- \$	231,446
2000	Bus Shelters		96,031	-	-	96,031
2000	Paratransit Van		45,420	-	-	45,420
2002	Paratransit Van		45,638	-	-	45,638
2004	CCMBL Facility Improvements		94,613	-	-	94,613
2006	CCMBL Facility Improvements		89,420	-	-	89,420
2006	Bus Pads		46,000	-	-	46,000
2006	CCMBL Facility Improvements		34,896	-	-	34,896
2007	CCMBL Facility Improvements		16,522	-	-	16,522
2009	CCMBL Facility Improvements		10,359	-	-	10,359
2009	Braddock Drive Resurfacing		46,258	-	-	46,258
2010	CCMBL Facility Improvements		50,901	-	-	50,901
2013	CCMBL Facility Improvements		16,245	-	-	16,245
2014	CCMBL Facility Improvements		20,932	-	-	20,932
2015	CCMBL Facility Improvements		23,268	-	-	23,268
2016	2 Customline Slide Gate Operator		24,934	-	-	24,934
2016	Carpet at Transportation		17,415	-	-	17,415
2016	Carpet at Transportation		5,916	-	-	5,916
2017	6 Stertil-Koni Mobile Lifts		65,466	-	-	65,466
2017	Gas Detector System		78,598	-	-	78,598
2017	Stertil-Koni Diamond Heavy Duty Lift		39,995	-	-	39,995
2017	Stertil-Koni Diamond Heavy Duty Lift		98,990	-	-	98,990
2017	Install Heavy Duty Vehicle Lifts		95,095	-	-	95,095
2018	Hirsch Pads		15,226	-	-	15,226
		Total \$	1,309,584	6\$	- \$	1,309,584

	2018
4 \$	218,766
3	762
7 \$	219,528
- \$	-
	-
7	219,528
7	219,528
7 \$	219,528
	\$ <u>7</u> \$ <u></u> \$ <u>7</u> <u>7</u> \$

		Years ended	June 30
		2019	2018
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	501,007 \$	468,738
Interest income		3,953	1,399
Investment income		2,487	366
	Total revenues	507,447	470,503
Expenditures Various projects		<u>585,258</u> 585,258	732,661 732,661
Deficiency of revenues over expendi	itures	(77,811)	(262,158)
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	219,528	481,686
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u>141,717</u> \$	219,528

			2019				
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	244,158	\$	341,099	\$	(96,941) \$	498,658
4.20	Transit Operations Assistance	244,159		244,159		-	234,003
	Total expenditures \$	488,317	\$	585,258	\$	(96,941) \$	732,661

See report of independent auditors.

City of Culver City Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2018	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
2011	Infrastructure P-863GG/JJ \$	531,942 \$	- \$	- \$	531,942
2011	Residential Overlay Project	120,620	-	-	120,620
2011	Residential Overlay Project	81,018	-	-	81,018
2011	Residential Overlay Project	60,968	-	-	60,968
2012	Residential Overlay Project	53,432	-	-	53,432
2013	Residential Overlay Project	428,325	-	-	428,325
2014	Residential Overlay Project	263,570	-	-	263,570
2017	Arterial Street Pavement Rehabilitation	136,478	-	-	136,478
2017	CC Rehabilitation Project	498,658	-	-	498,658
2018	Pavement Work	-	96,940	-	96,940
2019	CC Rehabilitation Project	-	244,159	-	244,159
	Total \$	2,175,011 \$	341,099 \$	- \$	2,516,110

	June 30		
	2019	2018	
ASSETS			
Cash and investments \$	1,002,154	\$ 423,016	
Interest receivable	4,334	1,317	
Total assets \$	1,006,488	3 \$ 424,333	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Accounts payable \$ Total liabilities		<u> </u> \$ <u> </u>	
Fund balance Restricted	1,006,488	424,333	
Total fund balance	1,006,488	424,333	
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,006,488	3 \$ 424,333	

		Years ended June 30		
	-	2019	2018	
Revenues Measure M Interest income	\$	564,684 \$ 11,969	424,784 3,033 (2,484)	
Investment income (loss)	 Total revenues	<u> </u>	(3,484) 424,333	
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	<u> </u>		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		582,155	424,333	
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	424,333		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	1,006,488 \$	424,333	

			2019			
Project _Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual	
3.25	Metro Bikeshare Project \$ Total expenditures \$	<u>1,057,290</u> \$ 1,057,290 \$	· .	1,057,290 \$ 1,057,290 \$	-	

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2018		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
	None			\$ -	\$	- \$	\$	-
			Total	\$ -	_\$	- \$	\$	

See report of independent auditors.

		June	9 30
		2019	2018
	ASSETS		
Cash		s <u> </u>	
	Total assets \$	5 <u> </u>	-
	ES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities			
Accounts payable		s\$	
	Total liabilities		
Fund balance			
Restricted		-	-
	Total fund balance	-	-
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	\$\$	

		Years end	ded June 30
	_	2019	2018
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations:			
Article 3	\$	27,193	\$26,350
	Total revenues	27,193	26,350
Expenditures Maintenance for Culver City's Portion of the Ballona Creek Project	 Total expenditures	27,193 27,193	26,350 26,350
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	-	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	-	\$

City of Culver City Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2019

			Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations: Maintenance for Culver City's Portion of the Ballona Creek Project Totals	2019 \$ \$ \$	27,193 27,193	· ·	6 <u> </u>	Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year			\$	i	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's 2019 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2019 and 2018:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average guarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANT

The City received Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant amounting to \$62,641 and \$61,557 for the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, respectively, which represents additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the subregional paratransit services program. The City used this grant to operate a demandresponse paratransit Dial-A-Ride program available to eligible disabled residents or known as the Culver City Community Paratransit Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program Grant was recorded under the City's Operating Grants Fund and therefore, was not reported in the accompanying PALRF financial statements.

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 consisted of the following:

	2019	2018
FY 2017/18 allocation	\$ -	\$ 26,350
FY 2018/19 allocation	27,193	-
	\$ 27,193	\$ 26,350

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2019 and 2018, the City has no funds on reserve.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 5, 2019, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Culver City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Culver City, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 5, 2019.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

agnes & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 5, 2019

COMPLIANCE SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Culver City, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Culver City, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2019.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the Culver City, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2019.





Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

green & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 5, 2019

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and	
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
Α.	Proposit Return F	tion A and Proposition C Local					
		es the State Controller's					
		form System of Accounts and					
		ords.	х				
		ely use of funds.	X				
		ds expended were approved	~				
		have not been substituted for					
		perty tax.	х				
-		enditures that exceeded 25%	~				
		pproved project budget have					
		roved amended Project					
		cription Form (Form A)	х				
		ninistrative expenses are within	~				No administrative
		20% cap of the total annual					expenses charged to
		al Return Expenditures.			Х		PALRF and PCLRF.
		on-going and carryover projects			~		
		e reported in Form B.	Х				
		ual Project Summary Report	~				
		rm B) was submitted timely.	Х				
		ual Expenditure Report (Form					
		vas submitted timely.	Х				
		h or cash equivalents are					
		ntained.	Х				
		ounting procedures, record					
		ping and documentation are					
		quate.	Х				
	11. Pav	ement Management System					
		IS) in place and being used for					
	Stre	et Maintenance or					
	Imp	rovement Projects					
	Exp	enditures.			Х		
	12. Loc	al Return Account is credited					
		eimbursable expenditures.			Х		
		-Certification was completed					
		submitted for Intelligent					
		nsportation Systems projects or					
		nents.			Х		
		urances and Understandings					
		n was on file.	Х				
	-	reational Transit Form was					
	sub	mitted timely.			Х		

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
В.	Mea	asure R Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a funding					
		shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.	Separate Measure R Local Return					
		Account was established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure R					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was					
		submitted timely.	Х				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two)					
		was submitted timely.	Х				
		Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10.	Administrative expenses are within					No administrative
		the 20% cap.					expenses charged to
					Х		MRLRF.
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by					
		LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			Х		

Compliance Requirements C. Measure M Local Return Fund		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
				N/A		management response.
	 Funds were expended for 					There were no
	transportation purposes.					expenditures in FY
				Х		2018/19.
	2. Funds were used to augment, not					
	supplant, existing local revenues					
	being used for transportation					There were no
	purposes unless there is a fund					expenditures in FY
	shortfall.			Х		2018/19.
	3. Signed Assurances and					
	Understandings on file.	Х				
	4. Separate Measure M Local Return					
	Account was established.	Х				
	5. Revenues received including					
	allocations, project generated					
	revenues and interest income was					
	properly credited to the Measure M					
	Local Return Account.	Х				
	6. Funds were expended with					There were no
	LACMTA's approval.					expenditures in FY
				Х		2018/19.
	7. Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)					There were no
	was submitted timely.					expenditures in FY
				Х		2018/19.
	8. Expenditure Report (Form M-Two)					
	was submitted timely.	Х				
	9. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10. Administrative expenses are within					There were no
	the 20% cap.					expenditures in FY
				Х		2018/19.
	11. Fund exchanges were approved by					
	LACMTA.			Х		
	12. A separate account was					
	established for Capital reserve					
	funds and Capital reserve was					
	approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13. Recreational transit form was			v		
	submitted timely.			Х		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
D. Transportation Development Act						
Article	e 3 Fund					
1. T	imely use of funds.	Х				
а	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and					
a	amenities.	Х				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 5, 2019 with the City of Culver City representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Culver City representatives: Rolando Cruz – Transportation Officer Jane Leonard – Senior Management Analyst

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant financial and compliance issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Culver City representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Rolando Cruz – Transportation Officer Jane Leonard – Senior Management Analyst Onyx Jones – Chief Financial Officer



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

655 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777