GENERAL PLANNING CONSULTANT

TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM 86.3.1:

DOCUMENTATION OF THE MEASURES OF EFFECTIVENESS EVALUATION PROGRAMS - UEVAL

Prepared for:

Southern California Rapid Transit District

Prepared by:

Barton-Aschman Associates, Inc.

in association with

Schimpeler Corradino Associates, Inc.
The Cordoba Corporation
Myra L. Frank and Associates
Manuel Padron
The Planning Group, Inc.

June 1986

(revised October 1986)

MTA LIBRARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
1.	<u>SUMMARY</u>	1
2.	<u>PROGRAMS</u>	2
3.	<u>REPORTS</u>	3
4.	DETAILED PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS	4
	4.1 EVAL1	.4 .5 .6 .7 .9
5.	USING EVAL1 AND EVAL3 TO CREATE A PROJECT DESCRIPTION	12
6.	CALCULATING UMTA COST-EFFECTIVENESS INDICES	13
	6.1 USING EVAL2W AND EVAL2N TO CALCULATE "OLD" UMTA INDEX 6.2 USING EVAL4W AND EVAL4N TO CALCULATE "NEW" UMTA INDEX	
APPE	NDICES	

1. SUMMARY

UEVAL is a series of six UTPS compatible FORTRAN programs which report major effectiveness measures for a given transit alternative. These measures include bus and rail patronage, amounts of service provided, service utilization and efficiency, automobile utilization, capital and operating costs, and cost-effectiveness.

The programs are designed to complement the normal UTPS demand modeling chain. Numerous data sets which are created during the modeling chain are input data sets to one or more of the evaluation programs.

2. PROGRAMS

The six programs operate independently; most likely the user will choose to operate them in pairs. The function of each program is described below.

EVAL1 - This program computes and presents general information related to a specific alternative including travel and service characteristics, costs, revenues, and service efficiency.

EVAL3 - This program computes and presents automobile utilization measures related to a specific alternative. If requested by the user, this program will compare auto utilization to that for a TSM alternative.

EVAL2W, EVAL2N - These programs (W for work trips, N for non-work trips) calculate the travel time savings associated with a guideway alternative when compared to a TSM alternative. The results are used in the calculation of the old UMTA cost-effectiveness index.

EVAL4W, EVAL4N - These programs (W for work trips, N for non-work trips) calculate the user benefits associated with a guideway or TSM alternative when compared to a Do-nothing alternative. The results are used in the calculation of the new UMTA cost-effectiveness index.

3. REPORTS

Program EVAL1 produces eight reports listing various effectiveness measures, including a summary report.

Titles of these reports are:

- o Daily Regionwide Transit Patronage
- o RTD Rail Patronage
- o Bus Patronage
- o Total RTD Patronage
- o RTD Service
- o RTD Utilization and Efficiency
- o Capital Costs

Samples of these reports are included in Appendix A.

Program EVAL3 produces a single report listing automobile utilization measures. A sample of this report is included in Appendix B. The other four programs do not produce formal reports.

4. DETAILED PROGRAM DESCRIPTIONS

In this section, the purpose, job steps, input data sets, and user parameters for each of the six programs are discussed.

4.1 EVAL1

Purpose: This program obtains, calculates, and presents major effectiveness measures for a given transit alternative.

Job Steps: The program contains three job steps. The last one is the EVAL1 FORTRAN program. The other steps copy needed data sets from tapes to temporary disk storage.

Program Execution: The user must first identify and assemble all of the necessary input data sets and enter the names in the appropriate locations in the EVAL1 JCL. Then the user should decide which RSAs he/she wishes to include in the sub-regional trip summaries listed in the table labeled 'Daily Regionwide Transit Patronage.' The numbers corresponding to these RSAs must be included in the array R. The user should then define an 'alternative name' of up to six characters, and an 'alternative description' of up to 20 characters. EVAL1 is then executed as a stand-alone program.

Input Data Sets: Since the program obtains and reports a wide variety of information, many input data sets are required:

- FT01F001 Alternative-Specific Input Data Set. This data set must contain total and annualized capital costs and annual local capital funding for the alternative being evaluated. This data set must be assembled by the user. Its format and content are shown in Appendix C. DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.ALTSPEC
- FT02F001 URAP Output File. This data set contains bus and rail service and utilization data for the alternative being evaluated. It is the output of the URAP program.

 DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.URAPOUT
- FT03F001 BUSCOST Output File. This data set contains operating and maintenance costs for the alternative being evaluated. It must be assembled by the user. Its format and content are shown in Appendix D. DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.BUSCOST
- FTO4FOO1 Revenue Calculator Program Output File. This data set contains operating revenues for the alternative being evaluated. It must be assembled by the user. Its format and content are shown in Appendix E.

 DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.REVENUE

- FT09F001 User-Specified File With Rail Data (Optional). This data set contains information on the rail peak load point and rail station activity. It is assembled by the user with the printout from Mode of Arrival and UPRAS. It is discussed in Appendix F. DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.RAIL.DATA
- FT11F001 Work Transit Trip Tables for the Alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT14
- FT12F001 Work Person Trip Table (UTPS).
- FT13F001 Nonwork Transit Trip Tables for the Alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT12
- FT15F001 Merged Work Transit Skims for the Alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.AM17
- FT16F001 Merged Nonwork Transit Skims for the Alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.MDY5
- FT22F001 Names of Regional Statistical Areas (RSAs). Data set MRP.RSA.NAMES can be used.
- FT23F001 Names of Rail Stations. Data set MRP.RAIL.STATION.NAMES can be used.
- FT24F001 Zone to RSA Equivalence File. Data set MRP.ZONE.TO.RSA.EQUIVS can be used.

User Parameters: A few input parameters must be specified by the user. These are included in an &INPUT card at the end of the program:

Key Word	Explanation
R	 This array of up to 10 values, representing the RSA numbers requested for sub-regional trip end summaries.
ALTNM	- Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
DESCR	- Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
FILE9	- Has FT09 been specified? 1=Yes, 0=No (Default=0).

4.2 EVAL2W

Purpose: This program calculates the travel time savings associated with a guideway alternative when compared to a TSM alternative. The change in ridership is also calculated. EVAL2W calculates these values for work trips only. The results are used in the calculation of the old UMTA cost-effectiveness index.

Job Steps: The program contains three job steps. The last one is the EVAL2W FORTRAN program. The other steps copy needed data sets from tapes to temporary disk storage.

Program Execution: The user must first identify the necessary input data sets and enter the names in the appropriate locations in the JCL. The user should then define guideway and TSM 'alternative names' of up to six characters, and 'alternative descriptions' of up to 20 characters. EVAL2W is then executed as a stand-alone program.

Input Data Sets: The following input data sets are used by the progam:

FT11F001 - Merged Work Transit Skims for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.AM17

FT12F001 - Merged Work Transit Skims for the TSM alternative (UTPS).
DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.AM17

FT13F001 - Work Transit Trip Tables for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT14

FT14F001 - Work Transit Trip Tables for the TSM alternative (UTPS).
DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT14

User Parameters: A few input parameters must be specified by the user. These are included in an &INPUT card at the end of the program.

Key Word	Explanation
ALTNM	- Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
ALTDES	- Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
TSMNM	- TSM Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
TSMDES	- TSM Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
TVAL	 Value of work trip travel time in dollars. Default = 4.00
DOLYR	- Year of dollars for travel time. Default = 1984

4.3 EVAL2N

Purpose: This program calculates the travel time savings associated with a guideway alternative when compared to a TSM alternative. The change in ridership is also calculated. EVAL2N calculates these values for non-work trips only. The results are used in the calculation of the old UMTA cost-effectiveness index.

Job Steps: The program contains three job steps. The last one is the EVAL2N FORTRAN program. The other steps copy needed data sets from tapes to temporary disk storage.

Program Execution: The user must first identify the necessary input data sets and enter the names in the appropriate locations in the JCL. The user should then define guideway and TSM 'alternative names' of up to six characters, and 'alternative descriptions' of up to 20 characters. EVAL2N is then executed as a stand-alone program.

Input data Sets: The following input data sets are used by the program:

FT11F001 - Merged Nonwork Transit Skims for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.MDY5

FT12F001 - Merged Nonwork Transit Skims for the TSM alternative (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.MDY5

FT13F001 - Nonwork Transit Trip Tables for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT12

FT14F001 - Nonwork Transit Trip Tables for the TSM alternative (UTPS).
DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT12

User Parameters: A few input parameters must be specified by the user. These are included in an &INPUT card at the end of the program.

Key Word	Explanation
ALTNM	- Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
ALTDES	- Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
TSMNM	- TSM Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
TSMDES	- TSM Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
TVAL	 Value of work trip travel time in dollars. Default = 4.00. The value of nonwork trip travel time is assumed to be half the value of work trip travel time.
DOLYR	- Year of dollars for travel time. Default = 1984

4.4 EVAL3

Purpose: This program calculates and presents automobile utilization measures for a given transit alternative. It will also compute diverted automobile travel in comparison with a TSM alternative if requested by the user.

Job Steps: The program contains up to five job steps. The last one is the EVAL3 FORTRAN program. The other steps copy needed data sets from tapes to temporary disk storage. One copy step is used if there is no second alternative for comparison. If there is a second alternative for comparison, a total of four copy steps are used if the highway skims for the two alternatives are different, three copy steps if the highway skims are the same.

Program Execution: The user must first determine if a comparison to a TSM alternative is to be made. If a comparison is to be made, the user must then determine if the two alternatives have the same or different highway skims. Then the user must identify the necessary input data sets and enter the names in the appropriate locations in the EVAL3 JCL. Finally the user should then define the guideway and TSM 'alternative names' of up to six characters, and 'alternative descriptions' of up to 20 characters, and enter the necessary user parameters. EVAL3 is then executed as a stand-alone program.

Input Data Sets: The following input data sets are used by the program:

- FT01F001 'A' deck for the alternative being evaluated. This data set contains the highway network access times and distances.
- FT02F001 ~ 'A' deck for the TSM alternative. If no comparison is being made, this data set should not be defined.
- FT11F001 Work Transit Trip Tables for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT14
- FT12F001 Nonwork Transit Trip Tables for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT12
- FT13F001 Highway Skims for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS).
- FT14F001 Work Transit Trip Tables for the TSM alternative. If no comparison is being made, this data set should not be defined (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT14
- FT15F001 Nonwork Transit Trip Tables for the TSM alternative. If no comparison is being made, this data set should not be defined (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT12
- FT16F001 ~ Highway Skims for the TSM alternative. If no comparison is being made, or if these skims are the same as those for the alternative being evaluated, this data set should not be defined (UTPS).
- FT24F001 Zone to RSA Equivalence File. Data set MRP.ZONE.TO.RSA.EQUIVS can be used.

User Parameters: A few input parameters must be specified by the user. These are included in an &INPUT card at the end of the program:

Key Word	Explanation
COMPAR	 This is the comparison switch. 'Y' indicates that there is an alternative to be compared to.
GWYNM	- Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
GWYDES	- Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
TSMNM	- TSM Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
TSMDES	- TSM Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
NSKIMS	- Number of highway skim data sets specified by user (1 or 2).

4.5 EVAL4W

Purpose: This program calculates user benefits associated with a given guideway of TSM alternative when compared with a Do-Nothing alternative. EVAL4W calculates benefits for work trips only. The result is used in the calculation of the new UMTA cost-effectiveness index.

Job Steps: The program contains five job steps. The last one is the EVAL4W FORTRAN program. The other steps copy needed data sets from tapes to temporary disk storage.

Program Execution: The user must first identify the necessary input data sets and enter the names in the appropriate locations in the JCL. The user should then define the appropriate 'alternative names' of up to six characters, and 'alternative descriptions' of up to 20 characters. EVAL4W is then executed as a stand-alone program.

Input Data Sets: The following input data sets are used by the program:

FT11F001 - Merged Work Transit Skims for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.AM17

FT12F001 - Merged Work Transit Skims for the Do-Nothing alternative (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.AM17

FT13F001 - Work Transit Trip Tables for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT14

FT14F001 - Work Transit Trip Tables for the Do-Nothing Alternative (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT14

FT15F001 - Work Transit Fares for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.AMFARE.MYEARALT

FT16F001 - Work Transit Fares for the Do-Nothing alternative (UTPS).
DSN=MRP.AMFARE.MYEARALT

User Parameters: A few input parameters must be specified by the user. These are included in an &INPUT card at the end of the program.

Key Word	Explanation
ALTNM	- Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
ALTDES	- Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
DNNM	- Do-Nothing Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
DNDES.	- Do-Nothing Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
TVAL	 Value of work trip travel time in dollars. Default=4.00
AINFL	 Inflation factor for converting input fares to the same year dollars as the value of time for alternative being evaluated.
DINFL	 Inflation factor for converting input fares to the same year dollars as the value of time for do-nothing alternative.
DOLYR	- Year of dollars for the value of time. Default=1984.

4.6 EVAL4N

Purpose: This program calculates user benefits associated with a given guideway or TSM alternative when compared with a Do-Nothing alternative. EVAL4N calculates benefits for non-work trips only. The result is used in the calculation of the new UMTA cost-effectiveness index.

Job Steps: The program contains five job steps. The last one is the EVAL4N FORTRAN program. The other steps copy needed data sets from tapes to temporary disk storage.

Program Execution: The user must first identify the necessary input data sets and enter the names in the appropriate locations in the JCL. The user should then define the appropriate 'alternative names' of up to six characters, and 'alternative descriptions' of up to 20 characters. EVAL4N is then executed as a stand-alone program.

Input Data Sets: The following input data sets are used by the program:

FT11FD01 - Merged Nonwork Transit Skims for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.MDY5

FT12F001 - Merged Nonwork Transit Skims for the Do-Nothing alternative (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.MDY5

FT13F001 - Nonwork Transit Trip Tables for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT12

FT14F001 - Nonwork Transit Trip Tables for the Do-Nothing alternative (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MYEARALT.TT12

FT15F001 - Nonwork Transit Fares for the alternative being evaluated (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MDFARE.MYEARALT

FT16F001 - Nonwork Transit Fares for the Do-Nothing alternative (UTPS). DSN=MRP.MDFARE.MYEARALT

User Parameters: A few input parameters must be specified by the user. These are included in an &INPUT card at the end of the program.

Key Word	Explanation
ALTNM	- Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
ALTDES	- Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
DNNM	- Do-Nothing Alternative Name. Dimensioned A4, A2.
DNDES	- Do-Nothing Alternative Description. Dimensioned 5A4.
TVAL	 Value of work trip travel time in dollars. Default=4.0D. The value of nonwork trip travel time is assumed to be half the value of work trip travel time.
AINFL	 Inflation factor for converting input fares to the same year dollars as the value of time for alternative being evaluated.
DINFL	 Inflation factor for converting input fares to the same year dollars as the value of time for do-nothing alternative.
DOLYR	- Year of dollars for the value of time. Default=1984.

5. USING EVAL1 AND EVAL3 TO CREATE A PROJECT DESCRIPTION

By combining the output of programs EVAL1 and EVAL3 for a given transit alternative, the user creates a nine-page description of an alternative's merits. Descriptions for various alternatives can then be reviewed by decision-makers for use in their evaluations.

6. CALCULATING UMTA COST-EFFECTIVENESS INDICES

Programs EVAL2W, EVAL2N, and EVAL4W, and EVAL4N provide the patronage data needed in the calculation of the UMTA indices. Financial information is also necessary. The procedure for computing the necessary patronage data is described below.

6.1 USING EVAL2W AND EVAL2N TO CALCULATE "OLD" UMTA INDEX

Define: Guideway Alternative
TSM Alternative

- 1: Run EVAL2W comparing Guideway and TSM alternatives. Results - Annual value of time savings (work) Annual delta riders (work)
- 2: Run EVAL2N comparing Guideway and TSM alternatives. Results - Annual value of time savings (nonwork) Annual delta riders (nonwork)

RIDERS = Annual delta riders (work) + Annual delta riders (nonwork).

Note that if the guideway alternative is superior to the TSM alternative, the values of time savings will be <u>negative</u>.

6.2 USING EVAL4W AND EVAL4N TO CALCULATE "NEW" UMTA INDEX

Define: Guideway Alternative TSM Alternative Do-Nothing Alternative

- Run EVAL4W comparing Guideway and Do-Nothing alternatives.
 Result Annual user benefits (Guideway, work)
- 2: Run EVAL4N comparing Guideway and Do-Nothing alternatives. Result Annual user benefits (Guideway, nonwork).
- Run EVAL4W comparing TSM and Do-Nothing alternatives.
 Result Annual user benefits (TSM, work)
- 4. Run EVAL4N comparing TSM and Do-Nothing alternatives Result Annual user benefits (TSM, nonwork)
- 5. Calculate input to formula.

△ USER BENEFITS = [Annual user benefits (Guideway, work) + Annual user benefits (Guideway, nonwork)] - [Annual user benefits (TSM, work) + Annual user benefits (TSM, nonwork)]

APPENDIX A

EVAL1 Sample Reports

SUMMARY RESULTS.

	ALTERNATIVE NAME ALT H	DESCRI	PILON_	WESIERN	NHOLVPICO H
	TOTAL TRANSIT TRIPS	•	1669	486 (3.34%)
	WORK TRANSIT TRIPS		580		_6,29%)
	NON-WORK TRANSIT TRIPS			335 (
	DAILY TRANSIT REVENUE	ė	753804.		2.014)
				. 45 <u> </u>	
	AVG. TRANSIT FARE PER LINKED	IKIP D		.45	
	DAILY RTD RAIL BOARDINGS	26	0706		
	RTD RAIL REVENUE	\$ 850.	3.80		
	AVERAGE RAIL FARE	\$	0.03		·
	DAILY RTD_BUS_BOARDINGS	124	7616 .		
	EXPRESS BUS BOARDINGS		9528		_
	LOCAL BUS BOARDINGS		8088		
	RTD BUS REVENUES	\$_61129.			
	AVG. BUS FARE PER BOARDING	\$	0.49		
	TOTAL RTD BOARDINGS	1508	322		
	TOTAL RTD REVENUE	\$ 61979	6.81		
	AVG. FARE/BOARDING	\$	0.41		•
	RTD BUSES PEAK	190	7 [)FF~PEA	K 1089
	RTD OPERATING COST	BUS	\$45924	11.00	
	<u> </u>	RAIL	\$ 5024		
		TOTAL	\$50948		
	RID OPERATING COST/BOARDING	BUS	\$	3.68	
	KID OF ENATING COSTS BURNETNO	RAIL	<u> </u>	1.93	<u> </u>
		TOTAL		3.38	
	OTD COEDATING DATIO	BUS	•	0.13	
	RTD OPERATING RATIO				
		RAIL		0.02	
		TOTAL	_	0.12	
	OPERATING SUBSIDY/BOARDING	<u>BUS</u>	_\$	3.19	
		RAIL	\$	1.89	
		TOTAL	\$	2.97	

DAILY REGIONWIDE TRANSIT PATRONAGE

	ALT.	ERNATIVE NAME ALI	[н	DESCRI	PTION WESTER	NYHOLVEI	<u>со н</u>
		٠.		WORK	NON-WORK	TO	TAL
	PER.	SON_TRIPS		9236240	40773584	500	09824
	TRA	NSIT TRIPS		580651	1088835	16	69486
	TRA	NSIT MODE SPLIT	•	(6.29%)	(2.67%)	(3	.34%)
	SUB-	-REGIONAL MODE SP	LIT			- 	
			_	WORK		NON-WORK	
			TRIP	TRANSIT	MODE	TRANSIT	MODE
	RSA		END	TRIPS	SPLIT	TRIPS	SPLIT
	16		, P	24409	10.13%	57047	5.49%
	16		A	18163	8.27%	35161	3.34%
	23	LA CBD	Р	35395	52.18%	105859	16.70%
	23	LA CBD	A	151101	35.73%	434832	36.25%
		SAN GAB VALLEY	P	42558	8.71%	50350	2.38%
	25	SAN GAB VALLEY	A	25347	5.83%	18769	0.95%
	40	LAGUNA	P	1068	0.41%	2840	0.30%
	40	LAGUNA	A	666	0.49%	2371	0.24%
	RSA	LOCATION	TRIP END	WALK-TO- TRANSIT TRIPS	MODE SPLIT		
•	16		P	2 2 5 6 1	9.36%		
	23	LA CBD	<u>г</u> Р.	33685			
		SAN GAB VALLEY	P .	25578	5.23%		
	40		P	1068	0.41%		
) ——	40	LAGUNA	<u>. r</u>	1000	0.414		
_	AVEF	RAGE TRIP LENGTH	PER LIN	KED TRIP	(WORK)	45.76	MINUTES
	AV EF	RAGE TRIP LENGTH	PER LIN	KED TRIP.	(NON-WORK)	44.22	MINUTES
	AVER	RAGE TRIP LENGTH	PER LIN	KED TRIP	(ALL)	44.76	MINUTES
		IONAL TRANSIT TRI E AREA TRANSIT TR		3338 [,] 727			
		Y TRANSIT REVENU RAGE TRANSIT FARE		NKED TRIP	\$ 753804. \$ 0.		

RTD RAIL PATRONAGE

	RTI	D RAIL PATRONAGE	
	ALTERNATIVE NAME ALT	H DESCRIPTION	WESIERN/HOL/PICO H
	DAILY BUARDINGS	260706	
	PEAK HOUR PEAK LOAD:	UNION STATION	2500
		CIVIC CENTER	
	MOST UTILIZED STATIONS		
	NAME	DAILY ARRIVALS 3000	PEAK HOUR ARRIVALS 1700
-	WILSHIRE/FAIRFAX	2800	98
	CIVIC CENTER	2000	1900
	WILSHIRE/ALVARADO	2400	1846
	DAILY RAIL REVENUE \$	8503.80	•
	AVERAGE RAIL FARE \$	0.03	
	AVERAGE TRIP LENGTH PER	R BOARDING	4.46 MILES
			9.17 MINUTES
	•		•
			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		<u> </u>	<u></u>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	·		<u>-</u>
•			
	***************************************		.
)			·
)			

BUS PATRONAGE

	_AL TERNA	ALTERNATIVE NAME ALT H DESCRIPTION WESTERN/HOL/PICO H							
		DARDINGS		RT D LOC AL 988 C88	RTD EXPRESS 259528	RTD TOT AL 1247616	ALL BUSES 1528737		
	DAILY RE AVERAGE	FARE PER BO	ARDING			0.49	745300.44 \$ 0.49		
		IP LENGTH BOARDING: M	ILES UTES	4.33 19.11	7.63 18.60	5.02 19.00	4.96		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
									
-					<u></u>				
							-		
	·				·				
				<u> </u>	<u>.</u>				
<u>_</u>		, 	····						
•									
	ı				,				
<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u>-</u>			
									

TOTAL RTD PATRONAGE

	ALTERNATIVE NAME	ALIH	DESCRIPTION	WESTERN/HOL/P	CO H
	DAILY BOARDINGS:	LOCAL BUS EXPRESS BUS			
		RAIL TOTAL	260706 1508322		
	DAILY REVENUE		\$ 619796.81		
	AVG. FARE PER PAS	SENGER_BOARD	ING\$	0.41	
	AVERAGE TRIP LENG PER PASSENGE		4.92		
	· ·		17.30	MINUTES	
				,	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	,				
-					

RTD SERVICE

	KID	SEKAICE			
	ALTERNATIVE NAME ALT H	DESCRIPTION	WESTERN/HOL	/PICO H	
		LOCAL BUS	EXPRESS BUS	ALL BUS	RAIL
•	PEAK VEHICLES	1354	553	1907	16
	OFF-PEAK VEHICLES	965	124	1089	6
-	NUMBER OF ROUTES	222	64	286	2
	ROUTE-MILES	6549	2292	8841	46
	DAILY REV. VEHICLE MILES	216603	90312	306915	4258
	DAILY REV. VEHICLE HOURS	18739	4682	23421	162
	REV. VEHICLE MILES/VEHICLE REV. VEHICLE HOURS/VEHICLE	159.97 13.84	163.31 8.47	160.94 12.28	266.12 10.13
	VEHICLE MILES/VEHICLE HOUR	11.56	19.29	13.10	26.28
	-	_			
				-	
		·			
		•			
-					
		•			
	<u></u>				
			÷		
		<u> </u>			
	•				
	- 		 -		
	·	<i>,</i> .		·	
			-		
		-			
		• • •		_	
				•	
	,	<u> </u>			
		•		<u> </u>	

RTD UTILIZATION AND EFFICIENCY

	ALTE	RNATI	VE NA	ME AI	LI H	i	D E SC	RIPTIO	N_WES]	[ERNZ	HOLZPICC	<u>H</u>	_		
	BOAR	DINGS DINGS	PER	VEH.		,	± ••=	LOCAL BUS 4.56 52.73 29.75	EXPR BL 2. 55. 469.	JS . 87 . 43	ALL 8US 4.07 53.27 654.23	RAI 61. 1609. 16294.	.23 .30	TOTAL 4.85 63.96	
	OP ER OP ER	ATING ATING	COST	'/BOAF	RDING				73495	1.\$4 .83\$	592411.\$ 3.68\$	50248	32.\$5 .93\$	3.38	
		ATING			BOARDING					\$	3.19\$ 0.1331			2.97 0.1217	
												_			
			,				,						_		
	,	_											_		
							•								
		·				,									
									_						
			_												
· 															
		· 	÷								<i>,</i>				
												<u>.</u>			
						_		·				_			

CAPITAL COSTS

_			LAP	TAL COST	1.2		٠
	ALTI	ERNATIVE NAME	ALT_H_	DESC	RIPTION WESTE	RN/HOL/PIC	<u>0 H</u>
2 3 8 5 4 7 8	RAII INI OTHI	L CAPITAL COST TIAL BUS EXPAN ER BUS CAPITAL REPLACEMENT C ER CAPITAL COSTS	NSION COST COSTS COSTS	\$ \$ \$ \$	TOTAL 1174899970. 12600000.0 0.0 344100096. 0.0 1531600130.	\$ 18 \$ \$ 505	IZED 32512. 49218.00 0.0 01264.0 0.0 82976.
		AL CAPITAL FU	ID ING		-/	\$ 5297	0016.
12	SINOFF 6400 (1	WARNING): PROC	RAM NAME	EVAL1	NOT FOUND IN	LOG FILE.	
	SINOFF 6700 (INFORMATION):	EVAL1	ENDED AT	12.04.07 (RE	TURN CODE=	0)
7	7						
•	,						
2	2						
•	,						
			- <u>-</u> -				
•			· 	,			
3							
•	5						
		_					
		-				<u> </u>	
-		_					
				·	,		
		-					1

APPENDIX B

EVAL3 Sample Report

	AUTOMOBILE U	JTILIZATION	
	GUIDEWAY ALT. NAME ALT H	DESCRIPTION WESTERN/HOL/PICO E	i_
	DAILY AUTOMOBILE PERSON TRIPS	48340320	
	DAILY AUTO VMT	234743040	
	DAILY AUTO VHT	7239005	
	DAILY AUTO PERSON-MILES OF TRAV	/EL . 348305408	
	CORE AREA AUTO PERSON TRIP ENDS	1597155	
	CORE AREA AUTO VEHICLE TRIP END	936533	
	WHEN COMPARED TO ALT A3.VERMONT	T/PICO ALT A3	
	DIVERTED AUTOMOBILE PERSON TRIP	. 11024.	-
	DIVERTED AUTO VMT	52672	
	DIVERTED CORE AREA AUTO VEHICLE	TRIP ENDS 483	
··			-

A STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE

The state of the s

APPENDIX C

Program EVAL1: FT01F001

Alternative-Specific Input Data Set

Alternative-Specific Input Data Set

<u>Variable</u>	Description
RLCCT	Total Rail Capital Costs
RLCCA	Annualized Rail Capital Costs
IBECT	Total Initial Bus Expansion Costs
IBECA	Annualized Initial Bus Expansion Costs
OBCCT	Total Other Bus Capital Costs
OBCCA	Annualized Other Bus Capital Costs
BRCT .	Total Bus Replacement Costs
BRCA	Annualized Bus Replacement Costs
осст	Total Other Capital Costs
OCCA	Annualized Other Capital Costs
ACCT	Total of All Capital Costs
ACCA	Annualized Total Capital Cost
LCFNDA	Annual Local Capital Funding

######################################	OP CF DATA **********************************	SCROLL.	**************************************
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Format for Read: 10X	F15.2, 10X, F15	.2	
· ·			
		<u> </u>	× m
. `			
	<u> </u>		
, commente de la companya de la comp			
	٠.		

.

- ----

. . --

The second second second principles and the second second

APPENDIX D

Program EVAL1: FT03F001

Bus Cost Output Data Set

Bus Cost Output Data Set

<u>Variable</u>	<u>Description</u>
OPCOST(1)	Daily RTD Local Bus Operating Cost
OPCOST(2)	Daily RTD Express Bus Operating Cost
OPCOST(3)	Daily RTD Bus Operating Cost
0PC0ST(4)	Daily RTD Rail Operating Cost
OPCOST(5)	Daily RTD Operating Cost
ANNOMO	Annual RTD Operating Cost

BROWSE - MRF.TEST.BUSCOST.OUTFUT	LINE 000000 COL 001 080
OMMAND ===> **MAND TOP OF DISTRIBUTE OF TOP OF DISTRIBUTE OF DISTRIBUTE OF TOP OF T	SCROLL ===> 0019
— маниний ренисований иновидиней тор ор ор о	
OPCOST(1) 3857460 OPCOST(2) 734951	00000100 00000200
OPCOST(2) 734951 OPCOST(3) 4592411	00000300
OPCOST(4) 502482	00000300
OPCOST(5) 5094893	00000500
ANNOMC 1482359400	00000600
- жылыйныйныйныйныйныйныйныйныйныйныйныйныйны	[)命[[]命 班班拉姆特拉姆特拉姆特拉姆特拉姆特拉姆特拉姆特拉姆特拉姆特
••	
Format for Read: 10x, F10.0	
· · ·	
	Was a second and the
·	
and the second s	tion of the state
,	
to the same and the same and the same of the same and the	THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE
The second secon	na – ja – European suuran ja 1900 erijärja kuu sakkoparjajaan kakela paraa kakela ja kaa ka n elektromaan elektro e
•	
Control of the second of the s	en gille se se en se de de la ses se se comme de la servició se se se de la seguina de la servició de la sestima de la servició de la servici
	The same state of the same of the same state of
	•
	enne efter eithe Atlantait of the C. Barranov et Allemance that the company and administration of a company of the company of
	•
	a sets to be an order of the set
The second secon	to a control of the field of the control of the con

. . . .

......

APPENDIX E

Program EVAL1: FT04F001

Revenue Program Output Data Set

Revenue Program Output Data Set

<u>Variable</u>	<u>Description</u>					
TRNREV	Daily Regional Transit Revenue					
RLREV	Daily RTD Rail Revenue					
BUSREV(3)	Daily RTD Bus Revenue					
BUSREV(4)	Daily Regional Bus Revenue					

EV(3) K	753804.25 8503.86 611293.05) 				000 000 000
EV(4)	745300.45					. 000
Format	for Read:	10X, F15.2		- 4		
						·
-				·		·
	- .					
	· · · ·				rame , ass a spend	
					. •	
	<u> </u>		• .			.
			-			-
····					a see a minima talaa aasiniin ah ah yaa a	

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

APPENDIX F

Program EVAL1: FT09F001

User-Specified File With Rail Data (Optional)

User-Specified File With Rail Data (Optional)

The information needed for this file must be obtained by the user from the results of transit assignment and mode-of-arrival. The first three items are determined by review of the peak hour assignment for the rail lines. The remaining items are determined by review of the daily and a.m. peak hour demand from mode-of-arrival.

<u>Variable</u>	Description
ANODE	From-node for the a.m. peak hour peak load rail link. If multiple lines run on certain links, the respective volumes should be summed to determine which link carries the peak load.
BNODE	To-node for the a.m. peak hour peak load rail link.
VOLUME	The a.m. peak hour volume on the a.m. peak hour peak load rail link.
STN1	The node number of the rail station with the most daily station arrivals for rail trips.
DBRD1	The volume of daily station arrivals for rail trips at STN1.
PBRD1	The volume of a.m. peak hour station arrivals for rail trips at STN1.
STN2	The node number of the rail station with the second most daily station arrivals for rail trips.
DBRD2	The volume of daily station arrivals for rail trips at STN2.
PBRD2	The volume of a.m. peak hour station arrivals for rail trips at STN2.
STN3	The node number of the rail station with the most a.m. peak hour station arrivals for rail trips, excluding STN1 and STN2.
DBRD3	The volume of daily station arrivals for rail trips at STN3.
PBRD3	The volume of a.m. peak hour station arrivals for rail trips at STN3.
STN4	The node number of the rail station with the second most a.m. peak hour station arrivals for rail trips, excluding STN1 and STN2.
OBRO4	The volume of daily station arrivals for rail trips at STN4.
PBRD4	The volume of a.m. peak hour station arrivals for rail trips at STN4.

PROUSE.	·	MRP.	TEST.RA	AIL.DA	\TA		1114 Mer 1 rear less que est est	LINE 000000 COL 001 080
OMMAN	Ü	na aa na) '				'Y' (1) 1''a	40]	SCRULL ====> 0019 **********************************
ANODE	75. 35.	8000		e ac ac ac ac ac ac	e an an an an Adhe an an an	T CH"	upii Dinii Fi	000000000000000000000000000000000000000
BNODE		8001						00000200
VOLUME			2500					00000300
STN1		8005	DBRD1		3000PBRD1	1	1700	00000400
SMTZ	•	8012	DBRD2		2800FBRD1		98	100000500
EMTR		8001	DBRD3		2000PRRD3	5	1900	00000600
STN4		8006	DBRD4		2400FBRD4	}	1846	00000700
折转折折折折折	护杖	英英亚英亚	技术技术技术	6 46 46 46 46 46	енжимии ⁻ ВС	nto	M OF DATA	- 抗糖性抗糖性抗糖性抗糖性抗糖性抗糖性抗糖性抗糖性抗糖性抗糖。

Format for Read:

Lines 1 and 2 - 10x, I4

Line 3 - 10x, F 10.0

Lines 4 thru 7 - 10x, I4, 6x, I10, 5x, I10