CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020





Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	5
Schedule of Capital Assets	6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	9
Schedule of Capital Assets	10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	12
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	13
Schedule of Capital Assets	14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	15
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance	16
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget	17
Schedule of Capital Assets	18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	19
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	20
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting	
and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements	27
performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	27

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29
Compliance Matrix	31
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	38
EXIT CONFERENCE	39







BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rolling Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Rolling Hill, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Rolling Hills, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the respective changes in their financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Rolling Hills, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 22, 2021, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California November 22, 2021

Simpson & Simpson

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

			2020	
ASSETS				
Cash and investme	ents	\$	94,322 \$	56,089
Tota	lassets	\$	94,322 \$	56,089
LIABILITIES A	ND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities				
Due to other agen	cy (Note 10)	\$	84,000 \$	-
Tota	l liabilities		84,000	-
Fund Balance				
Restricted			10,322	56,089
Tota	l fund balance		10,322	56,089
Tota	l liabilities and fund balance	\$	94,322 \$	56,089

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

AND CHANGES IN FOND BALANG

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021			2020
REVENUES				
Proposition A	\$	38,067	\$	37,636
Interest income		166		1,087
Total revenues		38,233		38,723
EXPENDITURES Fund exchange with City of Beverly Hills (Note 10) Total expenditures		84,000 84,000	. <u>–</u>	<u>-</u> -
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(45,767)		38,723
Fund balance at beginning of year		56,089		17,366
Fund balance at end of year	\$	10,322	\$	56,089

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

	_						
			Variance				
Project		Metro		Favorable	2020		
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual		
410	Exchange Prop A Funds \$	75,000 \$	84,000	\$ (9,000) \$	-		
	Total expenditures \$	75,000 \$	84,000	\$ (9,000) \$	-		

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date]	Balance					Balance
Acquired		Description			7/1/2020	_	Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2021
	None			\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
			Total S	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

		2021			2020		
ASSETS							
Cash and inv	restments	\$	72,717	\$	41,016		
	Total assets	\$	72,717	\$	41,016		
LIABILITII	ES AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities							
Due to other	agency (Note 11)	\$	65,000	\$			
	Total liabilities		65,000				
Fund Balan	ce						
Restricted			7,717		41,016		
	Total fund balance		7,717		41,016		
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	72,717	\$	41,016		

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2021	2020
REVENUES			
Proposition C	\$	31,575 \$	31,219
Interest income		126	752
Total revenues		31,701	31,971
EXPENDITURES			
Fund transfer to City of Rolling Hills Estates (Note 11)		39,000	-
Fund transfer to City of Rancho Palos Verdes (Note 11)		26,000	
Total expenditures	_	65,000	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		(33,299)	31,971
Fund balance at beginning of year	_	41,016	9,045
Fund balance at end of year	\$	7,717 \$	41,016

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

		_					
				Variance			
Project			Metro			Favorable	2020
Code	Project	Name	Budget		Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
460	Gifting Prop C Funds	\$	60,000	\$	65,000	\$ (5,000) \$	-
		Total expenditures \$	60,000	\$	65,000	\$ (5,000) \$	-

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date				Balance				Balance
Acquired	De	scription		7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions	_	6/30/2021
	None		\$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	_
		Tota	al \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	-

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

		2020		
ASSETS		_		
Cash and investments	\$	106,575	82,655	
Total assets	\$	106,575	82,655	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	9	-	
Total liabilities				
Fund Balance				
Restricted		106,575	82,655	
Total fund balance		106,575	82,655	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	106,575	82,655	

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2021		2020		
REVENUES			· ' <u></u>			
Measure R	\$	23,714	\$	23,381		
Interest income		206		1,980		
Total revenues		23,920	_	25,361		
EXPENDITURES Various projects Total expenditures	_	<u>-</u>	· <u>-</u>	<u>-</u>		
Excess of revenues over expenditures		23,920		25,361		
Fund balance at beginning of year		82,655	_	57,294		
Fund balance at end of year	\$	106,575	\$_	82,655		

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

		2021						
						Variar	nce	
Project			Metro			Favora	ble	2020
Code	Project Name		Budget	_	Actual	(Unfavor	rable)	Actual
490	Improvements	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	-
720	Circulation and Safety Improvements		-	_	-			
	Total expenditures S	\$	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date				Ba	lance					Balance
Acquired		Description		7/1	/2020	_	Additions	Deletions	_	6/30/2021
	None		<u>.</u>	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-
			Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS June 30

	 2021	 2020
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 103,249	\$ 76,213
Total assets	\$ 103,249	\$ 76,213
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$
Total liabilities	 -	 <u>-</u>
Fund Balance		
Restricted	 103,249	 76,213
Total fund balance	 103,249	 76,213
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 103,249	\$ 76,213

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021		2020	
REVENUES				
Measure M	\$ 26,869	\$	26,293	
Interest income	167		1,533	
Total revenues	27,036	_	27,826	
EXPENDITURES Various projects Total expenditures		-	<u>-</u>	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	27,036		27,826	
Fund balance at beginning of year	76,213	-	48,387	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 103,249	\$	76,213	

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
490	Improvements \$	- \$	-	\$ - \$	-
720	Circulation and Safety Improvements		_		
	Total expenditures \$	- \$	-	\$ - \$	-

See accompanying independent auditor's report.

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date					Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2020	_	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2021
	None		-	\$	_	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
			Total	\$_	_	\$	-	\$ _	\$

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234) BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2	2021	2020
ASSETS			
Cash and investment	\$	- \$	_
Total assets	\$	- \$	
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	- \$	
Total liabilities		<u> </u>	
Fund Balance			
Restricted		<u> </u>	
Total fund balance		-	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	\$	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES,

AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2	2021	2020
REVENUES			_
TDA 3	\$	- \$	
Total revenues			
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects		-	_
Total expenditures			
Excess of revenues over expenditures		-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		<u> </u>	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	<u> </u>	

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Program			Unexpended	Project
Project Description	Year	Allocations	Expenditures	Allocations	Status
Local allocations					
None	:	\$	\$\$	<u> </u>	
	Total 3	\$	\$	-	
Fund balance at beginning of year					
Fund balance at end of year			\$		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statement of revenue, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally presents increases (revenue and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2021 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No.54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classifications as of June 30, 2021:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines and Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 3 - PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 8 – TDA FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	2021	2020
FY 2020-21 allocation	\$ -	\$ -
Total payment requested	\$ -	\$ -

2021

2020

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with Transportation Development Act Article 3 (SB 821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2021, and 2020, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2021		2020
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ -	*	\$ 5,000
FY 2016-17 allocation	-	*	5,000
FY 2017-18 allocation	5,000		5,000
FY 2018-19 allocation	5,000		5,000
FY 2019-20 allocation	5,000		5,000
FY 2020-21 allocation	5,000		-
Available reserve balance	\$ 20,000	i	\$ 25,000

^{*}The City's funds reserved from FY 2015-16 allocation and from FY 2016-17 allocation lapsed as of June 30, 2021. See Finding No. 2021-001.

NOTE 10 – PROPOSITION A FUND EXCHANGE

On June 14, 2021, the City entered into an agreement with the City of Beverly Hills to exchange \$84,000 of the City's Proposition A Local Return funds for \$63,000 of the City of Beverly Hills' General funds, or \$0.75 of General funds given for each \$1.00 of Proposition A Local Return funds received. The agreement was only applicable to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. This amount was reported as fund exchange and due to other agency as of June 30, 2021. Subsequently, the City transferred the funds on July 28, 2021.

NOTE 11 – PROPOSITION C FUND TRANSFER

On June 28, 2021, the City Council authorized the transfer of its uncommitted Proposition C Local Return funds in the amounts of \$39,000 and \$26,000 to the City of Rolling Hills Estates and the City of Rancho Palos Verdes, respectively, to assist with the Silver Spur Road Resurfacing Project. These amounts were reported as fund transfer and due to other agency as of June 30, 2021. Subsequently, the City transferred the funds on July 28, 2021.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 12 – IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materiality affect the June 30, 2021 Funds' financial statements.

NOTE 13 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated events or transactions that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2021 through November 22, 2021, the date the accompanying financial statements were available to be issued, for potential recognition or disclosure in the financial statements and determined no subsequent matters require disclosure or adjustment to the accompanying financial statements.





Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rolling Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Rolling Hills, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 22, 2021.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 22, 2021

Simpson & Simpson





U.S. BANK TOWER
633 WEST 5TH STREET, SUITE 3320 LOS
ANGELES, CA 90071
(213) 736-6664 TELEPHONE
(213) 736-6692 FAX
www.simpsonandsimpsoncoas.com

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

FOUNDING PARTNERS

BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report On Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Rolling Hills, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Rolling Hills, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.



Other Matters

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is required to be reported in accordance with the Guidelines and which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding No. 2021-001. Our opinion on each local return program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 program is not modified with respect to this matter.

The City's response to the noncompliance finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations. The City's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance, described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Recommendations as Finding 2021-001 that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 22, 2021

Simpon & Simpon

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Compliance Requirement In Compliance		iance	Question ed Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.	X			None	
2.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account.	X			None	
3.	Fund were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	There were no administrative expenditures in FY2020/21.
6.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.			X	None	There were no expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget in FY2020/21.
7.	Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.			X	None	There were no Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures in FY2020/21.
10.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
11.	Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned, or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.	X			None	
12.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	There were no Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements in FY2020/21.

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2021

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance		Question ed Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	oposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
13.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.			X	None	There were no Capital reserve funds in FY2020/21.
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY2020/21.
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.	X			None	
16.	Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.			X	None	There were no road improvement projects in FY2020/21.
17.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.			X	None	There were no on-going and carryover projects in FY2020/21.
18.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
19.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement			ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		1
B. M	leasure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.
2.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	There were no administrative expenditures in FY2020/21.
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursed by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.
11.	Where Measure R funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	There were no Measure R funds given, loaned, or exchanged in FY2020/21.
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no capital reserve funds in FY2020/21.

Compliance Requirement		In (Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	B. Measure R Local Return Fund					
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY2020/21.
15.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY2020/21.
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In (Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. M	leasure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.
2.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	There were no administrative expenditures in FY2020/21.
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursed by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.
11.	Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	There were no Measure M funds given, loaned, or exchanged in FY2020/21.


Compliance Requirement		In C	Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	easure M Local Return Fund					
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no capital reserve funds in FY2020/21.
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	There was no recreational transit in FY2020/21.
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	There were no fund exchanges in FY2020/21.
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement In C		Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
D. '	Fransportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1.	Timely use of funds.		X		None	See Finding No. 2021- 001 in the Schedule of Findings and Recommendations.
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.			X	None	There were no expenditures in FY2020/21.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2021

TDAA3F: Finding No. 2021-001

Compliance Requirement	According to Metro's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the TDA Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds, General Guidelines, Funding, Lapsing, and Accounting: "TDA Article 3 local funds may be placed on reserve for up to three years (i.e. no longer than the fourth fiscal year after they were made available by Metro Board action). Agencies may accumulate three years worth of reserved TDA Article 3 local funds before being required to obligate them or return them to the TDA Article 3 fund. Any funds left on reserve by the local agency longer than three years are subject to lapse and future
	reallocation. This is a repeat finding.
Condition	As of June 30, 2021, the City had lapsed funds of \$5,000 from the June 30, 2016 allocation and \$5,000 from the June 30, 2017 allocation.
	Subsequently, the City requested an extension approval to Metro to expend the lapsed funds for both years but was not granted.
Cause	This was an oversight on the part of the City due to the recent staff turnover.
Effect	Untimely review of the funding status from the prior year allocation could result in losing the funding.
Recommendation	We recommend that the City implement internal control procedures to track the TDA Article 3 reserve balance to ensure that all TDA Article 3 funds are claimed and expended within the required timeline per the TDA Article 3 Guidelines.
Management's Response	There has been a change in finance staff. We will implement the necessary internal control procedures including an update to our Finance task calendar to include all timeline and deadline dates for all reports related to our transit funds.



PROPOSITION A, PROPOSITION C, MEASURE R, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUNDS AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2021

An exit conference was held on November 22, 2021 with the City of Rolling Hills. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Mark Frishwasser, Audit Senior

City's Representative:

Maida Alcantara, Director of Finance

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed one (1) significant control deficiency and one (1) non-compliance issue with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Maida Alcantara, Director of Finance

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF ROLLING HILLS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 for the City of Rolling Hills and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly y	yours,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	