Metropolitan
Transportation Authority

One Gateway Plaza
Los Angeles, CA 90012-2952

## SUBJECT: FINANCING PROPOSAL FOR DEFERRED LOS ANGELES COUNTY STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS

## ACTION: APPROVE TWO-PART FINANCING PROPOSAL

## RECOMMENDATIONS

A. Approve amended programming that utilizes Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE) bond proceeds in the amount of $\$ 36.6$ million for the Route 14 Carpool Lane from Pearblossom Highway to Avenue P-8.
B. For the 29 transportation improvement projects shown in Attachments A and B, authorize the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to propose to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) a $\$ 248.5 \$ 256.4$ million financing plan consisting of the following two parts:

1. A GARVEE bond of $\$ 207.0 \$ 214.8$ million for up to 18 eligible Los Angeles County right-of-way and construction projects shown in Attachment A (including the new programming in Recommendation A, above); and,
2. An advance of $\$ 41.6$ million in Proposition $C 25 \%$ funds for up to 11 Los Angeles County State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) projects shown in Attachment B, which is to be repaid with replacement project(s) in future year(s) through the CTC's AB 3090 process.

## ISSUE

The CTC, in response to a cash flow report from Caltrans, forecasts little or no new STIP funding allocations for projects in FY 2004, including many projects denied requested allocations in prior years. It is anticipated that the full complement of deferred allocations will not be made for two or more years. A CTC allocation vote is similar to a "notice to proceed" - without it, STIP construction projects cannot proceed because any expenses incurred will not be eligible for reimbursement. In addition to the allocation problem, it is anticipated that no new programming will occur in the 2004 STIP due to the State Budget crisis. Recommendation A identifies $\$ 36.6$ million in amended programming that must be immediately approved to keep the Route 14 Carpool Lanes project as close as possible to the original schedule.

The CTC's no new allocation forecast and the amended programming made necessary by the overall State funding crisis means that $\$ 248.5 \$ 256.4$ million in funding for 29 Los Angeles County transportation construction projects will be deferred, unless alternative funding strategies can be determined. Attachments A and B list those STIP construction projects already deferred or at immediate risk of deferral by April 2004, unless an alternative financial plan is immediately identified.

Attachment C shows $\$ 131.7$ \$123.9 million in FY 2004 construction, right-of-way, and design projects that could be at risk of deferral in May 2004 or beyond unless an alternative financing plan is identified by this winter. MTA action on Attachment C is not necessary at this time. Instead, MTA will work with Attachment C project sponsors to redirect matching funds into project design and right-of-way phases wherever possible and then re-evaluate the projects for alternative construction financing this winter. When this work is completed, an alternative financial plan for Attachment C projects will be brought to the MTA Board of Directors for consideration, if the CTC's adopted 2004 STIP Fund Estimate continues to show that these projects could be delayed significantly in the 2004 STIP update.

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The recommended financing proposal would keep critical transportation construction projects on schedule and benefit the County's mobility, air quality, and economy. However, interest costs would be incurred for the life of the GARVEE and sales tax bonds involved. By pledging up to $\$ 247.6 \mathbf{\$ 1 1 . 3}$ million of future Los Angeles County STIP allocations for ten years for debt service and by advancing up to $\$ 41.5$ million of local sales taxes, the MTA can permit $\$ 248.5$ $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 6 . 4}$ million in needed transportation construction projects to be completed for Los Angeles County that would otherwise be deferred. For example, the proposal would eliminate State funding issues and schedule delays for ready-to-go Call for Project commitments, including the Route 14 Carpool Lane project and the Southbound Route 101 from Los Angeles Street to Center Street project, the latter of which is on the critical path for the Metro Gold Line Eastside Light Rail Extension.

Project benefits would accrue from avoiding project inflation costs that are estimated to exceed $\$ 10$ million for the two-year period of delay that would be experienced without this proposal. The $\$ 10$ million in inflation costs does not include the substantial costs of delaying the much larger Metro Gold Line Eastside project. In addition to avoiding inflation costs, costs associated with updating shelved plans, specifications, and permits are avoided. Delivering these projects two years faster would net economic, mobility and air quality benefits that also must be considered against the interest costs of this proposal. For these reasons, it is believed the benefits outweigh $\$ 10$ million in Los Angeles County interest costs discussed in the financial impact section below.

## ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

The MTA Board of Directors could choose not to proceed with all or part of the financing proposal or it could add some or all of the projects from Attachment C and proceed with a larger
financing proposal. Should the MTA Board of Directors choose not to proceed with using GARVEE bonds and/or advancing Proposition C $25 \%$ funds as recommended, interest expenses would be avoided, but ready-to-go STIP construction projects needed to address mobility, air quality, economic vitality, and other goals would be deferred by two years or more. We do not recommend that the MTA Board choose the alternative of not proceeding with all or part of the financing proposal.

Alternatively, the MTA Board could add projects from Attachment C to the financing proposal. At this time, we do not recommend adding any of the GARVEE bond-eligible or AB 3090 projects shown on Attachment C. Unlike the projects in Attachment A, the \$118.7 \$110.9 million in GARVEE bond eligible projects on the top half of Attachment C are not ready-to-go until May 2004 or later. In December 2003, the CTC is expected to adopt the 2004 STIP Fund Estimate. That five-year forecast of State Highway Account funds will give the MTA a clearer indication of the funding related project delays that can be expected.

The $\$ 13.0$ million in AB 3090 eligible projects on the bottom half of Attachment C involve right-of-way only funding, design-only funding, or design and construction. Because none of these projects is solely for construction, they do not appear to be ready-to-go at this time. These projects need to be studied further with the 2004 STIP Fund Estimate before making a financing proposal. Once the 2004 STIP Fund Estimate information is known, we will present another financing plan recommendation to the MTA Board for consideration.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

The GARVEE financing proposal would require issuance costs of about $\$ 2.1$ million and annual debt service payments by the State of California of about $\$ 25.8 \$ 26.7$ million. The annual debt service payments by the State would reduce Los Angeles County's STIP/Regional Improvement program allocation by $\$ 20.4 \$ 21.1$ million with the remaining $\$ 5.4 \$ 5.6$ million coming from Caltrans STIP/Interregional Improvement Program allocation. Included in the annual debt service payments are total interest costs of $\$ 48.7 \mathbf{\$ 5 0 . 5}$ million over the ten-year period with $\$ 38.5 \$ 39.9$ million coming from Los Angeles County's STIP/Regional Improvement program allocation and the remaining amount coming from Caltrans STIP/Interregional Improvement Program allocation. The following is assumed in determining the costs associated for the GARVEE financing proposed:

- Principal of \$207.0 \$214.8 million available sooner for project expenditures;
- $4.0 \%$ interest rate;
- Ten-year term; and,
- Optional Proposition C secondary guarantee for GARVEE bonds to achieve a lower interest rate on the bonds. (Since such a guarantee would reduce MTA's direct borrowing capacity, this guarantee requires separate MTA Board approval later this year when final details of the proposal are known and CTC approval has been obtained.)

Because the proceeds of the GARVEE bonds would flow directly from the State to project sponsors and the debt service payments are simply reductions of future Los Angeles County STIP
funds to be programmed by the MTA in later STIP cycles, this portion of the financing plan will not impact the MTA budget.

For the other part of the recommended financing proposal, $\$ 41.5$ million of local sales tax funding would be needed to secure AB 3090 authorizations from the CTC. The following assumptions were used for the AB 3090 part of the recommendation:

- Source of funds will be Proposition C $25 \%$ (from deobligated and deferred projects);
- Guarantee that Los Angeles County will be paid back with substitute STIP-funded projects in the future; and,
- Projects will be able to proceed without further delays.


## DISCUSSION

## GARVEE Bond Component of Proposed Financing Plan

GARVEE bonds are tax-exempt debt financing mechanisms issued by the State and backed by annual federal appropriations for federal-aid transportation projects. In authorizing the use of GARVEE financing in California, the State Legislature intended to accelerate the funding and construction of critical transportation infrastructure projects and provide congestion relief benefits to the public significantly sooner than would be possible using traditional funding mechanisms. Proceeds of the financing can be used for the costs of rights-of-way and/or construction of highway or other transportation projects that are eligible under Title 23 of the United States Code and that meet all federal requirements. It should be noted that design costs are not eligible for GARVEE financing.

Projects selected for bonding must have environmental clearance and project design completed, be designated for GARVEE financing by the CTC, and be eligible for advance construction using GARVEE financing through the Federal Highway Administration. GARVEE financing relies on future federal-aid funding for debt repayment.

We have determined that up to $\$ 207.0 \$ 214.8$ million for 1618 potentially federally eligible construction projects (Attachment A) can utilize the GARVEE bond feature of the MTA financing proposal pursuant to the CTC adopted guidelines. Once issued, the bonds would be repaid from future year Los Angeles County STIP allocations for a ten-year term. The State's STIP/ Interregional Improvement Program portion of the $\$ 207.0 \$ 214.8$ million would be $\$ 43.5$ \$45.1 million ( $21 \%$ ) and Los Angeles County's STIP/Regional Improvement Program portion would be $\$ 163.5 \$ 169.7$ million ( $79 \%$ ).

The total GARVEE bond debt service would be approximately $\$ 25.8 \$ 26.7$ million per year for ten years, of which \$20.4 \$21.1 million per year is Los Angeles County's Regional STIP portion. The $\$ 20.4 \$ 21.1$ million represents approximately $\mathbf{1 0 \%} \mathbf{1 0 . 5 \%}$ of Los Angeles County's share of future estimated STIPs. Los Angeles County typically receives more than $\$ 200$ million in annualized STIP county shares. The remaining \$5.4 $\mathbf{\$ 5 . 6}$ million in annual debt service would be paid from the State's Interregional STIP portion.

## AB 3090 Component of Proposed Financing Plan

Advance local funding of STIP projects is permitted under the CTC's AB 3090 program. Utilizing this program, the MTA could advance up to $\$ 41.5$ million of local sales taxes for those transportation projects that are not eligible for GARVEE bond proceeds (Attachment B). Once the CTC grants AB 3090 authorization, the MTA can expect that the State will repay Los Angeles County with substitute STIP-funded project(s) at a later date. Proposition C $25 \%$ funds are proposed for this advance -- $\$ 2.8$ million from deobligated projects and $\$ 38.7$ million from the Priority 4, 5 and 6 projects that the MTA Board deferred at its April 24, 2003 (\#42) meeting. This action would not negatively affect Priority 1,2 and 3 projects. Rather, this financing would allow construction projects whose funding was deferred to instead move forward.

## NEXT STEPS

With Board authorization, the financing proposal described herein would be implemented based on the following schedule:

MTA to Work with Attachment C Project Sponsors to Utilize

Local Matches to Make Projects Ready for Construction
CTC STIP Amendment Notice and Hearing
CTC STIP Amendment Approval
CTC Adopts 2004 STIP Fund Estimate
MTA AB 3090 Advances Available (earliest date)
MTA Board Action on Attachment C Projects
CTC Allocation Approval for GARVEE Projects
State Treasurer Issues GARVEE Bonds

October - December 2003
October 30, 2003
December 11, 2003
December 11, 2003
December 12, 2003
January 2004
March 2004 Dec. 11, 2003
Spring 2004

## ATTACHMENTS

A. Los Angeles County Summary of STIP Projects Programmed for Allocation in FY 03-04 (GARVEE Bond)
B. Los Angeles County Summary of STIP Projects Programmed for Allocation in FY 03-04 (AB 3090)
C. Los Angeles County Summary of STIP Projects Programmed for Allocation in FY 03-04 (GARVEE and AB 3090, Next in Line)

Prepared by: David Yale, Director of Regional Programming<br>Programming and Policy Analysis
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Richard Brumbaugh


Chief Executive Officer

| Component |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{W}$ | Con |
|  | 8,063 |
|  | 3,866 |
|  | 10,433 |
| 4,300 |  |
|  | 175 |
|  | 36,635 |
| 708 | 1,910 |
| 3,000 | 6,702 |
| 3,500 |  |
|  | 5,755 |
|  | 1,715 |
| 7,384 | 1,639 |
| 4,200 | 30,587 |
| 0 | 16,711 |
|  | 2,607 |
| 2,024 | 5,080 |
|  | 2,437 |

[^0]

[^1]| PROPOSED AB 3090 LOS ANGELES COUNTY STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM PROJECTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PROGRAMMED FOR ALLOCATION IN FY 03-04 ${ }_{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (000) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Con |  |  |  |  |  | Ready to |  | Progra | Year | Comp | nent |
| Yr | Prog | Agency | Rte | PPNO | Project | Bid Date | Total | Prior | FY 04 | R/W | Const |
| 03 | RIP | Agoura Hills | loc | 2875 | Central traffic signal system | Jan-04 | 724 | 724 | 0 | 0 | 724 |
| 04 | RIP | Caltrans | 101 | 567P | LA St-Center St, SB improvements (02 STIP) | Dec-03 | 21,399 | 9,048 | 12,351 | 0 | 21,399 |
| 03 | RIP | Downey | loc | 2870 | Lakewood BI signal interconnect (ext 6-01) | Sep-03 | 1,147 | 1,147 | 0 | 0 | 1,147 |
| 02 | RIP | LA County | loc | 2381 | Gateway Cities intersecs (98S-75)(ext 6-02) | Jan-04 | 825 | 825 | 0 | 0 | 825 |
| 04 | RIP | Los Angeles | loc | 3096 | Commercial St, Alameda-Center, widen | Dec-03 | 1,200 | 0 | 1,200 | 0 | 1,200 |
| 02 | RIP | Los Angeles | loc | 2861 | LA St realignment at Alameda St (ext 6-01) | Sep-03 | 1,369 | 1,369 | 0 | 0 | 1,369 |
| 04 | RIP | Redondo Beach | loc | 3126 | PCH/Catalina Av intersection improvements (02S-11) | Dec-03 | 1,047 | 0 | 1,047 | 0 | 1,047 |
| 02 | RIP | Santa Clarita | rail | 2901 | Newhall Metrolink, expand parking (ext 6-02) | Sep-03 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| 02 | RIP | Santa Clarita | loc | 2379 | Rehab, Replace and Widening RR bridges (ext 5-02) | May-04 | 1,999 | 1,999 | 0 | 0 | 1,999 |
| 04 | RIP | SGVCOG | gsep | 2318 | Alameda Corr East grade seps (SO)(TCR \#54.1) | Oct-03 | 11,074 | 1,766 | 9,308 | 1,766 | 9,308 |
| 02 | RIP | South Gate | loc | 2362 | Firestone Bl improvements (ext 5-02) | Jan-04 | 664 | 664 | 0 | 0 | 664 |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL |  | 41,548 | 17,642 | 23,906 | 1,766 | 39,782 |
|  | (1) | Including FY 02-03 projects not yet allocated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




[^0]:    189,690

[^1]:    

