



**Metro**

**FINANCE BUDGET AND AUDIT COMMITTEE  
June 18, 2014**

**SUBJECT: FISCAL YEAR 2015 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS**

**ACTION: APPROVE FY2015 TRANSIT FUND ALLOCATIONS  
AND RELATED ACTIONS**

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

- A. Approve \$1.8 billion in FY2015 Transit Fund Allocations for Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators and Metro operations as shown in Attachments A through D and are further described in Attachment E. These allocations comply with federal and state regulations and LACMTA Board policies and guidelines.
- 1) Planning and Administrative allocations of Transportation Development Act (TDA), Proposition A, Proposition C and Measure R in the amount of \$71.8 million as shown in Attachment A, Line 37.
  - 2) Bus Transit Subsidies of State, Local and Federal funds in the amount of \$1.257 billion as shown in Attachment B and includes:
    - \$6.0 million for the continuation of the Tier 2 Operators Funding Program.
  - 3) Proposition A Incentive Programs in the amount of \$15.0 million as shown in Attachment C which includes:
    - \$210,000 increase in Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service Program from \$847,000 to \$1,057,000.
  - 4) Proposition A Local Return, Proposition C Local Return, Measure R Local Return, TDA Article 3 (Pedestrian and Bikeways) and TDA Article 8 (Street and Highways) for \$459.8 million as shown in Attachment D.
- B. Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to adjust FY2015 Federal Section 5307 (Urbanized Formula), Section 5339 (Bus and Bus Facilities) and Section 5337 (State of Good Repair) allocations upon receipt of final apportionment from the Federal Transit Authority and amend FY2015 budget as necessary to reflect the aforementioned adjustment.

- C. Approve fund exchange in the amount of \$9.4 million of Santa Monica's Big Blue Bus' FY2015 Federal Section 5307 formula share allocation with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation, to be adjusted upon receipt of final apportionment and will be disbursed in FY2016.
- D. Approve fund exchange of Federal Section 5307 discretionary fund awarded to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCR TTC) through Long Beach Transit in the amount of \$250,000 with Metro's TDA Article 4 allocation.
- E. Approve fund exchanges in the amount totaling \$10.9 million of Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 with municipal operators' shares of Federal Sections 5339 and 5337.
- F. Adopt a resolution designating Transportation Development Act (TDA) and State Transit Assistance (STA) fund allocations in compliance to the terms and conditions of the allocation (Attachment F); and
- G. Upon approval, authorize the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute all necessary agreements to implement the above funding programs.

## **ISSUES**

- Each year, transit operating and capital funds consisting of federal, state and local revenues are allocated to Metro operations, transit operators and Los Angeles County local jurisdictions for programs, projects and services according to federal guidelines, state laws and established funding policies and procedures. The Board of Directors must approve allocations for FY2015 before funds can be disbursed.
- The Tier 2 Operators Funding Program is continued with \$6 million funding from Proposition A 95% of 40% discretionary growth over inflation.
- Santa Monica's Big Blue Bus (BBB) is requesting a fund exchange of its Federal Section 5307 FY2014 formula allocation with Metro's non-federal funds in order to pay capital projects that require local funds such as mid-life bus rebuilds, yard improvements, farebox upgrades, facility improvements and advanced technology projects.
- The municipal operators are requesting fund exchanges of their Federal Sections 5339 and 5337 allocations with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 allocation in order to minimize the impact on administrative processes associated with these new funding programs.

- The Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service Program provides shuttle services to some 18,000 concertgoers thereby easing pressure to limited parking spaces and offers a unique opportunity to expose those who aren't typically transit users to public transit. The recommended increase of \$210,000 represents adjustments due to increase in demand and increase in operating costs. Operating subsidy to this program has not been adjusted since 2007.
- At its April 15, 2014 meeting, the Bus Operators Sub-Committee awarded \$250,000 a year for the next three years Federal Section 5307 15% Discretionary fund to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCR TTC) through Long Beach Transit. This fund will be exchanged with Metro's share of the Transportation Development Act (TDA) fund.

### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

The Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, as the Regional Transportation Planning Entity for Los Angeles County, is responsible for planning, programming and allocating transportation funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations. The Board approval will allow the continued funding of transportation projects, programs and services in Los Angeles County.

### **OPTIONS**

There is no alternative to approving the FY2015 Transit Fund Allocations because federal, state and local requirements, as well as prior LACMTA Board policies and guidelines require us to annually allocate funding to Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, and Metro Operations for programs, projects and services. Allocation methodologies and assumptions comply with federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board.

### **FINANCIAL IMPACT**

The FY2015 Transit Fund Allocations are included in the FY2015 Budget in multiple cost centers and multiple projects. Approval of these recommendations authorizes LACMTA to disburse these funds to the Los Angeles County jurisdictions and transit operators.

### **BACKGROUND**

We developed the recommended FY2015 Transit Fund Allocations according to federal, state and local requirements, as well as policies and guidelines previously approved by LACMTA Board. Details of significant information, methodologies and assumptions are described in Attachment E.

We have reviewed the recommended allocations and its methodologies and assumptions with Metro operations, transit operators and Los Angeles County local jurisdictions Technical Advisory Committee (TAC), the Bus Operators Subcommittee (BOS) and the Local Transit Systems Subcommittee (LTSS). At their previous meetings, the TAC, the BOS and the LTSS all formally adopted the recommended FY2015 Transit Fund Allocations.

### **NEXT STEPS**

After the Board of Directors approves the recommended allocations and adopts the resolution, we will work with Los Angeles County jurisdictions, transit operators, Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and Metro Operations to ensure the proper disbursement of funds.

### **ATTACHMENTS:**

- A Revenue Estimates**
- B Summary of Bus Transit Subsidies**
- B.1 Proposition C 40% Discretionary Detail**
- B.2 Federal Section 5307 Allocation**
- B.3 Federal Section 5339 Allocation**
- B.4 Federal Section 5337 Allocation**
- C Proposition A Incentive Programs**
- D Proposition A, Proposition C and Measure R Local Returns, TDA Article 3 and TDA Article 8 Allocations**
- E Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies and Assumptions**
- F TDA and STA Resolution**

Prepared by:

**Carlos Vendiola, Transportation Planning Manager (213)922-4527**

*Nalini Ahuja*

---

NALINI AHUJA

Executive Director, Finance and Budget

*Arthur T. Leahy*

---

ARTHUR T. LEAHY

Chief Executive Officer

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

FY 2015 REVENUE ESTIMATES						
STATE AND LOCAL	A	B	C	D=A+B+C	N O T E	FY 2014 Total Funds Available
	FY2015 Estimated Revenue	Carry-Over FY2013 Budget vs Actual	Interest FY2013 Actual	FY 2015 Total Funds Available		
<b>Transportation Development Act:</b>						
<b>Planning &amp; Administration:</b>						
1	Planning - Metro	\$ 2,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000	\$ 2,000,000
2	Planning - SCAG	2,753,250	218,654	-	2,971,904	2,838,997
3	Administration - Metro	3,748,750	(218,654)	-	3,528,096	3,661,003
4	Sub-total	8,500,000	-	-	8,500,000	8,500,000
5	Article 3 Pedestrian & Bikeway	2.0000% 7,172,000	583,078	-	7,755,078	7,400,658
6	Article 4 Bus Transit	91.6593% 328,690,094	26,722,251	1,958,128	357,370,473	341,437,490
7	Article 8 Streets & Highways	6.3407% 22,737,906	1,848,574	-	24,586,480	23,482,835
8	Total	367,100,000	29,153,903	1,958,128	398,212,031	360,800,893
<b>Proposition A:</b>						
9	Administration	5.0000% 36,710,000	2,893,392	-	39,603,392	37,599,618
10	Local Return	25.0000% 174,372,500	n/a	-	174,372,500	168,245,000
11	Rail Development	35.0000% 244,121,500	19,241,056	-	263,362,556	250,037,459
Bus Transit:						
12	95% of 40% Capped at CPI (2.3%)	226,108,329	n/a	-	226,108,329	221,024,760
13	95% of 40% Over CPI	38,937,871	-	-	38,937,871	34,707,640
14	Sub-total	265,046,200	-	-	265,046,200	255,732,400
15	5% of 40% Incentive	13,949,800	1,099,489	-	15,049,289	14,287,855
16	Total	734,200,000	23,233,937	-	757,433,937	725,902,331
<b>Proposition C:</b>						
17	Administration	1.5000% 11,013,000	870,415	-	11,883,415	11,281,135
18	Rail/Bus Security	5.0000% 36,159,350	2,857,861	-	39,017,211	37,039,726
19	Commuter Rail	10.0000% 72,318,700	5,715,723	-	78,034,423	74,079,453
20	Local Return	20.0000% 144,637,400	n/a	-	144,637,400	139,554,800
21	Freeways and Highways	25.0000% 180,796,750	14,289,307	-	195,086,057	185,198,632
22	Discretionary	40.0000% 289,274,800	22,862,892	-	312,137,692	298,317,810
23	Total	734,200,000	46,596,198	-	780,796,198	743,471,556
<b>State Transit Assistance:</b>						
24	Bus (PUC 99314 Rev Base Share)	51,270,787	(6,534,313)	115,998	44,852,452	64,145,077
25	Rail (PUC 99313 Population Share)	48,828,358	(600,294)	78,347	48,406,411	51,464,498
26	Total	100,199,125	(7,134,607)	194,345	93,258,863	115,609,575
<b>Measure R:</b>						
27	Administration	1.5000% 11,013,000	833,375	9,951	11,856,326	11,659,084
28	Transit Capital - "New Rail"	35.0000% 253,115,450	19,153,745	287,574	272,556,769	260,991,997
29	Transit Capital - Metrolink	3.0000% 21,695,610	1,641,750	670,816	24,008,176	22,760,091
30	Transit Capital - Metro Rail	2.0000% 14,463,740	1,094,500	38,028	15,596,268	14,978,095
31	Highway Capital	20.0000% 144,637,400	10,944,997	138,519	155,720,916	149,292,032
32	Operations "New Rail"	5.0000% 36,159,350	2,736,249	75,506	39,971,106	37,402,164
33	Operations Bus	20.0000% 144,637,400	10,944,997	30,503	155,612,900	147,479,831
34	Local Return	15.0000% 108,478,050	n/a	-	108,478,050	104,664,614
35	Total	734,200,000	47,349,613	1,250,899	782,800,512	749,227,908
36	Total Funds Available	\$ 2,669,899,125	\$ 139,199,044	\$ 3,403,372	\$ 2,812,501,541	\$ 2,715,012,353
<b>Total Planning &amp; Admin Allocations:</b>						
37	(Lines 4, 9, 17 and 27)	\$ 67,236,000	\$ 4,587,182	\$ 9,951	\$ 71,843,133	\$ 69,039,837

**Notes:**

- Revenue estimate is 3.6% over FY2014 budget on review of several economic forecasts.
- CPI of 2.3% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources applied to Prop A discretionary allocated to included operators.
- Local Return Subfunds do not show carryover balances. These funds are distributed in the same period received.
- Proposition A 95% of 40% Bus Transit current year estimate will be used to fund eligible and Tier 2 operators. The carry-over is not shown since it has been converted into Proposition C 40% discretionary to fund various Board-approved discretionary programs.
- STA Bus (PUC 99314 Revenue Base Share) estimate from the State Controller's Office is further reduced by \$4.5M to reflect estimated shortfall in FY2014.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations

SUMMARY OF BUS TRANSIT SUBSIDIES									
State and Local Funds									
	Formula Allocation Procedure				Proposition C 5% Security	Proposition C 40% Discretionary	Measure R		Sub-Total State and Local Funds
	TDA Article 4 + Interest	STA + Interest	Proposition A 95% of 40 % Discretionary	Sub-Total FAP			20% Bus Operations	Clean Fuel & Facilities	
<b>Included Operators:</b>									
1 Metro Bus Ops.	\$ 255,387,576	\$ 33,270,514	\$ 167,721,941	\$ 456,380,031	\$ 27,975,111	\$ 18,393,469	\$ 107,809,466	\$ -	\$ 610,558,077
<b>Municipal Operators:</b>									
2 Arcadia	318,471	39,970	201,498	559,937	7,618	89,278	129,519	-	786,352
3 Claremont	232,336	29,160	146,999	408,494	4,962	54,742	94,489	-	562,687
4 Commerce	328,979	41,289	208,145	578,414	39,982	885,487	133,793	-	1,637,675
5 Cuver City	5,145,474	645,792	3,255,542	9,046,808	335,430	1,968,212	2,082,620	-	13,443,070
6 Foothill Transit	21,865,723	2,744,299	13,834,445	38,444,466	849,685	9,273,690	8,892,600	-	57,460,441
7 Gardena	5,074,019	636,824	3,210,332	8,921,176	224,626	2,329,824	2,063,560	-	13,539,185
8 La Mirada	118,924	14,926	75,243	209,093	3,238	25,633	48,365	-	286,330
9 Long Beach	22,308,333	2,768,472	13,956,309	39,033,114	1,731,427	9,322,030	8,970,933	-	59,057,504
10 Montebello	8,036,296	1,008,610	5,084,565	14,129,471	499,817	3,451,327	3,268,292	-	21,348,907
11 Norwalk	2,933,648	368,193	1,856,119	5,157,961	113,660	780,858	1,193,089	-	7,245,568
12 Redondo Beach	703,828	88,335	445,312	1,237,475	23,777	198,642	286,241	-	1,746,134
13 Santa Monica	28,780,867	2,425,957	12,229,633	43,436,456	1,167,590	6,747,736	7,861,048	-	59,212,829
14 Torrance	6,135,999	770,110	3,892,247	10,788,357	245,255	3,344,655	2,495,458	-	16,873,724
15 Sub-Total	101,982,897	11,581,938	58,386,389	171,951,224	5,247,066	38,472,113	37,530,008	-	253,200,408
<b>Eligible Operators:</b>									
16 Antelope Valley	-	-	4,165,129	4,165,129	211,122	1,828,009	2,234,115	-	8,438,375
17 LADOT	-	-	19,709,213	19,709,213	1,467,913	7,327,637	4,558,943	-	33,063,707
18 Santa Clarita	-	-	4,605,191	4,605,191	214,277	2,478,310	2,470,158	-	9,767,937
19 Foothill BSCP	-	-	4,367,348	4,367,348	-	965,459	1,010,212	-	6,343,019
20 Sub-Total	-	-	32,846,880	32,846,880	1,893,313	12,599,415	10,273,429	-	57,613,037
<b>Tier 2 Operators:</b>									
21 LADOT Community Dash	-	-	4,791,374	4,791,374	-	-	-	-	4,791,374
22 Glendale	-	-	727,072	727,072	-	-	-	-	727,072
23 Pasadena	-	-	389,529	389,529	-	-	-	-	389,529
24 Burbank	-	-	92,025	92,025	-	-	-	-	92,025
25 Sub-Total	-	-	6,000,000	6,000,000	-	-	-	-	6,000,000
26 Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	204,214	-	-	204,214
27 Total Excluding Metro	101,982,897	11,581,938	97,233,269	210,798,104	7,140,379	51,275,741	47,803,435	-	317,017,659
28 Grand Total	\$ 357,370,473	\$ 44,852,452	\$ 264,955,210	\$ 667,178,135	\$ 35,115,490	\$ 69,669,210	\$ 155,612,900	\$ -	\$ 927,575,736

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

<b>SUMMARY OF BUS TRANSIT SUBSIDIES (continued)</b>											
<b>Federal Formula Grants</b>											
	<b>Urbanized Formula Program (Section 5307)</b>			<b>Bus &amp; Bus Facilities (Section 5339)</b>			<b>State of Good Repair (Section 5337)</b>			<b>Total Federal funds Allocation</b>	<b>Grand Total Allocations</b>
	<b>FY15 \$Allocation</b>	<b>Fund Exchanges</b>	<b>Adjusted \$ Allocation</b>	<b>FY15 \$Allocation</b>	<b>Fund Exchange</b>	<b>Adjusted \$ Allocation</b>	<b>FY15 \$Allocation</b>	<b>Fund Exchange</b>	<b>Adjusted \$ Allocation</b>		
<b>Included Operators:</b>											
1 Metro Bus Ops.	\$ 153,012,613	\$ (1,405,748)	\$ 151,606,867	\$ 16,358,488	\$ 7,182,149	\$ 23,540,637	\$ 71,608,010	\$ 3,675,190	\$ 75,283,200	\$ 250,430,704	\$ 880,988,781
<b>Municipal Operators:</b>											
2 Arcadia	295,500	35,903	331,403	35,903	(35,903)	-	-	-	-	331,403	1,117,756
3 Claremont	187,218	20,317	187,535	20,317	(20,317)	-	-	-	-	187,535	750,222
4 Commerce	501,726	60,980	562,686	60,980	(60,980)	-	-	-	-	562,686	2,200,381
5 Culver City	8,124,137	337,347	8,461,483	337,347	(337,347)	-	-	-	-	8,461,483	21,904,554
6 Foothill Transit	14,411,982	3,796,321	18,208,283	1,751,068	(1,751,068)	-	2,045,255	(2,045,255)	-	18,208,283	75,668,724
7 Gardena	2,483,814	440,001	2,923,814	301,785	(301,785)	-	138,215	(138,215)	-	2,923,814	16,463,000
8 La Mirada	139,536	18,954	158,490	16,954	(16,954)	-	-	-	-	158,490	442,820
9 Long Beach	12,502,201	1,611,248	14,113,447	1,475,774	(1,475,774)	-	135,472	(135,472)	-	14,113,447	73,170,952
10 Montebello	3,953,707	480,379	4,434,086	480,379	(480,379)	-	-	-	-	4,434,086	25,782,993
11 Norwalk	2,389,283	147,766	2,537,058	147,766	(147,766)	-	-	-	-	2,537,058	9,782,626
12 Redondo Beach	636,894	65,245	602,239	65,245	(65,245)	-	-	-	-	602,239	2,348,373
13 Santa Monica	17,680,925	(8,139,992)	9,540,934	1,148,377	(1,148,377)	-	163,225	(163,225)	-	9,540,934	68,753,763
14 Torrance	3,146,805	570,620	3,717,425	382,339	(382,339)	-	188,281	(188,281)	-	3,717,425	20,591,150
15 Sub-Total	86,333,817	(556,933)	85,776,884	6,224,211	(6,224,211)	-	2,670,449	(2,670,449)	-	65,776,884	318,977,292
<b>Eligible Operators:</b>											
16 Antelope Valley	36,689	445,751	482,440	4,458	(4,458)	-	441,293	(441,293)	-	482,440	8,920,814
17 LADOT	10,219,688	1,339,839	11,559,525	776,391	(776,391)	-	563,448	(563,448)	-	11,559,525	44,623,232
18 Santa Clarita	1,457,513	177,089	1,634,602	177,089	(177,089)	-	-	-	-	1,634,602	11,402,538
19 Foothill BSCP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,343,019
20 Sub-Total	11,713,888	1,962,679	13,676,568	957,937	(957,937)	-	1,004,741	(1,004,741)	-	13,676,568	71,289,604
<b>Tier 2 Operators:</b>											
21 LADOT Community Dash	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,791,374
22 Glendale	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	727,072
23 Pasadena	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	389,529
24 Burbank	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	92,025
25 Sub-Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,000,000
26 Lynwood Trolley	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	204,214
27 Total Excluding Metro	78,047,705	1,405,748	79,453,450	7,182,149	(7,182,149)	-	3,675,190	(3,675,190)	-	79,453,450	396,471,110
28 Grand Total	\$ 231,060,318	\$ -	\$ 231,060,318	\$ 23,540,637	\$ -	\$ 23,540,637	\$ 75,283,200	\$ -	\$ 75,283,200	\$ 329,884,155	\$ 1,257,459,891



Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations

PROPOSITION C 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS												
	MOSIP			Zero-fare Compensati on [1]	Foothill Transit Mitigation	Transit Service Expansion	Discretionary Base Restruct.	BSIP Overcrowding Relief	Prop 1B Bridge Funding		TOTAL	
	Prop A % Share	% Share	MOSIP Amount						PTMISEA	SECURITY		
1	<b>INCLUDED OPERATORS</b>											
	<b>Metro Bus Ops.</b>			\$ -	\$ 7,457,941	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,935,528	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 18,393,469	
2	Arcadia	0.0891%	0.2709%	59,683	-	8,960	-	20,635	-	-	89,278	
3	Claremont	0.0650%	0.1977%	43,541	-	6,536	-	-	3,186	1,479	54,742	
4	Commerce	0.0921%	0.2799%	61,652	578,414	9,255	-	236,165	-	-	885,487	
5	Culver City	1.4398%	4.3776%	964,287	-	144,761	227,638	-	159,075	402,419	1,968,212	
6	Foothill	6.1185%	18.6024%	4,097,744	-	-	315,071	1,890,706	880,260	1,784,518	9,273,690	
7	Gardena	1.4198%	4.3168%	950,896	-	142,751	654,314	-	166,061	356,817	2,329,824	
8	La Mirada	0.0333%	0.1012%	22,287	-	3,346	-	-	-	-	25,633	
9	Long Beach	6.1724%	18.7663%	4,133,840	-	620,583	2,160,211	-	779,741	1,383,233	9,322,030	
10	Montebello	2.2487%	6.8369%	1,506,041	-	226,091	-	1,078,524	205,827	366,203	3,451,327	
11	Norwalk	0.8209%	2.4958%	549,780	-	82,534	-	-	53,255	78,475	780,858	
12	Redondo Beach DR/MB	0.1969%	0.5988%	131,901	-	19,801	-	-	3,780	33,787	198,642	
13	Santa Monica	5.4087%	16.4445%	3,622,401	-	543,804	-	-	754,403	1,558,334	6,747,736	
14	Torrance	1.7170%	5.2202%	1,149,916	-	172,628	766,132	686,266	227,778	288,859	3,344,655	
15	Subtotal Included	25.8223%	78.5090%	17,293,970	578,414	1,981,051	4,123,365	3,891,661	3,250,814	6,255,832	38,472,113	
	<b>ELIGIBLE OPERATORS</b>											
16	Antelope Valley	1.5372%	4.6735%	1,029,489	-	16,110	356,760	-	45,280	326,683	1,828,009	
17	Santa Clarita	1.6996%	5.1673%	1,138,258	-	17,812	186,596	-	48,434	935,288	2,478,310	
18	LADOT Local/Express	3.1368%	9.5369%	2,100,779	-	294,804	2,563,057	-	141,971	1,904,961	7,327,637	
19	Foothill BSCP	0.6951%	2.1133%	465,510	-	-	-	-	-	429,605	965,459	
20	Subtotal Eligible	7.0686%	21.4910%	4,734,035	-	328,726	3,106,413	-	235,685	3,596,537	12,599,415	
21	City of Lynwood Trolley						204,214	-	-		204,214	
22	<b>Total Municipal Operator</b>	<b>32.8909%</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>22,028,006</b>	<b>578,414</b>	<b>2,309,777</b>	<b>7,433,993</b>	<b>3,891,661</b>	<b>3,486,500</b>	<b>9,852,368</b>	<b>1,695,023</b>	<b>51,275,741</b>
23	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>32.8909%</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 22,028,006</b>	<b>\$ 578,414</b>	<b>\$ 9,767,718</b>	<b>\$ 7,433,993</b>	<b>\$ 3,891,661</b>	<b>\$ 14,422,028</b>	<b>\$ 9,852,368</b>	<b>\$ 1,695,023</b>	<b>\$ 69,669,210</b>
	Last Year			\$ 21,386,413			\$ 7,266,855	\$ 3,804,165	\$ 14,097,779			
	% Increase			3.00%			2.300%	2.300%	2.300%			
	Current Year			\$ 22,028,006			\$ 7,433,993	\$ 3,891,661	\$ 14,422,028			

[1] Allocated as part of FAP to Commerce as compensation for having zero passenger revenues.

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations

FEDERAL SECTION 5307 CAPITAL ALLOCATION											
(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)											
OPERATOR	LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE	85% FORMULA ALLOCATION	1% ENHANCEMENT ALLOCATION		15% DISCRETIONARY ALLOCATION		Other		TOTAL	\$6339/\$6337 Fund Exchange	Total Funds Available
			Project Title	Amount	Project Title	Amount					
1 ANTELOPE VALLEY	0.0189%	\$ 36,689							\$ 36,689	\$ 445,751	\$ 482,440
2 ARCADIA	0.1525%	295,500							295,500	35,903	331,403
3 CLAREMONT	0.0863%	167,218							167,218	20,317	187,535
4 COMMERCE	0.2590%	501,726							501,726	60,960	562,686
5 CULVER CITY	1.4330%	2,776,496	Replace Bus Stop Furnishings	282,901	Bus Replacement - 22 40' CNG	4,254,740	(1) 810,000		8,124,137	337,347	8,461,483
6 Foothill	7.4385%	14,411,962							14,411,962	3,796,321	18,208,283
7 GARDENA	1.2820%	2,483,814							2,483,814	440,001	2,923,814
8 LADOT	3.2981%	6,390,002	Security Light for Bus Stops in Filipino Town	260,400	Bus Replacement - 5 40' CNG	3,569,284			10,219,686	1,339,839	11,559,525
9 LA MIRADA	0.0720%	139,536							139,536	16,954	156,490
10 LONG BEACH	6.2690%	12,146,201	Queen Mary Complex TransitCenter	356,000	SCR TTC Regional Training	250,000	(4) (250,000)		12,502,201	1,611,246	14,113,447
11 MONTEBELLO	2.0406%	3,953,707							3,953,707	480,379	4,434,086
12 METRO OPERATIONS	69.4904%	134,636,833	Orange Line Pedestrian Access Improvements	1,155,302	Bus Replacement - 550 40' CNG	16,970,478	(2) 9,451,593		162,464,206	(10,857,339)	151,606,867
13							(4) 250,000				
14 NORWALK	0.6277%	1,216,170	Pedestrian Walkway Improvements	258,000	Replace Bus Wash System (1&2) Bus Replacement - 2 40' CNG	208,000 709,123			2,389,293	147,766	2,537,058
15											
16 REDONDO BEACH	0.2772%	536,994							536,994	65,245	602,239
17 SANTA CLARITA	0.7523%	1,457,513							1,457,513	177,089	1,634,602
18 SANTA MONICA	4.8783%	9,451,593			Bus Replacement - 20 40' CNG	8,229,332	(3) (9,451,593)		8,229,332	1,311,602	9,540,934
19 TORRANCE	1.6242%	3,146,805							3,146,805	570,620	3,717,425
20 Unallocated									-	-	-
21 TOTAL	100.0000%	\$ 193,748,758		\$ 2,310,603		\$ 34,190,957	\$ 810,000		\$ 231,060,318	\$ 0	\$ 231,060,318

Other:

- (1) Culver City's COP lease payment allocation ends in 2016
- (2) Metro's TDA share is exchanged with Santa Monica's Section 5307 formula share
- (3) Santa Monica's share of section 5307 is exchanged with Metro's TDA share
- (4) Funds allocated to Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCR TTC) through Long Beach Transit is exchanged with Metro's TDA share.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

<b>FEDERAL SECTION 5339 - BUS AND BUS CAPITAL ALLOCATION</b>					
<b>(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)</b>					
	<b>OPERATOR</b>	<b>LA UZA 2 NET FORMULA SHARE</b>	<b>Net Formula Share</b>	<b>Fund Exchange</b>	<b>Net Funds Available</b>
1	ANTELOPE VALLEY	0.0189%	\$ 4,458	\$ (4,458)	\$ -
2	ARCADIA	0.1525%	35,903	(35,903)	-
3	CLAREMONT	0.0863%	20,317	(20,317)	-
4	COMMERCE	0.2590%	60,960	(60,960)	-
5	CULVER CITY	1.4330%	337,347	(337,347)	-
6	FOOTHILL	7.4385%	1,751,066	(1,751,066)	-
7	GARDENA	1.2820%	301,785	(301,785)	-
8	LADOT	3.2981%	776,391	(776,391)	-
9	LA MIRADA	0.0720%	16,954	(16,954)	-
10	LONG BEACH	6.2690%	1,475,774	(1,475,774)	-
11	MONTEBELLO	2.0406%	480,379	(480,379)	-
12	METRO OPERATIONS	69.4904%	16,358,488	7,182,149	23,540,637
13	NORWALK	0.6277%	147,766	(147,766)	-
14	REDONDO BEACH	0.2772%	65,245	(65,245)	-
15	SANTA CLARITA	0.7523%	177,089	(177,089)	-
16	SANTA MONICA	4.8783%	1,148,377	(1,148,377)	-
17	TORRANCE	1.6242%	382,339	(382,339)	-
18	<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100.0000%</b>	<b>\$ 23,540,637</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 23,540,637</b>

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations

<b>FEDERAL SECTION 5337 - STATE OF GOOD REPAIR</b>										
<b>(Estimated - to be Adjusted to Actual apportionment)</b>										
<b>LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHARE (UZA 2)</b>		<b>Directional Route Miles (DRM) Allocation</b>			<b>Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) Allocation</b>					
<b>OPERATOR</b>		<b>DRM</b>	<b>DRM%</b>	<b>DRM \$Allocation</b>	<b>VRM</b>	<b>VRM%</b>	<b>VRM \$Allocation</b>	<b>Total \$ Allocation</b>	<b>Fund Exchange</b>	<b>Net Funds Available</b>
<b>High Intensity Fixed Guideway:</b>										
1	METRO (Including Metrolink)	451.5	99.757%	\$ 25,101,218	23,045,148	98.747%	\$ 43,799,637	\$ 68,900,856	\$ 616,873	\$ 69,517,729
2	Long Beach Transit	0.5	0.110%	27,798	56,653	0.243%	107,675	135,472	(135,472)	-
3	Santa Monica	0.6	0.133%	33,357	68,330	0.293%	129,868	163,225	(163,225)	-
4	Foothill Transit	-	0.000%	-	167,408	0.717%	318,176	318,176	(318,176)	-
5	Sub-total	452.6	100.000%	25,162,373	23,337,539	100.000%	44,355,356	69,517,729	-	69,517,729
<b>High Intensity Motorbus:</b>										
6	ANTELOPE VALLEY	23.6	13.524%	268,556	188,045	4.570%	172,737	441,293	(441,293)	-
7	FOOTHILL	39.4	22.579%	448,353	1,392,050	33.831%	1,278,727	1,727,079	(1,727,079)	-
8	GARDENA		0.000%	-	150,464	3.657%	138,215	138,215	(138,215)	-
9	LADOT	35.1	20.115%	399,421	178,564	4.340%	164,028	563,448	(563,448)	-
10	METRO OPERATIONS	65.9	37.765%	749,910	2,130,699	51.782%	1,957,244	2,707,154	3,058,317	5,765,471
11	TORRANCE	10.5	6.017%	119,485	74,893	1.820%	68,796	188,281	(188,281)	-
12	Sub-total	174.5	100.00%	1,985,724	4,114,715	100.000%	3,779,747	5,765,471	-	5,765,471
13	Total LA County Share - UZA 2	627.10		\$ 27,148,097	27,452,254	200.000%	\$ 48,135,103	\$ 75,283,200	\$ -	\$ 75,283,200

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

<b>PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS</b>		
<b>(In Order of Priority)</b>		
		<b>FY15 Allocation</b>
<b><u>PRIORITY I: EXISTING SUB-REGIONAL PARATRANSIT PROJECTS:</u></b>		
1	Agoura Hills	\$ 122,718
2	Antelope Valley, Elderly & Disabled	277,968
3	Beverly Hills Taxi & Lift Van	32,245
4	Culver City Community Transit and LA County	60,017
5	Gardena, Hawthorne and LA County	164,264
6	Glendale Paratransit and La Canada Flintridge	263,108
7	Huntington Park, Bell, South Gate and LA County	33,316
8	Inglewood Transit and LA County	202,855
9	LA County (Whittier et al)	166,766
10	LA County (Willowbrook)	53,118
11	Los Angeles Taxi & Lift Van, City Ride	346,909
12	Los Angeles Dial-a-Ride, City Ride	337,660
13	Monrovia D.A.R. and LA County	179,085
14	Palos Verdes PTA D.A.R.	44,939
15	Palos Verdes PTA - PV Transit	380,604
16	Pasadena Community Transit, San Marino and LA County	324,180
17	Pomona Valley TA - E&D (Get About)	569,395
18	Pomona Valley TA General Public (VC)	81,598
19	Redondo Beach Community Transit and Hermosa Beach	52,746
20	Santa Clarita D.A.R.	714,636
21	West Hollywood (DAR)	284,794
22	West Hollywood (Taxi)	70,239
23	Whittier (DAR)	335,732
24		<b>1st Priority Sub-total \$ 5,098,892</b>
<b><u>PRIORITY II: SERVICES THAT RECEIVE GROWTH OVER INFLATION</u></b>		
<b>(IF PROP A DISC. CANNOT FULLY FUND THESE SYSTEMS)</b>		
25	City of L.A. - Bus Service Continuation Project/DASH/Central City Shuttle	\$ -
26	Santa Clarita - Local Fixed Route	-
27	Antelope Valley - Local Fixed Route	-
28	Foothill - Bus Service Continuation Project	-
29		<b>2nd Priority Sub-total \$ -</b>
30	<b>PRIORITY III: APPROVED EXISTING EXPANDED PARATRANSIT</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
31	<b>PRIORITY IV: APPROVED NEW EXPANDED PARATRANSIT SERVICES</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

**PROPOSITION A 5% OF 40% DISCRETIONARY PROGRAMS (Continued)**

**(In Order of Priority)**

<b>Priority V: VOLUNTARY NTD DATA REPORTING:</b>			
<b>FY13 NTD Report Year</b>	<b>Estimate</b>	<b>Tier 2 Deduction (1)</b>	<b>FY15 Net Allocation</b>
32	City of Alhambra (MB and DR)	\$ 135,198	\$ 135,198
33	City of Artesia (DR)	6,214	6,214
34	City of Azusa (DR)	39,982	39,982
35	City of Baldwin Park (MB and DR)	129,354	129,354
36	City of Bell Gardens (MB and DR)	63,645	63,645
37	City of Bellflower (MB and DR)	46,286	46,286
38	City of Burbank (MB)*	108,759	17,375
39	City of Carson (MB and DT)	189,672	189,672
40	City of Cerritos (MB and DR)	134,298	134,298
41	City of Compton (MB)	58,068	58,068
42	City of Covina (DR)	27,670	27,670
43	City of Cudahy (MB and DR)	26,788	26,788
44	City of Downey (MB and DR)	91,805	91,805
45	City of Duarte (MB)	36,695	36,695
46	City of El Monte (MB and DR)	150,012	150,012
47	City of Glendora (MB and DR)	53,350	53,350
48	City of Glendale (MB)*	299,113	47,785
49	City of Huntington Park (MB)	86,203	86,203
50	City of Los Angeles – Community DASH* (MB)	1,375,518	219,746
51	City of Los Angeles – Department of Aging (DR)	200,706	200,706
52	LA County Dept. of Public Works – Avocado Heights (MB)	15,357	15,357
53	LA County Dept. of Public Works – East Valinda (MB)	28,474	28,474
54	LA County Dept. of Public Works – East LA (MB and DR)	200,392	200,392
55	LA County Dept. of Public Works – Willowbrook (MB)	32,477	32,477
56	LA County Dept. of Public Works – King Medical (MB)	11,232	11,232
57	LA County Dept. of Public Works – South Whittier (MB)	47,575	47,575
58	City of Lawndale (MB)	34,473	34,473
59	City of Lynwood (MB)	62,725	62,725
60	City of Malibu (DT)	21,920	21,920
61	City of Manhattan Beach (DR)	15,395	15,395
62	City of Maywood (DR)	11,133	11,133
63	City of Monterey Park (MB and DR)	107,223	107,223
64	City of Pasadena (MB)*	280,618	41,635
65	City of Pico Rivera (DR)	30,329	30,329
66	City of Rosemead (MB and DR)	74,885	74,885
67	City of Santa Fe Springs (DR)	5,587	5,587
68	City of South Gate (DT and MB)	98,812	98,812
69	City of South Pasadena (DR)	13,907	13,907
70	City of West Covina (MB and DR)	98,829	98,829
71	City of West Hollywood (MB)	31,982	31,982
72	5th Priority Sub-Total	\$ 4,462,660	\$ 326,540
			\$ 4,136,120
<b>PRIORITY VI: SPECIAL DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS</b>			
73	Avalon Ferry Subsidy		\$ 650,000
74	Avalon Transit Services (Jitney and Dial-a-Ride)		250,000
75	Hollywood Bowl Shuttle Service		1,057,000
76	6th Priority Sub-total		\$ 1,957,000
77	Total Expenditures		\$ 11,192,012
78	Reserves for contingencies (2)		3,857,277
79	Sub-total		15,049,289
80	Estimated Revenue		15,049,289
81	Surplus (Deficit)		\$ -

**NOTES:**

(1) Tier 2 Operators' shares have been reduced by % of GOI Funding per Tier 2 Operators Funding Program.

(2) 5th Priority - locally funded systems which voluntarily reported NTD data for FY13 report year. Exact amounts TBD and may be higher, based upon actual FY 15 FTA 5307 apportionment unit values.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

FY 2015 ESTIMATES AND ALLOCATIONS OF PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C and MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 and TDA ARTICLE 8									
LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population DOF Report 2013 data	Population as % of County	Proposition A Local Return Estimate	Proposition C Local Return Estimate	Measure R Local Return Estimate	TDA Article 3 Ped & Bike [1]	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total Allocations
							Population	Article 8 Allocation	
1 AGOURA HILLS	20,516	0.2060%	\$ 359,248	\$ 297,987	\$ 223,493	\$ 13,552		\$ -	\$ 894,280
2 ALHAMBRA	84,240	0.8459%	1,475,096	1,223,553	917,676	55,594			3,671,920
3 ARCADIA	56,866	0.5711%	995,760	825,957	619,475	37,534			2,478,726
4 ARTESIA	16,681	0.1675%	292,095	242,285	181,716	11,022			727,118
5 AVALON	3,797	0.0381%	66,488	55,150	41,363	5,000	3,797	147,850	315,851
6 AZUSA	47,586	0.4779%	833,261	691,168	518,382	31,412			2,074,223
7 BALDWIN PARK	76,315	0.7664%	1,336,324	1,108,446	831,344	50,366			3,326,480
8 BELL	35,783	0.3593%	626,583	519,734	389,805	23,625			1,559,747
9 BELLFLOWER	77,289	0.7761%	1,353,379	1,122,593	841,955	51,009			3,368,935
10 BELL GARDENS	42,437	0.4262%	743,099	616,381	462,291	28,015			1,849,786
11 BEVERLY HILLS	34,494	0.3464%	604,012	501,012	375,764	22,774			1,503,562
12 BRADBURY	1,074	0.0108%	18,806	15,599	11,700	5,000			51,106
13 BURBANK	104,982	1.0542%	1,838,302	1,524,823	1,143,631	69,279			4,576,034
14 CALABASAS	23,802	0.2390%	416,788	345,715	259,289	15,720			1,037,512
15 CARSON	92,196	0.9258%	1,614,411	1,339,111	1,004,345	60,844			4,018,711
16 CERRITOS	49,470	0.4968%	866,251	718,533	538,906	32,655			2,156,344
17 CLAREMONT	35,749	0.3590%	625,988	519,240	389,435	23,602			1,558,265
18 COMMERCE	12,935	0.1299%	226,500	187,876	140,909	8,551			563,835
19 COMPTON	97,549	0.9796%	1,708,145	1,416,881	1,062,659	64,375			4,252,040
20 COVINA	48,357	0.4856%	846,762	702,367	526,781	31,920			2,107,830
21 CUDAHY	24,013	0.2411%	420,483	348,779	261,588	15,859			1,046,710
22 CULVER CITY	39,210	0.3938%	686,592	569,510	427,138	25,886			1,709,125
23 DIAMOND BAR	56,089	0.5634%	982,329	814,816	611,120	37,028			2,445,293
24 DOWNEY	112,761	1.1324%	1,974,517	1,637,810	1,228,372	74,411			4,915,110
25 DUARTE	21,554	0.2164%	377,424	313,063	234,800	14,237			939,525
26 EL MONTE	114,436	1.1492%	2,003,847	1,662,138	1,246,619	75,516			4,988,121
27 EL SEGUNDO	16,804	0.1687%	294,249	244,072	183,056	11,103			732,479
28 GARDENA	59,566	0.5982%	1,043,039	865,173	648,888	39,316			2,596,415
29 GLENDALE	193,652	1.9447%	3,390,970	2,812,720	2,109,566	127,780			8,441,035
30 GLENDORA	50,666	0.5088%	887,194	735,904	551,935	33,444			2,208,476
31 HAWAIIAN GARDENS	14,375	0.1444%	251,715	208,791	156,595	9,501			626,603
32 HAWTHORNE	85,474	0.8583%	1,496,704	1,241,477	931,119	56,409			3,725,708
33 HERMOSA BEACH	19,853	0.1974%	344,137	285,452	214,082	12,983			856,663
34 HIDDEN HILLS	1,887	0.0189%	33,043	27,408	20,556	5,000			86,007
35 HUNTINGTON PARK	58,624	0.5887%	1,026,543	851,491	638,626	38,694			2,555,354

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

FY 2015 ESTIMATES AND ALLOCATIONS OF PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C and MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 and TDA ARTICLE 8 (Continued)									
LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population DOF Report 2013 data	Population as % of County	Proposition A Local Return Estimate	Proposition C Local Return Estimate	Measure R Local Return Estimate	TDA Article 3 Ped & Bike [1]	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total Allocations
							Population	Article 8 Allocation	
36 INDUSTRY [3]	437	0.0044%	7,652	6,347	4,760	-			18,760
37 INGLEWOOD	111,171	1.1164%	1,946,675	1,614,716	1,211,051	73,362			4,845,804
38 IRWINDALE	1,454	0.0146%	25,460	21,119	15,839	5,000			67,419
39 LA CANADA-FLINTRIDGE	20,441	0.2053%	357,935	296,898	222,676	13,503			891,011
40 LA HABRA HEIGHTS	5,379	0.0540%	94,190	78,128	58,597	5,000			235,914
41 LAKEWOOD	80,781	0.8112%	1,414,527	1,173,313	879,995	53,312			3,521,147
42 LA MIRADA	48,930	0.4914%	856,795	710,689	533,023	32,298			2,132,806
43 LANCASTER	158,630	1.5930%	2,777,712	2,304,039	1,728,050	104,674	158,630	6,176,827	13,091,302
44 LA PUENTE	40,222	0.4039%	704,313	584,209	438,162	26,553			1,753,237
45 LA VERNE	32,041	0.3218%	581,058	465,383	349,042	21,156			1,396,639
46 LAWDALE	33,058	0.3320%	578,867	480,155	360,120	21,827			1,440,968
47 LOMITA	20,516	0.2060%	359,248	297,987	223,493	13,552			894,280
48 LONG BEACH	467,646	4.6961%	8,198,779	6,792,376	5,094,344	308,549			20,384,048
49 LOS ANGELES CITY	3,863,839	38.8010%	67,658,276	56,120,759	42,091,081	2,897,028			168,767,144
50 LYNWOOD	70,645	0.7094%	1,237,039	1,026,091	769,578	46,625			3,079,333
51 MALIBU	12,767	0.1282%	223,558	185,436	139,078	8,440			556,512
52 MANHATTAN BEACH	35,423	0.3557%	620,279	514,505	385,864	23,387			1,544,055
53 MAYWOOD	27,610	0.2773%	483,469	401,025	300,772	18,232			1,203,498
54 MONROVIA	38,943	0.3710%	646,895	536,583	402,442	24,390			1,610,310
55 MONTEBELLO	63,184	0.6345%	1,106,392	917,723	688,301	41,703			2,754,118
56 MONTEREY PARK	61,445	0.6170%	1,075,941	892,465	669,357	40,555			2,678,318
57 NORWALK	106,093	1.0654%	1,857,756	1,540,960	1,155,734	70,012			4,624,461
58 PALMDALE	154,535	1.5519%	2,706,006	2,244,561	1,683,441	101,972	154,535	6,017,373	12,753,353
59 PALOS VERDES ESTATES	13,589	0.1365%	237,952	197,375	148,033	8,982			592,342
60 PARAMOUNT	54,624	0.5485%	956,501	793,392	595,052	36,055			2,381,000
61 PASADENA	140,020	1.4061%	2,451,839	2,033,736	1,525,321	92,396			6,103,291
62 PICO RIVERA	63,534	0.6380%	1,112,521	922,807	692,113	41,934			2,769,374
63 POMONA	150,942	1.5158%	2,643,090	2,182,374	1,644,300	99,602			6,579,366
64 RANCHO PALOS VERDES	42,114	0.4229%	737,443	611,689	458,773	27,802			1,835,707
65 REDONDO BEACH	67,396	0.6768%	1,180,147	978,901	734,184	44,482			2,937,713
66 ROLLING HILLS	1,884	0.0189%	32,990	27,364	20,524	5,000			85,878
67 ROLLING HILLS ESTATES	8,141	0.0818%	142,554	118,245	88,685	5,388			354,871
68 ROSEMEAD	54,464	0.5489%	953,699	791,068	593,309	35,950			2,374,028
69 SAN DIMAS	33,666	0.3383%	589,863	489,276	368,961	22,241			1,468,342
70 SAN FERNANDO	24,079	0.2418%	421,639	349,738	262,307	15,903			1,049,586



**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

FY 2015 ESTIMATES AND ALLOCATIONS OF PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C and MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN, TDA ARTICLE 3 and TDA ARTICLE 8 (Continued)									
LOCAL JURISDICTION	Population DOF Report 2013 data	Population as % of County	Proposition A Local Return Estimate	Proposition C Local Return Estimate	Measure R Local Return Estimate	TDA Article 3 Ped & Bike [1]	TDA Article 8 (S & H)		Total Allocations
							Population	Article 8 Allocation	
71 SAN GABRIEL	40,153	0.4032%	703,105	583,207	437,410	26,508			1,750,229
72 SAN MARINO	13,246	0.1330%	231,946	192,393	144,297	8,756			577,391
73 SANTA CLARITA	204,951	2.0581%	3,588,822	2,976,834	2,232,652	135,234	204,951	7,980,500	16,914,043
74 SANTA FE SPRINGS	16,816	0.1689%	294,459	244,246	183,187	11,111			733,002
75 SANTA MONICA	91,040	0.9142%	1,594,168	1,322,321	991,753	60,081			3,968,322
76 SIERRA MADRE	11,023	0.1107%	193,020	160,105	120,080	7,289			480,494
77 SIGNAL HILL	11,218	0.1127%	196,434	162,937	122,204	7,418			488,993
78 SOUTH EL MONTE	20,312	0.2040%	355,676	295,024	221,271	13,418			885,388
79 SOUTH GATE	95,115	0.9552%	1,665,524	1,381,508	1,036,144	62,769			4,145,946
80 SOUTH PASADENA	25,857	0.2597%	452,772	375,563	281,676	17,076			1,127,087
81 TEMPLE CITY	35,952	0.3610%	629,542	522,189	391,646	23,736			1,567,114
82 TORRANCE	146,860	1.4748%	2,571,612	2,133,084	1,599,833	96,908			6,401,437
83 VERNON [4]	121	0.0012%	2,119	1,757		5,000			8,876
84 WALNUT	29,947	0.3007%	524,391	434,969	326,230	19,774			1,305,364
85 WEST COVINA	107,248	1.0770%	1,877,981	1,557,736	1,168,316	70,774			4,674,806
86 WEST HOLLYWOOD	34,853	0.3500%	610,298	506,226	379,674	23,011			1,519,210
87 WESTLAKE VILLAGE	8,341	0.0838%	146,056	121,150	90,863	5,520			363,589
88 WHITTIER	86,093	0.8646%	1,507,543	1,250,467	937,862	56,817			3,752,689
89 UNINCORP LA COUNTY	1,040,380	10.4477%	18,217,890	15,111,260	11,333,583	1,497,998	109,504	4,263,930	50,424,661
90 TOTAL	9,958,091	100.0000%	\$ 174,372,500	\$ 144,637,400	\$ 108,478,050	\$ 7,755,078	631,417	\$ 24,586,480	\$ 459,829,508

**NOTES:**

Population estimates are based on State of California Department of Finance's 2013 population estimates. The Unincorporated Population figure for TDA 8 is based on 2007 estimates by Urban Research

Proposition A, Proposition C and Measure R Local Return funds are allocated their share of estimated revenues (minus administration) without carryover since payments are made based on actual revenues received.

**TDA Article 3 Allocation:**

[1] 15% of the estimated revenue is first awarded to the City of Los Angeles and Los Angeles County (30%-70% split) as Supplemental Allocation.

[3] City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely.

[4] City of Vernon has opted out of the Measure R Local Return program indefinitely.

**Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

**Summary of Significant Information, Methodologies and Assumptions**  
**Revenue Estimates**

- Revenue estimate is 3.6% over FY2014 budget based upon review of several economic forecasts.
- Consumer price index (CPI) of 2.3% represents a composite index from several economic forecasting sources and is applied to Proposition A Discretionary program for included operators, Transit Service Enhancement (TSE), Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP), and Discretionary Base Restructuring program. Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP) receives 3% increase from FY2014 allocation.
- Proposition A 95% of 40% growth over inflation (GOI) revenue of \$38.9 million is used to fund formula equivalents for eligible and Tier 2 operators.
- Proposition 1B PTMISEA Bridge funding allocation represents the 2<sup>nd</sup> of four installments of FY2011 funding allocation.
- Proposition 1B Security Bridge funding allocation represents FY2012 funding allocation.
- Federal formula grants (urbanized Formula Section 5307, Bus and Bus Facilities Section 5339 and State of Good Repair Section 5337) are presented for budgetary purposes only and will be adjusted upon receipt of the final apportionments. Sections 5307 and 5339 are calculated using the Capital Allocation Procedure (CAP) as adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee (BOS), while Section 5337 is calculated using the same formula used by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA). Estimates are based on FY2014 estimated revenues. Operators' shares of sections 5339 and 5337 will be exchanged with Metro's share of section 5307 allocation.

**Bus Transit Subsidies**

**Formula Allocation Procedure**

Allocations of transit subsidy funds (STA, TDA Article 4, and Proposition A 95% of 40% Discretionary) are based on the Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP) that was adopted by the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) Board of Directors and legislated through SB 1755 (Calderon – 1996). Los Angeles County included and eligible operators submitted their FY2013 Transit Performance Measures

## ATTACHMENT E

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

data for the FY2015 FAP calculations. This data was validated and used in the calculations. The FAP as applied uses 50% of operators' vehicle service miles and 50% of operators' fare units. (Fare units are defined as operators' passenger revenues divided by operators' base cash fare). In November 2008, the Board approved Funding Stability Policy where operators who increase their fares will have their fare units frozen at their level prior to the fare increase until such time that fare unit calculation based on the new higher fare becomes greater than the frozen level.

Tier 2 Operators Funding Program was approved by the Board in April 2010 to provide operating assistance to LADOT Community Dash program and Glendale, Pasadena and Burbank's fixed route transit programs. Allocation is calculated by the same methodology as in the FAP and does not negatively impact the existing included and eligible operators. This program was funded \$6 million each year for three years beginning FY2011 from the \$18 million GOI funds that was set aside by the Board in FY2008. With the Board's approval, we will continue to fund this program for another 4 years at \$6 million per year.

#### **Measure R**

Measure R, which voters approved in November 2008, provides that 20% of the revenues be allocated to bus service operations, maintenance and expansion. The 20% bus operations share is allocated according to FAP calculation methodology. In addition, Measure R ordinance also provides a lump sum allocation of \$150M over the life of the ordinance for clean fuel and bus facilities. This fund is allocated to Metro and LA County municipal operators at \$10 million every two years.

#### **Proposition C 5% Security**

Ninety percent of Proposition C 5% Security fund is allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and Metro Operations for security services. State law requires that each operator's share of funds be based on its share of unlinked boardings to total Los Angeles County unlinked boardings. The unlinked boardings used for allocating these funds are based from the operators' TPM reports of LACMTA approved services. The remaining ten percent is allocated to Metro to mitigate other security needs.

#### **Proposition C 40% Discretionary Programs**

- **Municipal Operators Service Improvement Program (MOSIP).** MOSIP was adopted by the Board in April 2001. The program as continued is intended to provide bus service improvements to the transit dependent in Los Angeles County by reducing overcrowding and expanding services. Funding is increased by 3% from the previous year's funding level. All municipal operators participate in this program, and funds are allocated according to FAP calculation methodology.

## ATTACHMENT E

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

- **Zero-Fare Compensation.** The City of Commerce is allocated with an amount equivalent to its FAP share as compensation for having zero fare revenues.
- **Foothill Mitigation.** This fund is allocated to operators to mitigate the impact of Foothill becoming an included operator. The Foothill Mitigation Program is calculated similarly to the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP, except that Foothill's data are frozen at its pre-inclusion level. The result of this calculation is then deducted from the TDA and STA portion of the normal FAP to arrive at the Foothill Mitigation funding level. This methodology was adopted by the Bus Operator Sub-Committee (BOS) in November 1995.
- **Transit Service Expansion Program (TSE).** The TSE Program continues for five municipal operators for expansion or introduction of fixed-route bus service in congested corridors. Metro Operations does not participate in this program.
- **Base Re-Structuring Program (Base-Re).** The Base Re-Structuring Program continues for four municipal operators who added service before 1990. These four municipal operators were given additional funding from Proposition C 40% Discretionary.
- **Bus Service Improvement Program (BSIP).** The BSIP also continues to address service improvements on overcrowded non-Metro bus lines used primarily by the transit dependent. Metro Operations and all other Los Angeles County transit operators, except Claremont, La Mirada and Commerce, participate in this program.
- **Proposition 1B Bridge Funding Program.** The Bridge Funding Program is established to compensate certain operators for the differences in State Proposition 1B allocation, which uses the State Transit Assistance (STA) allocation methodology, and the Los Angeles County Formula Allocation Procedure (FAP). Operators who would have received less or no funding under the State method are allocated with local funds if the FAP method is used. This program is to continue through the life of the bond as approved by the Board in September 2009. For FY2015, Bridge Funding allocation for the Transit Modernization (PTMISEA) account represents the second of four installments the operators earned from FY2011 Proposition 1B allocation; Bridge Funding for the Security account represents the full funding earned from the FY2012 allocation.

### Federal Funds

Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2015, \$231 million in Federal Section 5307 Urban Formula funds are allocated to Los Angeles County transit operators and LACMTA Operations. Eighty-five percent (85%) of these funds have been allocated based on a capital allocation formula consisting of total vehicle miles, number of vehicles, unlinked boardings, passenger revenue and base fare. 15% Capital

## ATTACHMENT E

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

Discretionary fund and the 1% Transit Enhancement Act fund have been allocated on a discretionary basis with Bus Operations Subcommittee's review and concurrence.

At its April 15, 2014 meeting, the Bus Operators Subcommittee allocated \$250,000 each year for the next three years to the Southern California Regional Transit Training Consortium (SCR TTC) from the 15% discretionary fund. SCR TTC provides a training resource network comprised of Community Colleges, Universities, Transit Agencies, Public and Private Organizations focused on the development and delivery of training and employment of the transit industry workforce that is proficient at the highest standards, practices, and procedures for the industry. The fund will be exchanged with Metro's TDA Article 4 share and disbursed through Long Beach Transit.

The two-year transportation reauthorization bill that was signed into law on July 6, 2012, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21), provides capital funding to replace, rehabilitate and purchase buses and related equipment and to construct bus-related facilities. (U.S.C. Section 5339 /MAP-21 Section 20029 – Bus and Bus Facilities). Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2015, \$23.5 million is allocated to Los Angeles County operators and Metro operations using the Capital Allocation Procedure adopted by the Bus Operations Subcommittee. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

MAP-21 also introduced a new formula-based State of Good Repair program (49 U. S. C. Section 5337 /MAP-21 Section 20027) dedicated to repairing and upgrading the nation's rail transit systems along with the high-intensity motor bus systems that use high-occupancy vehicle lanes, including bus rapid transit. This funding program consists of two separate formula programs:

- **High Intensity Fixed Guideway** – provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for rail and buses operating on lanes for exclusive use of public transportation vehicles, i. e. bus rapid transit. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2015, \$69.5 million is allocated to Metro and municipal operations.
- **High Intensity Motorbus** - provides capital funding to maintain a system in a state of good repair for buses operating on lanes not fully reserved only for public transportation vehicles. Based on federal revenue estimates for FY2015, \$5.8 million is allocated to Metro operations and Los Angeles County operators following the FTA formula: the fund allocated with Directional Route Miles (DRM) data is allocated using the operators' DRM data while the fund allocated with Vehicle Revenue Miles (VRM) data is allocated using the operators' VRM data. Operators' shares are swapped with Metro's share of Federal Section 5307 to minimize administrative process.

## ATTACHMENT E

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

#### **Proposition A Incentive Programs**

In lieu of TDA Article 4.5, five percent (5%) of Proposition A 40% Discretionary funds have been allocated to local transit operators through Board-adopted Incentive Program guidelines. Programs include the Sub-Regional Paratransit Program, the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and the Sub-Regional Grant Projects. Under the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program, local transit operators report operating data through our Consolidated NTD Report for entitlement to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds. Operators participating in the Voluntary NTD Reporting Program and who are not receiving Sub-Regional Paratransit funds are allocated an amount equal to the Federal FTA Section 5307 funds they generate for the region.

Under the Sub-Regional Grant Projects, Avalon's Ferry, which provides a lifeline service to its residents who commute between Avalon and the mainland will continue to receive \$650,000 in subsidy; Avalon's Transit Services annual subsidy remains at \$250,000 while Hollywood Bowl Shuttles subsidy will increase from \$847,000 to \$1,057,000 in order to mitigate rising costs and increased demand.

#### **Local Returns, TDA Articles 3 & 8:**

- **Proposition A 25% Local Return, Proposition C 20% Local Return and Measure R 15% Local Return funds estimates** are apportioned to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares according to state statutes and Proposition A, Proposition C and Measure R ordinances. The City of Vernon opted out of the Measure R Local Return program indefinitely.
- **TDA Article 3 funds.** 15% of TDA Article 3 funds are allocated towards maintenance of regionally significant Class I bike paths as determined by LACMTA policy and in current TDA Article 3 Guidelines. This portion is divided in a ratio of 30% to 70% to City of Los Angeles and County of Los Angeles, respectively. The remaining 85% is allocated to all Los Angeles County cities and the County of Los Angeles based on population shares. TDA Article 3 has a minimum allocation amount of \$5,000. The City of Industry has opted out of the TDA Article 3 program indefinitely. The Street and Freeway Subcommittee and the Technical Advisory Committee have approved this redistribution methodology in prior years, and it remains unchanged.
- **TDA Article 8 funds** are allocated to areas within Los Angeles County, but outside the Metro service area. These are Avalon, Lancaster, Palmdale, Santa Clarita and portions of unincorporated areas of Los Angeles County. The amount of TDA funds for Article 8 allocation is calculated based on the proportionate population of these areas to the total population of Los Angeles County.

## **ATTACHMENT E**

### **Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations**

#### **Contact Information:**

Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority  
Office of Management and Budget, MS 99-24  
One Gateway Plaza  
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952

Carlos Vendiola, Transportation Planning Manager  
(213)922-4527  
vendiolac@metro.net

## ATTACHMENT F

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

#### RESOLUTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY FOR FISCAL YEAR 2014-2015 FOR LOCAL TRANSPORTATION, TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT, AND STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE FUND ALLOCATIONS

**WHEREAS**, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA) is the designated Transportation Planning agency for the County of Los Angeles and is, therefore, responsible for the administration of the Transportation Development Act (TDA), Public Utilities Code Section 99200 et seq.; and

**WHEREAS**, under Chapter 2.5, Article 5, the State Transit Assistance Fund (STA) Section 6753, allocations to claimants shall be made and take effect by resolution and shall designate: 1) the fiscal year for which the allocation is made; 2) the amount allocated to the claimant for each of the purposes defined in Sections 6730 and 6731; and 3) any other terms and conditions of the allocation; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 6659 requires that allocation instructions be conveyed each year to the county auditor by written memorandum of its executive director and accompanied by a certified copy of the authorizing resolution; and

**WHEREAS**, the resolution shall also specify conditions of payment and may call for a single payment, for payments as moneys become available, or for payment by installments monthly, quarterly, or otherwise; and

**WHEREAS**, the amount of a regional entity's allocation for a fiscal year that is not allocated to claimants for that fiscal year shall be available to the regional entity for allocation in the following fiscal year; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 6754 requires that the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator or a transit service claimant only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- a.1 The claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.
- a.2 The level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements of PUC Section 99268.2, 99268.3, 99268.4, 99268.5, and 99268.9, as they may be applicable to the claimant.
- a.3 The claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964, as amended.



## ATTACHMENT F

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

- a.4 The sum of the claimant's allocations from the state transit assistance fund and from the local transportation fund does not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year.
- a.5 Priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel, to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

**WHEREAS**, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator for the purposes specified in Section 6730 only if, in the resolution allocating the funds, it finds all of the following:

- b.1 The operator has made a reasonable effort to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244.
- b.2 A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle code, as required in PUC Section 99251. The certification shall have been completed within the last 13 month, prior to filing claims.
- b.3 The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7

**WHEREAS**, the regional entity may allocate funds to an operator to exchange funds pursuant to PUC Section 99314.4(b) only if, in the resolution allocating the funds made available pursuant to PUC Section 99231, it find that the operator is eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds; and

**WHEREAS**, LACMTA staff in consultation with the Transit Operators and Cities has developed allocations in accordance with the Transportation Development Act as previously specified.

#### **NOW THEREFORE,**

- 1.0 The LACMTA Board of Directors approves the allocation of TDA and STA for the Fiscal Year 2014-15 to each claimant for each of the purposes as specified in Attachments A through E.
- 2.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that a claimant's proposed expenditures are in conformity with the Regional Transportation Plan.; the level of passenger fares and charges is sufficient to enable the operator or transit service claimant to meet the fare revenue requirements; the claimant is making full use of federal funds available under the Urban Mass Transportation Act of 1964; the sum of the claimant's allocations from the State Transit Assistance fund and from the Local

## ATTACHMENT F

### Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority 2015 Transit Fund Allocations

Transportation Fund do not exceed the amount the claimant is eligible to receive during the fiscal year; and that priority consideration has been given to claims to offset reductions on federal operating assistance and the unanticipated increase in the cost of fuel,

to enhance existing public transportation services, and to meet high priority regional, countywide, or area wide public transportation needs.

- 3.0 The Board of Directors hereby finds that, for the purposes specified in Section 6730, the operators eligible for funding have made reasonable efforts to implement the productivity improvements recommended pursuant to PUC Section 99244. A certification by the Department of the California Highway Patrol verifying that the operator is in compliance with Section 1808.1 of the Vehicle Code, has been remitted. The operator is in compliance with the eligibility requirements of PUC Section 99314.6 or 99314.7
- 4.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators listed in Attachment A are eligible to receive State Transit Assistance funds.
- 5.0 The Board of Directors hereby authorizes that the operators may receive payments upon meeting the requirements of the STA eligibility test and submittal of TDA and STA claims.

### CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, duly qualified and acting as the Board Secretary of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct representation of the Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority held on June, 2013.

---

MICHELE JACKSON  
Board Secretary

DATED:  
(SEAL)