

City of Irwindale
Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Measure M Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 with Report of Independent Auditors





	<u>PAGE</u>
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	8 9 10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	12 13 14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	16 17 18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20 21
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	22
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	27

COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	29 31
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	35
EXIT CONFERENCE	36







OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Irwindale, California, as of June 30, 2019 and 2018 and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Irwindale, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Varguer & Company LLP

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated December 16, 2019 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Glendale, California

December 16, 2019

			June 30		
			2019		2018
Cash and investments	ASSETS	\$	70,772	¢	58,399
•		Ψ	190	Φ	101
Interest receivable	Total assets	\$	70,962	\$	58,500
	AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities Accounts payable		\$	1,400	\$_	2,800
	Total liabilities		1,400		2,800
Fund balance					
Restricted			69,562		55,700
	Total fund balance		69,562		55,700
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	70,962	\$	58,500

		Years ended June 30			
	_	2019	2018		
Revenues					
Proposition A	\$	28,568	\$ 26,394		
Interest income		2,094	86		
	Total revenues	30,662	26,480		
Expenditures					
Various projects		16,800	17,118		
	Total expenditures	16,800	17,118		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		13,862	9,362		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	55,700	46,338		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	69,562	\$55,700		

City of Irwindale Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2019 (With Comparative Actuals for 2018)

				2019		
		_			Variance	
Project			LACMTA		Positive	2018
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	 (Negative)	Actual
170-02	Bus Shelter Maintenance	\$_	17,650 \$	16,800	\$ 850 \$	17,118
	Total expenditures	\$	17,650 \$	16,800	\$ 850 \$	17,118

City of Irwindale Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2018	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
	None		\$	_	\$ -	\$ - \$	-
			Total \$	_	\$ _	\$ - \$	_

		June 30		
	_	2019		2018
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	24,205	\$	27,336
Interest receivable	_	45	_	25
	Total assets \$ _	24,250	\$_	27,361
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	\$ AND FUND BALANCE \$ _ Total liabilities _		_\$_ 	27,300 27,300
Fund balance				
Restricted		24,250	_	61
	Total fund balance	24,250	_	61
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$ _	24,250	\$_	27,361

		Years end	ded .	June 30
		2019		2018
Revenues	_		_	
Proposition C	\$	23,697	\$	21,853
Interest income		492		21
Total re	evenues	24,189		21,874
Expenditures Various projects Total expe	nditures	<u>-</u>	_	22,600 22,600
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		24,189		(726)
Fund balance at beginning of year		61		787
Fund balance at end of year	\$	24,250	\$	61

City of Irwindale Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2019 (With Comparative Actuals for 2018)

		2019					
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
450-03	FY 2017/2018 Resurfacing Program	\$	_	\$	- \$	- \$	22,600
450-04	FY 2018/2019 Resurfacing Program		23,100		-	23,100	-
	Total expenditures	\$	23,100	\$	- \$	23,100 \$	22,600

City of Irwindale Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2018		Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$	19,992	\$	_	\$ - \$	19,992
		Total \$	19,992	\$	-	\$	19,992

		Ju	ne 30	0
	_	2019		2018
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	60,852	\$	56,464
Interest receivable		153		93
	Total assets \$	61,005	\$	56,557
	_			
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$_	-	\$	15,015
	Total liabilities	-		15,015
Fund balance				
Restricted	_	61,005		41,542
	Total fund balance	61,005		41,542
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	61,005	\$	56,557

		Years ended June 30			
		2019		2018	
Revenues					
Measure R	9	\$ 17,778	\$	16,398	
Interest income		 1,685		79	
	Total revenues	 19,463		16,477	
Expenditures Various projects	Total assessed its sea			15,015	
	Total expenditures	 -		15,015	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		19,463		1,462	
Fund balance at beginning of year		41,542		40,080	
Fund balance at end of year	•	\$ 61,005	\$	41,542	

City of Irwindale Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2019 (With Comparative Actuals for 2018)

			2019		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
1.05	FY 2017/2018 Resurfacing Program \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	15,015
1.05	FY 2018/2019 Resurfacing Program	17,320	-	17,320	-
	Total expenditures \$	17,320	5 - \$	17,320 \$	15,015

City of Irwindale Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired	Description		Balance July 1, 2018	 Additions	Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2019
FY 13-14	Vincent Street Improvement	\$	14,994	\$ - \$	_	\$ 14,994
	Total	\$_	14,994	\$ - \$		\$ 14,994

		Ju	ne 3	0
		2019	_	2018
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	20,377	\$	15,168
Interest receivable		36	_	12
	Total assets \$	20,413	_	15,180
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable	\$ AND FUND BALANCE \$ _ Total liabilities	-	_\$	15,170 15,170
Fund balance				
Restricted		20,413	_	10
	Total fund balance	20,413		10
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$ _	20,413	\$	15,180

		Years end	ed June 30
	_	2019	2018
Revenues			
Measure M	\$	20,010	\$ 14,860
Interest income		393	10
	Total revenues	20,403	14,870
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	<u>-</u>	14,860 14,860
Excess of revenues over expenditures		20,403	10
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	10_	
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	20,413	\$10

City of Irwindale Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2019 (With Comparative Actuals for 2018)

				2019		
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	19,630	\$_	- 9	19,630 \$	14,860
	Total expenditures \$	19,630	\$	- 9	19,630 \$	14,860

City of Irwindale Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2018	Additions	_	Deletions	 Balance June 30, 2019
	None		:	\$ - \$	-	\$	-	\$ -
			Total	\$ - \$	-	\$	_	\$ -

			Jun	e 30
			2019	2018
Cash	ASSETS Total assets	\$ \$	<u>- </u>	· ———
	ES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities Accounts payable		\$	- 9	§
	Total liabilities			<u> </u>
Fund balance				
Restricted	Total formal Lab			
	Total fund balance			
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	<u> </u>	S

		Years end	led June 30
	_	2019	2018
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$	- :	\$ 5,000
	Total revenues _	-	5,000
Expenditures Construction/Maintenance	Total expenditures	<u>-</u>	5,000 5,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ ₌		\$ <u> </u>

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2019 and 2018:

 Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 consisted of the following:

	2019	_	2018
FY 2017/18 allocation	\$ -	\$	5,000
	\$ -	\$	5,000

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED


In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2019 and 2018, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2019	2018
FY 2018/19 allocation	\$ 5,000	\$
	\$ 5,000	\$

For FY 2018/19, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2014/15 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2019. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2018/19.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 16, 2019, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.





OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 16, 2019.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Glendale, California December 16, 2019

rguez 4 Company LLP







OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Irwindale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Irwindale, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2019.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Irwindale, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2019.





Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

reg 4 Company LLP

Glendale, California December 16, 2019

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A	50313	management response.
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C					
	Local Return Funds					
	Uses the State Controller's					
	Uniform System of Accounts					
	and Records.	X				
	2. Timely use of funds.	Χ				
	Funds expended were					
	approved and have not been					
	substituted for property tax.	Х				
	Expenditures that exceeded					
	25% of approved project budget					
	have approved amended					
	Project Description Form (Form					
	A)	Х				
	5. Administrative expenses are					There were no
	within the 20% cap of the total					administrative
	annual Local Return					expenses charged to
	Expenditures.			Х		the LR funds.
	6. All on-going and carryover					
	projects were reported in Form					
	B.	Х				
	7. Annual Project Summary					
	Report (Form B) was submitted					
	timely.	Χ				
	8. Annual Expenditure Report					
	(Form C) was submitted timely.	Х				
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are	V				
	maintained.	Χ				
	10. Accounting procedures, record					
	keeping and documentation are	V				
	adequate.	Х				
	11. Pavement Management System					
	(PMS) in place and being used					
	for Street Maintenance or					
	Improvement Projects	X				
	Expenditures.					
	12. Local Return Account is					
	credited for reimbursable			_		
	expenditures.			X		
	13. Self-Certification was completed					
	and submitted for Intelligent					
	Transportation Systems			Х		
	projects or elements. 14. Assurances and					
	Understandings form was on	~				
	file. 15. Recreational Transit Form was	Х		-		
				_		
	submitted timely.			X		

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance		Questioned	If no, provide details and		
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
B.		ure R Local Return Fund						
		unds were expended for					There were no	
	tra	ansportation purposes.					expenditures in FY	
					Х		2018/19.	
		unds were used to augment,						
		ot supplant, existing local						
		venues being used for					There were no	
		ansportation purposes unless					expenditures in FY	
		ere is a funding shortfall.			X		2018/19.	
		gned Assurances and						
		nderstandings on file.	X					
		eparate Measure R Local						
		eturn Account was						
		stablished.	X					
		evenues received including						
		ocations, project generated						
		venues and interest income						
		as properly credited to the						
		easure R Local Return						
		count.	Χ				<u> </u>	
		unds were expended with					There were no	
	LA	ACMTA's approval.					expenditures in FY	
					X		2018/19.	
		(penditure Plan (Form One)					There were no	
	Wa	as submitted timely.					expenditures in FY	
					Х		2018/19.	
		(penditure Report (Form Two)						
		as submitted timely.	X					
		mely use of funds.	X					
		dministrative expenses are					There were no	
	WI	thin the 20% cap.			· ·		expenditures in FY	
	44 =				Х		2018/19.	
		und exchanges were approved			V			
		LACMTA.			Х			
	12. A	separate account was						
		stablished for Capital reserve						
		nds and Capital reserve was			.,			
		pproved by LACMTA.			X		-	
		ecreational transit form was			.,			
	su	ıbmitted timely.			Х			

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes				
C. Measure M Local Return Fund						
Funds were exp transportation po				×		There were no expenditures in FY 2018/19.
2. Funds were use not supplant, ex revenues being transportation put there is a fund s	isting local used for urposes unless			x		There were no expenditures in FY 2018/19.
Signed Assuran Understandings	ces and	Х				
Separate Measu Return Account established.		Х				
5. Revenues receivallocations, projections and in was properly creed to Measure M Local Account.	ect generated terest income edited to the	X				
6. Funds were exp LACMTA's appro				Х		There were no expenditures in FY 2018/19.
7. Expenditure Pla was submitted ti				Х		There were no expenditures in FY 2018/19.
8. Expenditure Rep M-Two) was sub		Х				
9. Timely use of fu	nds.	X				
10. Administrative e within the 20% o				X		There were no expenditures in FY 2018/19.
11. Fund exchanges by LACMTA.	were approved			Х		
12. A separate acco established for 0 funds and Capita approved by LA	Capital reserve al reserve was CMTA.			X		
13. Recreational transit form was submitted timely.				Х		

Compliance Requirements		Complia	nce	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		No	N/A	Costs	management response.
D. Transportation Development Act					
Article 3 Fund					
 Timely use of funds. 	X				
Expenditures were incurred for					
activities relating to pedestrian					There were no
and bicycle facilities and					expenditures in FY
amenities.			X		2018/19.



There were no findings noted.



An exit conference was held on December 16, 2019 with the City of Irwindale representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative:

Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Irwindale representative:

Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Irwindale representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Eva Carreon – Director of Finance Jeanette Duran – Finance Manager



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM[™] logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.