

EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT AND AUDIT COMMITTEE | JULY 15, 2004

SUBJECT: MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING (MOU) NUMBER TCP2610LASD

WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSIT

COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES

ACTION: MODIFY MOU NOT-TO-EXCEED AMOUNT FOR FY05 TRANSIT

COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICE LEVEL RECOMMENDATION

RECOMMENDATION

Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to execute Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Modification No. 4 to MOU No. for an anti-terrorism trainer for the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005 in the amount not to exceed \$116,813 revising the Total Contract Value of the MOU from \$104,636,762 to \$104,753,575.

RATIONALE

At its May 27, 2004 meeting the Board authorized the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute a revision to LASD MOU TCP2610LASD to add \$49,054,950 in funding for FY05 transit community policing services and also to add \$500,000 for contingency funding to cover emergency services. These adjustments increased the total contract value of the MOU from \$55,081,812 to \$104,636,762.

Since the Board approval in May, it has been determined that the LASD can perform certain services funded in the \$4.577 million security grant from the federal Department of Homeland Security (DHS). This grant, which the Board authorized the CEO to accept on February 26, 2004, is to be used for security improvements in support of the regional Metro bus and rail system, including a full-time anti-terrorism trainer. The trainer will be totally funded by the grant and will be provided by LASD.

The proposed modification will add sufficient contract value to enable the LASD to provide the trainer who will teach anti-terrorism techniques to Metro supervisors, managers, inhouse training staff and other employees. Topics to be covered include recognition of suspicious activity; suspicious versus unattended packages and procedures to use when reporting appears necessary; and 'train-the-trainer' instruction in anti-terrorism issues for employees of the sixteen municipal bus operators in Los Angeles County.

This LASD position, which is expected to be filled by August 1, 2004, will be allocated as a Non-Relieved Deputy unit and will be added to the LASD MOU currently in place. Presently the MOU includes 13 non-relieved deputy units; this change will add one position to total 14.

FINANCIAL IMPACT

There is no increase to FY05 budget appropriations. The DHS grant was already programmed in the FY05 budget. This adjustment will result in a net increase of \$116,813 in contracting authority due to the net cost of the additional service unit. Since this is a multi-year contract, the Project Manager and Deputy Chief Executive Officer will be accountable for budgeting the cost in future years, including any options exercised.

ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED

Contracting these services with other agencies than the LASD was not considered. The LASD currently serves as Metro's contract agency for the provision of law enforcement services and is able to provide, on short notice, the requested personnel. Due to the expiration date of the grant, Metro needs to move forward with all scopes authorized in the grant as quickly as possible.

ATTACHMENTS

- A. Procurement Summary
- A-1. Procurement History
- A-2. List of Subcontractors
- A-3. Level of Service Units

Prepared by: Carolyn Flowers, Executive Officer, Operations Administration

John B. Catoe, Jr.
Deputy Chief Executive Officer

Roger Snoble

Chief Executive Officer

BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A PROCUREMENT SUMMARY

MOU NUMBER TCP2610LASD WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSIT COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES

1.	Contract Number: TCP2610	LASD				
2.	Recommended Vendor: Los	Angeles C	ount	y Sheriff's De	partm	ent
3.	Cost/Price Analysis Informa	ation:				
	A. Bid/Proposed Price:			Recommend	led Pri	ce:
	\$49,911,481*			\$49,911,481	*	
	B. Details of Significant Var	iances are	in At	tachment A-1	l.D	
4.	Contract Type: Service Unit	<u> </u>		,		
5.	Procurement Dates:					
	A. Issued: N/A					
	B. Advertised: N/A					
	C. Pre-proposal Conference	: N/A				
	D. Proposals Due: N/A					
	E. Pre-Qualification Comple					
	F. Conflict of Interest Form		to E	thics:		
6.	Small Business Participation	1:				
	A. Bid/Proposal Goal:		Dat	e Small Busii	ness Ev	aluation Completed:
	N/A				N/	A
	Small Business Commitmen				ment A	2
7.	Invitation for Bid/Request for					
	Notifications Sent:	Bids/Prop	posal	s Picked	Bids/	Proposals Received:
	N/A	up:				
0	H 1 .: 1 C .:		N/A	1	<u> </u>	
8.	Evaluation Information:					1 - 1 - 2 - 2
	A. Bidders/Proposers Name	s:	D · 1	/D 1		Best and Final Offer
	Los Angolos County Showiff	~		Bid/Proposal Amount:		Amount:
	Los Angeles County Sheriff' Department	S		ount: ,911,481*		\$
	Department) 34 3	,911,481^	٠	
	B. Evaluation Methodology:	Details are	in A	ttachment A	1 C	
9.	Protest Information:	Details are	шд	ttaciiiititi A-	1.0	
	A. Protest Period End Date:	N/A				
	B. Protest Receipt Date: N/A					
	C. Disposition of Protest Da					
10.	Contract Administrator:	- 7	Te	lephone Num	ıber:	
	Timothy Godfrey					
11.	Project Manager: Telephone Number:					
	Carolyn Flowers			(213) 922-2170		

^{*} See explanation in Attachment A-1, Paragraph B Procurement Background

BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A-1 PROCUREMENT HISTORY

MOU NUMBER TCP2610LASD WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSIT COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES

A. <u>Background on Contractor</u>

The Los Angeles Sheriff's Department (LASD) is the largest sheriff's department in the world. In addition to specialized services, the LASD currently operates and provides contract services throughout and within the many cities that comprise Los Angeles County; including the City of Los Angeles. In the City of Los Angeles, LASD provides services to the Los Angeles Community Colleges, Metrolink, and all of the Los Angeles County Courts. In addition, LASD Transit Services Bureau provided additional transit law enforcement services to the Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) under Contract OP2610LASD over a five-year period, and Interim Contract PS2610LASD prior to the existing services being provided under the current Contract TCP2610LASD. LASD has provided satisfactory transit law enforcement services under these contracts.

B. <u>Procurement Background</u>

On May 19, 2004 the Board authorized the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) to execute Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) Modification No. 3 to MOU No. TCP2610LASD to provide funding for FY05 transit community policing services in the amount no-to-exceed \$49,054,950 for the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005. In addition the Board approved an addition to MOU TCP2610LASD in the amount of \$500,000 for contingency funding to cover emergency services, revising the Total Contract Value from \$55,081,812 to \$104,636,762.

On February 19, 2004 the Board authorized the CEO to accept a grant in the amount of \$4,577,000 to fund specified security improvements in support of the regional Metro bus and rail system. As part of the advanced anti-terrorism training and equipment items to be funded by the grant, LASD will provide a Non-Relieved Deputy unit to fill the full-time Anti-Terrorism Trainer position. This additional Deputy will be included under MOU No. TCP2610LASD. Hence, the number of Non-Relieved Deputy units under MOU No. TCP2610LASD is increased from 13 to 14.

Per the contract under Modification No. 4, the MTA shall revise the established annual service level and budget for FY05 for the period July 1, 2004 through June 30, 2005 from \$49,054,950 to \$49,171,763 to include the additional Non-Relieved Deputy unit to provide Anti-Terrorism Training. The revised annual service level amount represents an increase of \$116,813, which is due to the additional Non-Relieved Deputy unit and the reallocation of the associated overhead costs.

C. <u>Evaluation of Proposals</u>

MTA's review of the revised proposal received from LASD for FY05 service level under the subject contract was limited to a mathematical accuracy check, reconciliation of proposed rates to supporting documents and reviewing the allocation methodology used for proposed support staff positions.

D. <u>Cost/Price Analysis Explanation of Variances</u>

The recommended price has been determined to be fair and reasonable based upon cost analysis. Staff performed a cost comparison analysis of the revised proposed service level costs for FY05 in the amount of \$49,911,481 with the original proposed amount for FY05 in the amount of \$49,794,630 (see Attachment A-3). Note: The Proposed amount for FY05 has been adjusted downward by the amount of \$739,718. This amount represents the underutilization of original contract authority approved by the Board. Therefore, the adjusted original proposed amount and the revised proposed amount for FY05 services are \$49,054,950 and \$49,171,763, respectively. The cost analysis disclosed that the revised proposed service unit rates for FY05 are slightly lower than the rates originally proposed, due to the additional Non-Relieved Deputy and the reallocation of associated overhead costs.

Bid/Proposal Amount	MTA Estimate	Recommended/Negotiated Amount
\$49,911,481*	\$	\$49,911,481*

^{*} excludes capital expenses for FY05 of \$1,239,945

BOARD REPORT ATTACHMENT A-2 LIST OF SUBCONTRACTORS

MOU NUMBER TCP2610LASD WITH LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT FOR TRANSIT COMMUNITY POLICING SERVICES

PRIME CONTRACTOR -

Small Business Commitment Other Subcontractors

N/A N/A

Total Commitment 0%

Proposed FY05 Service Urits	CFF	Amal	Revised Proposed FY05 Service	ice Unit	Amal	Differences (Original v. Revised)	ı	Ċţ	Amal
(FY04-05 Rates) Number	ber Cost	Cost	(FY04.05 Rates) Number	ber Cost	Cost	(FY04-05 Rates)	Number (Cost	Cost
56 Hour Two Deputy Units	52 469,861		24,432,772 56 Hour Two Deputy Units	52 469,571	24,417,674	24,417,674 56 Hour Two Deputy Unit	0	-230	-15,098
56 Hour One Deputy Units	39 234,930		9,162,270 56 Hoar One Deputy Units	39 234,785		9,156,628 56 Hour Ore Deputy Unit	0	-145	-5,642
Non-Relieved Deputy Uni	13 152,552		1,983,176 Non-Relieved Deputy Uri	14 152,458		2,134,412 Non Relieved Deputy Uni	Н	\$	151,236
MET Deputy	2 161,783		323,566 MET Deputy	2 161,689	323,377	323,377 MET Deputy	0	\$	-189
TeamLeaders	10 161,783		1,617,830 Team Leaders	10 161,689	1,616,887	1,616,887 TeamLeaders	0	ģ	-943
TeamLeader - Motor			TeamLeader - Motor			TeamLeader - Motor			
Officer	1 168,653	53 168,653 Officer	Officer	1 168,558	168,558 Officer	Officer	0	-95	-95
Carrire Deputy	2 161,783		323,566 Carrire Deputy	2 161,689		323,377 Carrire Deputy	0	\$	-189
Motor Units	20 158,918		3,178,360 Motor Units	20 158,824	3,176,480	3,176,480 Motor Units	0	\$	-1,880
Security Assistant	110 71,642		7,880,620 Security Assistant	110 71,548		7,870,232 Security Assistant	0	\$	-10,388
		\$49,070,813		•	\$ 49,187,626			l⇔	\$ 116,813
Special Overtime Fund	000'000	8	Special Overtime Fund	000'009		Special Overtime Fund		0	
Workers Compensation 10.916% 65,496	6% 65,49	98	Workers Compensation 10.916%	6% 65,496		Workers Compensation 10.916%	10.916%	0	
Carriere Support	13,004	¥	Carriere Support	13,004		Carrire Support		0	
Subtotal	678,500	I۵	Subtotal	678,500		Subtotal		0	
Liability Insurance 3.00	3.000% 20,355	55	Liability Insurance 3.00	3.000% 20,355		Liability Insurance	3.000%	0	
Training Fund	25,000	œ	Training Fund	25,000		Training Fund		0	
Total Supplemental Cost		723,855	Total Supplemental Cost	•	723,855	723,855 Total Supplemental Cost			0
Total Contract Cost		\$70 704 668	Total Contract Cost		\$ 49 911 481	Total Cortract Cost		₩	4 116 813
I went fization of Orional Contact Value	act Value	(739,718)	(739.718) Underutifization of Orional Contract Value	ract Value	(739.718))	-
Adjusted Contract Cost		\$49,054,950	\$49,054,950 Adjusted Contract Cost		\$ 49,171,763	49,171,763 Adjusted Contract Cost		↔	\$ 116,813
Start-Up Costs (1)		1,239,945	1,239,945 Start-Up Costs (1)	•	1,239,945	1,239,945 Start-Up Costs (1)		1	0
Cocond Vone Total Costs		\$50.204.805	Second Vear Total Costs		\$ 50.411.708	\$ 50.411 708 Correct Very Total Corte		¥	¢ 116 913
SCOUL ICAL IMAI COSIS		400,100	בופטי ווייטן אינטייטן הייטן אינטייטן	••	₩ .20,711,7 W	Second Ican 1941 Cabis		³∥	C10,011
(1) Start-Up costs to be paid in three armal payments.	three arm	al payments.	(1) Start-Up costs to be paid in three arrual payments.	ttiree armall	ayments.	(1) Start-Upcosts to be paid in three armal payments.	aid in three	amnal	l payments.