## 7 Evaluation of Alternatives

In accordance with NEPA (42 USC Section 4321 et seq.), CEQ regulations implementing NEPA (40 CFR Parts 1501–1508), FRA's Procedures for Considering Environmental Impacts (64 FR 28545, May 26, 1999) and CEQA, a reasonable range of alternatives were evaluated in this Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR.

The alternatives include the No Build Alternative, which is used as a baseline for comparison purposes and describes the impacts if the Program is not implemented. In addition, the Build Alternative is described with three implementation options, which are described in Chapter 2, Program Alternatives, of this Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR. The alternatives selection process is summarized in Chapter 2, Program Alternatives, of this Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR. The 2016 AA Report included an evaluation of a reasonable range of alternatives for implementation of daily intercity passenger rail service in the Program Corridor.

This chapter describes the preferred alternative and the environmentally superior alternative for the Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR for purposes of NEPA and CEQA, respectively. This chapter also summarizes the potential effects of implementation of the Build Alternative Options based on the analysis of the social, economic, and environmental resources documented in Chapter 3, Environmental Analysis, Consequences, and Mitigation. The potential effects, and differences in effects among Build Alternative Options, are described in each resource section and are summarized below. Station locations have not yet been selected, but general considerations regarding station effects are discussed.

The potential for effects and comparison of effects among the Build Alternative Options are summarized in Table 7-1 and based on an initial survey of resources within the Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR Study Area for each Build Alternative Option.

	7 Evaluation of Alterna
This page is intentionally blank	
This page is intentionally blank.	

Table 7-1.Summary of Resource Effects by the No Build Alternative and Build Alternative Options

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
Land Use and Planning	Land Use Compatibility	Land Use Compatibility	Land Use Compatibility	Land Use Compatibility
•		· ·	·	·
		<ul> <li>Grazing land: 1,923.20 acres</li> <li>Agricultural preserve: 760.82 acres</li> </ul> Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section and	<ul> <li>Grazing land: 1,923.20 acres</li> <li>Agricultural preserve: 760.82 acres</li> </ul> Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section and	<ul> <li>Grazing land: 1,923.20 acres</li> <li>Agricultural preserve: 760.82 acres</li> </ul> Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section and
		Eastern Section once construction activities are completed.	Eastern Section once construction activities are completed.	Eastern Section once construction activities are completed.

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
Transportation	Under the No Build Alternative, longer travel times and	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as
	increased VMT would be anticipated as regional growth	construction activities required. Moderate to substantial	construction activities required. Moderate to substantial	no construction activities required. Moderate to
	within the Program Corridor continues and roadway	effects in Eastern Section associated with rail	effects in Eastern Section associated with rail	substantial effects in Eastern Section associated with rail
	congestion increases. Therefore, the No Build	operations, railroad/roadway crossings, and traffic due to	operations, railroad/roadway crossings, and traffic due to	operations, railroad/roadway crossings, and traffic due to
	Alternative could result in air quality effects and potential	potential temporary closure of lanes, sidewalks, bicycle	potential temporary closure of lanes, sidewalks, bicycle	potential temporary closure of lanes, sidewalks, bicycle
	additional noise effects on the surrounding land uses,	lanes and routes, driveways, streets, and freeway lanes.	lanes and routes, driveways, streets, and freeway lanes.	lanes and routes, driveways, streets, and freeway lanes.
	which could affect sensitive receptors adjacent to	Operation: Build Alternative Option 1 is anticipated to	Operation: Build Alternative Option 2 is anticipated to	Operation: Build Alternative Option 3 is anticipated to
	existing transportation corridors.	shift auto trips to intercity rail passenger trips, thereby	shift auto trips to intercity rail passenger trips, thereby	shift auto trips to intercity rail passenger trips, thereby
		reducing vehicle trips and VMT on the regional	reducing vehicle trips and VMT on the regional	reducing vehicle trips and VMT on the regional
		highways.	highways.	highways.
		Annual Auto Trips and VMT Reduction by Horizon Year:	Annual Auto Trips and VMT Reduction by Horizon Year:	Annual Auto Trips and VMT Reduction by Horizon Year:
		Opening Year (2024) auto trip reduction: 107,344 trips	Opening Year (2024) auto trip reduction: 99,026 trips	Opening Year (2024) auto trip reduction: 99,026 trips
		Opening Year (2024) VMT reduction: 10,498,246 miles	Opening Year (2024) VMT reduction: 9,682,718 miles	Opening Year (2024) VMT reduction: 9,682,718 miles
		Future Year (2044) auto trip reduction: 178,045 trips	Future Year (2044) auto trip reduction: 164,248 trips	Future Year (2044) auto trip reduction: 164,248 trips
		Future Year (2044) VMT reduction: 17,412,809 miles	Future Year (2044) VMT reduction: 16,060,152 miles	Future Year (2044) VMT reduction: 16,060,152 miles
		Ridership: Expected to increase by 66 percent from	Ridership: Expected to increase by 66 percent from	Ridership: Expected to increase by 66 percent from
		204,107 one-way trips in Opening Year (2024) to	188,290 one-way trips in Opening Year (2024) to	188,290 one-way trips in Opening Year (2024) to
		338,540 one-way trips in Future Year (2044).	312,306 one-way trips in Future Year (2044).	312,306 one-way trips in Future Year (2044).
Visual Quality and	Because no physical changes would occur, no effects on	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as
Aesthetics	views of visual resources, visual character or quality, or	construction activities required. Negligible effects on	construction activities required. Negligible effects on	no construction activities required. Negligible effects on
	light and glare conditions are anticipated under the No Build Alternative.	visual quality and aesthetics within the Eastern Section as construction activities would not permanently obstruct	visual quality and aesthetics within the Eastern Section as construction activities would not permanently obstruct	visual quality and aesthetics within the Eastern Section as construction activities would not permanently obstruct
	Dulid Alternative.	views of the landscape, change the visual character,	views of the landscape, change the visual character,	views of the landscape, change the visual character,
		result in degradation of visual quality, or add significant	result in degradation of visual quality, or add significant	result in degradation of visual quality, or add significant
		new sources of light or glare.	new sources of light or glare.	new sources of light or glare.
		Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as	Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as	Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as
		trains would operate within existing ROW and the	trains would operate within existing ROW and the	trains would operate within existing ROW and the
		addition of two daily roundtrips would not result in	addition of two daily roundtrips would not result in	addition of two daily roundtrips would not result in
		notable changes to visual quality and aesthetics.	notable changes to visual quality and aesthetics.	notable changes to visual quality and aesthetics.
		Potentially moderate effects could occur in the Eastern	Potentially moderate effects could occur in the Eastern	Potentially moderate effects could occur in the Eastern
		Section if the improvements would remove structures,	Section if the improvements would remove structures,	Section if the improvements would remove structures,
		remove landscaping, or introduce visual elements that	remove landscaping, or introduce visual elements that	remove landscaping, or introduce visual elements that
		are out of scale or otherwise visually incompatible with	are out of scale or otherwise visually incompatible with	are out of scale or otherwise visually incompatible with
		the existing visual character, and/or add increased light	the existing visual character, and/or add increased light	the existing visual character, and/or add increased light
		levels or spillover lighting into adjacent areas.	levels or spillover lighting into adjacent areas.	levels or spillover lighting into adjacent areas.

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
		Visual Resources:	Visual Resources:	Visual Resources:
		Park/trail: 27	Park/trail: 25	Park/trail: 25
		Designated scenic highway: 0	Designated scenic highway: 0	Designated scenic highway: 0
		NRHP site: 7	NRHP site: 7	NRHP site: 7
		NRHP district: 1	NRHP district: 1	NRHP district: 1
Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases	Projected future growth in the Program Corridor would result in a corresponding increase in traffic and VMT as more cars would be on the roadways. Therefore, traffic congestion is likely to worsen with the No Build Alternative, resulting in air quality effects. Similarly, with the continued trend in increases of VMT within the Program Corridor, fossil fuel consumption and associated GHG emissions would likely increase under the No Build Alternative. Similarly, while no Program-related construction or increase in service would occur, freight and intercity rails trips from other planned and future projects would result in air quality effects within the Program Corridor under the No Build Alternative.	Construction: Negligible air quality and GHG effects in the Western Section as no construction activities are proposed. Substantial air quality effects in the Eastern Section could occur due to construction air quality emissions exceeding localized air quality standards.  Operation: Localized air quality effects could be substantial; however, operation of the Program would generally result in long-term net benefits to air quality through reduction of criteria pollutants through a decrease in regional VMT. Substantial GHG benefits are anticipated as operation would reduce regional vehicle trips and VMT, resulting in a reduction of GHG emissions.	Construction: Negligible air quality and GHG effects in the Western Section as no construction activities are proposed. Substantial air quality effects in the Eastern Section could occur due to construction air quality emissions exceeding localized air quality standards.  Operation: Localized air quality effects could be substantial; however, operation of the Program would generally result in long-term net benefits to air quality through reduction of criteria pollutants through a decrease in regional VMT. Substantial GHG benefits are anticipated as operation would reduce regional vehicle trips and VMT, resulting in a reduction of GHG emissions.	Construction: Negligible air quality and GHG effects in the Western Section as no construction activities are proposed. Substantial air quality effects in the Eastern Section could occur due to construction air quality emissions exceeding localized air quality standards.  Operation: Localized air quality effects could be substantial; however, operation of the Program would generally result in long-term net benefits to air quality through reduction of criteria pollutants through a decrease in regional VMT. Substantial GHG benefits are anticipated as operation would reduce regional vehicle trips and VMT, resulting in a reduction of GHG emissions.
Noise and Vibration	No Program-related construction or increase in service would occur; however, freight and intercity train trips would increase in frequency due to regional growth and demand from other projects. Under the No Build Alternative, ambient noise and vibration levels from existing train operations and local traffic would continue. While no Program-related construction or increase in service would occur, rail noise is anticipated to increase within the Program Corridor.	Construction: Negligible noise and vibration effects in the Western Section as no construction activities are proposed. Substantial noise effects and moderate vibration effects in the Eastern Section due to construction noise and vibration levels exceeding FTA or local standards at sensitive receptors.  Operation: Negligible noise and vibration effects associated with continued operation of trains and stations within Western Section. Moderate noise effects within the Eastern Section due to addition of new station locations and new rail infrastructure, which could have an effect on adjacent noise sensitive uses. Negligible vibration effects within the Eastern Section.	Construction: Negligible noise and vibration effects in the Western Section as no construction activities are proposed. Substantial noise effects and moderate vibration effects in the Eastern Section due to construction noise and vibration levels exceeding FTA or local standards at sensitive receptors.  Operation: Negligible noise and vibration effects associated with continued operation of trains and stations within Western Section. Moderate noise effects within the Eastern Section due to addition of new station locations and new rail infrastructure, which could have an effect on adjacent noise sensitive uses. Negligible vibration effects within the Eastern Section.	Construction: Negligible noise and vibration effects in the Western Section as no construction activities are proposed. Substantial noise effects and moderate vibration effects in the Eastern Section due to construction noise and vibration levels exceeding FTA or local standards at sensitive receptors.  Operation: Negligible noise and vibration effects associated with continued operation of trains and stations within Western Section. Moderate noise effects within the Eastern Section due to addition of new station locations and new rail infrastructure, which could have an effect on adjacent noise sensitive uses. Negligible vibration effects within the Eastern Section.

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
Jurisdictional Waters and Wetland Resources	No effects on jurisdictional waters and wetland resources are anticipated under the No Build Alternative.	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to temporary construction activities in proximity to jurisdictional waters and wetlands.	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to temporary construction activities in proximity to jurisdictional waters and wetlands.	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to temporary construction activities in proximity to jurisdictional waters and wetlands.
		Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section associated with continued operation of trains and stations within existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section associated with maintenance of culverts, bridges, embankments, and station areas.  Waterbodies: 38 waterbodies	Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section associated with continued operation of trains and stations within existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section associated with maintenance of culverts, bridges, embankments, and station areas.  Waterbodies: 38 waterbodies	Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section associated with continued operation of trains and stations within existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section associated with maintenance of culverts, bridges, embankments, and station areas.  Waterbodies: 38 waterbodies
		Wetlands: 355 wetlands (731 acres)	Wetlands: 353 wetlands (729.78 acres)	Wetlands: 353 wetlands (729.78 acres)
Biological Resources	No effects on biological resources are anticipated under the No Build Alternative.	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Substantial construction effects within the Eastern Section due to the numerous biological resources within the Program's potential construction footprint.  Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section associated with continued operation of trains and stations within existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section associated with maintenance activities (e.g., application of pesticides and herbicides, addition of light sources that could disrupt wildlife habitat/movement and increased human activity).  Sensitive Vegetation Communities: 5 sensitive communities with potential to occur  Special-Status Plant Species: 22 species with potential to occur  Wildlife Movement Corridors: 1 (San Bernardino-San Jacinto Connection)	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Substantial construction effects within the Eastern Section due to the numerous biological resources within the Program's potential construction footprint.  Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section associated with continued operation of trains and stations within existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section associated with maintenance activities (e.g., application of pesticides and herbicides, addition of light sources that could disrupt wildlife habitat/movement and increased human activity).  Sensitive Natural Communities: 5 sensitive communities with potential to occur  Special-Status Plant Species: 22 species with potential to occur  Special-Status Wildlife Species: 66 species with potential to occur  Wildlife Movement Corridors: 1 (San Bernardino-San Jacinto Connection)	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Substantial construction effects within the Eastern Section due to the numerous biological resources within the Program's potential construction footprint.  Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section associated with continued operation of trains and stations within existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section associated with maintenance activities (e.g., application of pesticides and herbicides, addition of light sources that could disrupt wildlife habitat/movement and increased human activity).  Sensitive Natural Communities: 5 sensitive communities with potential to occur  Special-Status Plant Species: 22 species with potential to occur  Special-Status Wildlife Species: 66 species with potential to occur  Wildlife Movement Corridors: 1 (San Bernardino-San Jacinto Connection)

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
Floodplains, Hydrology,	No effects on floodplains, hydrology, or water quality are	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as
and Water Quality	anticipated under the No Build Alternative.	construction activities required. Potentially moderate	construction activities required. Potentially moderate	no construction activities required. Potentially moderate
		effects within the Eastern Section on floodplains,	effects within the Eastern Section on floodplains,	effects within the Eastern Section on floodplains,
		hydrology, and water quality would occur as a result of	hydrology, and water quality would occur as a result of	hydrology, and water quality would occur as a result of
		construction activities in proximity to these water	construction activities in proximity to these water	construction activities in proximity to these water
		resources.	resources.	resources.
		Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and	Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and	Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and
		Eastern Sections due to compliance with legislation	Eastern Sections due to compliance with legislation	Eastern Sections due to compliance with legislation
		governing impacts on water resources.	governing impacts on water resources.	governing impacts on water resources.
Geology, Soils, Seismicity,	Because no physical changes associated with the	Seismic and Geologic Hazards	Seismic and Geologic Hazards	Seismic and Geologic Hazards
and Paleontological	Program would occur, no effects on geology, soils,	Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as
Resources	seismicity, and paleontological and mineral resources	construction activities required. Potentially moderate	construction activities required. Potentially moderate	no construction activities required. Potentially moderate
	are anticipated under the No Build Alternative. However,	effects in the Eastern Section due to construction in	effects in the Eastern Section due to construction in	effects in the Eastern Section due to construction in
	due to the seismic nature of Southern California,	areas within seismic zones and areas geologically	areas within seismic zones and areas geologically	areas within seismic zones and areas geologically
	geologic hazards such as seismically induced fault	ill-suited (e.g., prone to landslides, underlain by	ill-suited (e.g., prone to landslides, underlain by	ill-suited (e.g., prone to landslides, underlain by
	rupture, ground shaking, landslides, and liquefaction may still occur under the No Build Alternative.	expansive soils, etc.,) to railroad infrastructure.	expansive soils, etc.,) to railroad infrastructure.	expansive soils, etc.,) to railroad infrastructure.
	may sun occur under the No Build Alternative.	Operation. Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Operation. Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Operation. Negligible effects in Western Section as no
		additional infrastructure proposed. Potentially moderate	additional infrastructure proposed. Potentially moderate	additional infrastructure proposed. Potentially moderate
		effects in the Eastern Section due to the proposed route	effects in the Eastern Section due to the proposed route	effects in the Eastern Section due to the proposed route
		alternative traversing a seismically active region.	alternative traversing a seismically active region.	alternative traversing a seismically active region.
		Paleontological Resources	Paleontological Resources	Paleontological Resources
		Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as
		construction activities required. Substantial effects in the	construction activities required. Substantial effects in the	no construction activities required. Substantial effects in
		Eastern Section due to excavation within	Eastern Section due to excavation within	the Eastern Section due to excavation within
		paleontologically sensitive areas.	paleontologically sensitive areas.	paleontologically sensitive areas.
		Operation. Negligible effects as operation in the Western	Operation. Negligible effects as operation in the Western	Operation. Negligible effects as operation in the Western
		and Eastern Sections would not involve sub-surface	and Eastern Sections would not involve sub-surface	and Eastern Sections would not involve sub-surface
		excavations.	excavations.	excavations.
		Mineral Resources	Mineral Resources	Mineral Resources
		Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction. Negligible effects in Western Section as
		construction activities required. Substantial effects in the	construction activities required. Substantial effects in the	no construction activities required. Substantial effects in
		Eastern Section as land designated for mineral resource	Eastern Section as land designated for mineral resource	the Eastern Section as land designated for mineral
		extraction could be converted to transportation use.	extraction could be converted to transportation use.	resource extraction could be converted to transportation
		Operation. Negligible effects in the Western and Eastern	Operation. Negligible effects in the Western and Eastern	use.
		Sections as operation would not involve sub-surface	Sections as operation would not involve sub-surface	
		excavations.	excavations.	

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
				Operation. Negligible effects in the Western and Eastern Sections as operation would not involve sub-surface excavations.
Hazards and Hazardous Materials	Because no physical changes would occur, no effects on hazards or hazardous materials are anticipated under the No Build Alternative.	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to construction in areas located in proximity to hazardous materials sites, fire hazard severity zones, and airport influence areas.  Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and Eastern Sections as any operational use/transport of hazardous materials would be in compliance with state and federal law.  Number of Hazardous Materials Regulatory Database Listings: 2,282  Fire Hazard Severity Zones: 4,048.7 acres  Airports/Airport Influence Areas: 8  Schools within 0.25 mile: 26	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to construction in areas located in proximity to hazardous materials sites, fire hazard severity zones, and airport influence areas.  Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and Eastern Sections as any operational use/transport of hazardous materials would be in compliance with state and federal law.  Number of Hazardous Materials Regulatory Database Listings: 2,203  Fire Hazard Severity Zones: 4,048.7 acres  Airports/Airport Influence Areas: 7  Schools within 0.25 mile: 23	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to construction in areas located in proximity to hazardous materials sites, fire hazard severity zones, and airport influence areas.  Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and Eastern Sections as any operational use/transport of hazardous materials would be in compliance with state and federal law.  Number of Hazardous Materials Regulatory Database Listings: 2,203  Fire Hazard Severity Zones: 4,048.7 acres  Airports/Airport Influence Areas: 7  Schools within 0.25 mile: 23
Public Utilities and Energy	Because no physical changes would occur, no effects on public utilities or solid waste facilities are anticipated under the No Build Alternative.  However, projected future growth in the Program Corridor would result in a corresponding increase in traffic and VMT as more cars would be on the roadways. Therefore, traffic congestion is likely to worsen with the No Build Alternative, resulting in air quality effects. Similarly, with the continued trend in increases of VMT within the Program Corridor, energy consumption would likely increase under the No Build Alternative.	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to conflicts with existing utility infrastructure during construction.  Potentially moderate effects pertaining to water and energy use during construction in the Eastern Section as construction of the Program would require consumption of available resources; however, existing supplies would be sufficient.  Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as existing tracks would be utilized and maintenance conducted within the existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to increased demand for water, energy, wastewater treatment, and solid waste disposal.  Electric transmission lines: 180  Natural gas pipelines: 6  Oil/petroleum product pipelines: 7	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to conflicts with existing utility infrastructure during construction.  Potentially moderate effects pertaining to water and energy use during construction in the Eastern Section as construction of the Program would require consumption of available resources; however, existing supplies would be sufficient.  Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as existing tracks would be utilized and maintenance conducted within the existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to increased demand for water, energy, wastewater treatment, and solid waste disposal.  Electric transmission lines: 174  Natural gas pipelines: 6  Oil/petroleum product pipelines: 7	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no construction activities required. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to conflicts with existing utility infrastructure during construction.  Potentially moderate effects pertaining to water and energy use during construction in the Eastern Section as construction of the Program would require consumption of available resources; however, existing supplies would be sufficient.  Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as existing tracks would be utilized and maintenance conducted within the existing ROW. Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to increased demand for water, energy, wastewater treatment, and solid waste disposal.  Electric transmission lines: 174  Natural gas pipelines: 6  Oil/petroleum product pipelines: 7

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
		Canals/aqueducts: 1	Canals/aqueducts: 1	Canals/aqueducts: 1
		Landfills in proximity: 27	Landfills in proximity: 27	Landfills in proximity: 27
Cultural Resources	Because no physical changes would occur, no effects on	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as
	cultural resources are anticipated under the No Build	construction activities required. Substantial effects in the	construction activities required. Substantial effects in the	no construction activities required. Substantial effects in
	Alternative.	Eastern Section as construction activities could result in	Eastern Section as construction activities could result in	the Eastern Section as construction activities could
		damage and disturbance of cultural resources, including	damage and disturbance of cultural resources, including	result in damage and disturbance of cultural resources,
		previously unknown buried cultural resources and/or	previously unknown buried cultural resources and/or	including previously unknown buried cultural resources
		human remains.	human remains.	and/or human remains.
		Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and	Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and	Operation: Negligible effects in both the Western and
		Eastern Sections as operational activities would be	Eastern Sections as operational activities would be	Eastern Sections as operational activities would be
		predominantly located in the railroad ROW with low	predominantly located in the railroad ROW with low	predominantly located in the railroad ROW with low
		probability of damaging cultural resources and/or human	probability of damaging cultural resources and/or human	probability of damaging cultural resources and/or human
		remains.	remains.	remains.
		Number of Known Cultural Resources: 384	Number of Known Cultural Resources: 361	Number of Known Cultural Resources: 361
		(117 archaeological sites and 267 built environment	(112 archaeological sites and 249 built environment	(112 archaeological sites and 249 built environment
		resources). Of these 384 known cultural resources, 1	resources). Of these 361 known cultural resources, 1	resources). Of these 361 known cultural resources, 1
		resource is a listed NRHP property, 41 resources are	resource is a listed NRHP property, 36 resources are	resource is a listed NRHP property, 36 resources are
		potentially eligible for NRHP or CRHR listing, and 188	potentially eligible for NRHP or CRHR listing, and 171	potentially eligible for NRHP or CRHR listing, and 171
		resources have not been evaluated for NRHP or CRHR	resources have not been evaluated for NRHP or CRHR	resources have not been evaluated for NRHP or CRHR
		eligibility.	eligibility.	eligibility.

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
Parklands and Community	Because no physical changes would occur, no effects on	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as
Services	parklands or community services are anticipated under	construction activities required. Moderate effects in	construction activities required. Moderate effects in	no construction activities required. Moderate effects in
	the No Build Alternative.	Eastern Section could result on existing parklands and	Eastern Section could result on existing parklands and	Eastern Section could result on existing parklands and
		community facilities if the resources are near where an	community facilities if the resources are near where an	community facilities if the resources are near where an
		infrastructure improvement or station is being	infrastructure improvement or station is being	infrastructure improvement or station is being
		constructed and/or if parklands would be acquired and	constructed and/or if parklands would be acquired and	constructed and/or if parklands would be acquired and
		demolished to construct the proposed improvements.	demolished to construct the proposed improvements.	demolished to construct the proposed improvements.
		Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as	Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as	Operation: Negligible effects in Western Section as
		operation would occur within an existing railroad ROW.	operation would occur within an existing railroad ROW.	operation would occur within an existing railroad ROW.
		Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section as	Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section as	Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section as
		new station areas could encourage transit-oriented	new station areas could encourage transit-oriented	new station areas could encourage transit-oriented
		development and associated increases in population	development and associated increases in population	development and associated increases in population
		and, in turn, increases in the use of existing parks and	and, in turn, increases in the use of existing parks and	and, in turn, increases in the use of existing parks and
		community facilities; however, operation of the new	community facilities; however, operation of the new	community facilities; however, operation of the new
		railroad infrastructure and stations would not be	railroad infrastructure and stations would not be	railroad infrastructure and stations would not be
		anticipated to require new or physically altered parklands	anticipated to require new or physically altered parklands	anticipated to require new or physically altered
		and community facilities.	and community facilities.	parklands and community facilities.
		Park/trail: 27	Park/trail: 25	Park/trail: 25
		Place of worship: 90	Place of worship: 85	Place of worship: 85
		Educational facility: 27	Educational facility: 23	Educational facility: 23
		Healthcare facility: 8	Healthcare facility: 6	Healthcare facility: 6
		Fire protection facility: 9	Fire protection facility: 9	Fire protection facility: 9
		Law enforcement facility: 6	Law enforcement facility: 6	Law enforcement facility: 6

Environmental Topic	No Build Alternative	Build Alternative Option 1	Build Alternative Option 2	Build Alternative Option 3
Safety and Security	Because no physical changes would occur, no effects on	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as no	Construction: Negligible effects in Western Section as
	safety and security are anticipated under the No Build	construction activities required. Potentially moderate in	construction activities required. Potentially moderate	no construction activities required. Potentially moderate
	Alternative.	the Eastern Section effects associated with construction	effects in the Eastern Section associated with	effects in the Eastern Section associated with
		as temporary closure of lanes, sidewalks, bicycle lanes	construction as temporary closure of lanes, sidewalks,	construction as temporary closure of lanes, sidewalks,
		and routes, driveways, streets, and freeway lanes could	bicycle lanes and routes, driveways, streets, and	bicycle lanes and routes, driveways, streets, and
		result in safety hazards during construction.	freeway lanes could result in safety hazards during	freeway lanes could result in safety hazards during
		Operation: Negligible effects in the Western Section as	construction.	construction.
		the addition of two daily round trips would not change the	Operation: Negligible effects in the Western Section as	Operation: Negligible effects in the Western Section as
		existing safety and security protocols for passengers,	the addition of two daily round trips would not change the	the addition of two daily round trips would not change
		transit employees, and the public in or near the existing	existing safety and security protocols for passengers,	the existing safety and security protocols for
		passenger rail system or station facilities. Potentially	transit employees, and the public in or near the existing	passengers, transit employees, and the public in or near
		moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to	passenger rail system or station facilities. Potentially	the existing passenger rail system or station facilities.
		implementation of new infrastructure requiring new rail	moderate effects in the Eastern Section due to	Potentially moderate effects in the Eastern Section due
		safety equipment and protocols.	implementation of new infrastructure requiring new rail	to implementation of new infrastructure requiring new rail
			safety equipment and protocols.	safety equipment and protocols.

Notes:

CRHR=California Register of Historical Resources; FTA=Federal Transit Administration; GHG=greenhouse gas; I=Interstate; NRHP=National Register of Historic Places; ROW=right-of-way; SR=State Route; VMT=vehicle miles traveled

7 Evaluation of Alternatives

This page is intentionally blank.

## 7.1 Preferred Alternative

During the Tier 1/Program scoping process, FRA, Caltrans, and RCTC conducted an interactive process to develop the Program Purpose and Need, as well as high-level goals and objectives (Chapter 2, Program Alternatives). The Program goals and objectives were then used to develop an evaluation framework, which served as the basis for the analysis of Program Corridor concepts and preliminary alternatives, as well as the identification of the preferred alternative. The key differentiating factors for this recommendation are summarized in Table 7-1. Chapter 3, Sections 3.2 through 3.17, describes the potential environmental consequences of the No Build Alternative and the Build Alternative Options.

As summarized in Table 7-1, the No Build Alternative does not meet the Purpose and Need. Specifically, the No Build Alternative would not divert highway trips within the Program Corridor, reduce congestion, increase access to employment and activity centers, or provide reliable travel times and a level of safety comparable to that offered by passenger rail travel. The No Build Alternative would not connect the urban, suburban, and rural areas between Los Angeles and Coachella with a high-capacity travel option, facilitate continued development of a multimodal transportation network, or provide mobility choices for existing and future needs.

In summary, considering the projected ridership, agency and public input, and potential environmental impacts associated with improving passenger rail within the Program Corridor, a passenger rail system from LAUS to Coachella (Build Alternative Option 1) is considered to be more cost efficient and better performing than a passenger rail system from LAUS to Indio (Build Alternative Option 2) or with limited third track infrastructure (Build Alternative Option 3), with similar potential impacts on the environment. FRA and Caltrans recommend Build Alternative Option 1 as the preferred alternative in the Draft Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR for purposes of NEPA.

## 7.2 Environmentally Superior Alternative

CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(e)(2) requires the selection of an environmentally superior alternative. Based on the evaluation presented in Table 7-1, the No Build Alternative would be the environmentally superior alternative because it would not result in any new construction-related effects or require new land acquisition that may be required for rail infrastructure. However, as further described below, the No Build Alternative does not offer potential long-term air quality, transportation, or economic benefits outlined in the Program's Purpose and Need.

CEQA Guidelines Section 15126.6(e)(2) also states that where the No Project (No Build) Alternative is considered the environmentally superior alternative, the EIR shall identify another environmentally

superior alternative. Accordingly, this Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR also considered the Build Alternative, which consists of three Build Alternative Options. While the Build Alternative Options would potentially affect environmental resources in the Program Corridor, various components of the Build Alternative Options would (individually and collectively) enhance safety and enable greater reliability for both passenger and freight rail traffic. Additionally, the Build Alternative Options would meet the following goals:

- Provides travelers between the Los Angeles Basin and the Coachella Valley with a public transportation service that offers more convenient and competitive trip times, better station access, and more frequency than currently available public transportation services
- 2. Provides travelers between the Los Angeles Basin and the Coachella Valley with an alternative to driving that offers reliable travel schedules
- 3. Provides travelers between the Los Angeles Basin and the Coachella Valley with an affordable transportation service
- 4. Serves a range of trip purposes traveling between the Los Angeles Basin and the Coachella Valley, particularly including business, social, medical, leisure, and recreational trips
- Improves regional travel opportunities between the Los Angeles Basin and the Coachella Valley for transit-dependent people
- 6. Serves the expected population growth in the Los Angeles Basin and the Coachella Valley
- 7. Does not preclude, by choice of alignment or technology, a possible future corridor expansion between the Coachella Valley and Phoenix

In addition, the Build Alternative Options are anticipated to contribute to improvements in regional air quality, as increased rail ridership would lead to fewer automotive VMT in the Program Corridor. While Build Alternative Options 2 and 3 could have less environmental impacts (due to a shorter rail route and fewer station facilities), Build Alternative Options 2 and 3 are anticipated to result in fewer reductions of VMT and GHG emissions than Build Alternative Option 1. In addition, at this Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR service-level evaluation, site-specific environmental impacts are not known and could be the same for all Build Alternative Options, depending on the location of the rail infrastructure improvements and station facilities. For purposes of this Tier 1/Program EIS/EIR, Build Alternative Option 1 has been identified as the environmentally superior alternative and recommended preferred alternative.