



Metro[™]

**City of Lawndale
Annual Financial Report of its**

**Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund**

**As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2017 and 2016
with Report of Independent Auditors**

	<u>PAGE</u>
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	3
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	4
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	5
Schedule of Capital Assets	6
Proposition C Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	7
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	8
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	9
Schedule of Capital Assets	10
Measure R Local Return Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	11
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12
Supplementary Information:	
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget	13
Schedule of Capital Assets	14
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund:	
Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets	15
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	17
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	22
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance	24
Compliance Matrix	26
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	28
EXIT CONFERENCE	29

FINANCIAL SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Lawndale, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds), of the City of Lawndale, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.



Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Lawndale, California, as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 19, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Los Angeles, California
December 19, 2017

**City of Lawndale
Proposition A Local Return Fund
Balance Sheets**

		June 30	
		2017	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,212,000	\$ 1,083,345
Accounts receivable		-	31,107
Interest receivable		2,375	3,111
Total assets	\$	1,214,375	\$ 1,117,563
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	64,760	\$ 96,134
Accrued payroll and employee benefits		1,369	1,223
Total liabilities		66,129	97,357
Fund balance			
Restricted		1,148,246	1,020,206
Total fund balance		1,148,246	1,020,206
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	1,214,375	\$ 1,117,563

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Lawndale
Proposition A Local Return Fund
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

	Years ended June 30	
	2017	2016
Revenues		
Proposition A	\$ 609,516	\$ 599,774
Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant	34,892	35,039
Interest income	6,464	7,125
Project generated revenue	504	38,727
Total revenues	651,376	680,665
 Expenditures		
Expenditures funded by PALRF	523,336	531,840
Expenditures funded by Open Bike Grant	-	37,875
Total expenditures	523,336	569,715
 Excess of revenues over expenditures	 128,040	 110,950
 Fund balance at beginning of year	 1,020,206	 909,256
 Fund balance at end of year	 \$ 1,148,246	 \$ 1,020,206

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Lawndale
 Proposition A Local Return Fund
 Supplementary Information
 Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget
 Year ended June 30, 2017
 (With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

Project Code	Project Name	2017			2016 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	
110-01	Shuttle Bus	\$ 409,000	\$ 379,365	\$ 29,635	\$ 375,540
130-01	Special Service Paratransit	128,000	109,782	18,218	104,671
200-09	Transit Bus	70,000	-	70,000	-
480-03	Administration	95,548	34,189	61,359	51,629
	Total expenditures	\$ 702,548	\$ 523,336	\$ 179,212	\$ 531,840

See report of independent auditors.

City of Lawndale
Proposition A Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Capital Assets
Year ended June 30, 2017

<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance July 1, 2016</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance June 30, 2017</u>
2001	El Dorado Aerotech	\$ 58,286	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 58,286
2003	Senior Van	45,836	-	-	45,836
Total		\$ 104,122	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 104,122

See report of independent auditors.

**City of Lawndale
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Balance Sheets**

	June 30	
	2017	2016
ASSETS		
Cash and investments	\$ 2,366,666	\$ 2,459,990
Interest receivable	4,665	6,942
Total assets	\$ 2,371,331	\$ 2,466,932
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 20,380	\$ 378,215
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	4,515	2,761
Total liabilities	24,895	380,976
Fund balance		
Restricted - Inglewood Avenue Corridor Widening Project	620,173	686,040
Restricted - Prairie Avenue North Improvement Project	506,874	511,746
Restricted - Others	1,219,389	888,170
Total fund balance	2,346,436	2,085,956
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ 2,371,331	\$ 2,466,932

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Lawndale
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

	Years ended June 30	
	2017	2016
Revenues		
Proposition C	\$ 505,491	\$ 497,155
Interest income	12,858	15,768
Total revenues	518,349	512,923
 Expenditures		
Various projects	257,869	530,357
Total expenditures	257,869	530,357
 Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures	 260,480	 (17,434)
 Fund balance at beginning of year	 2,085,956	 2,103,390
 Fund balance at end of year	 \$ 2,346,436	 \$ 2,085,956

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Lawndale
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget
Year ended June 30, 2017
(With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

Project Code	Project Name	2017			2016 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	
380-01	Inglewood Avenue Corridor Widening Project	\$ 650,000	\$ 69,596	\$ 580,404	\$ -
380-02	Prairie Avenue North Improvement Project	500,000	7,572	492,428	-
430-12	Bike Lanes	3,970	-	3,970	-
440-01	Inglewood/Marine Intersection	100,500	-	100,500	-
440-02	Inglewood/MMB Widening	325,000	-	325,000	-
440-05	Ingelwood/Marine Intersection	100,500	-	100,500	-
440-06	Ingelwood/MMB Widening	325,000	-	325,000	-
440-10	Street Improvements	620,000	55,512	564,488	-
440-11	Praire Ave North	150,000	-	150,000	-
440-20	Various Bus Stop Concrete Work	-	-	-	416,543
480-03	Administration	273,918	42,979	230,939	87,890
500-01	Engineering Services	203,674	82,210	121,464	25,924
Total expenditures		\$ 3,252,562	\$ 257,869	\$ 2,994,693	\$ 530,357

See report of independent auditors.

City of Lawndale
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Capital Assets
Year ended June 30, 2017

<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance July 1, 2016</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance June 30, 2017</u>
	None	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
	Total	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

See report of independent auditors.

City of Lawndale
Measure R Local Return Fund
Balance Sheets

		June 30	
		2017	2016
ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	357,375	\$ 204,979
Interest receivable		650	505
Total assets	\$	358,025	\$ 205,484
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	\$	617	\$ 505
Total liabilities		617	505
Fund balance			
Restricted		357,408	204,979
Total fund balance		357,408	204,979
Total liabilities and fund balance	\$	358,025	\$ 205,484

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Lawndale
Measure R Local Return Fund

Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

		Years ended June 30	
		2017	2016
Revenues			
Measure R	\$	379,275	\$ 373,348
Interest income		1,940	1,131
Total revenues		381,215	374,479
 Expenditures			
Various projects		228,786	340,055
Total expenditures		228,786	340,055
Excess of revenues over expenditures		152,429	34,424
Fund balance at beginning of year		204,979	170,555
Fund balance at end of year		\$ 357,408	\$ 204,979

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Lawndale
Measure R Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget
Year ended June 30, 2017
(With Comparative Actuals for 2016)

Project Code	Project Name	2017			2016 Actual
		LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)	
1.05	Street Improvement	\$ 227,000	\$ 21,905	\$ 205,095	\$ -
1.05	Larch Ave Improvement	175,000	168,750	6,250	-
1.05	Grevilla Ave/145th to Marine	-	-	-	205,240
1.05	Avis Ave/147th to Marine	-	-	-	77,606
7.90	Grevilla Ave/145th to Marine	-	-	-	533
8.10	Fund Administration	75,208	38,131	37,077	56,676
	Total expenditures	\$ 477,208	\$ 228,786	\$ 248,422	\$ 340,055

See report of independent auditors.

City of Lawndale
Measure R Local Return Fund
Supplementary Information
Schedule of Capital Assets
Year ended June 30, 2017

<u>Date Acquired</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Balance July 1, 2016</u>	<u>Additions</u>	<u>Deletions</u>	<u>Balance June 30, 2017</u>
2011	2011 El Dorado Aerotech 240	\$ 66,278	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66,278
	Total	\$ 66,278	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 66,278

See report of independent auditors.

City of Lawndale
 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund
 Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234
 Balance Sheets

		June 30	
		2017	2016
ASSETS			
Due from LACMTA	\$	-	\$ 37,504
Interest receivable		-	7
	Total assets \$	-	\$ 37,511
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE			
Liabilities			
Accounts payable	\$	-	\$ 794
Due to other funds		-	35,923
	Total liabilities	-	\$ 36,717
Fund balance			
Restricted		-	794
	Total fund balance	-	794
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	-	\$ 37,511

See notes to Funds financial statements.

City of Lawndale
 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund
 Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234
Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance

	Years ended June 30	
	2017	2016
Revenues		
Intergovernmental Allocations:		
Article 3	\$ -	\$ 37,504
Interest income	-	7
Total revenues	-	37,511
Expenditures		
Sidewalk Reconstruction	-	36,717
Total expenditures	-	36,717
Excess of revenues over expenditures	-	794
Other financing use		
Funds returned to LACMTA	(794)	-
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expenditures and other financing use	(794)	794
Fund balance at beginning of year	794	-
Fund balance at end of year	\$ -	\$ 794

See notes to Funds financial statements.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the ½ cent Proposition A and ½ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of a county-wide ½ cent sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, *Fair Value Measurement and Application*, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2017 and 2016:

- Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the Funds' remaining fund balances are restricted for projects approved by LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 7 PROPOSITION A DISCRETIONARY INCENTIVE PROGRAM GRANT

Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Grant amounting to \$34,892 and \$35,039 for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, respectively, represent additional funds received from LACMTA for participating in the Voluntary National Transit Database (NTD) Reporting Program.

The Proposition A Discretionary Incentive Program grant were recorded under PALRF.

NOTE 8 PROPOSITION C CAPITAL RESERVE

On July 1, 2008 and June 30, 2011, LACMTA and the City entered into capital reserve agreements, CRA.PCLRLND08 and CRA.PCLRLND2 to establish capital reserve accounts (Accounts) for the Inglewood Avenue Corridor Widening Project (IACW) and Prairie Avenue North Improvement Project (PANI), respectively. On June 30, 2014, LACMTA and the City amended both agreements to extend the termination dates to June 30, 2017. If the project is not completed by June 30, 2017, any unexpended funds shall lapse and be returned to LACMTA.

Per the agreements, all interest is accrued and placed in the capital reserve accounts for use exclusively for the Inglewood Avenue Corridor Widening Project and the Prairie Avenue North Improvement Project.

For the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, following is the capital reserve amount:

	<u>IACW</u>	<u>PANI</u>
Capital reserve, June 30, 2015	\$ 681,671	\$ 508,487
Interest income earned	4,369	3,259
Capital reserve, June 30, 2016	<u>686,040</u>	<u>511,746</u>
Interest income earned	3,729	2,700
Expenditures during the year	<u>(69,596)</u>	<u>(7,572)</u>
Capital reserve, June 30, 2017	<u>\$ 620,173</u>	<u>\$ 506,874</u>

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016 consisted of the following:

		<u>2017</u>		<u>2016</u>
FY 2013/14 allocation	\$	-	\$	20,101
FY 2014/15 allocation		-		17,403
	\$	-	\$	37,504

The City did not draw down any TDA Article 3 funds from the reserve in FY 2016/17.

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2017 and 2016, the City has funds on reserve as follows:

		<u>2017</u>		<u>2016</u>
FY 2014/15 reserve	\$	5,211*	\$	4,424
FY 2015/16 reserve		21,183		21,183
FY 2016/17 allocation		22,142		-
	\$	48,536	\$	25,607

* Amount includes \$787 of the \$794 returned funds in FY 2016/17. Interest income of \$7 was included in the unrestricted TDA Article 3 funds reserved by LACMTA.

For FY 2016/17, any TDA Article 3 funds left on reserve for FY 2012/13 or prior, are subject to lapse if not claimed by the City by June 30, 2017. There were no funds that lapsed in FY 2016/17.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 19, 2017, the date the financial statements were available to be issued, and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.

**Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and
on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements
Performed in Accordance With *Government Auditing Standards***

**To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the
City of Lawndale, California and the
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Lawndale, California (the City) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2017 and 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 19, 2017.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Los Angeles, California
December 19, 2017

COMPLIANCE SECTION

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

**To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the
City of Lawndale, California and the
Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority**

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Lawndale, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2017.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Lawndale, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2017.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Vagueney & Company LLP".

Los Angeles, California
December 19, 2017

**City of Lawndale
Compliance Matrix
Year ended June 30, 2017**

Compliance Requirements	In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
	Yes	No	N/A		
A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds					
1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records.	X				
2. Timely use of funds.	X				
3. Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax.	X				
4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A)	X				
5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures.	X				
6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B.	X				
7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted on time.	X				
8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted on time.	X				
9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained.	X				
10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate.	X				
11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures.	X				
12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures.			X		
13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X		
14. Assurances and Understandings form was on file.	X				
15. Recreational Transit Form was submitted on time.			X		

See report of independent auditors on compliance.

**City of Lawndale
Compliance Matrix
Year ended June 30, 2017**

Compliance Requirements	In Compliance			Questioned Costs	If no, provide details and management response.
	Yes	No	N/A		
B. Measure R Local Return Fund					
1. Funds were expended for transportation purposes.	X				
2. Funds were used to augment, not supplant, existing local revenues being used for transportation purposes unless there is a funding shortfall.	X				
3. Signed Assurances and Understandings on file.	X				
4. Separate Measure R Local Return Account was established.	X				
5. Revenues received including allocations, project generated revenues and interest income was properly credited to the Measure R Local Return Account.	X				
6. Funds were expended with LACMTA's approval.	X				
7. Expenditure Plan (Form One) was submitted on time.	X				
8. Expenditure Report (Form Two) was submitted on time.	X				
9. Timely use of funds.	X				
10. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap.	X				
11. Fund exchanges were approved by LACMTA.			X		
12. A separate account was established for Capital reserve funds and Capital reserve was approved by LACMTA.			X		
13. Recreational transit form was submitted on time.			X		
C. Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund					
1. Timely use of funds.	X				
2. Expenditures were incurred for activities relating to pedestrian and bicycle facilities and amenities.	X				

See report of independent auditors on compliance.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

**City of Lawndale
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Year ended June 30, 2017**

There were no findings noted.

EXIT CONFERENCE

An exit conference was held on December 19, 2017 with the City of Lawndale representative. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representative:
Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager

City of Lawndale representative:
Wayne Schaller – Accounting Manager

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant financial and compliance issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Lawndale representative for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Wayne Schaller – Accounting Manager



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit [rsmus.com/about us](http://rsmus.com/about-us) for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.

801 South Grand Avenue, Suite 400 • Los Angeles, California 90017-4646 • Ph. (213) 873-1700 • Fax (213) 873-1777