### CITY OF CERRITOS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE

PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND
PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND
MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND
TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT
ARTICLE 3 FUND
FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020





**CITY OF CERRITOS** 

Simpson & Simpson, LLP Certified Public Accountants

### CITY OF CERRITOS TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL SECTION	PAGE
Independent Auditor's Report	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	3 4 5 6
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	7 8 9 10
Measure R Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	11 12 13 14
Measure M Local Return Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and Metro Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	15 16 17 18
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information: Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	19 20 21
Notes to Financial Statements	22
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	27

# TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

### **COMPLIANCE SECTION**

EXIT CONFERENCE	39
Schedule of Findings and Recommendations	38
Compliance Matrix	31
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	29





SIMPSON & SIMPSON
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS
FOUNDING PARTNERS
BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

### **Independent Auditor's Report**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cerritos, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

### **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Cerritos, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.





### **Opinions**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F of the City of Cerritos, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City of Cerritos, California, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

### Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements. The accompanying supplementary information as listed in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the financial statements.

The supplementary information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' financial statements or to the Funds' financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole.

### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have issued our report dated November 29, 2021, on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California November 29, 2021

Simpson & Simpson

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2021	2020
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,308,197	\$ 580,324
Total assets	\$	1,308,197	\$ 580,324
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	101,684	\$ 84,751
Total liabilities	-	101,684	84,751
Fund Balance			
Restricted	-	1,206,513	495,573
Total fund balance	-	1,206,513	495,573
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,308,197	\$ 580,324

# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES		
Proposition A	\$ 1,020,311	\$ 971,485
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant (Note 8)	202,095	-
Interest income	8,430	14,280
Project generated revenue (Note 9)	12,070	57,285
Total revenues	1,242,906	1,043,050
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	531,966	1,611,160
Total expenditures	531,966	1,611,160
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	710,940	(568,110)
Fund balance at beginning of year	495,573	1,063,683
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 1,206,513	\$ 495,573

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

				Variance	
Project		Metro		Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name	Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)	Actual
105	Cerritos on Wheels Fixed Route Transit \$	400,000 \$	311,711	\$ 88,289 \$	1,196,811
107	Dial-A-Ride	200,000	192,718	7,282	384,041
135	Long Beach Transit Subsidy	30,308	27,537	2,771	30,308
	Total expenditures \$_	630,308 \$	531,966	\$ 98,342 \$	1,611,160

### PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date					Balance			Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2020	 Additions	 Deletions	 6/30/2021
	None			\$_	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ _
			Total	\$_	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND

### BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	2021			2020		
ASSETS						
Cash and investments	\$	414,263	\$	190,568		
Total assets	\$	414,263	\$	190,568		
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE						
Liabilities						
Due to General Fund	\$		\$			
Total liabilities						
Fund Balance						
Restricted		414,263		190,568		
Total fund balance		414,263		190,568		
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	414,263	\$	190,568		

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

		2021	2020
REVENUES	_		
Proposition C	\$	846,311	\$ 805,849
Interest income	_	2,990	6,306
Total revenues	_	849,301	812,155
EXPENDITURES			
Various projects	_	625,606	1,330,000
Total expenditures	_	625,606	1,330,000
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures		223,695	(517,845)
Fund balance at beginning of year	-	190,568	708,413
Fund balance at end of year	\$	414,263	\$ 190,568

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

				2021		
Project Code	Project Name	_	Metro Budget	Actual	Variance Favorable (Unfavorable)	2020 Actual
105	Cerritos on Wheels Fixed Route Transit Services	\$	700,000 \$	577,211	\$ 122,789 \$	_
205	Cerritos on Wheels (COW) Bus Stop Improvements		2,200	2,108	92	-
470	Gateway Cities Council of Governments - Membership Dues		10,000	10,000	-	10,000
470	Gateway Cities Council of Governments - Corridor Study		20,000	20,000	_	20,000
470	Eco-Rapid Transit Membership Dues		16,287	16,287	-	_
440-01	Del Amo Boulevard Street	_		-		1,300,000
	Total expenditures	\$	748,487 \$	625,606	\$ 122,881 \$	1,330,000

### PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date					Balance				Balance
Acquired		Description		_	7/1/2020	 Additions	 Deletions	_	6/30/2021
	None			\$_	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	
			Total	\$_	_	\$ -	\$ -	\$	_

## MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

### June 30

		2021		2020
ASSETS	_		•	_
Cash and investments	\$	58,433	\$	58,167
Total assets	\$ _	58,433	\$	58,167
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$ _	-	\$	
Total liabilities	_	-		-
Fund Balance				
Restricted	_	58,433		58,167
Total fund balance		58,433		58,167
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	58,433	\$	58,167

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021			2020
REVENUES			•	
Measure R	\$	635,613	\$	603,522
Interest income		808		675
Total revenues		636,421	,	604,197
EXPENDITURES				
Street Repair and Maintenance		636,155		546,030
Total expenditures		636,155	,	546,030
Excess of revenues over expenditures		266		58,167
Fund balance at beginning of year		58,167	·	
Fund balance at end of year	\$	58,433	\$	58,167

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021 (With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

		_					
				Variance			
Project			Metro			Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	(Unfavorable)		Actual
705	Street Repair and Maintenance	\$_	665,020 \$	636,155	\$_	28,865 \$	546,030
	Total expenditures	\$	665,020 \$	636,155	\$_	28,865 \$	546,030

### MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date					Balance						Balance
Acquired	Description			7/1/2020		_	Additions		Deletions		6/30/2021
	None			\$_	-	\$_	-	\$	-	\$	-
			Total	\$_	-	\$_	-	\$	-	\$	-

## MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND BALANCE SHEETS

June 30

	_	2021		2020
ASSETS	_		-'	
Cash and investments	\$	408,283	\$	408,646
Total assets	\$	408,283	\$	408,646
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$	
Total liabilities	-			
Fund Balance				
Restricted	-	408,283	•	408,646
Total fund balance	-	408,283	•	408,646
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	408,283	\$	408,646

### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30

	2021	2020
REVENUES		
Measure M	\$ 720,173	\$ 680,264
Interest income	6,198	7,486
Total revenues	726,371	687,750
EXPENDITURES		
Various projects	726,734	328,149
Total expenditures	726,734	328,149
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	(363)	359,601
Fund balance at beginning of year	408,646	49,045
Fund balance at end of year	\$ 408,283	\$ 408,646

### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES

### ACTUAL AND METRO APPROVED PROJECT BUDGET

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

(With Comparative Actual Amount for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2020)

					Variance		
Project			Metro			Favorable	2020
Code	Project Name		Budget	Actual	J)	Infavorable)	Actual
640	West Santa Ana Branch Transit						
	Corridor Study	\$	77,000 \$	76,007	\$	993 \$	-
705	South Street Rehabilitation		246,950	246,931		19	-
705	Street Repair and Maintenance		50,000	50,000		-	200,000
705	Residential Pine Tree Reforestation						
	Program		200,000	200,000		-	_
740	Traffic Signal Modifications at						
	Bloomfield Avenue and 166th Street		23,950	20,289		3,661	-
780	166th Street Rehabilitation		132,000	133,507		(1,507)	_
1.05	Del Amo Boulevard Rehabilitation -						
	Norwalk Boulevard to Pioneer		_	-		-	119,919
1.05	CDBG-Funded ADA Curb Ramp			-			8,230
	Total expenditures	\$_	729,900 \$	726,734	\$_	3,166 \$	328,149

### MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF CAPITAL ASSETS June 30, 2021

Date Acquired	Description	Balance 7/1/2020	_	Additions	 Deletions	 Balance 6/30/2021
6/30/2021 6/30/2021	CP13065 166th Street Rehabilitation SCP18401 Traffic Signal Modifications at Bloomfield Avenue and 166th	-	\$	133,507	\$ -	\$ 133,507
	Street	-		20,289	-	20,289
6/30/2021	CP21301 South Street Rehabilitation	_		246,931	 -	 246,931
	Total S	S <u> </u>	\$_	400,727	\$ -	\$ 400,727

### TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND (PURSUANT TO PUBLIC UTILITIES CODE SECTION 99234)

### **BALANCE SHEETS**

June 30

		2021		2020
ASSETS				
Cash and investments	\$	-	\$	-
Total assets	\$ _	-	\$	-
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities				
Due to General Fund	\$	25,000	\$	-
Total liabilities	_	25,000	_	
Fund Balance				
Unassigned		(25,000)	_	-
Total fund balance	_	(25,000)	_	
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	-	\$	-

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND STATEMENTS OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

### For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30

	2021	_	2020
REVENUES		-	
TDA 3	\$ 97,002	\$	-
Total revenues	97,002		
EXPENDITURES			
Wheelchair Accessible Ramps	122,002		
Total expenditures	122,002		<del></del>
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	(25,000)		-
Fund balance at beginning of year		-	
Fund balance at end of year	\$ (25,000)	\$	

# TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION SCHEDULE OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ALLOCATION FOR SPECIFIC PROJECTS

For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2021

Project Description	Program Year	 Allocations	 Expenditures		Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
<b>Local allocations</b> Wheelchair Accessible Ramps	2020-21	\$ 97,002	\$ 122,002	\$_	(25,000)	Ongoing
Total		\$ 97,002	\$ 122,002	=	(25,000)	
Fund balance at beginning of year				_	_	
Fund balance at end of year				\$	(25,000)	

### NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF), and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting whereby revenues are recognized when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period and expenditures are generally recognized when the related fund liabilities are incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on the spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. The statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

### NOTE 1 – SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with Government Accounting Standard Board (GASB) Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, which became effective for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2016, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investment at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to City's 2021 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investment policy and fair value measurement.

### Fund Balance Reporting

GASB Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2021:

- Restricted Amounts that are constrained for specific purpose, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The uses of the funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro).
- Unassigned The unassigned classification is used only if expenditures incurred for specific purposes exceed the amounts restricted to those purposes.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in Note 1 to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

### NOTE 2 – ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F and their compliance with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3 and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

### NOTE 3 – PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 4 – MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 5 – MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

On June 23, 2016, the Metro Board of Directors approved the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance, known as Measure M. In accordance with Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### NOTE 6 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with Public Utilities Code Section 99234, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

### **NOTE 7 – CASH AND INVESTMENTS**

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF, and TDAA3F's cash and investment balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash and investments account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

### NOTE 8 – PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE GRANT - PALRF

The City entered into various Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) agreements with Metro to receive Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants for participating in the Consolidated National Transit Database (NTD) Voluntary Reporting. The amounts received for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

		NTD						
Agreement		Voluntary		MOU		A	mou	ınt
<u>Date</u>	<u>Period</u>	Reporting	<u>Amount</u>			R	ved	
						<u>2021</u>		2020
May 1, 2020	5/1/20 - 6/30/23	FY 2017-18	\$	103,615	\$	103,615	\$	-
July 1, 2019	7/1/19 - 6/30/23	FY 2016-17	\$	98,480		98,480		-
				Total	\$	202,095	\$	-

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grants were recorded under PALRF.

### NOTE 9 - PROJECT GENERATED REVENUE - PALRF

Project generated revenue under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	2021	2020
Passenger fares and bus pass sales	\$ 12,070	57,285
Total	\$ 12,070	\$ 57,285

### NOTE 10 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocation for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 consisted of the following:

	2021	2020
FY 2015-16 allocation *	\$ 31,702	\$ _
FY 2016-17 allocation	33,114	-
FY 2017-18 allocation	32,186	-
Total payment requested	\$ 97,002	\$ -

<sup>\*</sup> The fiscal year 2015-16 allocation of \$31,702 was not spent as of June 30, 2020 and would have lapsed. However, the City requested for an extension and received an approval from Metro on December 17, 2020 to spend the funds until June 30, 2021.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 (Continued)

### NOTE 11 – TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds not spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by Metro. As of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

	2021	2020
FY 2015-16 allocation	\$ 	\$ 31,702
FY 2016-17 allocation	-	33,114
FY 2017-18 allocation	-	32,186
FY 2018-19 allocation	33,927	33,927
FY 2019-20 allocation	36,163	36,163
FY 2020-21 allocation	28,272	-
Available reserve balance	\$ 98,362	\$ 167,092

### **NOTE 12 – IMPACT OF CORONAVIRUS**

On January 30, 2020, the World Health Organization declared the coronavirus outbreak a "Public Health Emergency of International Concern" and on March 10, 2020, declared it to be a pandemic. The coronavirus and actions taken to mitigate it have had and are expected to continue to have an adverse impact on the economies and financial markets of many countries, including the United States.

It is unknown how long these conditions will last and what the complete financial effect will be to businesses and other affected organizations, including local governmental entities. However, the City's management believes that the financial impact, if any, will not materially affect the June 30, 2021 Funds' financial statements.

### **NOTE 13 – SUBSEQUENT EVENTS**

The City has evaluated subsequent events through November 29, 2021, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no other events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.



SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

# Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cerritos, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Cerritos, California (the City), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 29, 2021.

### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.





### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the result of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 29, 2021

Simpson & Simpson





SIMPSON & SIMPSON CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS FOUNDING PARTNERS BRAINARD C. SIMPSON, CPA

MELBA W. SIMPSON, CPA

### **Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance**

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Cerritos, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transit Authority

### **Report on Compliance**

We have audited the compliance of the City of Cerritos, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2021.

### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Guidelines referred to in the preceding paragraph. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

### Opinion on Each Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2021.





### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the Guidelines referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance under the Guidelines will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the Guidelines that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California November 29, 2021

Simpon & Simpon

### COMPLIANCE MATRIX Year Ended June 30, 2021

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	iance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pr	oposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
1.	Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records or has established a separate Proposition A and Proposition C Local Transit Assistance Account for Local Return purposes.	X			None	
2.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Proposition A and/or Proposition C Local Return Account.	X			None	
3.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval and were not substituted for property tax.	X			None	
4.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
5.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
6.	Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
7.	Annual Project Update Report (Form B) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
8.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) or electronic equivalent was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Pavement Management System (PMS) is in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.			X	None	
10.	Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.	X			None	
11.	Where Proposition A funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	
12.	Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X	None	

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	iance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
A. Pı	oposition A and Proposition C Local Return Fund	ls				
13.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds, Capital reserve was approved by Metro and current status is reported in the Annual Project Update (Form B) or electronic equivalent.			X	None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	
16.	Proposition C Local Return Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for road improvement purposes.	X			None	
17.	All on-going and carryover projects were reported on Form B or electronic equivalent.	X			None	
18.	Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X			None	
19.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	leasure R Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Annual Expenditure Report (Form Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	
11.	Where Measure R funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement		Compli	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
B. M	easure R Local Return Fund					
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	
15.	Fund exchanges were approved by Metro.			X	None	
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

Compliance Requirement		In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		<del>-</del>
C. M	easure M Local Return Fund					
1.	Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X			None	
2.	Separate Measure M Local Return Account was established.	X			None	
3.	Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure M Local Return Account.	X			None	
4.	Funds were expended with Metro's approval.	X			None	
5.	Funds were not substituted for property tax and are in compliance with the Maintenance of Effort.	X			None	
6.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
7.	Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.			X	None	
8.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
9.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two or electronic equivalent) was submitted on time.	X			None	
10.	Where funds expended were reimbursable by other grants or fund sources, the reimbursement was credited to the Local Return Account upon receipt of the reimbursement.			X	None	
11.	Where Measure M funds were given, loaned or exchanged by one jurisdiction to another, the receiving jurisdiction has credited its Local Return Account with the funds received.			X	None	
12.	A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by Metro.			X	None	
13.	Funds were used to augment, not supplant existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a fund shortfall.	X			None	
14.	Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X	None	

	Compliance Requirement	In	C <b>ompl</b> i	ance	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
C. Me	easure M Local Return Fund					
15.	Fund exchanges (trades, loans, or gifts) were approved by Metro.			X	None	
16.	Accounting procedures, record keeping, and documentation are adequate.	X			None	

	Compliance Requirement	In Compliance		ınce	Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
		Yes	No	N/A		
<b>D.</b> '	<b>Fransportation Development Act Article 3 Fund</b>					
1.	Timely use of funds.	X			None	
2.	Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X			None	

### SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS Year Ended June 30, 2021

No findings were noted.



# PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND EXIT CONFERENCE June 30, 2021

An exit conference was held on December 10, 2021 with the City of Cerritos. Those in attendance were:

### Simpson & Simpson Representative:

Patrick Zhang, Auditor

### City's Representative:

Siu-Lee Chang, Accountant

### Matters Discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant control deficiencies or non-compliance issues with Metro Guidelines.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City representative(s) for their comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Siu-Lee Chang, Accountant

Simpson & Simpson, LLP 633 West 5<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 3320 Los Angeles, CA 90071

RE: CITY OF CERRITOS ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OF THE PROPOSITION A LOCAL RETURN FUND, PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN FUND, MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN FUND, AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND FOR THE FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

I have received the annual financial report of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020 for the City of Cerritos and agree with the contents of the report except as indicated below:

(Attach additional pages if necessary)

Very truly yours,			
	Name		
	Title		
	Date		