CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	15 16 17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	19 20 21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

## **CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS** TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

#### **COMPLIANCE SECTION**

EXIT CONFERENCE	39
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	38
Compliance Matrix	31
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29

#### **EXIT CONFERENCE**

**FINANCIAL SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

#### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





#### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

#### Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards*

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated December 10, 2021, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Simpson & Simpson

Los Angeles, California December 10, 2021

# CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	_	2021	2020
ASSETS	_		
Cash and investments	\$	274,795	\$ 191,238
Total assets	\$	274,795	\$ 191,238
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	1,595	\$ 1,181
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	-	13,348	12,458
Total liabilities	-	14,943	13,639
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	259,852	177,599
Total fund balance	-	259,852	177,599
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	274,795	\$ 191,238

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 295,564	\$ 284,017
Proposition A fund exchange (Note 8)	360,000	506,000
Interest income	1,516	2,245
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments	(1,426)	601
Project generated revenue (Note 9)		62
Total revenues	655,654	792,925
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	573,401	663,623
Total expenditures	573,401	663,623
Excess of revenues over expenditures	82,253	129,302
Fund balance at beginning of year	177,599	48,297
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 259,852	\$ 177,599

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

Project		Metro		Variance Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
105	Long Beach Transit - Fixed Route \$	23,109 \$	18,059	\$ 5,050 \$	20,304
106	Human Services Transportation Program	620,553	448,296	172,257	524,622
135	Bus Pass Subsidy Program	1,000	-	1,000	120
170	Bus Stop Maintenance Program	87,399	62,536	24,863	75,849
610	Transportation Administration	47,722	44,510	3,212	42,728
	Total expenditures \$	779,783 \$	573,401	\$ <u>206,382</u> \$	663,623

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2020		Additions	Deletions		Balance 6/30/2021
1985	Bobcat Tractor	 \$	13,057	\$	- \$	_		13,057
1989	Cushman Haulster Turf Vehicles	ψ	6,358	Ψ	- \$	_	ψ	6,358
1905	Chevrolet C-2500 Truck		16,000		_	_		16,000
1994	Ford CT4192 Skip Loader		37,826		_	-		37,826
1996	Chevrolet Stakebed Truck		25,801		_	-		25,801
1997	Ford F-250XL Truck		25,174		-	-		25,174
1997	Ford F-250XL Truck		24,928		-	-		24,928
2000	Ford Truck Aerial Lift		65,063		-	-		65,063
2003	Ford Escape Sedan		21,606		-	-		21,606
2003	Ford F-150 Truck		20,030		-	-		20,030
2004	Ford F-150 Truck		24,184		-	-		24,184
2005	Vintage Cab		13,194		-	-		13,194
2005	Tennant 6650 Small Street Sweeper		29,851		-	-		29,851
2006	Lincoln Town Car		25,973		-	-		25,973
2006	Kohler 26hp EFI Riding Lawnmower		11,747		-	-		11,747
2006	Starcraft Allstar Econobus/Shuttle Bus		57,260		-	-		57,260
2007	Ford Truck - Regular Cab		16,484		-	-		16,484
2007	Ford Truck - Regular Cab		16,484		-	-		16,484
2007	Scissor Lift		16,031		-	-		16,031
2007	El Dorado Aerotech 240		35,101		-	-		35,101
2016	Starcraft 20 Passenger Bus		85,982		-	-		85,982
2017	Van Honda Odyssey		34,067		-	-		34,067
2018	Van Honda Odyssey	_	36,086			-		36,086
	Tota	1 \$	658,287	\$	\$	-	\$	658,287

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	2021	 2020
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 72,833	\$ 21,960
Due from General Fund	6,556	 -
Total assets	\$ 79,389	\$ 21,960
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 260	\$ 942
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	3,887	 3,479
Total liabilities	4,147	 4,421
Fund Balance		
Restricted	75,242	 17,539
Total fund balance	75,242	 17,539
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 79,389	\$ 21,960

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES		
Proposition C	\$ 245,160	\$ 235,593
Interest income	328	50
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments	(309)	45
Total revenues	245,179	235,688
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	187,476	218,165
Total expenditures	187,476	218,165
Excess of revenues over expenditures	57,703	17,523
Fund balance at beginning of year	17,539	16
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 75,242	\$ 17,539

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

			2021				
Project			Metro			Variance Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(	Unfavorable)	Actual
106	Paratransit Program	\$	178,742 \$	123,481	\$	55,261 \$	148,938
470	Gateway COG Corridor Study		20,000	20,000		-	20,000
470	Annual Membership for COG		7,000	6,500		500	6,500
620	Transportation Administration	_	47,722	37,495		10,227	42,727
	Total expenditures	\$	253,464 \$	187,476	\$	65,988 \$	218,165

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2020		Additions	 Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2021
2008	El Dorado Aerotech 240	\$	35,101	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 35,101
2010	Honda Odyssey		33,567		-	-	33,567
2016	Starcraft 20 Passenger Bus	_	85,982		-	 -	 85,982
		Total \$	154,650	_\$	-	\$ -	\$ 154,650

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	2021	2020
		as restated
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 667,183	\$ 773,413
Total assets	\$ 667,183	\$ 773,413
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Due to MMLRF (Note 10)	\$ -	\$ 73,040
Total liabilities	-	73,040
Fund Balance		
Restricted	667,183	700,373
Total fund balance	667,183	700,373
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 667,183	\$ 773,413

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	-	2021	2020		
				as restated	
REVENUES					
Measure R	\$	184,125	\$	176,442	
Interest income		4,978		11,943	
Unrealized gain (loss) on investments		(4,684)		2,129	
Total revenues	-	184,419		190,514	
EXPENDITURES					
Various projects	-	217,609		73,040	
Total expenditures	-	217,609		73,040	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(33,190)		117,474	
Fund balance at beginning of year, as restated		700,373		582,899	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	667,183	\$	700,373	

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
					as restated
705	Street Overlays for Pavement				
	Management Plan \$	189,929 \$	217,609	\$ <u>(27,680)</u> \$	73,040
	Total expenditures \$	189,929 \$	217,609	\$ (27,680) \$	73,040

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date Acquired		Description	 Balance 7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions		Balance 6/30/2021			
	None			\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$_	-
			Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$_	-

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

# CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	-	2021		2020	
				as restated	
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	462,086	\$	200,106	
Due from MRLRF (Note 11)	-	-		73,040	
Total assets	\$	462,086	\$	273,146	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	-	
Total liabilities	-	-	. <u>-</u>	_	
Fund Balance					
Restricted	-	462,086		273,146	
Total fund balance	-	462,086		273,146	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	462,086	\$	273,146	

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021		2020
			as restated
REVENUES			
Measure M	\$	208,620	\$ 198,396
Interest income		2,029	5,482
Unrealized gain on investments		(1,909)	938
Total revenues		208,740	204,816
EXPENDITURES			
Annual Pavement Rehabilitation		19,800	150,000
Total expenditures		19,800	150,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures		188,940	54,816
Fund balance at beginning of year, as restated		273,146	218,330
Fund balance at end of year	\$	462,086	\$ 273,146

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

		_		2021		
					Variance	
Project			Metro		Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name		Budget	 Actual	 (Unfavorable)	 Actual
						 as restated
705	Annual Pavement					
	Rehabilitation	\$	200,000	\$ 19,800	\$ 180,200	\$ 150,000
	Total expenditures	\$	200,000	\$ 19,800	\$ 180,200	\$ 150,000

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date Acquired		Description			Balance 7/1/2020	 Additions		Deletions		Balance 6/30/2021	
	None			\$_	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	
			Total	\$_	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$_	-	

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

## **CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS** TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

## BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	 2021		2020
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$ -	\$	
Total assets	\$ -	\$ _	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$	-
Total liabilities	 -		
Fund Balance			
Restricted	 -		-
Total fund balance	 -		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ -	\$	_

## TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES		
TDA 3	\$ -	\$ 3,598
Interest income		52
Total revenues	_	3,650
EXPENDITURES		
Removal/Replacement/Grinding Existing Sidewalk Project	-	3,650
Total expenditures	_	3,650
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$ 

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Project Description		Program Year	_	Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations None		2020-21	\$		\$ 	\$	_	
	Total		\$	_	\$ 	=	-	
Fund balance at beginning	of the yea	r				-	-	
Fund balance at end of yea	r					\$	-	

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

#### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

#### Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

#### Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

#### Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2021 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

#### Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2021:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

#### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

# NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

#### NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

## NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

## NOTE 8 – PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE – PALRF

The City had several Proposition A fund exchanges with the following Cities during fiscal year ended June 30, 2021:

a. On July 13, 2020, the City entered into an agreement with the City of La Habra Heights to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$80,000 of the City of La Habra Heights' Proposition A Local Return Funds on October 13, 2020 and assigned \$60,000 of its General Funds to the City of La Habra Heights on October 6, 2020.

#### NOTE 8 – PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE - PALRF (Continued)

b. On August 17, 2020, the City entered into an agreement with the City of La Verne to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$280,000 of the City of La Verne's Proposition A Local Return Funds on November 19, 2020 and assigned \$210,000 of its General Funds to the City of La Verne on November 5, 2020.

Likewise, the City had several Proposition A fund exchanges with the following cities during fiscal year ended June 30, 2020:

- a. On May 13, 2019, the City entered into an agreement with the City of La Habra Heights to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$80,000 of the City of La Habra Heights' Proposition A Local Return Funds on October 23, 2019 and assigned \$60,000 of its General Funds to the City of La Habra Heights on September 13, 2019.
- b. On October 22, 2019, the City entered into an agreement with the City of La Verne to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$325,000 of the City of La Verne's Proposition A Local Return Funds on December 31, 2019 and assigned \$243,750 of its General Funds to the City of La Verne on December 4, 2019.
- c. On January 1, 2020, the City entered into an agreement with the City of Walnut to exchange \$.75 (or 75 cents) of General Fund for every \$1 of Proposition A Local Return Funds. Accordingly, the City received \$101,000 of the City of Walnut's Proposition A Local Return Funds on January 27, 2020 and assigned \$75,750 of its General Funds to the City of Walnut on December 4, 2019.

#### NOTE 9 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

There was no project generated revenue for PALRF for the year ended June 30, 2021 However, project generated revenue for the year ended June 30, 2020 amounted to \$62. The revenue was from the sale of bus passes to the public.

#### NOTE 10 – RESTATEMENT OF MRLRF'S 2020 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2020 financial statements of MRLRF were restated to report for certain expenditures for the City's Project Code 705, Street Overlays for Pavement Management Plan, related to prior fiscal year that were not previously reported. The City received the Metro budget approval of \$184,397 for the project in fiscal year 2019-20.

	Due to		
	MMLRF	Expenditures	Fund Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 773,413
Adjustment	73,040	73,040	(73,040)
Balance, as restated	\$ 73,040	\$ 73,040	\$ 700,373

#### NOTE 11 – RESTATEMENT OF MMLRF'S 2020 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2020 financial statements of MMLRF were restated to report for certain refunds for the City's Project Code 705, Annual Pavement Rehabilitation, related to prior fiscal year that were not previously reported.

	Due from		
	MRLRF	Expenditures	Fund Balance
Balance, as previously reported	\$ -	\$ 223,040	\$ 200,106
Adjustment	73,040	(73,040)	73,040
Balance, as restated	\$ 73,040	\$ 150,000	\$ 273,146

#### NOTE 12 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2021	2020
FY 2019-20 allocation	\$ 10,582	\$ 10,582
FY 2020-21 allocation	8,205	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 18,787	\$ 10,582

#### NOTE 13 – IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materially affect the June 30, 2021 Funds' financial statements.

#### **NOTE 14 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 10, 2021, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

### Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 10, 2021.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis of designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon & Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 10, 2021

**COMPLIANCE SECTION** 



U.S. BANK TOWER 633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 (213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE (213) 736-6692 FAX www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

#### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

#### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Hawaiian Gardens, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

#### **Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3**

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.





#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A *deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Simpon E Simpon

Los Angeles, California December 10, 2021

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Compliance Requirement		Compli		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
Δ Ρι	oposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	Yes	No	N/A		
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.	X			None	
2.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account.	X			None	
3.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.	Х			None	
4.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
6.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	Х			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.			X	None	
10.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	Х			None	
11.	Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.	X			None	
12.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	

	<b>Compliance Requirement</b>		Compli	iance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	oposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
13.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.			X	None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.	X			None	
16.	Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.	Х			None	
17.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
18.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
19.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
			No	N/A		
<b>B.</b> M	easure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	
11.	Where Measure R funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	Х			None	

	<b>Compliance Requirement</b>		Complia	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	
15.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In (	Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
			No	N/A		
C. Mo	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	Х			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	
11.	Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

	<b>Compliance Requirement</b>		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. Measure M Local Return Fund						
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	<b>Compliance Requirement</b>		Complia	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
<b>D.</b> ′	D. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.			X	None	

# **CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS** SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

June 30, 2021

No findings were noted.

**EXIT CONFERENCE** 

## CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2021

An exit conference was held on December 16, 2021 with the City of Hawaiian Gardens. Those in attendance were:

#### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Patrick Zhang, Auditor

### City's Representative:

Linda Hollinsworth, Finance Director/Treasurer

#### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Linda Hollinsworth, Finance Director/Treasurer

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF HAWAIIAN GARDENS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, for the City of Hawaiian Gardens and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,

Name

Title

Date