CITY OF PARAMOUNT ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	3 4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	11 12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	15 16
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements:	10
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	19 20
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27
1	<i></i>

CITY OF PARAMOUNT TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29
Compliance Matrix	31
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	38
EXIT CONFERENCE	39







CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS

BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Paramount, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Paramount, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Paramount, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Paramount, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 4, 2021, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpon & Simpon

November 4, 2021

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

			2020	
ASSETS				
Cash and inv	vestments	\$	1,435,760 \$	1,147,071
Interest recei	ivable		1,034	3,269
	Total assets	\$	1,436,794 \$	1,150,340
LIABILITIE	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Accounts pay	yable	\$	122,866 \$	176,100
	Total liabilities	_	122,866	176,100
Fund Balan	ce			
Restricted			1,313,928	974,240
	Total fund balance	_	1,313,928	974,240
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,436,794 \$	1,150,340

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	_	2021	2020
REVENUES			
Proposition A	\$	1,116,606	\$ 1,085,752
Interest income		5,748	18,264
Project generated revenue (Note 8)		1,153	3,647
Total revenues	_	1,123,507	 1,107,663
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects		783,819	899,859
Total expenditures	_	783,819	 899,859
Excess of revenues over expenditures		339,688	207,804
Fund balances at beginning of year	_	974,240	 766,436
Fund balances at end of year	\$ _	1,313,928	\$ 974,240

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

	_				
				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
105	Long Beach Transit Transportation Services \$	510,000 \$	424,765	\$ 85,235 \$	474,022
135	Subsidized Taxi Services Plus Taxi Meal				
	Delivery Services and Vaccine Transport	45,000	32,345	12,655	78,145
150	Transit Security - Bus Stops and MTA				
	Railroad ROW Patrol	110,000	97,192	12,808	98,936
155	Recreation Transit	-	-	-	4,400
170	Bus Shelter	300,000	-	300,000	-
170	Bus Stop Trash Cans	30,000	22,475	7,525	40,009
170	Bus Stop Maintenance	25,000	23,508	1,492	23,418
180	Eco-Rapid Transit (previously Orange Line				
	Feasibility Study (IV)	20,000	18,898	1,102	18,898
205	Bus Stop Design	41,000	34,000	7,000	12,055
610	Prop A Administration	150,000	130,636	19,364	149,976
	Total expenditures \$	1,231,000 \$	783,819	\$ 447,181 \$	899,859

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date			Balance				Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions		6/30/2021
2011	2010 Starcraft Allstar 25' - Unit 242	\$	34,311	\$ -	\$ - \$	§ _	34,311
		Total \$	34,311	\$ -	\$ - 5	\$	34,311

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

		2021			2020		
ASSETS				_			
Cash and inv	estments	\$	569,006	\$	844,708		
Interest recei	vable		437		2,299		
	Total assets	\$	569,443	\$	847,007		
LIABILITIE Liabilities	S AND FUND BALANCE						
Accounts pay	yable	\$	87,132	\$	24,594		
1 2	Total liabilities		87,132	-	24,594		
Fund Balanc	ce						
Restricted			482,311		822,413		
	Total fund balance		482,311	_	822,413		
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	569,443	\$_	847,007		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021			2020		
REVENUES	_					
Proposition C	\$	926,185	\$	900,634		
Interest income		4,362		10,762		
Interstate 710 South Early Action Projects Grant (Note 9)	_	1,655	_	-		
Total revenues	_	932,202		911,396		
EXPENDITURES						
Various projects	_	1,272,304		773,422		
Total expenditures	_	1,272,304		773,422		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(340,102)		137,974		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	822,413		684,439		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	482,311	\$	822,413		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

				2021			
		•				Variance	
Project		Met	ro]	Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name	Budg	get	 Actual	<u>(U</u>	nfavorable)	Actual
301	Traffic Signal Designs	\$ 100,	000	\$ 28,164	\$	71,836 \$	-
350	Intelligent Transit System Study -91/605						
	Corridor Phase II	24,	000	20,000		4,000	20,000
380	Transportation Program Engineering	25,	000	884		24,116	-
620	Prop C Administration	125,	000	107,002		17,998	124,298
705	Arterial Street Resurfacing (9030)	1,494,	000	1,049,052		444,948	105,000
705	Vermont Street Improvement (9137)	149,	000	-		149,000	-
710	Rosecrans Bridge Repair	80,	000	42,202		37,798	3,245
780	Corridor Study (Phase V)	30,	000	25,000		5,000	25,000
440-34	Arterial Street Resurfacing - 2019 (9930)		-	-		-	453,879
470-01	Pavement Management System Update		-	-		-	42,000
	Total expenditures	\$ 2,027,	000	\$ 1,272,304	\$	754,696 \$	773,422

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date				Balance					Balance
Acquired		Description		 7/1/2020	_	Additions	_	Deletions	 6/30/2021
	None		9	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -
			Total S	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	2021			2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	929,287	\$	1,042,831
Interest receivable		668		2,807
Total assets	\$	929,955	\$	1,045,638
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	208	\$	247,411
Total liabilities		208		247,411
Fund Balance				
Restricted		929,747		798,227
Total fund balance		929,747	_	798,227
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	929,955	\$	1,045,638

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021		2020
REVENUES			
Measure R	\$ 695,601	\$	674,509
Interest income	4,104	_	13,687
Total revenues	699,705		688,196
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	568,185		475,330
Total expenditures	568,185		475,330
Excess of revenues over expenditures	131,520		212,866
Fund balance at beginning of year	798,227		585,361
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 929,747	\$_	798,227

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

				_					
						Variance			
Project			Metro				Favorable		2020
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	_	(Unfavorable)		Actual
630	Administration \$	5	200,000	\$	74,973	\$	125,027	\$	75,213
705	Neighborhood Street Resurfacing - 2021		870,000		210,000		660,000		-
705	Neighborhood Street Resurfacing - 2020 (9031)		732,000		277,820		454,180		322,712
715	Local Road Safety Plan		10,000		5,392		4,608		-
1.05	Neighborhood Street Resurfacing - 2019 (9931)		-		-				77,405
	Total expenditures \$	_	1,812,000	\$	568,185	\$	1,243,815	\$	475,330

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2021
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ _

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	2021		_	2020		
ASSETS				_		
Cash and investments	\$	879,072	\$	704,701		
Interest receivable		611		1,825		
Total assets	\$	879,683	\$	706,526		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities						
Accounts payable	\$	7,830	\$	4,172		
Total liabilities		7,830	_	4,172		
Fund Balance						
Restricted		871,853		702,354		
Total fund balance		871,853	_	702,354		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	879,683	\$	706,526		

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021			2020		
REVENUES	_					
Measure M	\$	788,142	\$	758,685		
Interest income		3,753		5,502		
Total revenues	_	791,895	_	764,187		
EXPENDITURES						
Various projects		622,396		417,866		
Total expenditures	_	622,396		417,866		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		169,499		346,321		
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	702,354		356,033		
Fund balance at end of year	\$ _	871,853	\$_	702,354		

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

Project			Metro			Variance Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name		Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
640	Fund Administration	\$	200,000	\$	64,834	\$ 135,166 \$	38,217
705	Neighborhood Street Resurfacing - 2021		690,000		-	690,000	-
705	Neighborhood Street Resurfacing - 2020 (9031)		480,000		400,025	79,975	-
715	West Santa Ana Bikeway Phase 2 (9932)		305,000		157,537	147,463	-
840	West Santa Ana Bikeway Phase 4		234,000		-	234,000	-
1.05	Street resurfacing on Paramount Blvd. from						
	Rosecrans Ave. to the 105 Freeway. (9930)		-		-	-	122,788
1.05	Street resurfacing in neighborhood north of						
	Somerset, east of Orange, Grant and Golden.						
	(9931)		-		-	-	247,953
1.15	Extension of the bike path from Somerset to						
	Rosecrans per ATP grant application. (9932)		-		-	-	8,908
	Total expenditures	s \$	1,909,000	\$_	622,396	\$ 1,286,604 \$	417,866

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date				Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2021
	None			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234) BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	 2021		2020
ASSETS			_
Due from Metro	\$ 2,581	\$	3,367
Total assets	\$ 2,581	\$	3,367
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Due to other funds	\$ 2,581	\$	3,367
Total liabilities	 2,581	_	3,367
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-		-
Total fund balance	-	_	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 2,581	\$	3,367

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES		
TDA 3	\$\$	40,415
Total revenues	30,939	40,415
EXPENDITURES Annual Sidewalk Project Total expenditures	30,939 30,939	40,415
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		

Fund balance at end of year

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

		Program						Unexpended	Project
Project Description		Year	_	Allocations]	Expenditures	_	Allocations	Status
Local allocations									
Annual Sidewalk Repair		2020-2021	\$	30,939	\$	30,939	\$	-	Completed
	Total		\$	30,939	\$	30,939			
Fund balance at beginning of	year								
Fund balance at end of year							\$	_	

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2021 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No.54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2021:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 3 - PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 8 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE

Project generated revenues for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

PALRF:	2021	2020		
Taxi vouchers	\$ 1,091	\$ 3,647		
College transit	62	-		
Total revenue generated	\$ 1,153	\$ 3,647		

NOTE 9 – INTERSTATE 710 SOUTH EARLY ACTION PROJECTS GRANT

The Interstate 710 South Early Action Projects Grant amounting to \$1,655 for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021, represents reimbursement funds received from Metro for review of the I-710 Corridor Project Draft Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement. The revenue was recorded under PCLRF.

NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	 2021	2020
FY 2019-20 allocation	\$ -	\$ 40,415
FY 2020-21 allocation	 30,939	-
Total payment requested	\$ 30,939	\$ 40,415

NOTE 11 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2021, and 2020, the City has no funds on reserve as follows:

	 2021		2020
FY 2019-20 allocation	\$ -	\$	-
FY 2020-21 allocation		_	
Available reserve balance	\$ -	\$	-

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 12 – IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's Management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materially affect the June 30, 2021 Funds' financial statement.

NOTE 13 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 4, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.





Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Paramount, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Paramount, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 4, 2021.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 4, 2021





U.S. BANK TOWER
633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS
ANGELES, CA 90071
(213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE
(213) 736-6692 FAX
www.simpsonandsimpsoncpas.com

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA
MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Paramount, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Paramount, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.



Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

November 4, 2021

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.	X			None	
2.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account	X			None	
3.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
6.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None	
10.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
11.	Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	Prop A and Prop C funds were not given, loaned, or exchanged in FY 20/21.
12.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects and elements.			X	None	ITS Certification was not required for Prop A and Prop C funds in FY 20/21.

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	oposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
13.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.			X	None	No capital reserve fund established for Prop A and Prop C funds in FY 20/21.
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.	X			None	
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY 20/21.
16.	Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.	X			None	
17.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
18.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
19.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		•
B. M	leasure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form -One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Expenditure Report (Form -Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.	X			None	
11.	Where Measure R funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	Measure R funds were not given, loaned or exchanged in FY 20/21.
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	No capital reserve fund established for Measure R Fund in FY 20/21.
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit funded by Measure R in FY 20/21.
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY 20/21.
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In (Compli		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		_
C. N.	leasure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.	X			None	
11.	Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	Measure M funds were not given, loaned or exchanged in FY 20/21.
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	No capital reserve fund established for Measure M Fund in FY 20/21.
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	

Com	Compliance Requirement		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		_
C. M	leasure M Local Return Fund					
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit funded by Measure M in FY 20/21.
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY 20/21.
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A		
D. '	Fransportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2021

No findings were noted.



PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUNDS AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2021

An exit conference was held on November 17, 2021 with the City of Paramount. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Tian Bian, Senior Auditor

City's Representative:

Clyde Alexander, Assistant Finance Director Jaime De Guzman, Jr. Senior Accountant

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies and no non-compliance issue with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Clyde Alexander, Assistant Finance Director Jaime De Guzman, Jr. Senior Accountant

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF PARAMOUNT ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 for the City of Paramount and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly y	ours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	