

# EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE MAY 19, 2004

SUBJECT:

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) NUMBER TCP2610LASD

WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSIT

**COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES** 

**ACTION:** 

MODIFY MOU NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT FOR FY05 TRANSIT

COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICE LEVEL

#### RECOMMENDATION

Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to:

- A. Execute Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Modification No. 3 to MOU No. TCP2610LASD to provide funding for FY05 transit community policing services in the amount not-to-exceed \$49,794,668 \$49,054,950 for the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005; and increasing the Total Contract Value from \$55,081,812 to \$104,876,480 for the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005.
- B. Add the amount of \$500,000 to MOU TCP2610LASD for contingency funding to cover emergency services required for heightened security resulting from activities similar to the recent Madrid train bombing

Revising the Total Contract Value from \$55,081,812 to \$104,636,762.

#### **RATIONALE**

In February 2003, the Board approved a funding level for the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department (LASD) in the amount not-to-exceed \$55,081,812. This amount included the FY04 funding level of \$47,212,982, \$4,148,995 for two months of services in FY03, and and \$3,719,835 in start-up capital costs. \$7,868,830 for two months of service in FY03 inclusive of all start-up capital costs and annualized capital expenses. Although the Board approved the \$47.2 million funding level, staff negotiated \$43.8 million for FY04. Staff is required to come back for future year funding levels prior to the adoption of the budget. Because the LASD was able to ramp up more rapidly in the first two months than had anticipated initially, ensuring the highest level of security continued into the new contract period, expenditures actually reached \$6.2 million. This amount exceeded the FY03

funding level of \$4,148,995 by \$2.1 million. However, capital costs were negotiated to be paid in three equal installments of \$1,239,945 each. The first installment was paid in May 2003, the second payment will be paid in July 2004, and the third payment is scheduled for payment in July 2005. Payment of the first capital installment of \$1.2 million in FY03, instead of the original \$3.7 million lump sum, resulted in \$2.5 million in reduced utilization of Contract Authority. This offset the service level overexpenditure of \$2.1 million by approximately \$0.4 million. Therefore, the Board approved Contract Value of \$55,081,812 was not exceeded and the level of security did not lapse in the transition between LAPD and LASD.

For FY04, staff was successful in negotiating a service level amount of \$44.4 million, exclusive of capital. This amount is \$2.85 million less than the original \$47.2 million authorized by the Board.

For FY05, the recommended service level is \$51 million, which includes the second capital payment of \$1.23 million. Staff is also recommending inclusion of a contingency fund for unanticipated emergency expenses of \$500,000. This brings the proposed FY05 service level to \$51.5 million. The FY05 proposed service level revises the Total Contract Value from \$55,081,812 to \$104,636,762 (See Attachment B).

MOU Modification No. 3 to the LASD agreement is being recommended to add 60 security assistants (fare inspectors), supervision and support personnel to the Metro Blue and Green Lines and post a permanent LASD team at the Union Station Gateway (USG) complex.

Due to the success of the current fare inspection program (which includes 50 security assistants on the Metro Red and Pasadena Gold Lines) and the heightened security environment, it is essential that a significant law enforcement presence be maintained on the transit systems' rail lines. Security assistants provide a key element in that presence, observing their surroundings for unusual situations and persons as well as performing fare inspection. In FY05, staff is proposing the addition of 60 security assistants on the Blue and Green Lines enables deputies of the LASD, whose charge it is to maintain the safety and security of the transit system in Los Angeles County, to place their prime focus on preventing crimes including terrorist acts.

With regard to specific program enhancements, LASD has estimated that thorough coverage of Metro Blue and Green Lines by security assistants would necessitate an estimated 36 inspectors on the Blue Line and 24 on the Green Line, with supervision to be provided by one additional team leader and two additional sergeants. Additionally, as fare inspection will increase the number of citations written for fare evasion and the number of citizen complaints, two station clerks and a risk management sergeant are required to handle the resultant additional workload. Further, while LASD personnel patrol the USG building as part of their current deployments, it is now critical, in light of the Madrid commuter train bombing, that additional law enforcement resources be placed throughout the heavily trafficked USG complex. A security detail of two, twenty-four hour, seven-day per week deputies was put in place for the remainder of FY04. The proposed new team would consist of ten deputy sheriff generalists and four sergeants on a twenty-four hour, seven-day per week basis.

#### **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

In July 2002 the Board adopted a comprehensive Security Policy addressing several aspects of the provision of law enforcement and security services to MTA patrons, employees and property. One of its goals was to bring MTA's law enforcement and security costs in line with comparable costs of other transit agencies and to target MTA enterprise fund-related security costs at 5% of the total operating expenditures. As a result of the State Budget Crisis, certain areas within our budget were reduced. However, security is important to maintain a safe and viable transportation system. Staff is projecting the FY05 budget to reach an estimated 6.35% of FY05 enterprise fund expenditures. Staff will strive to reduce the target through monitoring deployment of security services and associated costs.

#### FINANCIAL IMPACT

The funding of \$51-\$49.0 million for this contract amendment exclusive of capital and the proposed contingency proposed security services and capital costs is included in the FY05 proposed budget in cost center 2610, Operations Security. Additionally, staff is requesting a contingency fund of \$500,000 to cover any unforeseen security related expenses. Staff will advise the Board via a Board Box in the event the contingency fund is required. The recommended amount includes a 2.18% increase in service unit rates over those included in the FY04 contract. Since this is a multi-year contract, the Project Manager and Deputy Chief Executive Officer, will be accountable for budgeting the cost in future years, including any options exercised.

#### **ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED**

None. The LASD currently serves as MTA's contract agency for the provision of law enforcement services and is able to provide, on short notice, the requested personnel.

#### **ATTACHMENTS**

- A. Procurement Summary
- A-1 Procurement History
- A-2 List of Subcontractors
- A-3 Level of Service Units
- B. Contract Value

Prepared by: Carolyn Flowers, Executive Officer, Operations Administration

John B. Gatoe, Jr.

Deputy Chief Executive Officer

Roger Snoble Chief Executive Officer

# BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

# MOU NUMBER TCP2610LASD WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSIT COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES

1.	Contract Number: TCP2610	DLASD				
2.	Recommended Vendor: Los	Angeles C	Count	y Sheriff's De	partm	ent
3.	Cost/Price Analysis Inform	ation:				
	A. Bid/Proposed Price:			Recommend	led Pri	ce:
	\$49,794,668 <u>*</u>			\$49,794,668		
	B. Details of Significant Var		in At	tachment A-1	l.D	
4. 5.	Contract Type: Service Uni	t				
5.	Procurement Dates:					
	A. Issued: N/A					
	B. Advertised: N/A					
	C. Pre-proposal Conference	e: N/A				
	D. Proposals Due: N/A					
	E. Pre-Qualification Comple	eted: N/A				
	F. Conflict of Interest Form		l to E	thics:		
6.	Small Business Participation	n:				
	A. Bid/Proposal Goal:		Dat	e Small Busii	ness Ev	valuation Completed:
	N/A				N/	/A
	Small Business Commitme	nt: N/A De	tails	are in Attachi	ment A	<b>1-2</b>
7.	Invitation for Bid/Request f	or Proposa	l Data	a:		
	Notifications Sent:	Bids/Pro	posal	s Picked	Bids/	Proposals Received:
	N/A	up:				
			N/A	\		
8.	Evaluation Information:					
	A. Bidders/Proposers Nar	nes:	1			Best and Final Offer
				<u>/Proposal</u>		Amount:
	Los Angeles County Sheriff	S		ount:		\$
	Department		\$49	,794,668*		
	D E -1 -1 1 1 1 1	D . 1	<u> </u>	1 . 4	1.0	<u> </u>
9.	B. Evaluation Methodology: Protest Information:	Details are	in A	ttacnment A-	1.C	
9.		NT / A	<del></del>			
	A. Protest Period End Date:					
	B. Protest Receipt Date: N/					
10.	C. Disposition of Protest Da	ate: N/A	Ter	I I	. 1	
10.	Contract Administrator:			lephone Nun	ider:	
11.	Timothy Godfrey			3) 922-2839	aha=-	
11.	Project Manager: Carolyn Flowers			lephone Nun 3) 922-2170	iber:	
	L Carolyn Plowers		1 (2)	13) 762-61/0		

<sup>\*</sup> See explanation in Attachment A-1, Paragraph B Procurement Background

### BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A-1 PROCUREMENT HISTORY

## MOU NUMBER TCP2610LASD WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSIT COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES

#### A. <u>Background on Contractor</u>

The Los Angeles Sheriff's Department (LASD) is the largest sheriff's department in the world. In addition to specialized services, the LASD currently operates and provides contract services throughout and within the many cities that comprise Los Angeles County; including the City of Los Angeles. In the City of Los Angeles, LASD provides services to the Los Angeles Community Colleges, Metrolink, and all of the Los Angeles County Courts. In addition, LASD Transit Services Bureau provided additional transit law enforcement services to the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) under Contract OP2610LASD over a five-year period, and Interim Contract PS2610LASD prior to the existing services being provided under the current Contract TCP2610LASD. LASD has provided satisfactory transit law enforcement services under these contracts.

#### B. <u>Procurement Background</u>

On February 27, 2003 the Board authorized the Chief Executive Officer to negotiate and execute a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the County of Los Angeles for Sheriff's Department Transit Community Policing services, for a period of five years, inclusive of two one-year options, not to exceed \$47,212,982 for FY04, and \$7,868,830 for two months service in FY03, inclusive of all start-up capital costs and annualized capital expenses, starting May 1, 2003. The total contract award amount is \$55,081,812. Contract No. TCP2610LASD, which is the MOU, was executed and made effective on May 1, 2003.

Although the Board approved the amount of \$47,212,982 for the service level for FY04, staff negotiated a service level for FY04 in the amount of \$43,838,944.

Modification No. 1 was executed on March 26, 2004 to add \$50,000 for effort related to the Accident Reduction Project along Wilshire Boulevard.

Modification No. 2 was executed on April 21, 2004 to increase the service level for the remainder of FY04 by the amount of \$473,973. This modification included the following service unit changes, which were effective on April 21, 2004:

Service Unit Description	Service Unit Number	Service Unit Changes	Revised Service Unit Number
56 Hour One Deputy Unit	40	-1	39
MET Deputy	1	+1	2
Canine Deputy	1	+1	2
Motor Team Leader	0	+1	2
Motor Units	22	-2	20

- 2 Field Sergeants are converted to Motor Sergeants
- 1 Motor Generalist is converted to 1 Motor Team Leader
- 1 Deputy Generalist is converted to the Crisis Response Unit (CRU)
- 1 Motor Generalist is converted to the "Bark and Alert" detection Canine Unit.
- 1 Lieutenant has been added. The cost for the Lieutenant is included as part of the allocated overhead cost.

The Special Overtime Fund is increased to cover the two added 24-hours, 7 days per week security detail at USG complex, effective March 15, 2004, in the aftermath of the commuter train bombing in Madrid, Spain. The amount of \$300,000 is added to the Special Overtime fund amount of \$300,000 for a revised fund amount of \$600,000.

In addition, the amount for Canine Support is increased from \$6,502 to \$13,004.

Per the contract under Modification No. 3, the MTA shall establish an annual service level and budget for Transit Community Policing Services for FY05 for the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. As shown in <u>Attachment B, the schedule below</u>, the proposed amount of \$49,794,668 for FY05 <u>plus the contingency amount of \$500,000 less the FY04 underutilized contract value of \$739,718 increases \$2,850,065</u> the Total Contract Value to \$104,876,480 \$104,636,762.

Description	Board Original Award Amount	First Year Staff Negotiated Amount	Amend-1	Amend 2	First Year- Revised Staff- Negotiated Amount	Amend 3 Second Year Amount	Provised Total Contract Value
Start Up Capital Cost	\$-3,710,835		\$	\$	\$1,239,945	\$ 1,230,946	\$ 2,479,890
Fiscal Year 03-Services							
<del>(2 months)</del>	4,148,996				6,269,343		6,259,342
Subtotal	\$ <del>7,868,830</del>	\$	<b>\$</b>	\$	\$ 7,499,2 <del>88</del>	\$1,230,945	<del>\$</del>
Fiscal Year 04 Service	47,212,082	43,838,944	50,000	473,973	44,362,917	<del></del>	44,362,917
Fiscal Year 05 Service			<del></del>			49,794,668	49,794,668
` Total	\$ 55,081,812	\$ 43,838,944	\$ 50,000	\$473,973	\$ 51,862,205	\$ 51,034,613	102,896,817 `
Contingency							500000
Total with Contingency						<del>\$ 51,534,613</del>	\$ 103,396,817.00
Fy06 Capital Cost Total with							1,230,946
Contingency							104,636,762

Note: As shown in the schedule above, the revised total amount of \$51,862,20552,231,747, which was negotiated by staff for the first year of services, inclusive of capitol expenses is \$3,219,6082,850,065 lower than the amount of \$55,081,812 that was approved by the MTA Board.

#### C. <u>Evaluation of Proposals</u>

MTA's review of the proposal received from LASD for FY05 service level under the subject contract was limited to a mathematical accuracy check, reconciliation of proposed rates to supporting documents and reviewing the allocation methodology used for proposed support staff positions.

#### D. <u>Cost/Price Analysis Explanation of Variances</u>

The recommended price has been determined to be fair and reasonable based upon cost analysis. Staff performed a cost comparison analysis of the proposed service level costs for FY05 in the amount of \$49,794,668 with historical service level costs associated with FY04 in the amount of \$44,362,916 (see Attachment A-3). The cost analysis disclosed that the proposed service unit rates for FY05 are slightly lower than the service unit rates for FY04, due to a change in the proposed support positions. However, the total amount for FY05 is \$5,431,752 or approximately 12% higher than the amount for FY04 due to an increase in the level of service units requested, in the aftermath of the commuter train bombing in Madrid, Spain and terrorist threats around the world.

Bid/Proposal Amount	MTA Estimate	Recommended/Negotiated
		Amount
\$49,794,668*	\$	\$49,794,668*

\* excludes capital expenses for FY05 of \$1,239,945

# BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A-2 LIST OF SUBCONTRACTORS

# MOU NUMBER TCP2610LASD WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSIT COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES

#### PRIME CONTRACTOR -

**Small Business Commitment** 

Other Subcontractors

N/A

N/A

**Total Commitment** 

0%

# BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A-3 LEVEL OF SERVICE UNITS

# MOU NUMBER TCP2610LASD WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT (LASD) FOR TRANSIT COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES

FY04 Service Units	Urit	it Armal	FY04 Revised Service Units	Uhit	Armual	Proposed FY05 Service Units	sol sol	TE'S	Armal
(FY02-03 Rates)	Number Cost	st Cost	(FY03-04 Rates)	Number Cost	Cost	(FY04-05 Rates)	Number	Cost	Cost
56 Hour Two Deputy Units	49 471.593	593 23.108.057		49 473 465		23 199 785   56 Hum Two Denator I brite	7 (3	760 861	C77 C51 1C
56 Hyar Ore Denatry I brits	40 235 797			30 726 727	ı	Contract the report of the			27,7,754,72
Non-Relieved Derarty I brite	13 153 115			13 153 72		7,222,340 30 LDu OLE DEPUIY OILS 1 009 386 Non Delignod Perman I Extra	2 65	234,930	7,162,2/0
MET Dom'ty	1 162 000	ĩ		707 (2)		MILLIANDE TO THE OTHER		200,20	1,765,170
ivie i tepuly	1 102,	•				325,5/2 ME1 Lepury		161,/83	323,566
TeamLeaders	9 162,092	1,458,82		9 162,686	Ť,	1,464,174 Team Leaders	10 1	161,783	1,617,830
Team Leader - Motor Office	0		0 Team Leader - Motor Officer	1 169,396		169,396 Team Leader - Motor Officer	1 1	168,653	168,653
Carrine Deputy	1 162,092		162,092 Carrine Deputy	2 162,686		325,372 Carrine Deputy	2 1	161,783	323,566
Motor Units	22 162,092		3,566,024   Motor Urits	20 162,686		3,253,720   Motor Utrits	20 1	158,918	3,178,360
Security Assistant	50 72,	72,960 3,648,000	Security Assistant	50 73,686		3,684,300 Security Assistant	110	71,642	7,880,620
		43,527,468			43,653,053			1,	49,070,813
Special Overtime Fund	250,	250,000	Special Overtime Fund	000'009		Special Overtime Fund	9	000,009	
Workers Compensation	8.652% 21,	21,630	Workers Compensation	8.652% 51,912		Workers Compensation	10.916%	65,496	
Carrine Support	9	6,502	Carrine Support	13,004		Carrine Support		13,004	<del></del>
Subtotal	278,132	132	Subtotal	664,916		Subtotal	l <sub>o</sub>	678,500	
Liability Insurance	3.000% 8,	8,344	Liability Insurance	3.000% 19,947		Lability Insurance	3.000%	20,355	
Training Fund	25,	25,000	Training Fund	25,000		Training Fund		25,000	
Total Supplemental Cost		311,476	Total Supplemental Cost		709,863	Total Supplemental Cost			723,855
Total Contract Cost		43,838,944	Total Contract Cost		44,362,916	Total Contract Cost		•	49,794,668
			Change from FY04		523,973	523,973 Change from FY04 Revised			5,431,752
			Percent Change		1.2%	Percent Change			12.2%
Start-Up Costs (1)	3,719,835	1,239,94	1,239,945 Start-Up Costs (1)	3,719,835	1,239,945	1,239,945 Start-Up Costs (1)		ŀ	1,239,945
First Year Total Costs		45,078,889	First Year Total Costs		45,602,861	45,602,861 Second Year Total Costs		- '	51,034,613
(1) Start-Up costs to be paid in three armual payments.	id in three arma	l payments.	(1) Start-Up costs to be paid in three armual payments.	in three armual pays	ments.	(1) Start-Up costs to be paid in three armual payments.	in three armu	al paymeı	 Si:
1		1 1 1							

Note: Figures may be slightly off from original schedules due to rounding.

# ATTACHMENT B

	í					Difference					
	Authorized	FY04 Actual		-	Hewised FY04 Actual	Derween Board		Remaining			
	Contract	Contract	:		Contract	Authorized		Authorized	FY05 Proposed	FY06 Capital	Total Contract
Description	Authority	Amount	Wod 1	Mod 2	Amount	and Actual	ĘĘ.	Amount	Amount	Payment	Value
(1900)	100.01	010					,	1			
TI TUS JERWOES (Z INOPIUS)	4, 148,380	0,633,94Z			0,000,047	(74,110,347)	Note	\$ (2,110,347)			
First 1/3 Capital	3,719,835	1,239,945	•		1,239,945	2,479,890	Note 2				
Subtotal	7,868,830	7,499,287	,	,	7,499,287	369,543		\$ (2.110.347)			
FY04 Services	47,212,982	43.838.944	20,000	473.973	44,362,917	2.850.065					
Total Approved Amount	55,081,812	51,338,231	50,000	473,973	51,862,204	3,219,608		\$ 739,718			
								l			
FY04:											
FY04 Modification 1		20,000									
FY04 Modification 2		473,973									
Modification Subtotal		523,973									
EVIA Conince		A2 828 0A4									
Produces Revised PY04 Services		44.362.917									
Social populari											
FTY03 Services (2 months)		6,259,342									
First 1/3 Capital		1,239,945									
FY04 Revised Actual Amount		51,862,204									
FY06:											
Proposed Funding Level									49.794.668		
Underutilization of Original Contract Value									(739.718)		
Subtotal									49,054,950		
Second 1/3 Capital									1,239,945		
Proposed Funding Level Subtotal									50,294,895		
Contingency									200,000		
FY05 Proposed Cost									50,794,895		
CONTRACT Value:											
Board Approved Contract Value	55,081,812	_									
Third 1/3 Capital (FY06) Payment										1,239,945	
Total Negotiated Contract Value		51,862,204					\$ 739,718		\$ 50,794,895	\$ 1,239,945	\$ 104,636,762
Difference FY04 Board v. Negotiated		3,219,608									
Unused Authorized Amount											
Revised Total Contract Value								•			
Aleter Common and Control											
Notes											

# Kotes

1 LASD was able to ramp up more rapidly in the first two months than had been anticipated. Initially. The actual invoiced amount for the first two months was \$6.2 million. This amount is \$2.1 million higher than the original estimate of \$4.1 million.

2 Capital costs were negotiated to be paid in three installments. The \$2.5 million is the total of the second and third remaining capital payments