CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	7 8
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	15 16 17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	19 20 21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	30

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	32
Compliance Matrix	34
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	39
EXIT CONFERENCE	40







BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Manhattan Beach, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Manhattan Beach, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Manhattan Beach, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated December 6, 2021, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpon & Simpon

December 6, 2021

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

			2020		
ASSETS					
Cash and investments	\$	169,545	\$	87,085	
Prepaid items		-		3,390	
Due from City of Lancaster (Note 10)		268,750		-	
Total assets	\$	438,295	\$	90,475	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE					
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	3,698	\$	3,086	
Total liabilities		3,698	_	3,086	
Fund Balance					
Restricted		434,597		87,389	
Total fund balance		434,597	_	87,389	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	438,295	\$	90,475	

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021			2020
REVENUES				
Proposition A	\$	722,755	\$	698,362
Interest income		1,462		341
Unrealized gain on investment		923		322
Project generated revenue (Note 8)		1,427		6,216
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 9)		-		19,680
Propositon A fund exchange with other cities (Note 10)		268,750		273,973
Total revenues		995,317		998,894
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects funded by PALRF		648,109		911,505
Various projects funded by bond proceeds from		,		,
Pension Obligation Bonds		494,695		_
Total expenditures		1,142,804		911,505
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(147,487)		87,389
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES				
Bond proceeds from Pension Obligation Bonds (Note 11)		494,695		-
Total other financing sources		494,695		-
Excess of revenues over expenditures and other financing sources		347,208		87,389
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	87,389		<u>-</u>
Fund balance at end of year	\$_	434,597	\$	87,389

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

	_					
					Variance	
Project		Metro			Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	((Unfavorable)	Actual
107	Dial-A-Ride \$	875,987	\$ 648,1	09 \$	227,878 \$	866,460
250-01	MTA Bus Subsidy	-		-	-	4,450
140-01	Recreation Trips	-			<u>-</u>	40,595
	Total expenditures \$_	875,987	648,1	09 \$	227,878	911,505
	_			•		
	PERS Net Pension Liability (Note 11)		494,6	95_		_
	Total expenditures funded by bond					
	proceeds from Pension Obligation Bonds		494,6	95_		-
	Total expenditures funded by PALRF					
	and Pension Obligation Bonds		\$ 1,142,8	04	\$	911,505

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2021
	None		\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$
			Total \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

		2021		2020		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	-	\$	4,974,197		
Due from Metro (Note 12)		5,776,606		758,944		
Total assets	\$	5,776,606	\$	5,733,141		
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCE	CES,					
AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	1,224,764	\$	27,363		
Accrued liabilites		3,228		32,513		
Retention payable		106,793		-		
Unearned revenue		-		101,939		
Due to General fund		352,401		-		
Total liabilities	_	1,687,186	_	161,815		
Deferred inflows of resources						
Unavailable revenue (Note 13)		3,393,297		758,944		
Total deferred inflows of resources	_	3,393,297		758,944		
Fund Balance						
Restricted - Sepulveda Blvd Bridge Widening Project (Note	16)	-		2,246,509		
Restricted - others	Ź	696,123		2,565,873		
Total fund balance	_	696,123		4,812,382		
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and						
fund balance	\$	5,776,606	\$	5,733,141		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	 2021	2020		
REVENUES	 _			
Proposition C	\$ 599,499 \$	579,293		
Interest income	43,931	79,459		
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment	(61,020)	66,900		
Measure R South Bay Highway Grant (Note 14)	169,903	1,506,510		
Project Reimbursement from Caltrans (Note 15)	2,391,135	_		
Total revenues	 3,143,448	2,232,162		
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects funded by PCLRF	2,096,138	303,755		
Various projects funded by other grants	 5,163,569	1,065,248		
Total expenditures	 7,259,707	1,369,003		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(4,116,259)	863,159		
Fund balance at beginning of year	 4,812,382	3,949,223		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 696,123 \$	4,812,382		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

		•					Variance		
Project			Metro				Favorable		2020
Code	Project Name		Budget	_	Actual	(Unfavorable)		Actual
302	Dual Left-Turns on MBB at Sepulveda	\$	193,119	\$	31,821	\$	161,298	\$	-
302	Sepulveda Intersection Improvements		985,440		-		985,440		-
380	Direct Engineering Charges		19,233		19,233		-		-
705	Street Resurfacing: Arterial and								
	Collector Roadway Project		1,520,361		706,672		813,689		155,994
780	Direct Engineering Charges		173,099		173,099		-		-
781	Sepulveda Blvd Bridge Widening		2,586,881		1,165,313		1,421,568		-
440-01	Marine Street Resurfacing		-		-		-		1,275
450-08	Dual Left-Turns for Eastbound and								
	Westbound		-		-		-		37,687
440-03	Street Resurfacing: Liberty Village		-		-		-		77,600
480-01	Proposition C Administration		-	_				_	31,199
	Total expenditures funded by PCLRF	\$	5,478,133	=	2,096,138	\$	3,381,995	_	303,755
	Protected Left-Turn Lanes: MBB at								
	Peck Ave Measure R South Bay								
	Highway Grant				1,970,863				-
	Capital Reserve for Sepulveda Blvd								
	Bridge Widening Project - MTA Call								
	for Projects Grant				3,192,706	_			1,065,248
	Total expenditures funded by								
	other grants				5,163,569	-		_	1,065,248
	Total expenditures funded by PCLRF								
	and other grants			\$	7,259,707	=		\$	1,369,003

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/2020	 Additions	Deletions	6/30/2021
	None		\$	-	\$ _	\$ -	\$ -
			Total \$	_	\$ _	\$ -	\$ -

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	2021			2020		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	2,030,621	\$	1,593,248		
Due from CalRecycle		-		38,000		
Due from General Fund		1,515		5,859		
Total assets	\$	2,032,136	\$	1,637,107		
LIABILITIES, DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCE	CES,					
AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	52,792	\$	-		
Total liabilities		52,792	_			
Deferred inflows of resources						
Unavailable revenue		-		38,000		
Total deferred inflows of resources		-	_	38,000		
Fund Balance						
Restricted		1,979,344		1,599,107		
Total fund balance	_	1,979,344	_	1,599,107		
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and						
fund balance	\$	2,032,136	\$_	1,637,107		

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2020		
REVENUES		_	_	
Measure R	\$	450,247 \$	433,848	
Interest income		24,089	28,382	
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment		(13,978)	24,484	
CalRecycle Grant		38,000		
Total revenues		498,358	486,714	
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects		118,121	30,000	
Total expenditures		118,121	30,000	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		380,237	456,714	
Fund balance at beginning of year		1,599,107	1,142,393	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,979,344 \$	1,599,107	

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

					2021			
							Variance	
Project			Metro				Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	J)	Jnfavorable)	Actual
380	Direct Engineering Charges	\$	3,191	\$	-	\$	3,191 \$	-
705	Annual Street Resurfacing		400,000		-		400,000	-
710	Protected Left-Turn Lane: Manhattan Beach		545,000		104,383		440,617	-
780	Direct Engineering Charges		2,394		13,738		(11,344)	-
815	Cycle 10 State		35,000		-		35,000	-
816	Rosecrans Bike Lane Improvements		240,000		-		240,000	-
820	Aviation (West-side) and 33rd Sidewalk		160,000		-		160,000	-
880	Direct Engineering Charges		2,394		-		2,394	-
1.05	Street Resurfacing: Liberty Village		-	_	-	_		30,000
	Total expenditures	\$ <u></u>	1,387,979	\$	118,121	\$_	1,269,858 \$	30,000

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date		Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions		6/30/2021
May 2013	Paratransit Bus 22 - Passenger CNG \$	140,931	\$ -	\$ -	\$	140,931
July 2013	Braun Vista Ford 7 - Passenger Van	71,478	-	-		71,478
Oct 2013	Paratransit Bus 22 - Passenger CNG	112,760	-	-		112,760
Oct 2015	Turtletop Bus	68,955	-	_	_	68,955
	Total \$	394,124	\$ -	\$ -	\$	394,124

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	2021			2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	1,166,797	\$	897,255
Due from Metro (Note 12)		90,771		-
Total assets	\$	1,257,568	\$	897,255
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	96,661	\$	-
Retention payable		12,228		-
Total liabilities		108,889	_	
Deferred inflows of resources				
Unavailable revenue (Note 13)		90,771		-
Total deferred inflows of resources		90,771		
Fund Balance				
Restricted		1,057,908		897,255
Total fund balance		1,057,908		897,255
Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources and				
fund balance	\$	1,257,568	\$	897,255

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES	 	
Measure M	\$ 510,147 \$	488,664
Interest income	14,346	14,135
Unrealized gain (loss) on investment	 (4,774)	12,966
Total revenues	 519,719	515,765
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects funded by MMLRF	268,295	38,890
MB Automated Traffic Sync funded by Measure M		
Subregional Programs Grant	 90,771	_
Total expenditures	 359,066	38,890
Excess of revenues over expenditures	160,653	476,875
Fund balance at beginning of year	 897,255	420,380
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,057,908 \$	897,255

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

		_			2021				
							Variance		
Project			Metro				Favorable	2	020
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	<u>U</u>	Unfavorable)	A	ctual
302	Traffic Signal Pole - 15th & Highland	\$	255,586	\$	-	\$	255,586 \$	2	24,414
302	Replacement of existing traffic signal poles		255,586		238,396		17,190		-
380	Direct Engineering Charges		5,585		10,439		(4,854)		-
730	Rowell Ave. Sidewalk Connection (Curtis & 1st)		840,000		5,722		834,278		-
820	ADA Transition Plan with Public Right-of-Way		21,431		-		21,431		7,994
880	Direct Engineering Charges		2,394		13,738		(11,344)		-
8.10	Fund Administration (20% cap)		-		-				6,482
	Total expenditures funded by MMLRF	\$	1,380,582	-	268,295	\$	1,112,287		38,890
	MB Automated Traffic Sync				90,771				_
	Total expenditures funded by Measure M					_	•		
	Subregional Programs Grant			_	90,771	_			
	Total expenditures funded by MMLRF and								
	Measure M Subregional Programs Grant			\$_	359,066	=	\$	3	38,890

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date				Balance						Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2020			Deletions	_	6/30/2021
	None		\$		\$	-	\$	_	\$	_
			Total \$		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234) BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2	021	2020
ASSETS			
Cash and investment	\$	<u> </u>	_
Total assets	\$	\$	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	- \$	_
Total liabilities			
Fund Balance			
Restricted			
Total fund balance			
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	<u> </u>	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2021	_	2020
REVENUES				
TDA 3	\$_	46,033	\$	
Total revenues	_	46,033	_	
EXPENDITURES				
Citywide Concrete Repair Project	_	46,033	_	
Total expenditures	_	46,033	-	
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-		-
Fund balance at beginning of year	_		-	
Fund balance at end of year	\$_		\$	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Program				Unexpended	Project
Project Description	Year	Allocations]	Expenditures	Allocations	Status
Local allocations						
Citywide Concrete Repair Project	2021	\$ 46,033	\$	46,033	\$ -	On-going
	Total	\$ 46,033	\$	46,033		
Fund balance at beginning of year						
Fund balance at end of year					\$ _	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statement of revenue, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally presents increases (revenue and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2021 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No.54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classifications as of June 30, 2020:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made to fiscal year 2020 amounts in order to conform to the fiscal year 2021 presentation. Such reclassifications had no effect on the previous reported change in fund balance.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 3 - PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 8 - PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenue under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	_	2021	2020
Dial-A-Ride fares	\$	1,427	\$ 5,303
Bus pass sales	_		913
Total	\$	1,427	\$ 6,216

NOTE 9 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 of \$0 and \$19,680, respectively, represents additional funds received from Metro for participating in the Voluntary National Transit Database (NTD) Program. The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant was recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 10 – PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE

On July 28, 2020, the City of Lancaster entered into an agreement with the City to exchange \$268,750 of the City of Lancaster's Proposition A Local Return funds for \$188,125 of the City's General Fund monies, or \$0.70 of General Fund monies given for each \$1.00 of Proposition A Local Return monies received. The agreement was only applicable to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. This amount was reported as fund exchange with other cities and due from City of Lancaster as of June 30, 2021. Subsequently, the City received the funds on July 20, 2021.

On January 8, 2020, the City of Maywood entered into an agreement with the City to exchange \$273,973 of the City of Maywood's Proposition A Local Return funds for \$200,000 of the City's General Fund monies, or \$0.73 of General Fund monies given for each \$1.00 of Proposition A Local Return monies received. The agreement was only applicable to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2020.

NOTE 11 – BOND PROCEEDS FROM PENSION OBLIGATION BONDS - PALRF

On December 15, 2020, the City authorized the issuance of its City of Manhattan Beach 2021 Taxable Pension Obligation Bonds in a maximum principal amount not to exceed the lesser of (a) \$92.1 million or (b) the Unfunded Liability as calculated by PERS or other actuary, together with the costs of issuing the Bonds, to pay all or a portion of the unfunded accrued actuarial liability of the City with respect to pension benefits. On May 12, 2021, the City received total Bond proceeds of \$91,275,000 including cost of issuance and underwriter's discount. The unfunded liability as calculated by California Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) pertaining to Dial-A-Ride personnel was \$494,695. This amount was reported as expenditures funded bond proceeds from Pension Obligation Bonds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 12 – DUE FROM METRO

The due from Metro balance as of June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

PCLRF

		2021		2020
Measure R South Bay Highway Grant	\$	1,874,478	\$	-
MTA Call For Projects Grant		3,447,151		308,711
Rosecrans Project Grant		350,238		350,238
Sepulveda LT Lane Grant		104,739		99,995
Total due from Metro	\$	5,776,606	\$	758,944
MMLRF	=	2021	_	2020
Measure M Subregional Programs Grant	\$	90,771	\$ _	
Total due from Metro	\$	90,771	\$	

NOTE 13 – DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES

The deferred inflows of resources as of June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

PCLRF

Measure R South Bay Highway Grant	\$	1,874,478	\$ -
MTA Call For Projects Grant		1,067,184	308,711
Rosecrans Project Grant		350,238	350,238
Sepulveda LT Lane Grant	_	101,397	 99,995
Total deferred inflows of resources – unavailable revenue	\$ _	3,393,297	\$ 758,944
MMLRF		2021	 2020
Measure M Subregional Programs Grant	\$_	90,771	\$
Total deferred inflows of resources – unavailable revenue	\$	90,771	\$ _

2021

2020

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 14 - MEASURE R SOUTH BAY HIGHWAY GRANT - PCLRF

On January 30, 2015, Metro and the City entered into a Funding Agreement for Sepulveda Blvd at Manhattan Beach Blvd Intersection Improvements (NB, WB, EB Left Turn Lanes and SB Right Turn Lane), Metro Project ID# MR312.35 and FTIP# LA0G1044. This project is eligible for funding under Line 33, I-405, I-110, I-105, and SR-91 Ramp and Interchange Improvements (South Bay), of the Measure R Expenditure Plan. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, the City received \$30,419 and \$19,741, respectively.

On July 31, 2014, Metro and the City entered into a Funding Agreement for Seismic Retrofit of Widened Bridge 53-62 form Sepulveda Blvd from 33rd Street to South of Rosecrans Ave, Metro Project ID# MR312.28 and FTIP# LA0C8080. This project is eligible for funding under Line 33, Interstate I-110, I-105, and SR-91 Ramp and Interchange Improvements (South Bay), of the Measure R Expenditure Plan. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, the City received \$139,484 and \$1,486,769, respectively.

NOTE 15 – PROJECT REIMBURSEMENT FROM CALTRANS – PCLRF

On February 27, 2017, Caltrans and the City entered into a Cooperative Agreement for the Sepulveda Bridge and Roady widening on Route 01 between 33rd Street and Rosecrans Avenue, Agreement 07-5044 and Project No. 0700020076. For the fiscal years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, the City received \$2,391,135 and \$0, respectively.

NOTE 16 – CAPITAL RESERVE

On June 20, 2007, Metro and the City entered into capital reserve agreements to establish a capital reserve account (Account) for the Sepulveda Boulevard Bridge Widening projects. The original period of performance for the agreement is from June 20, 2007 to June 30, 2013. On September 16, 2020, Metro approved the extension of the capital reserve to June 30, 2025 with an approved amount of \$2,561,806.

The Account will be funded with the Proposition C Local Return Funds allocated to the City. For the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, the capital reserve amount for the PCLRF is as follows:

Capital reserve at 6/30/2019, as adjusted	\$ 1,824,988
Reimbursement from Measure R Southbay Highway Grant	1,486,769
Capital expenditures during the year	(1,065,248)
Capital reserve at 6/30/2020, as adjusted	2,246,509
Reimbursement from Measure R Southbay Highway Grant	139,484
Reimbursement from MTA Call for Projects Grant	2,391,135
Capital expenditures during the year	(6,328,882)
Capital reserve at 6/30/2021	\$ (1,551,754)

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 16 – CAPITAL RESERVE (Continued)

As of June 30, 2021, the capital reserve account has a deficit balance of \$1,551,754 covered primarily by the Restricted – others fund balance. Therefore, the restricted fund balance for the capital reserve is \$0. Every year, the City needs to add \$315,297 to meet the approved reserve amount of \$2,561,806. The City also has pending reimbursements from Measure R South Bay Highway and MTA Call for Project grants in the amount of \$5,321,629.

As of June 30, 2020, the capital reserve account has a balance of \$2,246,509. Every year City needs to add \$315,297 to meet the approved reserve amount of \$2,561,806. The City also has pending reimbursements from MTA Call for Project Grant in the amount of \$308,711.

NOTE 17 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	2021	2020
FY 2019-20 allocation	\$ 26,000	\$ -
FY 2020-21 allocation	20,033	
Total payments requested	\$ 46,033	\$ -

NOTE 18 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2021, and 2020, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2	021	 2020
FY 2019-20 allocation	\$	-	\$ 26,000
FY 2020-21 allocation			 -
Available reserve balance	\$	_	\$ 26,000

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 19 – IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materiality after the June 30, 2021 Funds' financial statements.

NOTE 20 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2021 through December 6, 2021, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.





Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Manhattan Beach, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 6, 2021.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

December 6, 2021





U.S. BANK TOWER
633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS
ANGELES, CA 90071
(213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE
(213) 736-6692 FAX
www.simpsonandsimpsoncoas.com

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS

BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Manhattan Beach, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Manhattan Beach, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.



Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Guidelines. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weakness. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California December 6, 2021

Simpon & Simpon

_

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2021

Compliance Requirement		In C	Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
	oposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund					
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.	X			None	
2.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account.	X			None	
3.	Fund were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	There were no administrative expenses in FY20/21.
6.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.			X	None	There were no expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget in FY20/21.
7.	Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None	
10.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
11.	Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned, or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement	In C	Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
12.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	There were no Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements in FY20/21.
13.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY20/21.
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.	X			None	
16.	Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.	X			None	
17.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
18.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
19.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	There were no administrative expenses in FY20/21.
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursed by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.	X			None	
11.	Where Measure R funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	There were no Measure R funds given, loaned, or exchanged in FY20/21.
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no capital reserve funds in FY20/21.
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY2020/21.
15.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY20/21.
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In (In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. M	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	There were no administrative expenses in FY20/21.
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursed by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	There were no funds expended were reimbursed by other grants or fund sources in FY20/21.
11.	Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	There were no Measure M funds given, loaned, or exchanged in FY20/21.
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no capital reserve funds in FY20/21.
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY20/21.
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY20/21.
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
D. 1	Fransportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2021

No findings were noted.



PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUNDS AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE

June 30, 2021

An exit conference was held on December 3, 2021 with the City of Manhattan Beach. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Mark Frishwasser, Audit Senior

City's Representatives:

Libby Bretthauer, Financial Service Manager Julie Bondarchuk, Financial Controller

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant deficiency and no non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Libby Bretthauer, Financial Services Manager Julie Bondarchuk, Financial Controller Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF MANHATTAN BEACH ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 for the City of Manhattan Beach and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly y	ours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	