





BLOG POST

Anti-Racist Planning: A View from Elsewhere

Developing anti-racist approaches to urban planning requires looking elsewhere—to other geographies and histories—for alternative urban imaginaries and practices.

Dean Saitta | July 1, 2020, 6am PDT











The Ruins of Songo Mnara in current day Tanzania. Plouf250 / Wikimedia Commons

The systemic violence being committed against Black Americans in our cities has prompted much soul searching and table pounding about racial inequalities in urban planning education and practice. Many are the calls for radical change in how urban planners and architects do business. We've heard about the need to diversify student bodies and faculties, decolonize the curriculum, and deconstruct established practices and institutions. My favorite statement, because of its comprehensiveness, is "Un-making Architecture: An Anti-Racist Manifesto," by WAI Architecture Think Tank. Planetizen has also done great service to bring the issues and challenges into focus. This critical work has breathed new life into Audre Lorde's well-known declaration that "the master's tools will never dismantle the master's house."

A few of these passionate and compelling manifestoes argue that substantive, transformational change will require abandoning Eurocentric epistemologies, theories, and practices. There's a serious need to not only deconstruct our thinking but also *dislocate* it. We need to look elsewhere—to other geographies and histories—for alternative urban imaginaries and planning paradigms. One approach is to Look South and adopt what Jennifer Robinson famously promoted as a comparative, "Ordinary Cities" perspective on urban development. For my money one of the better entrees into this literature is Justin McGuirk's *Radical Cities: Across Latin America in Search of a New Architecture*. Much deeper dives are made in academic journals like *City* and the *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*.

In a <u>forthcoming book</u>, I explore some of this conceptual terrain. I support the call for dislocating urban theory and practice by urging that we look around for new models. But I also urge that we *look back*: deep into the human past, to the full 6,000-year history of city-building. This long history is virtually untapped as a source of planning and land use knowledge. I've argued previously on this site about some of the contributions that deep historical analysis can make (e.g., see <u>here</u> and <u>here</u>). Many more examples and models await discovery and analysis.

My current favorite example, because of its relevance to the call for anti-racist planning, is from the Swahili Coast of East Africa. There's a robust body of published work in this area by scholars such as Jeffrey Fleisher, Adria LaViolette, Stephanie Wynne-Jones, William Bissell, and others. A Google Scholar search will turn up many books and papers. I weave observations and insights from this scholarly work into the discussion that follows.



The Swahili Coast (Image by Runehelmet, Wikipedia)

Urbanization along the Swahili Coast occurred between 500-1500 CE. This isn't that long ago.

However, *it might as well be primeval* given the powerful effects of the colonialist mindset that has kept Africa off the radar of comparative urban studies. In Swahili-land walled "stonetowns" were constructed out of rough coral and mortar (for an overview, see this <u>article</u> in *Archaeology* magazine). All evidence suggests that the stonetowns are an indigenous urban form and not, as previously thought, imposed from without, i.e., by contact with the Islamic world. But the stonetowns were also clearly embedded in global networks of exchange. They were outward looking, oriented to economic opportunities provided by international trade. Like the earliest cities of ancient Mesopotamia and the Indus Valley, and like cities today, Swahili stonetowns drew people from afar afield. Migrants came from the immediate hinterlands as well as from India and the Far East. This produced multi-ethnic, cosmopolitan settlements. Swahili cities have been described as "emporia": places where a mixed population came together. The stonetowns have also been described as "centers of extraversion, creative incorporation, and flexible adaptation." Relationships between town and country before 1500 in Swahili-land were inclusive and non-

coercive. This would change after 1500 with the onset of the global slave trade.

The stonetown of Songo Mnara provides an especially good window into the social and material dynamics of Swahili urbanism. Songo Mnara is located in southern Tanzania and was occupied between 1355-1500 CE. It has UNESCO World Heritage Site status. The city features a couple dozen housing blocks loosely arranged around three large open spaces with an enclosing wall. There are six mosques, four cemeteries, and two elevated palace complexes in the southwest and northeast sections of the city. Economic production areas cross-cut social divisions. It appears that surrounding and subdividing stone walls were constructed less for protection than for symbolic purposes. Specifically, walls marked the city as "cultured space." They signaled, to foreign traders and investors, political and economic stability and credit worthiness. In other words, walls were part of the *performance* of cosmopolitanism. So too were the causeways that connected the city to the coast. Indeed, the entire material assemblage of Songo Mnara represents a monumental architecture of cosmopolitanism.



A main building at Songo Mnara. (Image by plouf 250, Wikimedia Commons)

Of particular interest at Songo Mnara are its open spaces. They defy classification according to the Western dichotomy of public vs. private space. Instead, they are versatile, multifunctional, and inclusive. I see them as excellent examples of the "synchronous" spaces that Richard Sennett describes in his wonderful book *Building and Dwelling: Ethics for the City*. These open areas hosted public ceremonies and performances. But they also allowed room for urban gardens, trade kiosks, and industrial activity; specifically, workshops for shell and stone bead making and ironworking. Perhaps most strikingly, they accommodated informal and temporary housing. Earthen houses existed alongside public amenities and performance spaces. In many cases houses were nestled up against city walls, indicating that these physical barriers were simultaneously resistant *and* permeable. Informal housing at Songo Mnara would have been in full view of political elites in their elevated palaces. The arrangement calls into question the ability of elites to fully control public space. The occupants of earthen houses appear to have had roughly proportionate access to the same kinds of goods as those in the elite houses, including coins and expensive imported pottery.

Thus, Songo Mnara seems a good example of how a city's public spaces can, in Ash Amin's wonderful phrasing, be dedicated to mixed use "without excessive surveillance, gating, privatization, or humiliation of minorities." In this combination of qualities Songo Mnara's civic space may have literally possessed what Sennett describes as the power of *teatro mundi* or "theatre of the world": the all-too-rare quality that separates diverse and vibrant urban public spaces from those that are mono-functional, well-ordered, and devoid of life.

So, as we debate the many issues and challenges associated with anti-racist planning we might look into the rich cultural history of Africa and its distinctive urban sociabilities and materialities. Many years ago, the historian Richard Hull (in *African Cities and Towns Before the European Conquest*) suggested that African cities "were at once utilitarian, ornamental, and humane." For Hull, urban living in Africa "radiated a spirit of mutual aid and cooperation, of civility and gentility." The Swahili stonetowns seem to exemplify this ethos. African cities in history display a wide variety of spatial logics and placemaking imaginaries. The stonetowns, and other urban locations on the continent, are potentially rich in insights about how to apply open and inclusive design forms and principles. They may not supply a ready-made set of tools for dismantling the master's house. However, at the very least they might provide some inspiration for imagining an anti-racist city whose citizenship culture is built on genuine commitments to cultural diversity, interdependency, and equity.



Dean Saitta

Dean Saitta is Professor of Anthropology and Director of the Urban Studies program at the University of Denver. He teaches courses in urban anthropology, archaeology, and evolutionary anthropology.

TOPICS | Architecture | History / Preservation | Landscape Architecture

(MORE

ALSO ON PLANETIZEN

Trump Finally Follows Through on Threat ...

11 days ago · 1 comment

It's not clear if President Trump is aware that his administration has been ...

Where the Coronavirus Is on Track for ...

17 days ago • 1 comment

As the virus surges throughout the South and West and heads north ...

How Trump's Version of the Suburbs Gets ...

4 days ago • 1 comment

Analysis of Trump's favorability ratings with suburban voters and the ...

A 10-Minute V Park for Your

2 days ago · 1 com

The "10-Minute V Campaign" is a n movement to ens



Planetizen





Login





f Share

Sort by Newest -



Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH

OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS (?)

Name



mbrenman • a month ago

Those interested in this topic may also want to take a look at Tom Sanchez' and my book, Planning as if People Matter: Governing for Social Equity, Island Press 2012. mbrenman001@comcast.net

Reply • Share >



Dean Saitta → mbrenman • a month ago

Thanks for reading, Marc. Your book is as relevant as ever. I appreciate its strong defense of diversity and its interdisciplinary perspective. My hope is that anthropology and archaeology will be added to the mix of disciplines that planners and architects will consult as a way to develop anti-racist approaches and build cultural literacy more generally. You never know where good ideas about urban placemaking and governance will come from.

^ | ✓ • Reply • Share >



Copyright Planetizen, Inc. © 2000 - 2020. All rights reserved.