CITY OF SAN DIMAS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020



Metro



Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	15 16 17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	19 20 21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	28
Compliance Matrix	30
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	37
EXIT CONFERENCE	38





SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Dimas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of San Dimas, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City of San Dimas, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of San Dimas, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated October 15, 2021, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 15, 2021

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30 2021

	_	2021	-	2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	1,063,040	\$	756,153
Accounts receivable	_	68,835		52,120
Total assets	\$ _	1,131,875	\$	808,273
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	11,103	\$	1,024
Total liabilities	-	11,103	•	1,024
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,120,772		807,249
Total fund balance	_	1,120,772		807,249
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,131,875	\$	808,273

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 695,834	\$ 669,426
Interest income	5,108	13,153
Project generated revenue (Note 8)		1,332
Total revenues	700,942	683,911
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	387,419	521,660
Total expenditures	387,419	521,660
Excess of revenues over expenditures	313,523	162,251
Fund balance at beginning of year	807,249	644,998
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,120,772	\$ 807,249

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2020 Actual
106	E and H Paratransit (Get About) \$	172,800 \$	139,600	\$ 33,200 \$	172,200
108	Vehicle Rental Program	2,500	-	2,500	2,500
135	Taxi Subsidy (Dial-A-Cab)	232,000	88,165	143,835	173,630
135	Bus Subsidy SR/HCAP	12,000	2,400	9,600	6,816
155	Recreation Transit	80,000	1,991	78,009	36,913
170	Maintenance of Bus Stop	17,368	16,012	1,356	14,372
170	Park and Ride Lot Maintenance	25,000	25,000	-	28,808
170	Park and Ride Lot Maintenance	40,000	18,253	21,747	-
210	Capital Purchase Van Pro Share	-	-	-	16,000
270	Park and Ride for Gold Line	250,000	29,306	220,694	-
610	Administration	115,900	66,692	49,208	70,421
	Total expenditures \$_	947,568 \$	387,419	\$ 560,149 \$	521,660

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date Acquired	Description		Balance 7/1/2020	 Additions		Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2021
1994	San Dimas Park and Ride Lot	\$	742,683	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 742,683
1996	Construction-San Dimas Park and Ride						
	Lot		150,395	-		-	150,395
1999	10 Bus Benches		4,250	-		-	4,250
2003	7 Cable Bench Cover	_	8,050	 -	_	-	 8,050
	Total	\$_	905,378	\$ _	\$	-	\$ 905,378

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2021			2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	2,405,179	\$	1,940,050
Total assets	\$ _	2,405,179	\$	1,940,050
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	3,552	\$	10,455
Total liabilities	_	3,552		10,455
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	2,401,627	,	1,929,595
Total fund balance	_	2,401,627		1,929,595
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	2,405,179	\$	1,940,050

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021		2020
REVENUES			
Proposition C	\$ 577,169	\$	555,291
Interest income	12,220		35,085
Total revenues	589,389		590,376
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	117,357		82,065
Total expenditures	117,357		82,065
Excess of revenues over expenditures	472,032		508,311
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,929,595		1,421,284
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 2,401,627	\$	1,929,595

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

Project Code	Project Name	Variance Metro Favorable Budget Actual (Unfavorable)		2020 Actual	
280	Phase II Gold Line Planning \$	100,000 \$	82,422	\$ 17,578 \$	57,065
705	Covina Boulevard Reconstruction	840,000	11,715	828,285	-
705	Lonehill Avenue Pavement Reconstruction				
		105,000	-	105,000	13,500
715	Via Verde Avenue Pavement Reconstruction	1,200,000	21,720	1,178,280	-
765	Pavement Management System Update	1,500	1,500	-	10,000
765	Pavement Preservation	40,000	-	40,000	1,500
781	San Dimas Avenue - Puddingstone to Via				
	Verde Road Reconstruction Project	10,000	_	10,000	-
880	Bicycle/Trail Master Plan	50,000	_	50,000	-
	Total expenditures \$_	2,346,500 \$	S <u>117,357</u>	\$ 2,229,143 \$	82,065

PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS

June 30, 2021

Date		Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description	7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2021
12/1/1993	Land \$	365,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 365,000
1995/1996	San Dimas Park and Ride Lot	207,688	-	-	207,688
6/29/2005	Street Resurfacing (Infrastructure)	199,829	-	-	199,829
6/30/2006	4 Traffic Camera Systems	20,784	-	_	20,784
4/15/2010	10 Traffic Video Cameras	59,993	-	-	59,993
6/29/2010	San Dimas Avenue	802,702	-	-	802,702
6/30/2011	Via Verde Construction/Puente				
	Covina Hills	64,677	-	-	64,677
6/30/2011	Lone Hill/Arrow Light				
	Phasing/Median	339,887	-	_	339,887
6/30/2011	Foothill Boulevard Rehabilitation	5,760	-	-	5,760
6/30/2013	Lone Hill/Arrow Highway/Cienega	639,836	-	_	639,836
6/30/2015	Foothill Boulevard San Dimas Wash	178,105	-	_	178,105
6/30/2017	Bonita Avenue Street Reconstruction	375,000	-	_	375,000
6/30/2020	San Dimas Avenue/Puddingstone to				
	Via Verde Reconstruction	11,500,000	-	_	11,500,000
6/30/2021	Covina/57 Freeway/Arrow Highway	-	11,715	_	11,715
6/30/2021	Via Verde Avenue Reconstruction	<u> </u>	21,720		21,720
	Total \$	14,759,261	\$ 33,435	\$	\$ 14,792,696

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30 2021

		2021	_	2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$ _	1,557,033	\$	1,415,754
Total assets	\$ =	1,557,033	\$	1,415,754
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$_	-	\$	-
Total liabilities	_	-	-	-
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,557,033	_	1,415,754
Total fund balance	_	1,557,033	-	1,415,754
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,557,033	\$	1,415,754

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	_	2021	2020	
REVENUES	_	_	•	_
Measure R	\$	433,477	\$	415,872
Interest income	-	7,802		27,601
Total revenues	-	441,279	•	443,473
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects	-	300,000	•	283,558
Total expenditures	-	300,000	•	283,558
Excess of revenues over expenditures		141,279		159,915
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	1,415,754	•	1,255,839
Fund balance at end of year	\$	1,557,033	\$	1,415,754

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

			2021		
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2020 Actual
705	Covina Boulevard Reconstruction \$	144,810 \$	-	\$ 144,810 \$	15,190
705	Various Residential Streets	430,062	-	430,062	29,615
705	Pavement Preservation Zone G	317,091	300,000	17,091	-
715	Via Verde Avenue Pavement				
	Reconstruction	500,000	-	500,000	-
715	Gold Line Betterments	75,000	-	75,000	-
1.05	Puente Avenue Reconstruction	-	-	-	236,909
1.05	San Dimas Avenue Reconstruction	<u> </u>	-	<u> </u>	1,844
	Total expenditures \$	1,466,963 \$	300,000	\$ 1,166,963 \$	283,558

MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date			Balance			Balance
Acquired	Description		7/1/2020	Additions	Deletions	6/30/2021
6/30/2017	Bonita Avenue Reconstruction	\$	180,800	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 180,800
6/30/2018	Cienega Street Reconstruction		436,158	-	-	436,158
6/30/2020	San Dimas Avenue/ Puddingstone to					
	Via Verde Reconstruction		244,448	-	-	244,448
6/30/2020	Puente Avenue Reconstruction		14,532	-	-	14,532
6/30/2020	Avenida Entrada Street Repair and					
	Maintenance		236,909	-	-	236,909
6/30/2020	Rennell et al Reconstruction	_	29,615	 -	 -	 29,615
	Tot	tal \$_	1,142,462	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,142,462

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30 2021

	_	2021		2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$_	1,768,041	\$	1,268,314
Total assets	\$	1,768,041	\$	1,268,314
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _		\$	-
Total liabilities	-		-	-
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	1,768,041		1,268,314
Total fund balance	-	1,768,041		1,268,314
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,768,041	\$	1,268,314

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021		2020	
REVENUES				
Measure M	\$ 491,145	\$	468,287	
Interest income	8,582		21,479	
Total revenues	499,727		489,766	
EXPENDITURES				
Various projects			21,771	
Total expenditures			21,771	
Excess of revenues over expenditures	499,727		467,995	
Fund balance at beginning of year	1,268,314		800,319	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,768,041	\$	1,268,314	

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

		2021							
Project Code	Project Name	Metro Budget		Actual	(1	Variance Favorable Unfavorable)	2020 Actual		
705	Street Repair and Maintenance \$	84,000	\$	-	\$	84,000 \$	1,500		
715	Exclusive Bike or Bus Lanes	4,730		-		4,730	20,271		
715	Covina Boulevard Reconstruction	892,245		-		892,245	-		
715	Avenida Entrada Design and								
	Reconstruction	3,500		-		3,500	-		
715	Via Verde Avenue Pavement								
	Reconstruction	690,000		-		690,000	-		
	Total expenditures \$	1,674,475	\$_	-	\$_	1,674,475 \$	21,771		

MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date Acquired	Description	Balance 7/1/2020	Additions		Deletions	Balance 6/30/2021
6/30/2020	Avenida Entrada Street Repair and Maintenance	\$ 30,410	\$ _	- \$	_	\$ 30,410
	Total	\$ 	 -	- \$	-	\$ 30,410

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

BALANCE SHEETS

June 30 2021

	_	2021		2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	-	\$	
Total assets	\$	-	\$	-
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$	
Total liabilities	-	-	-	-
Fund Balance				
Restricted	-	-	-	
Total fund balance	-	_	-	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	-	\$	_

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND

STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES		_
TDA 3	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000
Total revenues	20,000	20,000
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	20,000	20,000
Total expenditures	20,000	20,000
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	-
Fund balance at beginning of year		-
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 	\$

TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Project Description	Program Year		Allocations	_	Expenditures	_	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local allocations Civic Center Park Sidewalk Improvement Citywide Sidewalk Maintenance	2020-21	\$_	20,000	\$_	20,000	\$_		Ongoing
Total		\$_	20,000	\$_	20,000	:	-	
Fund balance at beginning of year						_		
Fund balance at end of year						\$_		

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2021 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2021:

• Restricted – Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 - CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTE 8 – PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenue for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

		2021		2020
Recreational Fees		\$	-	\$ 1,332
	Total	\$	-	\$ 1,332

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

NOTE 9 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	2021	2020
FY 2016-17 allocation	\$ 	\$ 12,079
FY 2017-18 allocation	14,325	7,921
FY 2018-19 allocation	5,675	-
Total payment requested	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000

NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2021, and 2020, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2021	2020
FY 2017-18 allocation	\$ -	\$ 14,325
FY 2018-19 allocation	17,539	23,214
FY 2019-20 allocation	24,923	24,923
FY 2020-21 allocation	19,288	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 61,750	\$ 62,462

NOTE 11 – IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materially affect the June 30, 2021 Funds' financial statements.

NOTE 12 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through October 15, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Dimas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of San Dimas, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 15, 2021.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 15, 2021





SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of San Dimas, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of San Dimas, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.





Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California

Simpson & Simpson

October 15, 2021

COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2021

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	roposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.	X			None	
2.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account.	X			None	
3.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X			None	
6.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X			None	
10.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
11.	Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	
12.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	oposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
13.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.	X			None	
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	
16.	Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.	X			None	
17.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
18.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
19.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In (Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	
11.	Where Measure R funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	
15.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	


Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. Me	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	
11.	Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. Me	easure M Local Return Fund					
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.	
		Yes	No	N/A		
D. '	Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund	ı				
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

CITY OF SAN DIMAS SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS June 30, 2021

No findings were noted.



PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2021

An exit conference was held on November 16, 2021 with the City of San Dimas. Those in attendance were:

Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Patrick Zhang, Auditor

City's Representative:

Michael O'Brien, Administrative Services Director

Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Michael O'Brien, Administrative Services Director

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5th Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF SAN DIMAS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 for the City of San Dimas and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly you	urs,	
	Name	
	Title	
	Date	