Metro
PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING COMMITTEE MEETING
NOVEMBER 16, 2005

## SUBJECT: 2006 LOS ANGELES COUNTY TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

## ACTION: ADOPT THE 2006 LOS ANGELES COUNTY TRANSPORTATION

 IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND APPROVE RELATED ACTIONS
## RECOMMENDATION

A. Authorize the Chief Executive Officer to program $\$ 618$ million in state and federal funds as follows:

1. $\$ 608$ million for highway and transit capital improvements and support (see Attachment A); and
2. $\$ 10$ million in back-up funding to leverage up to $\$ 19$ million of unused federal appropriations for Countywide Congressional earmarks from the 1998 Transportation Equity Act of the 21st Century (TEA-21), as shown in Attachment B, provided that such funds are made available towards amounts encumbered for projects listed in Attachment B for only the next two years (FY 2006 and FY 2007);
B. Reauthorize a $\$ 68.7$ million advance of the $17 \%$ Metro contribution to the Alameda Corridor East (ACE) Phase II project for use on ACE Phase I project activities, using the same funding and similar terms as the original advance;
C. Authorize the Chief Executive Officer or his designee to negotiate and execute funding contracts or agreements as needed with Los Angeles County jurisdictions, agencies or other entities to provide funds programmed as authorized; and,
D. Adopt the resolution in Attachment C certifying to the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) that the Los Angeles County Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is constrained to financial resources that can reasonably be expected to be available.

## ISSUES

State and federal funding of $\$ 618$ million must be programmed promptly to meet state and federal deadlines and avoid project delays. Specifically, the Board of Directors must act by December 15, 2005 to enable us to submit the 2006 Los Angeles County TIP to the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG) and to the California Transportation Commission (CTC) by their respective deadlines. Another $\$ 205$ million in federal funding,
which we anticipate to be available in FY 2009 or later, can be programmed once we take advantage of the additional time that we have to better assess the regional program or project needs that can be best addressed with the funding.

## POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Approving our recommendation would help to implement important aspects of Metro's statutory transportation planning and programming responsibilities for Los Angeles County. The recommendation would implement priorities that the Board of Directors established for transportation funds that are now available for programming. The 2006 Los Angeles County TIP builds on prior actions of the Board from the 2001 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) and the 2003 Short Range Transportation Plan (SRTP) for Los Angeles County by incorporating additional funds that Congress and the California Transportation Commission have recently made available.

The Board approved a set of Los Angeles County State Highway System improvement projects and other transportation improvement projects and programs in the 2001 LRTP. In late 2002, it became apparent that $\$ 1.4$ billion in project-specific commitments from the State of California's Traffic Congestion Relief Program (TCRP) would not materialize due to State Budget deficits. In response, the Board adopted working priorities at its April 24, 2003 meeting (Revised Report \#42) to guide staff in directing resources to ready-to-go transportation capital improvements.

On August 28, 2003, the Board adopted the SRTP, which identified transportation planning priorities within the then-reduced resources available for a six-year period through FY 2009. This action addressed the State Budget impact on Los Angeles County transportation funding, which resulted in an amended 2004 Los Angeles County TIP and enabled high priority transit and highway projects to move forward.

## OPTIONS

The Board of Directors could elect to defer the recommended programming of $\$ 618$ million in federal and state transportation funds to a later time. We do not recommend deferring this action because the $\$ 618$ million needs to be programmed now as part of the 2006 Los Angeles County TIP for three principal reasons:

1) Ready-to-go projects will be delayed if the funds are not programmed;
2) The CTC's deadline for the 2006 State TIP is January 30, 2006; and
3) Federal funds programming requirements dictate that those funds available through FY 2008 must be programmed now to ensure timely drawdown of the funds.

If financing plans that involve the $\$ 618$ million in recommended programming are not approved, Los Angeles County ready-to-go projects will be delayed to FY 2011 and beyond. If the Board chooses to defer programming of the 2006 State TIP Los Angeles County share, CTC guidelines permit other counties and regions statewide to program the Los Angeles County portion now. Los Angeles County then would have to wait until a future State TIP cycle to be repaid. In addition, most of these projects are Transportation Control Measure
(TCM) projects that are closely tied to federal air quality conformity regulations. Failure to implement these TCM projects in a timely manner could result in a delay in the receipt of federal funds. Delaying these ready-to-go projects may also expose Metro and Los Angeles County to construction cost increases that would further reduce our capital programming capacity.

The Board also could choose not to program the recommended $\$ 10$ million back-up federal formula funding for the TEA-21 earmarked projects. Such an option would not make full use of available federal Highway Account monies and would leave Los Angeles County project sponsors with funding shortfalls. We are not recommending this option because we believe that there is minimal risk that the total amount of federal Obligation Authority for all of the earmarked projects would be requested ultimately. Our recommendation would allow ready-to-go projects to proceed without federal funding delays.

## Deferred Programming

The Board of Directors could elect to program the additional $\$ 205$ million in federal funds that are anticipated in FY 2009 or later. However, the Board does not need to act on these funds now, so we recommend that additional time be taken to better assess the regional program or project needs that can be best addressed with the funding. Consistent with the Board action of September 23, 2004, the Board could re-establish funds for a Call for Projects process to be conducted at the conclusion of the update to the Long Range Transportation Plan process currently underway. The September 2004 Board action said "...work to secure funding for a possible 2007 Call for Projects for FY 2010 and FY 2011." In addition to the Call for Projects, there are other needs for these funds, such as the Priority 1 Soundwalls, the Countywide Bus Restructuring Study, the State Highway System project claims account, Regional Transit Corridor Studies, etc.

## Reauthorization of ACE Phase II Project Advance

The Board of Directors could choose not to reauthorize a $\$ 68.7$ million advance of the $17 \%$ Metro contribution to the ACE Phase II project for use on ACE Phase I project activities. However, we recommend that the Board reauthorize the advance under similar terms and the same funds as the original advance, so that ACE Phase I projects may be delivered faster. The ACE Construction Authority has requested that Metro allow them to still use the advance, although the need was superceded by the CTC having allocated TCRP funds that were thought at one time would not be available.

## SCAG Regional TIP Resolution

There are no options to the recommended action of adopting the resolution shown in Attachment C. The SCAG-required resolution (Attachment C) from the Board of Directors would affirm its continuing commitment to the projects in the FY 2006-FY 2011 Los Angeles County TIP by certifying that the Los Angeles County TIP Financial Plan identifies resources that are reasonably expected to be available to carry out the program. This requirement stems from federal laws intended to introduce certainty into air quality strategies that the Clean Air Act requires.

## FINANCIAL IMPACT

Approving the $\$ 618$ million in recommended programming will help to move projects forward and avoid construction cost increases. Also, a potential delay in receipt of federal funds can be averted if we can keep the aforementioned TCM projects in Los Angeles County on schedule with the recommended programming.

## BACKGROUND

State and federal law require the U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT), CTC, SCAG, and Metro to periodically conduct a multi-modal transportation planning and programming process that leads to the adoption of a Federal TIP (by USDOT), a State TIP (by the CTC), a Regional TIP (by SCAG), and a Los Angeles County TIP (by Metro). From this process, a total of $\$ 823$ million is estimated to be available for Los Angeles County. However, programming decisions can be deferred on $\$ 205$ million until we can better assess the regional program or project needs that can be best addressed with the funding, which would leave $\$ 618$ million that must be promptly programmed. The estimated $\$ 823$ million includes funds from the following sources:

- $\$ 396$ million in funds from the federal Safe, Accountable, Efficient, Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) and its future reauthorization, which includes $\$ 205$ million in deferred programming from FY 2009 or later;
- $\$ 323$ million in funds from the Los Angeles County Share of the 2006 State TIP fund estimate; and,
- $\$ 104$ million in funds from the Traffic Congestion Relief Program Letters of No Prejudice repayments.

The recommendation provided in this report programs the $\$ 618$ million of the estimated $\$ 823$ million through the 2006 Los Angeles County TIP process from FY 2006 through FY 2011.

## 2006 State TIP Fund Estimate

This year, the CTC delayed the adoption of the 2006 State TIP Fund Estimate until September 2005 due to the uncertainty surrounding the new Toll Bridge Seismic Retrofit Program financing plan under Assembly Bill (AB) 144 and the then-pending Federal transportation legislation. Also, due to the State's continuing financial challenges and unprecedented project cost increases, the State has prioritized all State Highway Account (SHA) funds for local assistance and Caltrans operating and maintenance costs. Therefore, the CTC adopted a fund estimate that assumes more than two-thirds of 2006 State TIP funds will come from the Public Transportation Account (PTA). PTA funding comes from the Proposition 42 gasoline sales tax, state inter-fund loan repayments, tribal gaming bond revenues and other sources such as the "spillover" - that portion of the sales tax on gas arising from growth over inflation.

As PTA funds in the State TIP are limited to transit capital uses only, the CTC staff has indicated that the availability and timing of funds will be conditioned upon the ability of a region or county to propose PTA-eligible projects. The 2006 State TIP Fund Estimate for Los Angeles County includes approximately $\$ 334$ million, of which $\$ 19$ million is Transportation Enhancement (TE) funds. The Board of Directors previously programmed $\$ 11$ million of the $\$ 19$ million TE total to the Exposition Light Rail Transit project in April 2005 and to other projects in the 2001 Call for Projects, leaving a net amount $\$ 323$ million now available for programming in Los Angeles County.

These 2006 State TIP funds come at a high risk as they are subject to annual appropriations from the State Budget. Recent diversions of PTA funds are to be repaid from the proceeds of the tribal gaming bonds authorized under AB 687. Once our recommended programming is authorized, we will strategically assign federal, state and local funds to maximize the use of available state funds, particularly available PTA funds, and to keep projects underway and ready-to-go projects fully funded according to Board-established priorities.

## Congressional Earmarks from 1998 Transportation Equity Act of the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century

When Congress authorized $\$ 306$ million in federal TEA-21 funding earmarks in 1998 for the projects listed in Attachment B, they did not immediately appropriate funds for the projects. Over the following six-year period, Congress ultimately appropriated only $\$ 287$ million or $93.8 \%$ of the authorized amount. The remaining $6.2 \%$ or $\$ 19$ million in authorized funding cannot be accessed because Congress did not appropriate the authorized amount and Caltrans will not provide back-up funding.

Los Angeles County recipients of the TEA-21 earmarks have approached Caltrans and Metro to request that they be permitted to use their full Congressional authorization, as opposed to their lower Congressionally appropriated amounts. Although federal law permits Caltrans to allow use of the full authorization, Caltrans has refused to do so because the TEA-21 earmark projects that are delivered last could be denied funding. Caltrans does not have a back-up funding source with which to provide such funding.

Therefore, we are recommending that Metro leverage up to $\$ 19$ million of these untapped federal funds for TEA-21 earmarked projects Countywide by agreeing to back up the last TEA-21 earmarked projects to be delivered with $\$ 10$ million of other federal formula funds available to Los Angeles County, if necessary. As the back-up funds are the funds of last resort, they will not be needed for several years and perhaps not at all. To limit Metro's exposure to actually distributing back-up funding, we also are recommending that the Board of Directors authorize this program for a two-year period only. If the Board approves the back-up funding, the Chief Executive Officer will negotiate and execute an agreement with Caltrans to implement the program. This agreement then would allow project sponsors to request access to the full amount of the authorization.

## NEXT STEPS

If the Board of Directors approves our recommendation, the 2006 Los Angeles County TIP will be implemented according to the following schedule:

December 2005
January 2006
March 2006
April 2006
August 2006
September 2006
October 2006

Submit Draft Metro Los Angeles County 2006 STIP to CTC staff Submit Final Los Angeles County 2006 STIP to CTC CTC South County Hearing on 2006 STIP CTC Adopts 2006 STIP SCAG Adopts Regional TIP, including LA County TIP Caltrans approves SCAG RTIP (Delegated to Caltrans by Governor) U.S. Department of Transportation Approval of SCAG RTIP

In implementing the 2006 Los Angeles County TIP, we will strategically assign federal, state and local funds to Board-approved projects. This flexibility will enable Metro to maximize the amount and timing of funding available for the Los Angeles County TIP.

## ATTACHMENT(S)

A 2006 Los Angeles County Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)
A-1. Description of Project Program Requests
B. Los Angeles County High Priority Projects from the Transportation Equity Act of the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century (TEA-21)
C. Resolution Certifying that Los Angeles County has Resources for TIP

Prepared by: David Yale, Director of Regional Programming Programming and Policy Analysis

Frank Flores, Deputy Executive Officer
Programming and Policy Analysis
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| 2006 Los Angeles County Transportation Improvement Program <br> FY 2007 thru FY 2011 <br> ( $\$$ ' 000s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1}$ Project Description | Estimated Total <br> Project Costs <br>  <br> $8 / 05$ | Project Costs Programmed to Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Estimated } \\ \text { Total Costs } \\ \text { thru 2011 } \end{gathered}$ | Additional Funds Required thru 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Total | FY 07 | FY 08 | FY 09 | FY 10 | FY 11 |
| SR - 60 Carpool Lanes: I-605 to Brea Canyon Road | 116,700 | 74,000 | 116,700 | 42,700 | 42,700 | - |  |  | - |
|  | 310,270 | 154,310 | 310,270 | 155,960 | - | - | 35,980 | 77,730 | 42,250 |
| 1-5 Carpool Lanes: SR-170 to SR-118 | 165,970 | 115,800 | 165,970 | 50,220 | - | - | - | 16,460 | 33,760 |
| 1-10 Carpool Lanes: 1-605 to Puente Ave | 247,620 |  | 247,620 | 61,020 | 61,020 | - | - | - |  |
| 1-5 Carmenita Road Interchange Improvement | 247,620 | 610,000 |  | 57,700 | 61,020 | - |  | - | 57,700 |
| 1-5 Carpool \& Mixed Flow Lanes: 1-605 to Orange County Line | 1,167,850 | 610,000 | 667,700 | 45,660 | . | - | . | 39,910 | 5,750 |
| 1-5 / SR-14 Carpool Lanes Direct Connector | 126,600 | 80,940 | 126,600 | 45,660 | - |  |  |  |  |
| 1-5 Carpool Lanes: SR-134 to SR-170 (1) | 489,620 | 300,300 | 489,620 | 116,260 | - | - |  | 54,620 | 61,640 |
| 1-10 Soundwall from Puente Ave to Citrus Ave in West Covina | 8,000 | 4,100 | 8,000 | 3,900 | - | - | 3,900 | - | - |
|  | 11,500 | 10,000 | 11,500 | 1,500 | - | 1,500 | - | - | - |
| 1710 South EIS/EIR | 5,000 | - | 5,000 | 5,000 | 1,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | - | - |
| $1-710$ South EIS/EIR | 711.000 | 50,000 | 78,700 | 28,700 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - | 13,700 |
| Expo LRT to Santa Monica Project - Phase II | 71,000 | 50,000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Crenshaw Corridor Environmental \& Preliminary EEngineering (3) | 15,000 | 1,100 | 15,000 | 13,900 | - | 3,900 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - |
| San Fernando Valley North-South Transit Corridor Phase I and Phase II (4) | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Wilshire Bus-Only Curb Lane Extensions from Federal Avenue to Westwood | 1,500 | - | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |  | - | - |  |
| Blvd | 97,293 | - | 5,100 | 5,100 | - | - | - | - | 5,100 |
| Wilshire Bus Rapid Transit Project (5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Line Safety Crossing at Compton Blvd., Alondra Blvd., and Myrrh Street in the City of Compton | 700 | - | 700 | 700 | 700 | - | - | 4.484 | 4,618 |
| Countywide Planning, Programming and Monitoring (6) | 9,102 | - | 9,102 | 9,102 |  |  |  |  | 4999 |
| Countywide Rideshare Program | 9,560 | - | 9,560 | 9,560 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub - Total |  |  |  | 608,482 | 111,920 | 12,400 | 51,880 | 202,765 | 229,517 |
|  | 10,000 |  | 10,000 | 10,000 | - | - | - | - | 10,000 |
| Countywide Congressional TEA 21 Earmarks |  |  |  | 10,000 | - | - | - | - | 10,000 |
| Sub - Total |  |  |  | 618,482 | 111,920 | 12,400 | 51,880 | 202,765 | 239,517 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


Fully funds $1-5$ carpool
would be necessary to fully fund this last phase of construction.
This $\$ 50$ milion for the Exposition Phase il project is assumed N
This $\$ 50$ million for the Exposition Phase II proder Crenshaw Corildor Project.
Includes funds from SAFETEA-LU earmark for Crenshaw Corridor Project.
Previously programmed funds of $\$ 20$ million will accomplish the environmental, engineering and partial implementation of Phases I and II of the San Fernando Valley North South Corridor project. At the conclusion of the
It environmental and engineering phase, Metro will pursue up to $\$ 80$ milion in Stae Tollifc because an escalated figure was not available at the time this report was drafted. All other costs are in escalated, year of ex The estimated cost of the Wishire Bus Rapid the State of California must be reflected in the State TIP. Funds are to be used for existing staff and studies to be determined during Metro's annual budget processes.

## Description of Project Program Requests

| SR-60 Carpool Lanes: I-605 to Brea Canyon Road | Highway project funded in previous Call for Projects. Cost increase due to escalation in construction materials, energy costs, right-of-way as presented in October 2005 Board Report on Status of State Highway system. |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1-5 Carpool Lanes: SR-170 to SR-118 | Highway project funded in previous Call for Projects. Cost increase due to escalation in construction materials, energy costs, right as presented in October 2005 Board Report on Status of State Highway system. |
| I-10 Carpool Lanes: I-605 to Puente Ave | Highway project funded in previous Call for Projects. Cost increase due to escalation in construction materials, energy costs, right as presented in October 2005 Board Report on Status of State Highway system |
| 1-5 Carmenita Road Interchange Improvement | Highway project funded in previous Call for Projects. Cost increase due to escalation in construction materials, energy costs, right-of-way as presented in October 2005 Board Report on Status of State Highway system. |
| 1-5 Carpool \& Mixed Flow Lanes: I-605 to Orange County Line | Highway project funded in previous Call for Projects. Cost increase due to escalation in construction materials, energy costs, right-of-way as presented in October 2005 Board Report on Status of State Highway system. |
| T-5 / SR-14 Carpool Lanes Direct Connector | Highway project funded in previous Call for Projects. Cost increase due to escalation in construction materials, energy costs, right-of-way as presented in October 2005 Board Report on Status of State Highway system. |
| 1-5 Carpool Lanes: SR-134 to SR-170 | Highway project funded in previous Call for Projects. Cost increase due to escalation in construction materials, energy costs, right-of-way as presented in October 2005 Board Report on Status of State Highway system. Fully funds construction from SR 170 to Burbank Boulevard. Partially funds construction from Burbank Boulevard to SR 134. |
| 1-10 Soundwall from Puente Ave to Citrus Ave in West Covina | Additional cost requested by Caltrans to build Pre-1989 soundwall project to the ultimate width of the planned future HOV lane. This will avoid Caltrans building the wall at the existing freeway width and requiring removal, replacement and reconstruction at different location when the future HOV lane is implemented. |
| 1-105 / Sepulveda Interchange | Caltrans project funded in previous Call for Projects in Regional Surface Transportation Improvement category. Cost increase due to escalation in construction materials, energy costs, right-of-way. Metro paying proportionate share of cost increase only. Remaining funds coming from the City of Los Angeles and Caltrans. |


| I-710 South EIS/EIR | Metro contribution to EIS/EIR as described in 2003 Short Range Transportation Plan. Additional funds <br> to come from five other funding partners. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Exposition Light Rail Transit to | Funding in FYs 07, 08 \& 09 to conduct the Environmental Clearance and Preliminary Engineering for <br> the Phase II project. Funding in later year to start the design/construction phase. Project is in the <br> Metro's constrained 2001 Long Range Transportation Plan. |
| Santa Monica Project - Phase II |  |
| Crenshaw Corridor <br> Environmental \& Preliminary <br> Engineering | Funding in FYs 08, 09, \&10 to conduct the Environmental Clearance and Preliminary Engineering for <br> the project. Project is in the Metro's constrained 2001 Long Range Transportation Plan. |
| Wilshire Bus-Only Curb Lane <br> Extensions from Federal Avenue <br> to Westwood Blvd | Funding for minor curb lane reconstruction, striping and signing for early implementation of Wilshire <br> BRT elements. This element is an eastbound extension of current bus-only lane into the County of Los <br> Angeles. Project is in Metro's constrained 2001 Long Range Transportation Plan. |
| Wilshire Bus Rapid Transit <br> Project | Funding to begin final design and construction of full Wilshire BRT project including curb lane peak <br> hour bus lane in segments as described in the 2002 EIR, curb lane reconstruction for 3.6 miles, <br> stations, park and ride facilities, and mitigation measures on parallel arterials. Project is in the Metro's <br> constrained 2001 Long Range Transportation Plan. |
| San Fernando Valley North-South <br> Transit Corridor Phases I and II | Funding for environmental clearance, engineering and partial implementation of Phases I and II. <br> This funding was identified in 2003 Short Range Transportation Plan. At the conclusion of the <br> environmental and engineering phase, Metro will pursue up to \$80 million in State Traffic Congestion |
| Relief Program funding to implement as much of the project (including portions of Phase III \& IV) as |  |
| possible in this timeframe. Project is in the Metro's constrained 2001 Long Range Transportation |  |
| Plan. |  |

Los Angeles County High Priority Projects from the Transportation Equity Act of the 21st Century (TEA-21) (\$000)


## Los Angeles County High Priority Projects from the Transportation Equity Act of the 21st Century (TEA-21)

 (\$000)| Project Sponsor | TEA-21 Description | Authorized | NO <br> Obligation Authority Provided (6.2\%) | Obligation Authority Provided (93.8\%) | Obligation Authority Obligated | Balance <br> (OA Provided Unobligated) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SGVCOG | CONSTRUCT ALAMEDA CORRIDOR EAST PROJECT | 9,563 | 593 | 8,970 | 8,614 | 356 |
| SGVCOG | CONSTRUCT ALAMEDA CORRIDOR EAST, SAN GABRIEL VALLEY | 2,205 | 137 | 2,068 | 1,965 | 103 |
| SGVCOG | CONSTRUCT HIGHWAY GRADE SEPARATION/OTHER IMPROVEMENTS FOR GATEWAY FOR AMERICA PROJECT IN SAN GABRIEL VALLEY | 100,000 | 6,200 | 93,800 | 94,208 | (408) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SGVCOG/LA } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ | UPGRADE AND SYNCHRONIZE TRAFFIC LIGHTS IN THE ALAMEDA CORRIDOR EAST IN LOS ANGELES | 17,250 | 1,070 | 16,181 | 15,802 | 378 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { SGVCOG/LA } \\ & \text { County } \end{aligned}$ | CONSTRUCT NOGALES STREET/RAILROAD STREET GRADE SEPARATION IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY | 6,500 | 403 | 6,097 | 6,264 | (167) |
|  | SGVCOG Subtotal | 135,518 | 8,402 | 127,115 | 126,853 | 262 |
| Alameda Corridor Transportation Agency | CONSTRUCT GRADE SEPARATION PROJECT AT REDONDO JUNCTION, LOCATED IN THE NORTH END OF AN INTERMODAL CORRIDOR OF ECONOMIC SIGNIFICANCE, LOS ANGELES | 6,650 | 412 | 6,238 | 6,239 | 0 |
| Alhambra | RECONSTRUCT AND WIDEN MISSION ROAD, ALHAMBRA | 2,438 | 151 | 2,287 | 198 | 2,089 |
| Agoura Hills | IMPROVE STREETS AND CONSTRUCT BICYCLE PATHS, AGOURA HILLS | 650 | 40 | 610 | 610 | 0 |
| Calabasas | IMPROVE STREETS AND CONSTRUCT BICYCLE PATH, CALABASAS | 750 | 47 | 704 | 250 | 454 |
| California <br> Science Center | CONSTRUCT THE SOUTH CENTRAL LOS ANGELES EXPOSITION PARK INTERMODAL URBAN ACCESS PROJECT IN LOS ANGELES | 19,500 | 1,209 | 18,291 | 18,294 | (3) |
| Caltrans | EXTEND I-10 HOV LANES, LOS ANGELES | 2,205 | 137 | 2,068 | 0 | 2,068 |
| Caltrans | WIDEN AND IMPROVE I-5/STATE ROUTE 126 INTERCHANGE IN VALENCIA | 10,425 | 646 | 9,779 | 9,780 | 0 |
| Carson | UPGRADE DEL ALMO BOULEVARD AT I-405 | 5,000 | 310 | 4,690 | 4,691 | 0 |


| LS8＇68 | ع60＇ 165 | $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon t 6} 6^{\prime} 98 \mathbf{z}$ | 996＇81 | 016＇S0E | 7 701 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 0 | LIE | LIE | I2 | $8 \varepsilon \varepsilon$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { sәjełs] } \\ \text { səpıa } \Lambda \text { soled } \end{array}$ |
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| $98 z^{\prime}$ 乙 | 9＜I | 29t＇て | $\varepsilon 91$ | SZ9＇乙 |  | роомә｜биI |
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## Metro

# RESOLUTION CERTIFYING THAT LOS ANGELES COUNTY HAS RESOURCES TO FUND PROJECTS IN FY 2006/07-2011/12 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND AFFIRMING ITS COMMITMENT TO IMPLEMENT ALL PROJECTS IN THE PROGRAM 

WHEREAS, Los Angeles County is located within the metropolitan planning boundaries of the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG); and

WHEREAS, the 2005 Safe, Accountable, Flexible, Efficient Transportation Equity Act: A Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU) requires SCAG to adopt a regional transportation improvement program for the metropolitan planning area; and

WHEREAS, the SAFETEA-LU also requires that the regional transportation improvement program include a financial plan that demonstrates how the transportation improvement program can be implemented; and

WHEREAS, the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) is the agency responsible for short-range capital and service planning and programming for the Los Angeles County area within SCAG; and

WHEREAS, as the responsible agency for short-range transportation planning, Metro is responsible for the development of the Los Angeles County Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), including all projects utilizing federal and state highway and transit funds; and

WHEREAS, Metro must determine, on an annual basis, the total amount of funds that could be available for transportation projects within its boundaries; and

WHEREAS, Metro has adopted the FY 2006/07-20011/12 Los Angeles County TIP for fiscal years 2006/07 and 2007/08 available and committed, and reasonably committed for fiscal years 2008/09 through 2011/12.

NOW, THERFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority that it affirms its continuing commitment to the projects in the FY 2006/07-2011/12 Los Angeles County TIP; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the FY 2006/07-2010/12 Los Angeles County TIP Financial Plan identifies the resources that are reasonably expected to be available to carry out the program and certifies that:

1. The projects in the FY 2006/07-2011/12 Los Angeles County TIP remain the highest priority for funding by MTA and other agencies;
2. The Regional Improvement Program projects in the FY 2006/07-2011/12 Los Angeles County TIP are in the proposed 2006 State Transportation Improvement Program that is scheduled to be approved by the California Transportation Commission by the end of June 2006;
3. Los Angeles County has the funding capacity in its Surface Transportation Program (STP) and Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program (CMAQ) allocations to fund all of the projects in the FY 2006/07-2011/12 Los Angeles County TIP;
4. The local match for projects funded with federal STP and CMAQ program funds is identified in the Los Angeles County TIP; and
5. All the Federal Transit Administration funded projects are programmed within SAFETEA-LU Guaranteed Funding levels.

## CERTIFICATION

The undersigned, duly qualified and serving as Secretary of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority, certifies that the foregoing is a true and correct representation of a Resolution adopted at a legally convened meeting of the Board of Directors of the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority held December 15, 2005.

MICHELE JACKSON
Metro Board Secretary

DATED: December __, 2005
(SEAL)

