

City of La Puente Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 with Report of Independent Auditors





<u>PAGE</u>

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4 5
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	8 9
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	12
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	16 17
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20 21
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	22
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

FINANCIAL SECTION

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	29 31
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	35
EXIT CONFERENCE	36

FINANCIAL SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of La Puente, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of La Puente, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of La Puente, California, as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of La Puente, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated November 7, 2019 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 7, 2019

		June 30		
		2019		2018
	ASSETS		_	
Cash and investments	\$	702,847	\$	649,742
Prepaid expenses		1,403	_	-
	Total assets \$	704,250	\$	649,742
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	56,717	\$	73,058
	Total liabilities	56,717		73,058
Fund balance				
Restricted		647,533		576,684
	Total fund balance	647,533		576,684
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	704,250	\$	649,742
	=			

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended June 30		
		2019	2018	
Revenues				
Proposition A	\$	812,179 \$	755,849	
Interest income		12,224	9,074	
Project generated revenues		129,742	133,564	
	Total revenues	954,145	898,487	
Expenditures				
Various projects		883,296	871,089	
	Total expenditures	883,296	871,089	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		70,849	27,398	
Fund balance at beginning of year		576,684	549,286	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	647,533 \$	576,684	

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		2019			
Project Code	Project Name	LACM TA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
110-01	Fixed Route Transit \$	435,900	\$ 438,738	\$ (2,838) \$	419,256
130-01	Special Service Paratransit	124,600	123,242	1,358	112,047
140-01	Recreation Transportation	5,200	743	4,457	1,525
170-01	Bus Shelter Maintenance	40,000	40,355	(355)	38,466
250-01	User Side Subsidy	139,800	121,590	18,210	130,445
270-01	SGVCOG Membership	9,500	9,776	(276)	9,456
280-01	Transit Marketing	5,700	5,186	514	4,162
480-01	Administration - Proposition A	167,300	143,666	23,634	155,732
	Total expenditures \$	928,000	\$ 883,296	\$ 44,704 \$	871,089

City of La Puente Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2018	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
1984-87	Parking Lots	\$ 425,363	\$-	\$ - \$	6 425,363
1987	Cash Register	1,609	-	-	1,609
1987	Cash Register	826	-	-	826
2002	Equipment	708	-	-	708
2002	3 Transit Vehicles	51,114	-	-	51,114
2005	Parking Lot Expansion - Glendora Ave	216,096	-	-	216,096
2006	Bus Shelters	74,099	-	-	74,099
2007	Bus Shelters	101,086	-	-	101,086
	Total	\$ 870,901	\$ -	\$\$	870,901

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30		
		2019	2018	
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,694,488 \$	1,098,440	
Prepaid expenses		327	-	
	Total assets \$	1,694,815 \$	1,098,440	
LIABILITIES Liabilities	AND FUND BALANCE			
Accounts payable	\$	1,844 \$	-	
1 5	Total liabilities	1,844	-	
Fund balance				
Restricted		1,692,971	1,098,440	
	Total fund balance	1,692,971	1,098,440	
-	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,694,815 \$	1,098,440	

		Years ended June 30		
		2019		2018
Revenues Proposition C Interest income	S Total revenues	\$ 673,679 25,871 699,550	\$	624,304 13,861 638,165
Expenditures				/
Expenditures funded by PCLRF Expenditures funded by General Fund		105,019 13,691		85,492
	lotal expenditures	 118,710		85,492
Excess of revenues over expenditures		 580,840		552,673
Other financing source Transfer in from General Fund		13,691		_
-	r financing source	 13,691	_	-
Change in fund balance		594,531		552,673
Fund balance at beginning of year		 1,098,440		545,767
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$ 1,692,971	\$	1,098,440

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of La Puente Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2019 (With Comparative Actuals for 2018)

Project Code	Project Name	-	LACMTA Budget	Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
440-10	Temple Ave Street Improvement Project	\$	- \$	-	\$	- \$	61,303
440-11	FY 16-17 Major Street Improvements						
	Old Valley/Orange		-	-		-	8,791
450-01	Valley Boulevard CMP Improvements		-	-		-	12,250
450-01	Pkwy/Arterial Wall Improvements-						
	Amar/Elliot		75,000	66,445		8,555	-
470-01	Pavement Management System (PMS)		22,000	21,071		929	3,148
480-02	Administration	_	15,000	17,503		(2,503)	-
	Total expenditures	\$	112,000 \$	105,019	\$	6,981 \$	85,492

City of La Puente Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2018	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
1999	Electronic Sign	\$ 593	\$ - \$	- \$	593
2002-2003	Temple Avenue Resurfacing - Phase 3	76,574	-	-	76,574
2002-2003	Temple Avenue Reconstruction -				
	Stimson Avenue	381,228	-	-	381,228
2005	Hacienda Restructuring/Reconstruction	40,573	-	-	40,573
2005	Traffic Stop - Glendora Avenue Hill	178,985	-	-	178,985
2006	Traffic Signal - Glendora Avenue Hill	12,525	-	-	12,525
2007	Central Street Reconstruction	239,640	-	-	239,640
2010	Bus Pad - Orange and Amar	9,475	-	-	9,475
2010	Bus Pad - Hacienda and Amar	18,460	-	-	18,460
2010	Main Street Reconstruction	136,601	-	-	136,601
2010	Bus Pad - Old Valley Road	16,933	-	-	16,933
2010	Glendora Ave Reconstruction	91,082	-	-	91,082
2010	Hacienda Boulevard Improvement				
	Project	233,982	-	-	233,982
2011	Temple, Dora Guzman, Del Valle	195,263	-	-	195,263
2014	Valley Boulevard Improvement	6,493	-	-	6,493
2014	Amar Road Storm Drain	1,529,144	-	-	1,529,144
2014	Temple and Glendora	138,792	-	-	138,792
2014	Street Improvements at Various Streets	138,500	-	-	138,500
2014	Pavement Management System	33,244			33,244
	Total	\$ 3,478,087	\$\$	\$	3,478,087

			June 30			
			2019		2018	
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments		\$	732,657	\$	477,082	
Prepaid expenses			1,415		-	
	Total assets	\$	734,072	\$	477,082	
LIABILITIES	SAND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable		\$	2,688	\$	230	
Retention payable			-		5,272	
	Total liabilities	_	2,688		5,502	
Fund balance						
Restricted			731,384		471,580	
	Total fund balance	-	731,384	-	471,580	
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	734,072	\$	477,082	
		-		-		

	Years end	led June 30
	2019	2018
Revenues Measure R \$ Interest income	505,405 9,679	\$
Total revenues	515,084	479,678
Expenditures Expenditures funded by MRLRF Expenditures funded by General Fund Total expenditures	255,280 26,232 281,512	902,614 - 902,614
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	233,572	(422,936)
Other financing source Transfer in from General Fund Total other financing source	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Change in fund balance	259,804	(422,936)
Fund balance at beginning of year	471,580	894,516
Fund balance at end of year \$	5 731,384	\$ 471,580

City of La Puente Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2019 (With Comparative Actuals for 2018)

Project Name	LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
Local Street Improvements - Various				
Locations \$	- \$	6 - 9	\$	408,801
Rule 20A Undergrounding	10,000	9,248	752	1,320
Local Street Improvements - Slurry Seal	365,000	-	365,000	-
Valley Blvd Sound Wall - Phase III -				
Industry Loan Pymt	208,800	208,741	59	208,731
LP-3 Traffic Signals - Various Locations			-	223,188
LP-3 Traffic Signals - Amar Rd/Willow	150,000	13,513	136,487	-
ADA Transition Plan	-	-	-	57,425
Pavement Management Program	22,000	21,071	929	3,149
Administration for 01-004	16,500	-	16,500	-
Administration for 02-003	30,000	2,707	27,293	-
Total expenditures \$	802,300 \$	<u>255,280</u>	\$ <u> </u>	902,614
	Local Street Improvements - Various Locations \$ Rule 20A Undergrounding Local Street Improvements - Slurry Seal Valley Blvd Sound Wall - Phase III - Industry Loan Pymt LP-3 Traffic Signals - Various Locations LP-3 Traffic Signals - Amar Rd/Willow ADA Transition Plan Pavement Management Program Administration for 01-004 Administration for 02-003	Project NameBudgetLocal Street Improvements - VariousLocations\$Locations\$Rule 20A Undergrounding10,000Local Street Improvements - Slurry Seal365,000Valley Blvd Sound Wall - Phase III -10,000Industry Loan Pymt208,800LP-3 Traffic Signals - Various Locations150,000ADA Transition Plan-Pavement Management Program22,000Administration for 01-00416,500	Project NameBudgetActualLocal Street Improvements - VariousLocations\$ - \$ - \$Rule 20A Undergrounding10,0009,248Local Street Improvements - Slurry Seal365,000-Valley Blvd Sound Wall - Phase III -365,000-Industry Loan Pymt208,800208,741LP-3 Traffic Signals - Various LocationsLP-3 Traffic Signals - Amar Rd/Willow150,00013,513ADA Transition PlanPavement Management Program22,00021,071Administration for 01-00416,500-Administration for 02-00330,0002,707	Project NameVariance PositiveProject NameBudgetActual(Negative)Local Street Improvements - Various Locations- \$ - \$ - \$\$Locations\$ - \$ - \$ - \$\$\$Rule 20A Undergrounding10,0009,248752Local Street Improvements - Slurry Seal365,000-365,000Valley Blvd Sound Wall - Phase III -11Industry Loan Pymt208,800208,74159LP-3 Traffic Signals - Various LocationsLP-3 Traffic Signals - Amar Rd/Willow150,00013,513136,487ADA Transition PlanPavement Management Program22,00021,071929Administration for 01-00416,500-16,500Administration for 02-00330,0002,70727,293

City of La Puente Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2019

Date Acquired	Description	Balance July 1, 2018	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
2010	Street Rehabilitation \$	122,229 \$	- \$	- \$	122,229
2010	Valley Boulevard Improvements	74,315	-	-	74,315
2011	Traffic Signs and Striping	4,337	-	-	4,337
2011	Old Valley Boulevard Improvements	155,910	-	-	155,910
2013	Valley Boulevard Improvements - PH II	126,845	-	-	126,845
2013	Traffic Signals LP-3	44,693	-	-	44,693
2013	Amar/Tanopah	33,260	-	-	33,260
2014	Valley Blvd Improvements	6,000	-	-	6,000
2014	Local Street Improvements	364,643	-	-	364,643
2014	Pavement Management System	2,740	-	-	2,740
	Total \$	934,972 \$	\$	- \$	934,972

		June 30			
		2019		2018	
	ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	904,398	\$	428,919	
Prepaid expenses		1,415		-	
	Total assets \$	905,813	\$	428,919	
			-		
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	272,841	\$	-	
Retention payable		10,442		-	
	Total liabilities	283,283		-	
Fund balance					
Restricted	_	622,530		428,919	
	Total fund balance	622,530		428,919	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	905,813	\$	428,919	
			-		

		Years ended June 30		
	_	2019	2018	
Revenues Measure M Interest income	\$	569,260 \$ 13,128	425,550 3,369	
	Total revenues	582,388	428,919	
Expenditures Various projects	 Total expenditures	<u> </u>	-	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		193,611	428,919	
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	428,919	-	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	622,530 \$	428,919	

Project Code	Project Name	 LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
01-002	LSI - Slurry Seal/Resurfacing	\$ 630,000 \$	270,161 \$	359,839 \$	-
01-003	Crosswalk Enhancement	70,000	71,550	(1,550)	-
08-001	Administration for 01-002	 62,500	47,066	15,434	-
	Total expenditures	\$ 762,500 \$	388,777 \$	373,723 \$	-

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2018	A	dditions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
	None		\$	- :	\$	- \$	- \$	- S
			Total \$	- 3	\$	- \$	- 9	<u> </u>

		June 30		0
		 2019		2018
	ASSETS			
Due from LACMTA		\$ -	\$	-
	Total assets	\$ =	\$	-
	ES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts payable		\$ -	\$	-
	Total liabilities	 -		-
Fund balance				
Restricted		-		-
	Total fund balance	 -		-
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	\$	-

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		Years ended	June 30
	-	2019	2018
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	 Total revenues	\$	<u> </u>
	Iotai ievenues	<u> </u>	
Expenditures Major Street Resurface/Improvement	Total expenditures	<u> </u>	-
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	\$	-

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2019 and 2018:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES

Project generated revenues under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 consisted of the following:

	-	2019	2018
Transit bus passes	\$	90,699	\$ 92,694
Shuttle fares		38,104	39,858
Dial-A-Ride fares		939	1,012
Total project generated revenues	\$	129,742	\$ 133,564

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2019 and 2018, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2019	2018
FY 2016/17 allocation	\$ 8,179	\$ 8,179
FY 2017/18 allocation	26,398	26,398
FY 2018/19 allocation	27,432	-
Total reserve	\$ 62,009	\$ 34,577

For FY 2018/19, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2014/15 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2019. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2018/19.

NOTE 10 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 7, 2019, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Puente, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of La Puente, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 7, 2019.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

agnes & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 7, 2019

COMPLIANCE SECTION



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Members of the City Council of the City of La Puente, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of La Puente, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2019.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of La Puente, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2019.





Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

eg & Company LLP

Glendale, California November 7, 2019

	Compliance Deswirements		Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.	
Α.	Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds						
	1. Uses the State Controller's						
	Uniform System of Accounts						
	and Records.	Х					
	2. Timely use of funds.	Х					
	Funds expended were						
	approved and have not been						
	substituted for property tax.	Х					
	4. Expenditures that exceeded						
	25% of approved project budget						
	have approved amended						
	Project Description Form (Form						
	A)	Х					
	5. Administrative expenses are						
	within the 20% cap of the total						
	annual Local Return	V					
	Expenditures.	Х					
	6. All on-going and carryover						
	projects were reported in Form	v					
	B. 7 Appuel Project Summany	Х					
	7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted						
	timely.	Х					
	8. Annual Expenditure Report						
	(Form C) was submitted timely.	Х					
	9. Cash or cash equivalents are	~					
	maintained.	Х					
	10. Accounting procedures, record						
	keeping and documentation are						
	adequate.	Х					
	11. Pavement Management System						
	(PMS) in place and being used						
	for Street Maintenance or						
	Improvement Projects						
	Expenditures.	Х					
	12. Local Return Account is						
	credited for reimbursable						
	expenditures.			Х			
	13. Self-Certification was completed						
	and submitted for Intelligent						
	Transportation Systems						
	projects or elements.			Х			
	14. Assurances and						
	Understandings form was on						
	file.	Х					
	15. Recreational Transit Form was	V					
	submitted timely.	Х					

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and	
	Compliance Requirements			No	N/A	Costs	management response.
В.	Me	asure R Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment,					
		not supplant, existing local					
		revenues being used for					
		transportation purposes unless					
		there is a funding shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.	Separate Measure R Local					
		Return Account was					
		established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income					
		was properly credited to the					
		Measure R Local Return					
		Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with	X				
	_	LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	1.	Expenditure Plan (Form One)	V				
	•	was submitted timely.	Х				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two)	V				
		was submitted timely.	X X				
		Timely use of funds.	X				
	10.	Administrative expenses are	V				
		within the 20% cap.	Х				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved			v		
	40	by LACMTA.			Х		
	12.	A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was			v		
	10	approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was			v		
		submitted timely.			Х		

	Compliance Poquiremente			Complia	ince	Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Compliance Requirements		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C.							
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment,					
		not supplant, existing local					
		revenues being used for					
		transportation purposes unless					
		there is a fund shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.	Separate Measure M Local					
		Return Account was					
		established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income					
		was properly credited to the					
		Measure M Local Return					
		Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	Х				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)					
		was submitted timely.	Х				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form					
		M-Two) was submitted timely.	Х				
	9.	Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10.	Administrative expenses are					
		within the 20% cap.	Х				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved					
		by LACMTA.			Х		
	12	A separate account was					
		established for Capital reserve					
		funds and Capital reserve was					
		approved by LACMTA.			Х		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			Х		

Compliance Requirements		complia	nce	Questioned	If no, provide details and
Compliance Requirements	Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
D. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
 Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities. 			x		There were no expenditures in FY 2018/19.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on November 7, 2019 with the City of La Puente representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of La Puente representative: Troy Grunklee – Director of Administrative Services

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant financial and compliance issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of La Puente representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Troy Grunklee – Director of Administrative Services



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP.

655 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777