

City of Westlake Village Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund Proposition C Local Return Fund Measure R Local Return Fund Measure M Local Return Fund Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 with Report of Independent Auditors





# <u>PAGE</u>

# FINANCIAL SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	4 5 6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8 9
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12 13
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20 21
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	28

# **COMPLIANCE SECTION**

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	30 32
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	36
EXIT CONFERENCE	37

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

### **Report of Independent Auditors**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Westlake Village, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Westlake Village, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.





An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

# Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Westlake Village, California, as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Westlake Village, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 12, 2019 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Vargues & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 12, 2019

		June 30				
	_	2019		2018		
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	42,628	\$	21,158		
	Total assets \$	42,628	\$	21,158		
LIABILITIES	AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	42,628	\$	21,158		
	Total liabilities	42,628		21,158		
Fund balance Restricted		-		-		
	Total fund balance	-		-		
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	42,628	\$	21,158		

		Years end	ded June 30			
		2019	2018			
Revenues						
Proposition A	\$	168,037	\$ 156,389			
Project generated revenues		39,471	41,334			
Interest income		685	313			
	Total revenues	208,193	198,036			
Expenditures Local bus program	Total expenditures	208,193 208,193	<u>    198,036</u> 198,036			
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-			
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	-				
Fund balance at end of year	\$	- 9	\$			

Project Code	Project Name	_ACMTA Budget	 Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
110-03	Local Bus Program	\$ 163,800	\$ 208,193	\$	(44,393) <sup>a</sup> \$	198,036
	Total expenditures	\$ 163,800	\$ 208,193	\$	(44,393) \$	198,036

<sup>a</sup> The actual variance after considering other funding sources is calculated as follows:

LACMTA budget	\$	163,800
Total expenditures	\$ 208,193	
Less: Project generated revenues	 (39,471)	
Net PALRF expenditures		168,722
Actual Variance Positive (Negative)	\$	(4,922)

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired		Description		Balance July 1, 2018	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
	None		\$	\$	\$	\$	-
			Total \$_	\$	\$	\$_	-

See report of independent auditors.

		June 30				
		2019	2018			
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	29,665 \$	20,225			
Total as	ssets \$	29,665 \$	20,225			
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities	۴	05 470 <b>(</b>	20.225			
Accounts payable	\$	25,476 \$	20,225			
Total liab	lities	25,476	20,225			
Fund balance						
Restricted		4,189	-			
Total fund ba	ance	4,189	-			
Total liabilities and fund ba	ance \$	29,665 \$	20,225			

		Years end	ed June 30			
		2019	2018			
<b>Revenues</b> Proposition C	\$	139,383	\$ 129,227			
Interest income		1,464	562			
Project generated revenues - taxi fares		6,991	9,576			
	Total revenues	147,838	139,365			
Expenditures						
Various projects		143,649	139,365			
	Total expenditures	143,649	139,365			
Excess of revenues over expenditures		4,189	-			
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	-				
Fund balance at end of year	\$	4,189	\$			

See notes to Funds financial statements.

		_					
Project Code	Project Name		LACM TA Budget		Actual	 Variance Positive (Negative)	 2018 Actual
110-03	Local Bus Program	\$	116,700	\$	56,953	\$ 59,747	\$ 63,526
240-03	Senior Taxi Program		19,100	_	86,696	(67,596) <sup>a</sup>	 75,839
	Total expenditures	\$	135,800	\$	143,649	\$ (7,849)	\$ 139,365

<sup>a</sup> The actual variance after considering other funding sources is calculated as follows:

LACMTA budget	\$	19,100
Total expenditures	\$ 86,696	
Less: Project generated revenues - taxi fares	 (6,991)	
Net PCLRF expenditures		79,705
Actual Variance Positive (Negative)	\$	(60,605) *

\* See Compliance Matrix and Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired	Description			Balance July 1, 2018	_Additions _ Deletions_				Balance June 30, 2019	
	None		\$_	-	\$	-	\$_	\$	5	-
			Total \$	-	\$	-	\$_	- \$	<u> </u>	-

See report of independent auditors.

	Ju	ne 30
	2019	2018
ASSETS		
Cash and investments \$	-	\$
Total assets \$	-	\$
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable \$		\$
Total liabilities	-	
Fund balance		
Restricted		
Total fund balance	-	
Total liabilities and fund balance \$	-	\$

	_	Years ende	d June 30
		2019	2018
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	104,567 \$	97,159
Interest income	-	1,345	375
	Total revenues	105,912	97,534
<b>Expenditures</b> Street repair and maintenance	Total expenditures	105,912 105,912	97,534 97,534
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		<u> </u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$	_

See notes to Funds financial statements.

				2019		
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance	\$	101,920 \$	105,912 \$	(3,992)_\$	97,534
	Total expenditure	s \$	101,920 \$	105,912 \$	(3,992) \$	97,534

See report of independent auditors.

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2018		Additions	 Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019
	None			\$	- \$	-	\$ - \$	-
			Total	\$	- \$	-	\$ - \$	-

		Ju	ine 30
		2019	2018
Cash and investments	ASSETS \$ Total assets \$		\$ <u>-</u> \$ <u>-</u>
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE		
Accounts payable	\$ Total liabilities		\$
	Total habilities		
Fund balance Restricted		-	-
	Total fund balance	-	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	-	\$

		Years ended	June 30
	_	2019	2018
<b>Revenues</b> Measure M Interest income	\$ 	117,778 \$ <u>1,515</u> 119,293	88,049 238 88,287
<b>Expenditures</b> Street repair and maintenance	Total expenditures	<u>119,293</u> 119,293	<u>88,287</u> 88,287
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	<u> </u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	\$	

				2019		
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	2018 Actual
1.05	Street Repair and Maintenance	\$	115,505 \$	119,293 \$	(3,788) \$	88,287
	Total expenditure	s \$_	115,505 \$	119,293 \$	(3,788) \$	88,287

Date Acquired		Description		 Balance July 1, 2018		_	Additions	_	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2019	
	None			\$	- 3	\$_	-	\$	- \$		-
			Total	\$	- 3	\$_	-	\$	- \$		-

		Ju	ne 3	0
	_	2019		2018
ASSETS				
Due from LACMTA	\$	5,688	\$	456
Total assets	\$	5,688	\$	456
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE Liabilities Cash overdraft Total liabilities	\$	<u>5,688</u> 5,688	_\$	<u>456</u> 456
Fund balance				
Restricted		-		-
Total fund balance		-		-
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	5,688	_\$	456

		Years ende	ed June 30
	_	2019	2018
<b>Revenues</b> Intergovernmental Allocations: Article 3	\$ Total revenues	<u>5,688</u> \$ 5,688	<u> </u>
Expenditures Curb and Sidewalk Repair	_ Total expenditures	5,688 5,688	<u> </u>
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year	-		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	\$	

# City of Westlake Village Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2019

						Totals to Date		
Project Description		Program Year	-	Allocations	-	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations: Curb and Sidewalk Repair	Totals	2019	\$ \$	5,688 5,688	- 1	<u> </u>		Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of ye	ear							
Fund balance at end of year						\$		

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Fund Accounting**

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent Proposition A and  $\frac{1}{2}$  cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

### **Budgets and Budgetary Accounting**

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### **Fair Value Measurement**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

### Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2019 and 2018:

• Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

### NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2019 and 2018, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

#### NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS (CONTINUED)

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average guarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

#### NOTE 8 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES

Project generated revenues under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 consisted of the following:

	2019	2018
Bus fares	\$ 4,020	\$ 3,635
Bus pass sales	35,451	37,699
	\$ 39,471	\$ 41,334

#### NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2019 and 2018 consisted of the following:

	 2019	2018
FY 2017/18 allocation	\$ -	\$ 5,475
FY 2018/19 allocation	5,688	-
	\$ 5,688	\$ 5,475

# NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2019 and 2018, the City did not have TDA Article 3 Funds left on reserve.

#### NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 12, 2019, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

## Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards*

## To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Westlake Village, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Westlake Village, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 12, 2019.

# Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

gnes & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 12, 2019

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



655 N Central Avenue, Suite 1550 Glendale, CA 91203 Ph. (213) 873-1700 Fax (213) 873-1777

www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

# **Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance**

#### To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Westlake Village, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Westlake Village, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2019.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Westlake Village, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2019.





#### **Other Matters**

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with the requirements, which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as Finding #2019-001. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in a significant deficiency in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

new & Company LLP

Glendale, California December 12, 2019

Compliance Requirements		Complia	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		Costs	management response.
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
<ol> <li>Uses the State Controller's</li> </ol>					
Uniform System of Accounts					
and Records.	Х				
2. Timely use of funds.	Х				
<ol><li>Funds expended were</li></ol>					
approved and have not been					
substituted for property tax.	Х				
<ol><li>Expenditures that exceeded</li></ol>					
25% of approved project budget					
have approved amended					
Project Description Form (Form					
A)		Х			See Finding #2019-001
5. Administrative expenses are					There were no
within the 20% cap of the total					administrative
annual Local Return					expenses charged to
Expenditures.			Х		the LR funds.
6. All on-going and carryover					
projects were reported in Form	V				
B.	Х				
7. Annual Project Summary					
Report (Form B) was submitted	v				
timely.	Х				
8. Annual Expenditure Report	v				
<ul><li>(Form C) was submitted timely.</li><li>9. Cash or cash equivalents are</li></ul>	Х				
<ol> <li>Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.</li> </ol>	х				
10. Accounting procedures, record	~				
keeping and documentation are					
adequate.	Х				
11. Pavement Management System	~				
(PMS) in place and being used					
for Street Maintenance or					
Improvement Projects					
Expenditures.			Х		
12. Local Return Account is					
credited for reimbursable					
expenditures.			Х		
13. Self-Certification was completed					
and submitted for Intelligent					
Transportation Systems					
projects or elements.			Х		
14. Assurances and					
Understandings form was on					
file.	Х				
15. Recreational Transit Form was					
submitted timely.			Х		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		N/A	Costs	management response.
	ocal Return Fund					
1. Funds we	ere expended for					
transport	ation purposes.	Х				
2. Funds we	ere used to augment,					
not suppl	ant, existing local					
revenues	being used for					
transport	ation purposes unless					
there is a	funding shortfall.	Х				
<ol><li>Signed A</li></ol>	ssurances and					
Understa	ndings on file.	Х				
	Measure R Local					
Return A	ccount was					
establish	ed.	Х				
5. Revenue	s received including					
allocation	s, project generated					
revenues	and interest income					
was prop	erly credited to the					
Measure	R Local Return					
Account.		Х				
	ere expended with					
	's approval.	Х				
	ure Plan (Form One)					
	nitted timely.	Х				
	ure Report (Form Two)					
	nitted timely.	X X				
9. Timely us		Х				
	ative expenses are					There were no
within the	20% cap.					administrative
						expenses charged to
				Х		the LR funds.
	hanges were approved					
by LACM				Х		
	te account was					
	ed for Capital reserve					
	d Capital reserve was					
	by LACMTA.			Х		
-	onal transit form was					
submitted	I timely.			Х		

Compliance Requirements C. Measure M Local Return Fund		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes No		N/A	Costs	management response.
	unds were expended for					
tr	ransportation purposes.	Х				
2. F	unds were used to augment,					
	ot supplant, existing local					
	evenues being used for					
	ransportation purposes unless					
	nere is a fund shortfall.	Х				
	Signed Assurances and					
	Inderstandings on file.	Х				
	Separate Measure M Local					
	Return Account was					
	stablished.	Х				
	Revenues received including					
	llocations, project generated					
	evenues and interest income					
	vas properly credited to the					
	leasure M Local Return					
	Account.	Х				
	unds were expended with					
	ACMTA's approval.	Х				
	xpenditure Plan (Form	V				
	I-One) was submitted timely.	Х				
	xpenditure Report (Form	V				
	/I-Two) was submitted timely.	X				
	imely use of funds.	Х				
	dministrative expenses are					There were no
N N	vithin the 20% cap.					administrative
				V		expenses charged to
	we all associate an example associate			Х		the LR funds.
	und exchanges were			V		
	pproved by LACMTA.			Х		
	separate account was					
	stablished for Capital reserve					
	unds and Capital reserve was			V		
	pproved by LACMTA.			Х		
-	Recreational transit form was			x		
S	ubmitted timely.			Ā		

Compliance Requirements		Complia	ince	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		No	N/A		
D. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1. Timely use of funds.	Х				
<ol> <li>Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and</li> </ol>					
amenities.	Х				

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

# PCLRF: Finding #2019-001

Compliance Reference	Section I(C) of the Proposition A and C Local Return Guidelines states that, "Jurisdictions shall submit for approval a Project Description Form (Form A) prior to the expenditure of funds for: 1) a new project; 2) a new route; 3) a 25 percent change (increase or decrease) in route or revenue vehicle miles for an established LR funded transit service; 4) a 0.75 miles or greater service change that duplicates/overlays an existing transit service; or 5) a 25 percent or greater change in an approved LR project budget or scope on all operating or capital LR projects."
Condition	<ul> <li>The City exceeded LACMTA's approved budget by more than 25% without obtaining approval through a revised Form A for PCLRF's Project Code 240-03, Senior Taxi Program project. Amount in excess of 25% of the approved budget was \$55,830.</li> <li>Projects with greater than 25% change from the approved project budget should be amended by submitting a Project Description Form (Form A).</li> <li>The City submitted a Form A to the LACMTA Program Manager and obtained a retroactive approval of the project on October 29, 2019.</li> </ul>
Cause	The City did not become aware of the need to amend the project budget, and thus submit an updated Project Description Form (Form A), until after the deadline for doing so had passed.
Effect	The City's PCLRF project expenditures exceeded 25 percent of LACMTA's approved budget without LACMTA's approval and the City did not comply with the Guidelines.
Recommendation	We recommend for the City to submit a Form A to obtain LACMTA's approval for the change in project budget and for the City to implement control to ensure compliance with this requirement at all times.
Management's Response	Management concurs with the finding and will establish procedures (e.g., a formal year-end review of budget-to-actual results specifically for Local Return programs) to ensure that an updated Project Description Form (Form A) is submitted as necessary by the appropriate deadline.
Findings Resolved During the Audit	LACMTA Program Manager granted a retroactive approval of the increase in the project's budget on October 29, 2019. No follow up is required.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 12, 2019 with the City of Westlake Village representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative: Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Westlake Village representative: Daniel Jordan – Director of Finance

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed an instance of noncompliance with LACMTA Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Westlake Village representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Daniel Jordan – Director of Finance



#### www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has 50 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

655 N. Central Avenue, Suite 1550 • Glendale, California 91203 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777