

City of Gardena Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 with Report of Independent Auditors





# <u>PAGE</u>

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	16 17 18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	20 21 22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	29

	PAGE
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	31 33
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	37
EXIT CONFERENCE	38

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

# **Report of Independent Auditors**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Gardena, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Gardena, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Gardena, California, as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Gardena, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 16, 2019 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

angues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2019

		June 30				
	_	2019	2018			
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	3,960,219 \$	3,493,815			
Due from LACMTA		176,583	163,274			
	Total assets \$	4,136,802 \$	3,657,089			
	-					
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	73,075 \$	100,573			
	 Total liabilities	73,075	100,573			
	-					
Fund balance						
Restricted		4,063,727	3,556,516			
	 Total fund balance	4,063,727	3,556,516			
	Total liabilities and fund balance $\$^-$	4,136,802 \$	3,657,089			

		 Years ended June 30				
		 2019		2018		
<b>Revenues</b> Proposition A Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Prog Passenger fares Elderly and handicapped services program Interest income	ıram grant	\$ 1,219,041 176,583 11,363 342,735 52,601 1,802,323	\$	1,133,839 163,274 12,858 355,104 46,165 1,711,240		
		 .,,.		.,,		
Expenditures						
Various projects		 1,295,112		1,446,446		
-	Fotal expenditures	 1,295,112		1,446,446		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		507,211		264,794		
Fund balance at beginning of year		3,556,516		3,291,722		
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 4,063,727	\$	3,556,516		

		_					
						Variance	
Project			LACMTA			Positive	2018
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual		(Negative)	Actual
110-01	Fixed Route Transit	\$	913,177	\$ 1,045,112	\$	(131,935) \$	1,142,104
130-01	Special Service Paratransit		55,300	50,000		5,300	55,300
480-63	Paratransit Administrative Expenditures	_	220,000	 200,000	_	20,000	249,042
	Total expenditures	\$	1,188,477	\$ 1,295,112	\$	(106,635) \$	1,446,446

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2018		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
2002	Buick Century (Veh ID 839) \$	19,103	\$	- \$	- \$	19,103
2003	Destination Signs & Annuciators	11,610		-	-	11,610
2008	2008 Ford Crown Victoria	23,094		-		23,094
	Total \$	53,807	_\$	\$	\$	53,807

		June	30
	_	2019	2018
A	SSETS		
Cash and investments	\$	<u>2,721,347</u> \$	2,743,419
	Total assets \$	2,721,347 \$	2,743,419
LIABILITIES AN Liabilities Payroll payable	ID FUND BALANCE	1,023 \$	418
Payroll payable	_≉ Total liabilities	<u> </u>	418
Fund balance Restricted Tota	_ Total fund balance _ al liabilities and fund balance \$	2,720,324 2,720,324 2,721,347 \$	2,743,001 2,743,001 2,743,419

		Years ende	d June 30
		 2019	2018
Revenues			
Proposition C		\$ 1,011,160 \$	939,889
Interest income		 46,623	31,595
	Total revenues	 1,057,783	971,484
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures	 1,080,460 1,080,460	153,506 153,506
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expe	enditures	(22,677)	817,978
Fund balance at beginning of year		 2,743,001	1,925,023
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 2,720,324 \$	2,743,001

# City of Gardena Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2019 (With Comparative Actuals for 2018)

		2019					
Project Code	Project Name	 LACMTA Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
440-64	Crenshaw Blvd Street Improvement - Redondo Beach Blvd to Rosecrans Ave (JN 925)	\$ 650,000	\$	512,462	\$	137,538 \$	112,411
440-65	Crenshaw Blvd Street Improvement (JN 925)	15,000		15,000		-	-
440-66	Pavement Management Program 2015 - 2016 (JN 883)	40,000		5,162		34,838	26,016
440-67	Normandie Ave St Improvement - Redondo Beach Blvd to Artesia Blvd (JN 920)	800,000		56,336		743,664	3,088
440-72	Redondo Beach Blvd St Imp Crenshaw to Gramercy, Normandie to Vermont (JN 945)	900,000		29,797		870,203	-
440-73	General Street Maintenance (JN 064)	400,000		437,668		(37,668)	-
480-70	Direct Administration Fiscal Year 2017-2018	-		-		-	11,991
480-71	Direct Administration Fiscal Year 2018-2019	 35,000		24,035		10,965	
	Total expenditures	\$ 2,840,000	\$	1,080,460	\$	1,759,540 \$	153,506

Date Acquired		Description	_	Balance July 1, 2018		Additions		Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2019
	None	Total	\$		\$ \$	-	\$ \$	-	\$ -

		June 30			
	_	2019		2018	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	40,186	\$	146,175	
Due from LACMTA		156,028		-	
Total assets	\$	196,214	\$	146,175	
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES AND FUND BALANCE (DEFICIT) Liabilities					
	\$	1,023	\$	418	
Due to general fund	Ŧ	71,785	Ψ	-	
Total liabilities	_	72,808		418	
DEFERRED INFLOW OF RESOURCES					
Unavailable revenues		155,498		-	
Total deferred inflow of resources		155,498		-	
Fund balance (deficit)					
Restricted		(32,092)		145,757	
Total fund balance (deficit)		(32,092)		145,757	
Total liabilities, deferred inflow of resources					
and fund balance (deficit)	\$	196,214	\$	146,175	

	Years ended June 30			
-	2019	2018		
Revenues				
Measure R \$	758,588 \$	704,417		
Measure R Highway Grant	10,592	-		
Interest income	3,745	1,872		
Total revenues	772,925	706,289		
Expenditures				
Expenditures funded by MRLRF	784,684	728,451		
Expenditures funded by Measure R Highway Grant	166,419	1,809		
Total expenditures	951,103	730,260		
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	(178,178)	(23,971)		
Other financing source Transfers from general fund	329	<u>-</u>		
Total financing source	329	-		
Change in fund balance	(177,849)	(23,971)		
Fund balance at beginning of year	145,757	169,728		
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year \$	(32,092) \$	145,757		

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
1.05	Street Maintenance and Repair, Various Locations	425,000 \$	356,114 \$	68,886 \$	409,903
3.05	Pedestrian Safety Improvement JN 918	-	-	-	160,841
3.05	Pedestrian Safety Improvement JN 923	350,000	127,949	222,051	145,716
3.05	Pedestrian Safety Improvement JN 947	350,000	276,586	73,414	-
8.10	Fund Administration	35,000	24,035	10,965	11,991
	Total expenditures \$	1,160,000 \$	784,684 \$	375,316 \$	728,451

Date Acquired		Description		J	alance uly 1, 2018		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
	None		Total	\$ \$	-	\$_ \$	\$ \$	\$\$	-

		Ju	ne 30
	-	2019	2018
	ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$_	1,504,779	\$ 642,943
	Total assets \$	1,504,779	\$ 642,943
LIABILITIES Liabilities Payroll payable	AND FUND BALANCE	594	\$
	Total liabilities	594	
Fund balance Restricted		1,504,185	642,943
	Total fund balance	1,504,185	642,943
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,504,779	\$ 642,943

		 Years ende	ed June 30
		 2019	2018
Revenues			
Measure M	Ş	\$ 854,384 \$	638,362
Interest income		18,325	4,581
	Total revenues	 872,709	642,943
<b>Expenditures</b> Various projects	Total expenditures	 <u>11,467</u> 11,467	<u> </u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures	8	861,242	642,943
Fund balance at beginning of year		 642,943	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$ <u>1,504,185</u> \$	642,943

			2018		_	
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	 Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)		2018 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	50,000	\$ - 5	50,000	\$	-
1.05	139th Street Van Ness Ave to Western Ave	800,000	11,467	788,533		-
8.10	Fund Administration	35,000	-	35,000		-
	Total expenditures \$	885,000	\$ 11,467	873,533	\$	-

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2018	 Additions	 Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2019
	None		\$		\$ -	\$ - 5	\$ -
		Tota	I\$		\$ -	\$ - (	\$ -

		Ju	ine 30
		2019	2018
	ASSETS		•
Cash and investments	\$ Total assets  \$		_\$ _\$
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$-
	Total liabilities	-	
Fund balance			
Restricted			
	Total fund balance	-	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$		_\$

	Years ended	June 30
	2019	2018
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations:		
Article 3 \$	39,590 \$	40,034
Interest income	267	71
Total revenues	39,857	40,105
<b>Expenditures</b> Sidewalk Replacement	39,857	40,168
Total expenditures	39,857	40,168
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures Other funding source	-	(63)
Transfer in from General Fund	-	63
Total other funding source	-	63
Change in fund balance	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	-
Fund balance at end of year \$_	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

# City of Gardena Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2019

			Totals to Date		
Project Description	Program Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:					
Sidewalk Replacement 2018/19 Totals	2019	\$ <u>39,590</u> \$ <u>39,590</u>	· / ·	(267)	Completed
Interest income				267	
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year			\$	-	

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Fund Accounting**

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### **Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

#### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Fair Value Measurement**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

#### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2019 and 2018:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources

Pursuant to GASB Statement No. 63, *Financial Reporting of Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Net Position* and GASB Statement No. 65, *Items Previously Reported as Assets and Liabilities*, the City recognizes deferred outflows and deferred inflows of resources. A deferred outflow of resources is defined as a consumption of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period. A deferred inflow of resources is defined as an acquisition of net position by the government that is applicable to a future reporting period.

Deferred inflows of resources reported by the City represent resources that are not available for spending as of June 30, 2019 and 2018.

#### NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 8 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANTS

The City received Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant amounting to \$176,583 and \$163,274 for the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, respectively which represent additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the sub-regional paratransit services program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under the PALRF.

#### NOTE 9 ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED (E&H) SERVICES PROGRAM INCOME – PALRF

The City's Bus Lines provide E&H services to residents of the City of Hawthorne and the unincorporated areas known as Alondra Park, Del Aire, and Hawthorne Island. Total revenue recognized from these services amounted to \$342,735 and \$355,104 during the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, respectively. These amounts represent local assistance from the City of Hawthorne, the Los Angeles County and cash fares collected.

#### NOTE 10 MEASURE R HIGHWAY PROGRAM GRANT

In February 2011, LACMTA Board approved to fund "Project Development, Design and Construction of the N-42-Rosecrans Avenue Arterial Improvements from Vermont Avenue to Crenshaw Boulevard and N67-Vermont Avenue Arterial Improvements from Rosecrans Avenue to 182<sup>nd</sup> Street (collectively, the "Projects"). Under the agreement (MOUs #MR312.17 and #MR312.21), to the extent the Measure R funds are available, LACMTA shall make to the City a grant of the Measure R funds for the Projects. The funds will be released to the City on a reimbursement basis in accordance with invoices submitted in support of the monthly progress report and the quarterly expenditure report submitted to LACMTA a few days after the close of each quarter.

The City recognized \$10,592 and \$0 of revenue from these projects during the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018, respectively.

# NOTE 11 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 consisted of the following:

	2019	2018
FY 2016/17 reserve	\$ -	\$ 40,034
FY 2017/18 reserve	39,590	-
	\$ 39,590	\$ 40.034

#### NOTE 12 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2019 and 2018, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2019	2018
FY 2017/18 allocation	\$ -	\$ 39,590
FY 2018/19 allocation	41,166	-
	\$ 41,166	\$ 39,590

For FY 2018/19, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2014/15 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2019. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2018/19.

# NOTE 13 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 16, 2019, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

# Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Gardena, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Gardena, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 2019.

# Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



An independently owned member RSM US Alliance



#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

es & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2019

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

# **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Gardena, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Gardena, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2019.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Gardena, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2019.





#### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

eg & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2019

Compliance Requirements			omplia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.		
	roposition A and Proposition C Local eturn Funds							
1.	Uses the State Controller's							
	Uniform System of Accounts and							
	Records.	Х						
2.	Timely use of funds.	Х						
3.	Funds expended were approved							
	and have not been substituted for							
	property tax.	Х						
4.	Expenditures that exceeded 25%							
	of approved project budget have							
	approved amended Project							
	Description Form (Form A)	Х						
5.	Administrative expenses are within							
	the 20% cap of the total annual							
	Local Return Expenditures.	Х						
6.								
	were reported in Form B.	Х						
7.	Annual Project Summary Report							
	(Form B) was submitted timely.	Х						
8.								
	C) was submitted timely.	Х						
9.								
	maintained.	Х						
10.	Accounting procedures, record							
	keeping and documentation are							
	adequate.	Х						
11.	Pavement Management System							
	(PMS) in place and being used for							
	Street Maintenance or							
	Improvement Projects	Ň						
	Expenditures.	Х						
12.	Local Return Account is credited			v				
40	for reimbursable expenditures.			Х				
13.	Self-Certification was completed							
	and submitted for Intelligent							
	Transportation Systems projects or			v				
1 /	elements.			Х				
14.	Assurances and Understandings	v						
15	form was on file. Recreational Transit Form was	Х						
15.				v				
	submitted timely.			Х				

			In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
В.							
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a funding					
		shortfall.	Х				
	3.	0					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.	Separate Measure R Local Return					
		Account was established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure R					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was					
		submitted timely.	Х				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two)					
		was submitted timely.	Х				
	9.	Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10.	Administrative expenses are within					
		the 20% cap.	Х				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by					
		LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			Х		

	Compliance Requirements Measure M Local Return Fund		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
С.							
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a fund					
		shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.						
		Account was established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure M					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)					
		was submitted on time.	Х				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form					
		M-Two) was submitted on time.	Х				
		Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10.	Administrative expenses are within					
		the 20% cap.	Х				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by					
		LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	A separate account was established					
		for Capital reserve funds and					
		Capital reserve was approved by					
		LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			Х		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
D.	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
	1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
	<ol> <li>Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.</li> </ol>	x				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 16, 2019 with the City of Gardena representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Gardena representative: Raymond Beeman – Chief Fiscal Officer

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issue.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Gardena representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Raymond Beeman – Chief Fiscal Officer



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. The U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.